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| Syh. | Syrohexapla |
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| Thdt. | Theodoret. See Bibliography: Migne, J.-P. 1864 |
| Theod. | Theodotion |
| TLG | Thesaurus Lingua Graecae on the TLG CD-ROM\#E |
| ZAW | Zeitschrift für die alttestamentliche Wissenschaft |

# INTRODUCTION 

The Greek Text

The commentaries in the Septuagint Commentary Series, to which this volume contributes, are each based on one of the three main uncial codices, Vaticanus, Sinaiticus and Alexandrinus. The manuscript presented in the present edition is Vaticanus Graecus 1209, better known as Codex B or just Vaticanus. There are several reasons for choosing Vaticanus. Vaticanus is the oldest manuscript, or at least as old as Sinaiticus, and definitely older than Alexandrinus. Further, the scribe of Vaticanus was very careful, though there are occasional haplographies and dittographies, while the scribe of Sinaiticus was very careless. On almost every page of Sinaiticus there are passages added in the margin, which were missed by the scribe. Moreover, large portions of the text of Alexandri$n u s$ are illegible in the facsimile of the text. A visit to the British Library confirmed that Alexandrinus is in a very poor condition, and that the illegible passages in the facsimile are hardly legible in the manuscript either.

The old facsimile made in 1907 of Vaticanus, on the other hand, is mostly perfectly legible. Unfortunately, the new facsimile made in 1999 adds very little, and thus every passage not perfectly legible in the facsimile was checked in the original during a one-month visit to the Vatican library. Another visit to the Vatican library was planned but had to be cancelled because of a three-year project of renovation of the library, during which access to the manuscript was impossible.

## The Manuscript

The codex Vaticanus is generally dated to the first half of the fourth century. The place of its origin is unknown, but most assume Egypt/ Alexandria, or perhaps Caesarea. The pages measure about $27 \times 27 \mathrm{~cm}$, which is most likely somewhat smaller than the original size. Today the folios are stored individually between paper sheets. The text is written in scriptio continua with three columns per page, which is an unusual number of columns. The ink of the text has been filled in carefully and accents have been added, most likely in the tenth or eleventh century.

Original brown ink can still be seen at many instances. Dittographies, vẽ $\dot{\varepsilon} \varphi \varepsilon \lambda \varkappa v \sigma \tau \iota x o ́ v$ etc. have not been filled in, since the scribe who filled in the text appears to have filled in only those letters which he thought to be correct. At least two different scribes have corrected the manuscript with uncial letters. Some corrections are not enhanced by the scribe who filled in the text, and could most likely be attributed to the original scribe, and are therefore very early. It is not improbable that some of these corrections were made by the original scribe or by a contemporary scribe.

## The Edition

The edition is based on an unpunctuated version of Rahlfs' edition, which has been modified to conform to the text of Vaticanus. The edition follows the first hand of the manuscript Vaticanus as far as possible concerning sections, paragraphs, spelling, and nomina sacra. Therefore, differing spellings due to itacism are also preserved in the edition, e.g., $2: 2 ; 2: 30 ; 5: 6,5: 19 ; 23: 26 ; 27: 25 ; 30: 4 ; 39: 15$. In some examples these spellings create new words which are possible in the context. These are discussed in the commentary. However, most examples are not possible in the context, and it has been supposed that the reader has understood the correct form despite the differing spelling. For the confusion of letters etc. see Thackeray 1909, 71-129, and Ziegler 1957, 109-125. Word division, accents and breathings follow standard conventions. Standard spelling, alternative accents etc. are given in the commentary. Some caution should be observed for the use of $v \tilde{v} \dot{\varepsilon} \varphi \varepsilon \lambda \alpha u \sigma \tau \iota x o ́ v$ (movable $v)$. The reason for this is that the $v \tilde{v} \dot{\varepsilon} \varphi \varepsilon \lambda \lambda u \sigma \tau \iota x o v$ is often at the end of the line, and thus abbreviated into a stroke above the line. The scribe who filled in the letters often avoided filling in the $v \tilde{v} \varepsilon \dot{\varepsilon} \varphi \varepsilon \lambda \varkappa v \sigma \tau \iota x o ́ v$, and thus also the stroke above the line. Consequently, the original strokes, which are not filled in, are often very hard to see, and the strokes which are distinct could, of course, have been added by the scribe who filled in the letters.

## Sections and Paragraphs

The sections and paragraphs in the present edition follow the original text divisions in Vaticanus. New sections in the text of Vaticanus begin on a new line approximately one letter out in the left margin while the rest of the last line is left blank. These sections are indicated by new sections in the edition, and the sections are provided with headings in

English. At the beginning of some sections there is an indentation of several letters on one or more lines. These indentations are indicated by similar indentations in the edition.

Smaller sections are indicated in Vaticanus by a space within the text and a stroke beneath the first letter of that line. Whether the strokes are original or not cannot be decided here, but in the present edition the assumption is followed that they are secondary. However, all strokes that are not indicated by a new paragraph in the edition are discussed in the commentary. These smaller sections are indicated in the edition by new paragraphs. It should be noticed that there are examples of strokes where there is no space within the text. These examples are indicated in the commentary, but not in the edition. There are also examples of strokes where the new paragraph indicated by the stroke begins at the beginning of the line. In these examples (with few exceptions, where the previous line apparently is somewhat shorter than the surrounding lines) it was not possible for the original reader to observe the new section. In these examples the stroke is noted in the commentary, but there is no new paragraph in the edition. Finally there are examples of spaces within the text where there are no strokes in the left margin. These examples are indicated by new paragraphs in the edition and noted in the commentary.

It should be noticed that the spaces indicating new paragraphs in Vaticanus differ a great deal in size, from less than one middle-sized letter to more than two middle-sized letters. Thus it is not always totally clear that the space should really indicate a new paragraph. Uncertain cases are noted in the commentary.

None of the different numberings in the margin of the manuscript, such as small and large Greek letters, are taken into consideration in the present edition, since it is not clear if they are original or not.

It should also be noticed that the form of the text, such as length of the lines, indentation etc., does not indicate that the text should be considered as a poetic text. If the reader interpreted the text as poetry at all, this was done for inner textual reasons only. For an introduction to Hebrew poetry, see Berlin 1996.

## Chapters and Verses in the Göttingen Edition, Rahlfs Edition, and MT

The division into chapters and verses, as well as the numbering of chapters and verses, follow the Göttingen edition. The table below gives an overview of the numbering in the Göttingen edition, Rahlfs edition and MT. For minor differences, see the editions.

| Göttingen | Rahlfs | MT |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1-8 (vac. vv. 2:1; 7:1, 27; 8:11-12) | id. | 1-8 |
| 9:1-26 | 8:23-9:25 | 8:23-9:25 |
| 10:1-5a, 9, 5b, 11-25 | id. 10:1-4, 9, 5, 11-25 | 10:1-5a, 9, 5b, 11-25 |
| 11-22 (vac. vv. 11:7; 17:1-4) | id. | 11-22 |
| 23:1-6, 9-40, 7-8 | id. | 23 |
| 24 | id. | id. |
| 25:1-19 | id. | 25:1-13; 49:34-39 |
| 26:1-28 (vac. vv. 1, 26) | 25:20; 26:2-28 | 46:2-28 |
| 27 | id. | 50 |
| 28 (vac. vv. 45-48) | id. | 51 |
| 29:1-7 | id. | 47:1-7 |
| 29:8-23 | 30:1-16 | 49:7-22 |
| 30:1-5 | 30:17-21 | 49:1-5 |
| 30:6-11 | 30:23-28 | 49:28-33 |
| 30:12-16 | 30:29-33 | 49:23-27 |
| 31 | id. | 48 |
| 32:1-24 | 32:15-38 | 25:15-38 |
| 33 | id. | 26 |
| 34:1-5 | 34:2-6 | 27:2-6 |
| 34:6-10 | 34:8-12 | 27:8-12 |
| 34:11-14 | 34:14-16 | 27:14-16 |
| 34:15-17 | 34:18-20 | 27:18-20 |
| 34:18 | 34:22 | 27:22 |
| 35 | id. | 28 |
| 36:1-15, 21-32 | id. | 29:1-15, 21-32 |
| 37:1-9, 12-21, 23-24 | id. | 30:1-9, 12-21, 23-24 |
| 38 | id. | 31:1-34, 37, 35-36, 38-40 |
| 39-45 | id. | 32-38 |
| 46:1-3, 14-18 | id. | 39:1-3, 14-18 |
| 47-50 | id. | 40-43 |
| 51:1-30 | id. | 44:1-30 |
| 51:31-35 | id. | 45:1-5 |
| 52 (vac. vv. 2-3, 15, 28-30) | id. | 52 |

## Nomina Sacra

In the text of Jeremiah in Vaticanus there are five words which are abbreviated/contracted as nomina sacra: xú@เos, v̌ร́s, Iб@aŋ $\lambda$, латท́@ and лvєũua. The terms nomen sacrum and nomina sacra are used, since these are the common terms for this kind of abbreviations/contraction,
and they are used without any valuation of the terms per se. The statistics for these words is as follows:
xúgıos: of the 601 examples only two are not abbreviated/contracted as nomina sacra, both of which are in the plural and hence do not refer to the Lord: 34:3 xu@ious bis. Only one example of xú@os does not refer to the Lord (44:20), but is still abbreviated/contracted as a nomen sacrum, while all other examples refer to the Lord.

ษعós: of the 108 examples 30 are not abbreviated/contracted as nomina sacra, all of which are in the plural and hence refer to foreign gods: 1:16



 ทยоі̃ร.

Iの@aŋ $\lambda$ : of the 86 examples, only two, $11: 17$ and 51:25, are abbreviated/contracted as nomina sacra. Both examples occur in expressions which are found elsewhere in Jeremiah but not abbreviated/contracted as nomina sacra in those places. 11:17 oizoov Io $\lambda$ xai olxov Iov $\alpha$ is also found in 5:11 and 11:10. 51:25 xúgos ó $\vartheta \varepsilon$ ès $\overline{I \sigma \lambda}$ is also found in 7:3; 9:15; 11:3; 16;1, $9 ; 19: 3 ; 24: 5 ; 32: 1 ; 34: 3 ; 36: 4 ; 37: 2 ; 39: 28,36 ; 46: 16 ; 51: 2$.
 $\tau \alpha i \mu \varepsilon$, is abbreviated/contracted as a nomen sacrum.
$\pi v \varepsilon \tilde{u} \mu \alpha$ : five out of six examples of $\pi v \varepsilon \tilde{v} \mu \alpha$ (4:11, 12; 10:14; 28:11, 17; 30:10) are abbreviated/contracted as nomina sacra, though they hardly refer to the Holy Spirit. The last example, obviously referring to a wind, is not abbreviated/contracted as a nomen sacrum.

The nomina sacra are marked in the present edition by the abbreviations/contractions found in the MS, and in the translation by capital letters. It should be noticed, however, that the capital letters are not used as a valuation of the nomina sacra, but only as a means for highlighting the nomina sacra in a similar way as the abbreviations/contractions highlight the nomina sacra in the Greek text. For a discussion of the nomina sacra, see, e.g., Bokedal 2005, 97-127, and Hurtado 2006, 95-134.

## The Translation

## General Remarks

The present translation has the ideal ambition of making a similar impression on the reader of the English translation today as the Greek
translation had on an ancient reader (or listener). To achieve that ambition is for several reasons, of course, impossible. Firstly, the ancient readers are not known to us, and more importantly, the ancient readers were most certainly as diverse as the readers are today. It is likely that there were readers for whom Jeremiah was their first contact with a Greek text heavily influenced by a Semitic language, but it is also likely that some readers of Jeremiah had a very good knowledge of this kind of Greek. The latter is certainly the case with the early commentators referred to in the present commentary.

Given the wide range of possible ancient readers (as well as readers of the present translation), my aim is to highlight as much as possible of the Greek of Jeremiah which can be regarded as unidiomatic. Since it is virtually unknowable what impression the text of Jeremiah made on an ancient reader (or makes on a reader today), to highlight the unidiomatic Greek of Jeremiah obviously creates a risk of highlighting more, or more strongly than would be the case in most translations. Consequently, the ambition has been to highlight neither too much nor too little, although the former has been preferred when necessary. However, due to the extreme difficulty of conveying the same impression to the modern as to the ancient reader, the present translation should only be considered to strive in that direction.

Certainly the Greek of Jeremiah was not perceived as ordinary Hellenistic Greek by the ancient reader. The influence of the Hebrew Vorlage on a literal translation, such as the Greek translation of Jeremiah, was undoubtedly noticed by any reader, irrespectively of the reasons for the literal translation (for a discussion of different reasons for literal translations, see Walser 2008). Thus a translation into idiomatic English of the Greek text of Jeremiah loses an important characteristic of the Greek text. In an attempt to preserve something of the characteristic of the Greek text of Jeremiah as a translated text, the ambition has been to render idiomatic Greek expressions, constructions etc. into idiomatic English, while more literal renderings are used for expressions and constructions which appear to be unidiomatic. Cf. Aejmelaeus 1991, 33-34: "The Greek text of the Septuagint-whether good or bad, correct or incorrect, intentional or unintentional-should be interpreted as such according to the meanings and rules of Greek and according to the probable understanding of an original native speaker. It should neither be interpreted according to the Hebrew original nor according to the assumed intention of the translator. If the translator had a particular intention, it comes across through the Greek text."

Though there are examples of translation Greek in all aspects of the Greek of Jeremiah, from vocabulary to word order, it should be noticed that not all aspects of the Greek of Jeremiah are equally influenced by the Vorlage. While vocabulary, verbal aspect (cf., e.g., Porter 1989, 156, and Evans 2001, 259-263), syntax of moods and tenses, as well as the case syntax are rather idiomatic, the clause syntax and especially word order are more Hebrew than Greek; cf. e.g., CS, $\$ 38$, and Soisalon-Soininen 1987, 42.

Another aspect of the translation, which was not noticed by ancient readers who lacked a knowledge of Hebrew (and is not noticed by the modern reader without any knowledge of Greek), is how concordant the translation is as far as vocabulary is concerned. It appears that the Greek translation of Jeremiah is quite concordant, but hardly at the expense of the content. Likewise it is the ambition of the present translation to be quite concordant without sacrificing the content, but, on the other hand, there is no ambition to vary the language to improve style.

## Varia

Most of the features discussed here are also treated either below in the section General remarks on the language or in the commentary. Here only the renderings into English are dealt with.

The very low frequency of other clause-connecting particles than $x \alpha i$, and the occasional absence of clause-connecting particles altogether, are a characteristic of translated Greek such as that Greek of Jeremiah. In an attempt to render this characteristic, $x \alpha$ í is usually rendered by "and", also where the particle xai seems to be adversative (cf. Blomqvist 1979), while $\delta \varepsilon ́ ~ i s ~ u s u a l l y ~ r e n d e r e d ~ b y ~ " b u t " . ~ F u r t h e r, ~ f u l l ~ s t o p s ~ a r e ~ o f t e n ~ a v o i d e d ~ a n d ~$ the comma is used instead, thus indicating the asyndeton in the Greek text.

The very frequent use of the future tense for the imperative or the subjunctive should be considered as a Hebraism and is thus regularly rendered by future tense in the present translation. Thus, to emphasize the peculiar use of the future tense in the Greek text of Jeremiah, the Greek future tense is usually rendered by "will" and not "shall". Cf. CS, $\$ 74$.

Another two typical features for the Greek of Jeremiah are the figurae etymologicae and the redundant pronouns in relative clauses. The frequency of figurae etymologicae is one of the highest in the whole Septuagint. Both features are rendered literally in the present translation and discussed in the commentary.

The word $\gamma \tilde{\eta}$ should be mentioned, which is rendered by either "land" or "earth", depending on the context. $\gamma \tilde{\eta}$ is usually a rendering of ארץ, which also can be rendered by "land" or "earth"; cf. e.g., McKane 1986, 558, and 1996, 1145.

For nomina sacra, see above.

## Names

Most names are transliterated, following the guidelines given in The SBL handbook of Style as follows:

| $\alpha$ | a | $\nu$ | n |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\beta$ | b | $\xi$ | x |
| $\gamma$ | g | o | o |
| $\delta$ | d | $\pi$ | p |
| $\varepsilon$ | e | $\varrho$ | r |
| $\varepsilon$ | he | $\sigma \varsigma$ | s |
| $\zeta$ | z | $\tau$ | t |
| $\eta$ | $\overline{\mathrm{e}}$ | $v$ | y (not in diphthong) |
| $\vartheta$ | th | $v$ | u (in diphthong) |
| l | i | $\varphi$ | ph |
| $\chi$ | k | $\chi$ | ch |
| $\lambda$ | l | $\psi$ | ps |
| $\mu$ | m | $\omega$ | $\overline{\mathrm{o}}$ |

The following very common names, and adjectives derived from names are exceptions for which English translations are used instead of transliterations:

| Aigyptos | Egypt |
| :--- | :--- |
| Aithiops, Aithiopoi | Ethiopian, Ethiopians |
| Assyrioi | Assyrians |
| Babylōn | Babylon |
| Euphratēs | Euphrates |
| Hebraios | Hebrew |
| Hellēnikēs | Greek |
| Israēl | Israel |
| Ioudaioi | Jews |
| Iourdanēs | Jordan |
| Chaldaioi | Chaldeans |
| Libyes | Libyans |
| Lydoi | Lydians |
| Mēdoi | Medians |
| Mōabeitis | Moabitess |

## Kúoıos

The rendering of rúgos follows the general principles given by Auld 2005, XVII-XVIII, taking xúotos as a proper noun, and rendering it without the definite article, with the exception that xúgos is rendered as a nomen sacrum, cf. above. xúolos is usually a rendering of יהוה, and the definite articles with rúgos in the Greek text seem to follow the Vorlage-i.e., the definite articles mostly seem to be renderings of Hebrew prepositions, particles etc. Thus none of the 372 examples of xúgos in the nominative case has the definite article, and only four ( $5: 10$; 12:12; $28: 50 ; 29: 6$ ) of the 165 examples of xúgtos in the genitive case have the definite article, cf. BDR, $\$ 259$. On the other hand, 14 out of 16 examples of xúgos in the dative case have the definite article, and 7 out of 19 examples of xúgos in the accusative case have the definite article; cf. Ziegler 1958, 133. If the reader of the text took xúgos as a proper noun, which is likely, the use of the definite article (or rather its absence) most likely did not seem very strange to the reader, since the use of the definite article is quite arbitrary; cf. BDR, $\S 260$. Thus the definite article is used in the translation as it is usually used in English, i.e., proper nouns usually do not take the definite article.

## Definite Articles

The Greek articles and the definite articles in the English translation call for a detailed discussion. For a very detailed discussion of the articles in the Greek text, see the excellent analysis by Ziegler 1958, 114-169. Only a brief summary of Ziegler's conclusions will be given here by a few quotations and examples: Ziegler 1958, 167-168: "Der Artikel ist oftmals in der Ier.- und Thr.-LXX im Anschluß an $\mathfrak{M}$ (also besonders beim Status constructus) nicht gesetzt worden. Er fehlt häufiger in Ier. II und Thr. als in Ier. I. Die Übersetzer sind in der Setzung des Artikels nicht konsequent. Wenn die hsliche Überlieferung stimmt, dann könnte dies nicht einmal von Aquila, dem pedantischen Anhänger von $\mathfrak{M}$, gesagt werden. Frühzeitig, wie die alten Unzialen zeigen, und sehr oft, wie die einzelnen Hss., die Gruppen und Rezensionen bezeugen, ist der Artikel eingedrungen, weil ihn eben die griech. Sprachregel verlangte. Vor allem war es Lukian, der an vielen Stellen den Artikel setzte; für ihn galt die griech. Grammatik mehr als der hebr. Text. Die Tendenz der Schreiber, den Artikel zu setzen, war so stark, daß er heute in allen Hss. an verschiedenen Stellen steht, während er ursprünglich in Übereinstimmung mit $\mathfrak{M}$ nicht stand. Dies zeigt uns deutlich ein Seitenblick auf die Ez.-

LXX; hier hat an verschiedenen Stellen der älteste hsliche Zeuge, nämlich der Pap. 967, allein keinen Artikel." "967 hat allein mit dem Fehlen des Artikels das Ursprüngliche bewahrt."

About the article and the renderings of Hebrew particles, Ziegler 1958, 121-123: "Gewöhnlich ist die Akkusativ-Partikel mit dem Artikel wiedergegeben worden. Unser $\mathfrak{M}_{\text {-text }}$ ist jedoch nicht immer in dieser
 $\alpha$ v่̉oṽ. "ל beim Infinitiv ist oftmals mit $\tau 0 \tilde{v}$ wiedergegeben worden. Die Praxis ist aber uneinheitlich: bald steht toṽ, bald fehlt es." E.g., 1:8
 werden, dann erhalten diese als Attribut zu ihrem Substantiv den Artikel, z.B. die häufige Formel ó $\lambda o ́ \gamma o \varsigma ~ o ́ ~ \gamma \varepsilon v o ́ \mu \varepsilon v o s . ~ E b e n s o ~ w i r d ~ e i n ~ v e r k u ̈ r z t e r ~$ Relativsatz oft durch eine präpositionelle Wendung mit Artikel wieder-


About the article and the renderings of the constructions of nouns with suffix pronouns and the construct state, Ziegler 1958, 125-126: "Bei der Verbindung eines Nomens mit einem pronominalen Suffix hat das Hebr. bekanntlich keinen Artikel. Das Griech. dagegen verlangt den Artikel. Nur ein enger Anchluß an $\mathfrak{M}$ veranlaßt den Übersetzer, den Artikel zu unterdrücken. Das ist in unterschiedlicher Weise geschehen",
 der Status-constructus-Verbindung fehlt gewönlich der Artikel, wenn er auch im Hebr. fehlt." E.g., 4:11 gelegentlich ist der Artikel zweimal gesetzt." E.g., 3:23 המון הרים סט́ vauıऽ $\tau \tilde{\omega} v$ ỏ@ $\varepsilon ́ \omega v$. "Bei der Status-constructus-Verbindung steht der Artikel, wenn er im Hebr. beim zweiten Nomen steht." E.g., 4:9 לב . "Die griech. Sprachregel erfordert den doppelten Artikel. Wenn im Status constructus das zweite Nomen ein Suffix hat, steht im Hebr. kein Artikel. Die griech. Sprache erfordert in solchen Fällen den zweimaligen Artikel. Jedoch in der Ier.-LXX steht er selten beim Nominativ".



About the articles and proper nouns, Ziegler 1958, 127-128: "Eigennamen im Nominativ und Vokativ stehen ohne Artikel." E.g., 2:3 ישׂראל Iб@aŋ入. "Beim Dativ steht gewönlich der Artikel, der ל לשל (manch-
 sativ steht oft der Artikel als Wiedergabe von את." E.g., 9:10 (LXX 9:11)
 Status-constructus-Verbindungen (mit dem Eigennamen im Genitiv)
steht bei häufigen Wendungen wie „Haus Israel", „Söhne Israels", „König Babylons" o.ä. kein Artikel, weder beim Eigennamen noch beim Hauptwort."

About the articles and the nouns with prepositions, Ziegler 1958, 136: "Im Anschluß an das Hebr. (die Übersetzer hatten unpunktierte Texte vor sich; die Masoreten haben erst später durch die Vokalisation den Artikel bezeichnet) fehlt oft der Artikel. Aber einheitlich ist die Praxis
 ย้ทveauv.

About the article and the renderings of the constructions of nouns with suffix pronouns and prepositions, and in the construct state with prepositions, Ziegler 1958, 138-139: "Präpositionale Wendungen mit einem abhängigen Genitiv haben weder im Hebr. noch im Griech. einen
 Ist der abhängige Genitiv ein Personalpronomen, so steht ursprünglich
 der griech. Gen. dem hebr. Status absolutus, so steht im Griech. (wie im Hebr.) der Artikel, z.B. 31(48) 44 吴 hebr. Nomen durch ein Pronominalsuffix näher bestimmt oder steht er im Status constructus, so steht im Hebr. kein Artikel. In der LXX dagegen findet sich keine einheitliche Überlieferung: bald ist der Artikel gesetzt, bald fehlt er (im Anschluß an $\mathfrak{M}$ )."

So far Ziegler and the articles in the Greek text. As can be seen, there are mostly examples of missing articles, and there seem to be very few examples of redundant articles in the Greek text. The definite articles in the English translation, on the other hand, follow the general principles of the translation given above. Hence, when an article is unidiomatically missing in the Greek text, it is unidiomatically missing
 $\tau \varepsilon \lambda \varepsilon \iota \omega \sigma \varepsilon \omega \varsigma \alpha \cup ̛ \tau o \tilde{v}$, which is rendered by "kindness of your youth and love of his maturity". On the other hand, when the article is idiomatically missing in the Greek text it is added in the English translation, e.g., all the prepositional phrases, such as $1: 1 \dot{\varepsilon} v \gamma \tilde{\eta}$, and $1: 15 \dot{\alpha} \pi \dot{o} \beta o \varrho \varrho \tilde{\alpha}$, which are rendered by "in the land", and "from the north". For obvious reasons it is not possible to indicate in every example that an article is missing. E.g., in the example above, 2:2, there is no indication in the English translation that the articles are missing at veótŋтos and $\tau \varepsilon \lambda \varepsilon \iota \omega \sigma \varepsilon \omega \rho$ too, since articles are not used in such constructions in English. This means that it is only possible to indicate missing articles in the English translation when idiomatic English requires the article
and the article can be unidiomatically left out. On the other hand, the overall impression of the English translation is that there are articles missing all over the text, an impression which most likely also was that of the ancient reader of the Greek text. Cf. also BDR, $\$ \$ 252$ 263.

## The Commentary

## Methodological Remarks

The purpose of the commentary is to provide a discussion of the Greek text of Jeremiah in its own right, and mainly of one manuscript, Vaticanus. Hence references to the Vorlage (or rather the supposed Vorlage) are only made to explain peculiarities in the Greek text.

Most investigations of the Greek text of Jeremiah have been devoted to the relation between the Greek text and the Masoretic text, and the main purpose of studying the Greek text has been to try to establish the original Hebrew text of Jeremiah. Thus the Greek text has mostly (if at all) been used as a mere text-critical tool, and few investigations have focused on the Greek text in its own right. Even fewer investigations have been concerned with the reception of the Greek text in the reading communities. Hence the present commentary is almost exclusively devoted to the reception of the Greek text in the reading community. For the relation of the Greek text to the Hebrew text, the reader is referred to the standard commentaries on Jeremiah, especially William McKane's two-volume commentary (1986 and 1996), and the special investigations by Janzen (1973), Ziegler (1958), Althann (1983), Stulman (1985), and Shead (2002).

As mentioned above it is the ambition of the translation to highlight what is unidiomatic Greek in the text of Jeremiah. Likewise it is the intention of the commentary to discuss these unidiomatic features.

With the focus on the reception of the Greek text in the reading community one possibility is to use the commentaries of some early readers of the text to get at least an opinion about what could have been in the minds of these commentators. For Jeremiah there are commentaries by four early readers: Origen (185-254), Joannes Chrysostom (344-407), Theodoret of Cyrrhus (393-457) and Olympiodorus of Alexandria (sixth century). Most remarks by these early commentators are, of course, of exegetical nature, from which it is only occasionally possible to find out how the reader actually understood the Greek text. But there are also
comments on how to understand words and expressions from a more philological point of view. Since Chrysostom, Theodoret and Olympiodorus most likely had a quite limited access to the Hebrew Vorlage of Jeremiah, the commentaries give a glimpse of their understanding of the Greek text. Therefore, the commentaries of Origen, Chrysostom, Theodoret, and Olympiodorus are quoted (with translations by the author) wherever they can shed some light on how the text was understood in the reading community.

Moreover, linguistic peculiarities are discussed wherever the Greek of Jeremiah appears to deviate from standard (extra-biblical) Greek. References are given to, e.g., grammars of the Septuagint (Thackeray 1909, Conybeare and Stock 1905, Helbing 1928), of the New Testament (Blass, Debrunner and Rehkopf 1990, Wallace 1995), and of classical Greek (Smyth 1956).

Further, the divergences from the Göttingen edition have been noted in the commentary. The reason for the detailed references to the Göttingen edition is twofold. First, the reader can easily get to know what has happened to the Greek text since the time of the original translation (this is, of course, based on the assumption that the Göttingen edition is closer to the original translation than Vaticanus, which is most certainly also the case). Second, and more importantly for the present commentary, the reader will get to know the characteristics and peculiarities of one of the most important manuscripts of the Septuagint. All divergences from the Göttingen edition except the ṽ̃ $̇ \varphi \varphi \lambda \lambda u \sigma \tau \iota x o ́ v$ have been noted. For the $v \tilde{v} \dot{\varepsilon} \varphi \varepsilon \AA \nsim v \sigma \tau \iota \nsim o ́ v$, see above on the Greek text. For a short description of Vaticanus, and especially its relation to MT, see Ziegler 1957, 46-48.

When not expressly stated otherwise, the investigations on the Septuagint, other than Jeremiah, are made on Rahlfs' text. The reason for this is that Rahlfs' edition presents a uniform text for the whole Septuagint.

## General Remarks on the Language

In the next section some common (and a few vary rare) features of the language of Jeremiah will be discussed. The reason for discussing these features here and not in the commentary is their high frequency. This means that the most frequent features will only be discussed here, while the more infrequent ones will also be discussed in the commentary. Generally the reason for the high (or low) frequencies is the very literal translation technique used by the translator of Jeremiah. Features which
only occasionally can be found in texts outside the Septuagint and texts related to the Septuagint are very frequent, since they are used as renderings of frequently used Hebrew words or expressions.

Though most examples mentioned below indicate a very literal translation technique, there are also several examples in Jeremiah which appear to show that the translator had good knowledge of Greek. Examples of good knowledge of Greek are the incorporation of the antecedent (e.g., 1:2), the use of comparative forms (e.g., $38: 11$ ), and the use of some aorist participles (e.g., 49:20). If this is right, there is good reason to believe that the literal translation technique is not the result of insufficient knowledge of Greek, but rather of an ambition to preserve as much as possible of the Vorlage; cf. Walser 2008.

## Varia

a. The literal rendering of בן by viós produces an unidiomatic Greek expression, e.g., 1:2 I $\omega \sigma \varepsilon i \alpha \alpha$ vioṽ $A \mu \omega \varsigma$ and 1:3 $\sum \varepsilon \delta \varepsilon x i \alpha \alpha$ vioṽ I $\omega \sigma \varepsilon i \alpha \alpha$. In only one example, Jer. 1:1, בן is rendered by the definite article, thus producing the idiomatic Greek expression Iع@emíav tòv 兀oṽ X X $\lambda x i ́ o v$. On the other hand, a literal translation of the Greek expression with viós produces an idiomatic English expression. However, to exclude "son" from the English translation to produce an unidiomatic English expression cannot be justified. Hence viós is rendered by "son" in the present translation. Cf. $\mathrm{BDR}, \S 162.1$.
b. The use of participles is very rare in comparison to original Greek texts (cf. CS, §79), except that present participles of $\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \omega$ (being the standard rendering of לאמר) are frequently used to introduce direct speech ( 81 examples in Jeremiah); cf. Walser 2001, CS, $§ 112$, and the note on 45:4. Moreover, participles are used as renderings of Hebrew infinitive absolute; cf. 3:1, and CS, $§ 81$.
c. Redundant pronouns in relative clauses are quite frequent. Cf. BDR , $\$ 297$, and CS, $\S 69$.
d. One very striking feature of the Greek of Jeremiah (as well as of most parts of the Septuagint) is the very low frequency of particles, with the exception of the extremely frequent particle $x \alpha i$ (for a discussion of some particles in the Septuagint, cf. Walser 2001, 123-142, 161). Emphatic particles are almost totally absent, with the exception of $\delta \dot{\eta}$ (being the
standard rendering of נאנ). Adversative particles are also very rare (only 27 examples of $\delta \dot{\varepsilon}$, and five examples of $\dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \alpha \dot{\alpha}$. The preparatory particle $\mu \dot{\varepsilon} v$ is totally absent; cf. CS, $\$ 39$ ), and $\chi \alpha i$ is often used where we would have expected an adversative particle; cf. Blomqvist 1987. $\delta \dot{\varepsilon}$ is almost exclusively used as an adversative particle, and thus the very common use of dé as a connecting particle in Greek outside the Septuagint is almost totally absent in Jeremiah. Further, asyndeton is quite frequent; cf. BDR, $\$ \$ 458-463$. On the other hand, the use of the particle ioov (being the standard rendering of הנה) is very high ( 121 examples in Jeremiah). Cf. BDR, $\S \$ 128.7$, and 442.5 a .
e. Jeremiah has one of the highest frequencies of figurae etymologicae in the Septuagint. Most figurae etymologicae are renderings of Hebrew figurae etymologicae, but there are also occasional occurrences of figurae etymologicae which seem to have no equivalent in the Vorlage, e.g., 20:9; 21:12; 27:42; 28:27; 28:33. Cf. CS, $\sqrt[1]{ } \$ 56,61$.
f. The future tense is very frequently used for the imperative or the subjunctive; cf. CS, $\$ 74, \mathrm{BDR}, \$ 362$.
g. The Hebrew introductory expression היה + followed by some kind of temporal qualifier (or in some cases, where והיה is rendered by rai ếбtal, conditional clauses) is rendered in three different ways in Jeremiah: xai
 examples, always being a rendering of ויהי), and xai हैסтоı (13 examples, mostly being a rendering of והיה). There are three kinds of temporal qualifiers: prepositional phrases, genitive absolute or temporal clauses. These expressions are at least very rare outside the Septuagint and texts related to the Septuagint. Dibelius 1927, 649, comments in his review of Johannessohn's careful investigation on ויהי and its renderings in the Septuagint: "Im allgemeinen scheinen mir die Resultate von J. darauf zu führen, daß diese Erzählungsformeln von der LXX oft ohne Rücksicht auf das gesprochene Griechisch dem Original nachgebildet sind." Hence the very literal rendering in the present translation. For a discussion of these expressions, see e.g. Johannessohn 1925, Dibelius 1927, CS, $\$ \$ 41-42$, BDR, $\$ 442.4 \mathrm{a}$, Muraoka 2002, 282 ( кai 12), and Smith 2002, 88-89.
$h$. The use of the particle ơv in main clauses is very rare (only in 23:22).
i. The use of subordinate clauses is quite limited. Instead the use of main clauses connected by the particle $x \alpha i$ is very frequent; cf. CS, $\$ 40$.

## The Relation between the Greek Text and MT

One of the major interests in the Greek text of Jeremiah has been the fact that the Greek text is approximately $15 \%$ shorter than MT. Apparently, either the Greek text represents an abridged text, or the Hebrew text is an expanded text, or both. Though this discussion is beyond the scope of the present commentary, since the reader without access to the Hebrew text was not aware of the problem, the question still calls for a short comment. There appear to be obvious reasons to believe that the Greek translation is a translation of a Vorlage which differs from MT, especially since fragments of such a Vorlage have been found in Qumran. On the other hand, there also seem to be very good reasons to believe that the Greek text suffers from haplographic errors, either made by the translator, or already found in his Vorlage. Moreover, there are equally good reasons to believe that some of the expansions in MT are later additions, and that the Vorlage of the Greek translation represents a more original text than MT. Unfortunately, there seems to be very little consensus among scholars in this very complicated matter, and there is still need for further research before the question can be settled. Cf., e.g., Fischer 2008, Stipp 1995 and 2008, and Tov 1999.

## The Relation between the First and Second <br> Halves of the Greek Text of Jeremiah

As already noticed by Thackeray, the translation of Jeremiah is not very homogeneous, but the first half differs considerably from the second. According to Thackeray this difference was the result of two different translators. In his dissertation Tov gives a detailed discussion of the similarities and differences between the two parts, and arrives at the conclusion that Jeremiah has been translated by one translator, but that the latter part has been revised in order to become "a more precise and consistent rendering of the Hebrew", Tov 1976, 5. Though some of Tov's results have been called into doubt, it is clear that some of the lexical inconsistencies can hardly be explained as natural variation. Cf., e.g., Tov 1976, Pietersma 2002 and 2006, and Michael 2006.

However, it is doubtful whether the reader of the Greek Jeremiah, without access to the Hebrew text, could really have noticed the differences between the first and second halves of Jeremiah. Thus this complicated question will not be discussed any further here.

## Jeremiah in the New Testament

The passages discussed in the following exposition are the texts which are marked as quotations from Jeremiah in the Nestle-Aland edition (NA27) of the New Testament.

## 5:21




The quotation in Mark has not only changed the verbs from third person plural to second person plural-the expression for "have" has also been differently rendered. The Septuagint has a very literal rendering with two nominal clauses (ỏ $\varphi \vartheta \alpha \lambda \mu$ oi $\alpha v ̉ \tau o i ̃ s ~ a n d ~ \tilde{\omega} \tau \alpha \alpha$ גủvoĩs). Mark, on the other hand, has a freer rendering with two participles of $\begin{gathered} \\ \chi\end{gathered} \omega$. It is not possible, however, to decide whether the quotation in Mark is a revision of the Greek text of the Septuagint, or whether it is a free rendering of a text similar or identical to MT.

```
6:16
```



```
MT מְצְאוּ
```



Both the rendering in the Septuagint, $\dot{\alpha} \gamma \mathrm{\gamma}$ ofoóv, and the rendering in Matt., $\dot{\alpha} v \alpha \dot{\pi} \pi \alpha v \sigma \omega v($ as well as the reading of Alexandrinus: $\dot{\alpha} \gamma เ \alpha \sigma \mu o ́ v$ ) are apparently renderings of the same Hebrew expression מרגוע. According to KB the meaning of מרגוע is "resting place". At first sight, the quotation appears to be taken directly from the Hebrew text, and not from the Septuagint. On the other hand, the rendering $\varepsilon$ £์ $\emptyset \sigma \varepsilon \tau \varepsilon$, viz., future indicative, of the Hebrew imperative מצאו, is not self-evident (Symmachus has ivo عv̌ŋๆтe), and it is reasonable to believe that it has its origin in the Septuagint. Thus it is perhaps more likely that the author of Matt. took the quotation from a Greek source which was
corrected according to the Hebrew text, or even that he corrected the text himself. Cf. Knowles 1993, 214-218.

```
7:11
\sigmaл\etaं\lambda\alpha\iotaov \lambda\eta\\sigma\tau\tilde{\omega}v
MT מְעָרַת פָּרצִים M
Matt. 21:13; Mark 11:17; Luke 19:46 \sigma\pi\etaं\lambda\alpha\iotaov \lambda\eta|\sigma\tilde{\omega}v
```

The rendering бл $\boldsymbol{\eta} \lambda \alpha{ }^{\prime}$ ov seems to be a standard rendering of the not very infrequent word מערת. The rendering $\lambda \eta \sigma \pi \dot{\prime} s$, on the other hand, of the quite rare (six examples in MT) word פריץ is only found here (Ezek. 18:10 and Dan. 11:14 have $\lambda$ oúsós). Hence it is likely that the rendering бли́ $\lambda \alpha \ldots \circ \geqslant \lambda \eta \sigma \tau \tilde{\omega} v$ is a quotation from the Septuagint and not a rendering of the Hebrew text, which happens to be in accordance with the rendering in the Septuagint.

## 9:24

 xúgos



The quotation by Paul in both his letters to the Corinthians is apparently a free quotation from Jer. 9:24, though the strong affinity between Jer. 9:23-24 and 1 Sam. 2:10 (in the Septuagint) should be noted. xavxóouaı is a rendering of eight different verbs in MT. The five examples in Jer. 9:23-24 are all renderings of הלל in the Hitpael form. Of the 23 Hitpael forms of הלל in MT, only four (1 Kings 20:11; Psa. 49:7 (LXX 48:7); Prov. 25:14; $27: 1$ ) are rendered by $\varkappa \alpha v \chi \alpha ́ o \mu \alpha u$, beside the five examples in Jer. 9:23-24. The remaining 14 examples are rendered by five different verbs
 quite varying renderings, it is likely that Paul took the verb 火avðóouaı from the five examples in the Greek text of Jer. 9:23-24. On the other hand, given the identical form of the quotation in both letters, Paul could also have taken the quotation from a secondary source, though he explicitly states that it is a quotation from the Scriptures: 1 Cor. 1:31

[10:7]
тí̧ ov̉ ( $\mu \grave{\eta}$ ) $\varphi$ юß

## 


The quotation is put in brackets, since the verse is missing in the Septuagint. The Greek text above has been supplied by Origen sub asterisco and in the Lucian recension. Apparently, the quotation is not from the Septuagint. It should be noticed that the order of the text has been changed, that $\sigma \varepsilon$ is missing, and that the more common subjunctive form $\varphi о \beta \eta \vartheta \tilde{\eta}$ has been used after ov $\mu \eta$ ', instead of the future form $\varphi о \beta \eta \vartheta \eta=\varepsilon \tau \alpha ı$. Cf. BDR, $\$ 365$.

```
12:3
\eta\mu\varepsiloń\varrho\alphav \sigma\varphi\alpha\gamma\etãऽ
MT יוֹם הְרֵגָה
James 5:5 \varepsilon̉v \eta\mu\varepsiloń@\alphą \sigma\varphi\alpha\gamma\tilde{`}
```

The quotation is very short, but according to NA27 it is taken from Jeremiah. The combination $\eta \mu \varepsilon \varrho \alpha$ and $\sigma \varphi \alpha \gamma \dot{\eta}$ is only found here in the Septuagint. $\ddagger \mu \varepsilon ́ \varrho \alpha$ is the very common standard rendering of יום, while бчаүท is a rendering of הרגה. The noun הרגה is only found in Jeremiah and Zechariah, and is rendered four times by $\sigma \varphi \alpha \gamma \eta$ (Jer. 12:3; 19:6; Zech. 11:4, 7) and once by $\tau \tilde{\omega} v \alpha \dot{\alpha} \eta \varrho \eta \mu \varepsilon ́ v \omega v$ (Jer. 7:32). The remaining 16 examples of $\sigma \varphi \alpha \gamma \eta$, which have a Hebrew Vorlage, are renderings of six different Hebrew roots, of which טבח is the most common with ten examples. Of the other Hebrew roots הרג is combined with יום in Is. 30:25 יום הרג 21:12 ימים חרב (ף taken from a Hebrew source, it could also have been taken from Is. 30:25.

```
12:15
\mu\varepsilon\tau\grave{\alpha} \tauò \varepsilon̉\chi\beta\alpha\lambda\varepsilonĨv \mu\varepsilon \alphaủ\tauov̀ऽ \varepsiloṅ兀\iota\sigma\tau\varrho\varepsiloń\psi\omega
```

אַחֲרֵי נָתְשִׁי אוֹתָם אָשׁוּב MT

Acts 15:16 $\mu \varepsilon \tau \dot{\alpha} \tau \alpha \tilde{\tau} \tau \alpha$ ỏv $\alpha \sigma \tau \varrho \varepsilon ́ \psi \omega$
There is not much resemblance between the quotation in Acts and the text in Jeremiah. This is true of both the Hebrew and the Greek text of Jeremiah. Even the verb has another prefix in the NT, $\dot{\alpha} v \alpha \sigma \tau \varrho \varepsilon ์ \psi \omega$, than in LXX, غ̇лıбт@ $\psi \omega$, (though some MSS of the Septuagint have $\alpha \quad \alpha \alpha \sigma \tau \varrho \varepsilon ́ \psi \omega$ and one MS, D, has $\dot{\varepsilon} \pi \iota \sigma \tau \varrho \varepsilon ́ \psi \omega$ in the NT). It is hard to see how a reader of Acts could have taken this text as a quotation from Jeremiah, had it not been that James explicitly states that it is a quotation:



```
22:24
```




```
Rom. 14:11 \zeta\tilde{\omega}\varepsilon\mp@code{\gamma\omegá \lambda\varepsiloń\gamma\varepsilon\iota xú\varrhoьos}
```

There are four examples in MT of exactly the same expression rendered in exactly the same way into Greek：Num．14：28；Is．49：18；Jer．22：24； Zeph．2：9．There are another 12 examples where MT has חי־אני נאם אדני יהוה，which are rendered by $\zeta \tilde{\omega} \varepsilon$ ह̉ $\gamma \dot{\omega} \lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \varepsilon เ$ xúgıos，and one example，Jer． 46：18，where MT has חי־אני נאם־המלך יהוה．Hence it is not clear from where Paul took the quotation．Given the very stereotyped rendering of these very common words，it is impossible to tell whether the source for Paul＇s quotation was Hebrew or Greek．

```
38:15
\varphi\omegav\età \varepsilon̉v P\alpha\mu\alpha \etảxov́\sigma\vartheta\eta \vartheta\varrho\etăvov x\alphai x\lambda\alphav\vartheta\muо\tilde{v} x\alphaì ỏठv\varrho\muоṽ P\alpha\chi\eta\lambda
```








``` oủx عióv
```

The quotation in Matt．does not follow the text of the Septuagint very closely．On the other hand，there are too many similarities between the texts to rule out the possibility that the text of Matt．is a revision of the text of the Septuagint．The first clause is identical in Matt．and LXX．In the Septuagint the following three nouns，נהי בכי תמרורים，are rendered as genitive attributes of $\varphi \omega v \dot{\eta}$ ，while they form an apposition to $\varphi \omega v{ }^{\prime}$ in Matt．Further，in Matt．the last noun is rendered as an adjective attribute of ỏסv＠uós．LXX has rendered the participle מבכה by a predicative participle $\dot{\alpha} \pi \sigma \varkappa \lambda \alpha \iota \rho \mu \varepsilon ́ v \eta$ ，thus taking $\operatorname{P\alpha } \alpha \not \eta \lambda$ as the subject of $\mathfrak{\eta} \vartheta \varepsilon \lambda \varepsilon v$ ．Matt．，on the other hand，has rendered it by $\mu \lambda \alpha i ⿱ 亠 䒑 o v \sigma \alpha$, which can be taken either as an attributive participle，thus taking ${ }^{\text {e }} \mathrm{P} \alpha \chi \eta^{\prime} \lambda$ as an apposition to $\varphi \omega v \eta^{\prime}$ ，or as a complement（so apparently NA 27 ，and BDR， $\$ 128.3$ ，who suggests that $\tilde{\eta} v$ is left out）．LXX is not following the word


Matt., on the other hand, follows MT, taking oủx $\eta$ ทै $\vartheta \varepsilon \lambda \varepsilon v ~ \pi \alpha \varrho \alpha \kappa \lambda \eta \vartheta \vartheta \tilde{\eta} v \alpha \iota$ after tà $\tau \varepsilon ่ \chi v \alpha \alpha u ̉ \tau \eta ̃ s . ~ I t ~ s h o u l d ~ b e ~ n o t e d ~ t h a t ~ x \alpha i ~ b e f o r e ~ o u ̉ x ~ \eta ้ \vartheta \varepsilon \lambda \varepsilon v ~ i n ~$
 has л $\alpha \varrho \alpha \varkappa \lambda \eta \vartheta \tilde{\eta} v \alpha \mathrm{l}$. However, $\pi \alpha \varrho \alpha \varkappa \lambda \eta \vartheta \tilde{\eta} v \alpha \iota$ is found in Alexandrinus and is added in the margin in Vaticanus. Especially interesting is the difference between the more literal rendering toĩs vioĩs aủtท̃s in LXX
 seems to fit the context of Matt. better than the rendering found in Matt., since apparently Herod only killed the boys (sons oi vioí) who were two years old or under, and not all the children ( $\tau \dot{\alpha} \tau \varepsilon \in \chi v \alpha$ ) who were two years old or under. If the author of Matt. knew the text of the Septuagint, what could have been the reason for changing toĩs vioĩs into $\tau \dot{\alpha} \tau \dot{\varepsilon} \varkappa v \alpha$ ? It should also be noted that MT has the singular איננו, and not the plural אינם, cf. BHS. For a detailed discussion of the relation between the quotation and its origin in LXX and/or in a Hebrew text, see Menken 2000; cf. Knowles 1993, 36-38.

## 38:31-34














MT 31:31-34


Heb. 8:8-12













The first quotation in Heb. 8:8-12 looks like a revised quotation of the text found in the Septuagint. It should be noted that there is no reason to believe that the revision of the text was made by the author of Hebrews; the revision could have been made earlier, for unknown reasons. Though there are several divergences from the text of the Septuagint, these mostly are on the level of style, and do not affect the content. On the level of content MT and LXX differ considerably from each other, and there can be no doubt that the quotation in Hebrews is following LXX against MT; cf. Schenker 2006. Given the good Greek in Hebrews (most likely the best in the New Testament) one could expect a revision for stylistic reasons to improve the very literal renderings of the Hebrew text in the Septuagint, but this does not always seem to be the case.

LXX has three examples of pךбiv xúgıos, while the NT has $\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \varepsilon \iota x u ́-$ @tos in the same examples, which can hardly be regarded as an improvement of the style. Neither can it be regarded as a revision according to the Hebrew text. It should be noted that the Vorlage has נאם־יהוה, which is usually rendered by $\lambda \varepsilon \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \varepsilon ⿺$ xúgıos in the first part of Jeremiah (and elsewhere in the Septuagint), while it is rendered by $\varphi \eta \sigma i v x$ úgıs almost exclusively in the second part of Jeremiah. According to Tov 1976, 69-
 all revised here, it is hard to see the reason for this revision.

The verb כרת is rendered three times in LXX by סı $\alpha$ ti̛ๆ
 with the noun $\delta \iota \downarrow \vartheta \eta$ ทr mologicae in the Hebrew text, since $\delta \iota ⿱ \vartheta \vartheta \eta \eta$ is a rendering of ברית. The NT has: $8 \sigma v v \tau \varepsilon \lambda \varepsilon ́ \sigma \omega, 9$ غ̇лоí $\sigma \alpha, 10 \delta \iota \alpha \vartheta \eta{ }^{\prime} \sigma 0 \mu \alpha \iota$, thus avoiding the figurae etymologicae in the first two examples, but following LXX in the last one. To avoid the figurae etymologicae could be regarded as an improvement of style, and a revision according to the Hebrew text, but in that case it is very puzzling that the last example was not revised. Note also that both $\sigma v v \tau \varepsilon \lambda \varepsilon \dot{\varepsilon} \omega$ and $\pi o t \varepsilon ́ \omega$ are used as renderings of כרת with $\delta \iota \alpha \vartheta \eta \eta \varkappa \eta$
 instead of $\tau \tilde{\varphi}$ ol' $^{\prime} x \omega$, which is the common construction with $\delta \iota \alpha \tau^{\prime} \vartheta \eta \mu \mathrm{t}$, cf. Helbing 1928, 241-242, is most likely due to the change of verb from

$\chi \alpha \dot{\alpha} \gamma \dot{\omega}$ in Hebrews instead of $x \alpha i \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \gamma^{\prime}$ to avoid the hiatus is most likely an attempt to improve the style. Perhaps this improvement was made by the author of Hebrews, since it is clear that he tried to avoid the hiatus; cf. BDR, $\$ 18$, and 486.2 .

The missing $\delta \dot{\sigma} \sigma \omega$ in Hebrews is problematic, since it leaves the participle $\delta \iota \delta o v{ }^{\prime}$ without construction. $\delta \iota \delta o{ }^{\prime} \varsigma \delta^{\prime} \dot{\sigma} \omega$ is the standard rendering of נתון נתתי, but MT has only נתתי; cf. Commentary on 31:33. סıסov́s could hardly be a rendering of נתתי, and it is most likely safe to assume that the text in Hebrews is the result of omitting $\delta \dot{\omega} \sigma \omega$, though the omission could have been made earlier, especially since $\delta \dot{\omega} \sigma \omega$ is omitted in the second quotation of this passage in 10:16 too. Perhaps $\delta \dot{\omega} \sigma \omega$ was omitted because someone noticed that there was only one verb form in the Hebrew text, but in that case it is very difficult to understand why someone would omit $\delta \dot{\omega} \sigma \omega$ instead of $\delta \iota \delta o$ ós.

Hebrews has ह̇лıү@á $\psi \omega$ instead of $\gamma \varrho \alpha \dot{\alpha} \psi \omega$. It should be noted, however, that both P ${ }^{46}$ and Vaitcanus have $\gamma \varrho \alpha \dot{\psi} \omega$. The prefix $̇ \pi i$ most likely has been supplied from the previous $\dot{\varepsilon} \pi i$ i.
 too insignificant to show any tendencies.

Some of the similarities between the Septuagint and the NT, which show that the text in Hebrews is taken from LXX and not from MT, are
 for הפרו (according to Schenker 2006, 21, the Vorlage of LXX was most likely הקימו לא), the Vorlage of LXX was not identical with MT, but cannot be identified), vópous for תורת (according to Schenker 2006, 34, the translator most
 Schenker 2006, 26-26, 31.

Heb. 10:16-17





The second quotation in 10:16 looks like a summary of the previous quotation, cf. Gheorghita 2003, 189n, but there are a few differences
which should be noted. Instead of $\tau \tilde{\omega}$ oiz $\underset{\varphi}{ }$ Iб@aŋ $\lambda$, which is the text of the Septuagint, Hebrews has л@òs $\alpha u ̛ \tau o u ́ s . ~ A p p a r e n t l y ~ t h e ~ p r e p o s i t i o n a l ~$ phrase with the pronoun is an equivalent of $\tau \tilde{\varrho}$ oiz $\kappa(\sigma \underline{\varrho} \alpha \eta \lambda$. Perhaps the author thought it was superfluous to repeat the quotation again, since it had just been quoted verbatim. $\lambda \varepsilon ́ \gamma \varepsilon \iota ~ x u ́ g \iota o s ~ a n d ~ \delta ı \delta o u ́ s ~ h a v e ~ b e e n ~$ discussed above. The order of $x \alpha \varrho \delta i \alpha s$ and $\tau \eta v \delta \dot{\alpha} v o \iota \alpha v$ is changed. The reason for this change can only be guessed, but it is clear that someone has changed the order of the text found in the Septuagint. The same is true of $\tau \tilde{\omega} v \alpha \mu \alpha \varrho \tau \iota \tilde{\omega} v$, which is placed before $\tau \tilde{\omega} v \alpha \dot{\alpha} v \mu \nu \tilde{\omega} v$, which seems to have replaced $\tau \alpha i ̃ \varsigma ~ \alpha \delta \delta ı x \varepsilon i \alpha u s . ~ F i n a l l y ~ t h e ~ v e r b ~ h a s ~ b e e n ~ c h a n g e d ~ f r o m ~$ the aorist subjunctive $\mu \nu \eta \sigma \vartheta \tilde{\omega}$ to the future indicative $\mu \nu \eta \sigma \vartheta \eta \sigma o \mu \alpha$, without any apparent change of meaning; cf. BDR, $\S 365$. Perhaps the easiest explanation for the differences is that the author consulted a written source for the first quotation and that he made the summary from memory.

TEXT AND TRANSLATION

## 1. Superscription (1:1-3)
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## 2. Lord Calls Ieremias (1:4-10)














## 3. The First Vision: A Rod of Nut-wood (1:11-12)





> 4. The Second Vision: A Boiling Cauldron, the Enemy from the North (1:13-2:3)






1. Superscription (1:1-3)

1 The word of GOD, which came to Ieremias, the son of Chelkias, of the priests, who was living in Anathōth in the land of Beniamein. 2 The word of GOD, which came to him in the days of Iōseia, son of Amōs, king of Iouda, in the thirteenth year of his reign.

3 And it came to be in the days of Iōakeim, son of Iōseia, king of Iouda, until the eleventh year of Sedekias, son of Iōseia, king of Iouda, until the captivity of Ierousalèm in the fifth month.

## 2. Lord Calls Ieremias (1:4-10)

4 And a word of LORD came to him, 5 'Before I formed you in the belly I have been knowing you, and before you came forth [of the womb] I had consecrated you, I had set you a prophet to nations.'

6 And I said, 'You, Who are, Master LORD, see, I do not know how to speak, for I am rather young.' 7 And LORD said to me, 'Do not say, "I am rather young", for you will go to all to whom I will send you, and according to all that I command you, you will speak, 8 do not be afraid from their face, for I am with you to deliver you', says LORD.

9 And LORD stretched out his hand to me, and touched my mouth, and LORD said to me, 10 'See, I have put my words into your mouth. See, I have appointed you today over nations and kingdoms to pluck up and to break down and to destroy and to rebuild and to plant.'

## 3. The First Vision: A Rod of Nut-wood (1:11-12)

11 And a word of LORD came to me saying, 'What do you see?' And I said, 'A rod of nut-wood.'

12 And LORD said to me, 'You have seen well, for I am watching over my words to do them.'

## 4. The Second Vision: A Boiling Cauldron, the Enemy from the North (1:13-2:3)

13 And a word of LORD came to me a second time saying, 'What do you see?' And I said, 'A boiling cauldron, and its face is from face of the north.' 14 And LORD said to me, 'From face of the north the evil will flame up on all the inhabitants of the land, 15 for see, I will call together all the kingdoms from the north of the earth,' says LORD, 'and they will

















## 5. Israel's Apostasy and Degeneration (2:4-30)
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come, and they will set each one his throne at the entrance of the gates of Ierousalēm and against all the walls which surround it and against all the cities of Iouda. 16 And I will speak to them with judgement about all their wickedness; that they have forsaken me, and sacrificed to alien gods, and worshipped the works of their own hands.

17 And you, gird up your waist, and stand up, and say all that I command you, do not be afraid from their face, nor be scared before them, for I am with you to deliver you, says LORD.

18 'See, I have set you, this very day, as a strong city, and as a strong wall of copper, for all the kings of Iouda and for its rulers and for the people of the land. 19 And they will fight you, and they will not at all be able against you, for I am with you to deliver you,' said LORD.

2:2 And he said, 'This says LORD, "I have remembered kindness of your youth and love of his maturity, that you followed the Holy of Israel," says LORD. 3 "Israel is holy to LORD, beginning of his produce. All who eat him will offend. Evil will come upon them, speaks LORD."'

## 5. Israel's Apostasy and Degeneration (2:4-30)

4 Hear a word of LORD, house of Iakōb and every family of house of Israel.

5 This says LORD, 'What offence did your fathers find in me, that they went far away from me, and went behind the vain and became vain? 6 And they did not say, "Where is LORD, who brought us up from the land of Egypt, who led us in the desert, in a land untrodden and impassable, in a land lacking water and without fruit, in a land in which nothing passed through in it, and no man lived there?" 7 And I brought you to Karmēlos, to eat its fruit and its good things. And you entered, and you defiled my land, and my inheritance you set into an abomination. 8 The priests did not say, "Where is LORD?", and those being devoted to the law did not know me, and the shepherds were acting impiously against me, and the prophets were prophesying by her, Baal, and went behind something useless. 9 Therefore, I will contest a legal case against you again [says LORD], and against the sons of your sons I will contest a legal case. 10 For go to the islands of Chettieim and see, and send to Kedar and consider carefully, and see if there has been such a thing, 11 if nations will exchange their gods. And these are no gods. But my people have changed their glory, from which they will not profit.'
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12 'Heaven was amazed at this and shuddered very much indeed', says LORD. 13 'For my people have committed two which also are evil: they have forsaken me, a fountain of water of life, and they have dug out broken cisterns for themselves, which will not be able to hold water.'

14 'Surely, Israel is no slave, is he, or is he a homeborn servant? Why has he become a prey? 15 Lions were roaring at him, and they gave their voice, those who turned his land into a waste. And his cities were broken down, because they were not inhabited. 16 And sons of Memphis and Taphnas knew you and they were mocking at you. Surely, that you have forsaken me did this to you, did it not?' 17 says LORD your GOD.

18 'And now, what do you have in common with the road of Egypt to drink the water of Gēōn? And what do you have in common with the road of the Assyrians to drink the water of rivers? 19 Your abandonment will correct you, and your wickedness will reprove you, and know and see that it is bitter for you to forsake me,' says LORD your GOD. 'And I had no delight in you,' says LORD your GOD, 20 'for of old you have broken your yoke, and torn asunder your bonds, and said, "I will not serve you, but I will go to every high hill and under every shady tree, there I will be spread out in my fornication." 21 Yet I had planted you, a fruitful vine, wholly genuine. How did you, the vine, the alien, turn into bitterness? 22 Even if you wash carefully with soap and multiply lye for yourself, you have been stained in your iniquities before me,' says LORD.

23 'How will you say, "I am not defiled, I have not gone behind her, Baal." See your ways in the "place full of dead men", and know what you have done. In the evening her voice cried out loud, 24 she extended her ways to the waters of a desert, by the desires of her soul she was being blown about, she was given away. Who will turn her back? All those who seek her will not become weary, in her humiliation they will find her. 25 Turn your foot away from the rough road and your throat from thirst. But she said, "I will be brave as a man." For she loved aliens, and she was walking behind them. 26 As the shame of a thief, when he is caught, so will the sons of Israel be ashamed; they and their kings, and their rulers and their priests and their prophets. 27 They said to the wood, "You are my father," and to the stone, "You gave birth to me", and they turned their backs to me and not their faces, and in the time of their evil they will say, "Arise and save us!" 28 And where are your gods, which you made for yourself? If they will arise and save in the time of your oppression? For according to the number of your cities were your gods, Iouda, and according to





## 6. Israēl's Folly (2:31-3:5)
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the number of Ierusalem's streets they have been sacrificing to her, Baal. 29 Why do you talk to me? You have all acted impiously, and you have acted lawlessly against me', says LORD. 30 'In vain I have struck your children; you have not received correction, a sword has devoured your prophets like a destroying lion, and you did not fear.'

## 6. Israel's Folly (2:31-3:5)

31 Hear a word of LORD! This says LORD, 'Surely, I did not become a desert to Israel or a barren land, did I? Why did my people say, "We will not be dominated, we will come to you no more?" 32 Surely, a bride will not forget her ornament and a virgin her breast-band, will she? But my people have forgotten me for days, of which there is no number. 33 What further good will you pursue on your ways to seek love? Not so, but you too have acted wickedly to defile your ways. 34 And on your hands blood of innocent souls has been found, not in housebreaking did they find them, but on every oak-tree. 35 And you said, "I am innocent, only may his anger turn away from me."'
'See, I contest a legal case against you when you say, "I have not sinned", 36 for you have been contemptuous indeed to repeat your ways. And you will be put to shame by Egypt just as you have been put to shame by Assour. 37 For you will go forth from there too, and your hands on your head, for LORD has removed your hope, and you will not prosper in it.

3:1 If a man sends away his wife, and she goes away from him and becomes to another man, surely, she will not returning return to him again, will she? Surely, that woman will being defiled be defiled, will she not? And you have fornicated with many shepherds, and you returned to me repeatedly.' says LORD. 2 'Lift your eyes straight and see, where did you not get sullied? By the ways you sat down for them like a deserted crow, and you have defiled the land with your fornication and with your wickedness. 3 And you had many shepherds as stumbling block to you, and you got the look of a whore, you became shameless with everyone. 4 Surely, you called me as house and father and chief of your virginity, did you not? 5 Surely, it will not remain forever or be preserved to victory, will it? See, you have spoken and done this evil, and you have been able.

## 7. Return to Me and I Will Heal You (3:6-20)
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## 7. Return to Me and I Will Heal You (3:6-20)

6 And LORD said to me in the days of Iōseios, the king, 'Have you seen what the settlement of Israel has done to me? They have gone to every high mountain and under every tree growing in woods, and they have fornicated there. 7 And I said after she had fornicated all this, "Return to me!" and she did not return. And faithless Iouda saw her faithlessness. 8 And I saw that-because of all that which [I also saw of all that which] she was caught for, by which she was committing adultery, she the settlement of Israel, I both sent her away and I gave her a letter of divorce in her hands-still faithless Iouda did not fear, and she went and she too fornicated. 9 And her fornication became nothing, and she committed adultery with the wood and with the stone. 10 And in all this she did not return to me, faithless Iouda, of all her heart, but lying.'

11 And LORD said to me, 'Israel has pronounced his soul innocent from faithless Iouda. 12 Go and read these words towards the north, and you will say, "Return to me, you settlement of Israel,"' says LORD, 'and I will not set my face fast on you, for I am merciful,' says LORD, 'and I will not keep my wrath against you for ever. 13 Only, know your iniquity, for against LORD your GOD you have acted impiously, and spread your ways to aliens under every tree growing in woods, but my voice you have not obeyed,' says LORD.

14 'Turn round, you backsliding sons, says LORD, for I will rule you, and I will take you, one from a city and two from a family and bring you to Seiōn. 15 And I will give you shepherds according to my heart, and they will tend you tending with knowledge. 16 And it will be, if you multiply and increase in the land in those days,' says LORD, 'they will say no more, "The ark of the covenant of the holy of Israel," it will not come up to heart, nor will it be mentioned by name nor will it be considered, and it will not be made again.

17 In those days and at that time they will call Ierousalem "The throne of LORD", and all the nations will be gathered to her, and they will no longer walk behind the counsels of their wicked heart. 18 In those days house of Iouda will assemble to the house of Israel, and they will come together from a land of the north and from all the countries to the land which I gave their fathers as an inheritance.' 19 And I said, 'May it be, LORD.' 'For I will turn you into nations, and give you a chosen land, an inheritance of GOD, Almighty over nations', and I said: 'You will call me "FATHER"
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## 8. Repent or be Punished (3:21-4:4)
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## 9. The War Is Near (4:5-18)










and you will not turn away from me. 20 Only, as a woman is faithless to the one who is with her, so house of Israel has been faithless to me', says LORD.

## 8. Repent or Be Punished (3:21-4:4)

21 A voice of bewailing and of supplication was heard from the lips of the sons of Israel, for they have wronged in their ways, they have forgotten their holy GOD. 22 'Turn round, you round-turning sons, and I will heal your wounds.' 'See, we will be your slaves, for you are LORD our GOD. 23 Truly, the hills and the power of the mountains have become into a lie. Only, by LORD our GOD is the salvation of Israel. 24 But the shame has consumed the labours of our fathers from our youth, their sheep and their calves and their sons and their daughters. 25 We have lain down in our shame, and our dishonour has covered us, for against our GOD we and our fathers have been sinning from our youth until this day, and we have not obeyed the voice of LORD our GOD.'

4:1 'If Israel returns,' says LORD, 'he will return to me, if he takes away his abominations from his mouth and if he fears from [my] face 2 and if he swears, "LORD lives," with truth, in judgement and righteousness, the nations will both bless in him, and in him they will praise GOD in Ierousalēm.' 3 For this says LORD to the men of Iouda, and to the inhabitants of Ierousalēm, 'Renew new-ones for yourselves, and do not sow among thorns.' 4 Circumcise yourselves to your GOD, and circumcise your hardness of heart, men of Iouda and inhabitants of Ierousalēm, lest his anger will come forth as fire, and it will burn, and there will be no one who will quench it from the face of the wickedness of your practices.'

## 9. The War Is Near (4:5-18)

5 'Announce in Iouda, and let it be heard in Ierousalēm, say, "Sound a trumpet in the land!", cry aloud, say, "Gather yourselves, and let us go into the fortified cities!" 6 Lift up, and flee to Seiōn, hasten, do not stand still, for I will bring evil from the north and a great destruction! 7 A lion has gone up from its den utterly destroying the nations, he has risen, and he has come forth from his place to put the land into desolation, and cities will be torn down, because they are not inhabited. 8 Because of this, gird yourselves with sackcloth and mourn and wail, for the anger of LORD has not turned away from you.'
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## 10. A Vision of Misery and Destruction (4:19-26)














9 'And it will be in that day', says LORD, 'the heart of the king will perish and the heart of the rulers, and the priests will be confused, and the prophets will be astonished.'

10 And I said, 'Master LORD, have you deceiving deceived this people and Ierousalēm, saying, "There will be peace", and see, the sword has reached right to their soul?'

11 'At that time they will say to this people and to Ierousalēm, "A SPIRIT of deception is in the desert." Way of the daughter of my people does not lead to what is clean nor to what is holy, 12 a SPIRIT of completion will come to me.'
'But now I speak judgements against them. 13 See, he will ascend like a cloud, and his chariots like a storm, his horses are swifter than eagles.'
'Woe to us, for we are miserable.'
14 'Wash your heart from wickedness, Ierousalēm, that you may be saved. How long will your thoughts of grief be in you? 15 For a voice of one announcing will come from Dan, and grief will be heard from the mountain of Ephraim. 16 Remind of the nations, "See, they have come." Announce in Ierousalēm, "Bands come from a land far away and they have given their voice against the cities of Iouda." 17 Like keepers of a field they have come against her round about, for you have neglected me', says LORD. 18 'Your ways and your practices have done this to you, this wickedness of yours, for it is bitter, for it has reached your heart.'

## 10. A Vision of Misery and Destruction (4:19-26)

19 I have a pain in my stomach and in the senses of my heart, my soul quivers, my heart is torn, I will not be silent, for my soul has heard a sound of a trumpet, a cry of war. 20 And it calls for misery, ruin, for the whole land is miserable, suddenly the tent is miserable, my curtains have been torn asunder. 21 How long will I see fugitives, and hear the sound of trumpets? 22 For the leaders of my people did not know me, they are foolish sons and not wise, they are wise to do evil, but they did not know to do right. 23 I looked on the earth, and see, nothing, and to the sky, and its lights were not [there]. 24 I saw the mountains, and they were trembling, and all the hills in commotion. 25 I looked, and see, there was no man, and all the birds of the sky were being terrified. 26 I saw, and see, Karmēlos was desert, and all the



## 11. Destruction, a Consequence of Being Unfaithful (4:27-6:9)
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cities were burnt by the face of LORD, and by the face of the fury of his anger they had vanished.

## 11. Destruction, a Consequence of Being Unfaithful (4:27-6:9)

27 This says LORD, 'The whole land will be desert, but I will not make a full end. 28 Because, for this let the land mourn, and let the sky become dark above! For I have spoken and I will not change my mind, I have set off, and I will not turn away from the land.' 29 Every country has withdrawn from the sound of horseman and drawn bows. They have crawled into the caves, and have hidden themselves in the groves, and have gone up on the rocks. Every city has been abandoned, no man was living in them. 30 And you, what will you do? Even if you dress in scarlet and adorn yourself with golden adornments, even if you paint your eyes with stibium, your adornment is in vain, your lovers have rejected you; they seek your life. 31 For I have heard a sound like the sound of a woman with birth-pains, the sound of your groaning like the groaning of a woman having her first child, the sound of the daughter of Seion will fade away, and she will let her hands fall. Woe is me, for my soul is fainting because of those killed. 5:1 'Run about in the streets of Ierousalēm, and see, and know, and search in her broad places, if you can find, if there is anyone who does justice and seeks faithfulness, and I will be merciful towards them', says LORD.

2 'LORD lives', they say. Do they, because of this, not swear with lies?
3 LORD, your eyes are towards faithfulness. You have whipped them, and they have not grieved. You have put an end to them, and they did not want to receive correction. They have made their faces harder than a rock, and they did not want to return. 4 And I said, 'Perhaps they are poor, for they have not been able, for they did not know way of LORD and judgement of GOD. 5 I will go to the mighty and say to them, for they have known way of LORD and judgement of GOD.' And see, they have also broken a yoke, they have broken away bonds. 6 Therefore, a lion from the thicket has struck them, and a wolf has destroyed them until the houses, and a leopard has watched their cities, everyone who goes out of them will be hunted, for they have multiplied their impiety, they have prevailed in their acts of turning away. 7 'For which of these shall I become merciful to you? Your sons have deserted me, and they were swearing in those who are no gods, and I have fed them, and they were committing adultery, and they were lodging in the houses of prostitutes. 8 They have become
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horses, mad after females, each one was neighing for his neighbour's wife. 9 It cannot be that I will not visit them,' says LORD, 'and that my soul will not be avenged in a nation such as this, can it? 10 Go up to her battlements, and break them down, but do not make a full end. Leave her undergirding support, for they belong to LORD. 11 For breaking they broke faith with me', says LORD, 'the house of Israel and the house of Iouda.' 12 They lied to their own LORD and said 'That is not so. Evil will not reach us, and we will not see sword and hunger.' 13 Our prophets became into wind, and word of LORD was not in them. So shall it be for them.

14 Therefore, this says LORD Almighty, 'Because you have spoken that word, see, I have put my words in your mouth, a fire, and this people as wood, and it will devour them.'

15 'See, I bring upon you a nation from far away, house of Israel', says LORD, 'a nation of which you will not hear the voice of its tongue. 16 All are mighty. And they will devour your harvest 17 and your bread, and they will devour your sons and your daughters, and they will devour your sheep and your calves, and they will devour your vineyards and your fig groves and your olive groves. And they will thresh the cities, your strong cities, in which you have put trust in them, by the sword.'

18 'And it will be in those days', says LORD your GOD, 'surely I will not make a full end of you. 19 And it will be, when you say, "For what reason did LORD our GOD do all this to us?" And you will say to them, "Because you served alien gods in your land, so you will serve aliens in a land that is not yours."

20 Announce this to the house of Iakōb and let it be heard in Iouda.
21 Hear indeed this, you foolish and senseless people. They have eyes, but they do not see, they have ears, but they do not hear. 22 It cannot be that you will not fear me', says LORD, 'and that you will not fear from my face, can it? I who have made the sand a border for the sea, an everlasting ordinance, and it will not pass over it, and it will be stirred up, and it will not be able, and its waves will roar, and will not pass over it. 23 But this people had an insubordinate and disobedient heart, and they have turned away and they have gone away. 24 And they did not say in their heart, "Let us indeed fear LORD our GOD, who gives us early and late rain in the time of fulfilment of ordinance of harvest, and he preserved it for us."'
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## 12. Further Judgement (6:10-15)






25 'Your lawless deeds have turned these away, and your sins have removed the good from you, 26 for impious persons were found among my people, and they set traps to destroy men, and they were capturing them. 27 Just as a set trap, full of birds, so are their houses full of deceit. Therefore, they have become great, and they have become rich, 28 and they have transgressed judgement, they did not judge the judgement of the orphan, and the judgement of the widow they were never judging. 29 It cannot be that I will not visit them', says LORD, 'and that my soul will not be avenged in a nation such as this one, can it??
30 There have been consternation and horrible deeds in the land. 31 The prophets prophesy injustice, and the priests have applauded, and my people have loved it this way. And what shall you do to that, which will come after this?

6:1 Prevail, you sons of Beniamein from the midst of Ierousalēm, and sound the trumpet in Thekoue, and hoist a flag over Baiththakarma, for evil peeps out from the north, and a great destruction takes place, 2 and your exaltation will be taken away, daughter Seiōn. 3 Shepherds and their flocks will come to her, and they will pitch tents against her round about, and they will tend each one his flock with his hand.

4 Prepare yourselves for war against her! Rise up, and let us go up against her at noon! Woe to us, for the day is far spent, for the shadows of the day fade away. 5 Rise up, and let us go up against her by night, and let us destroy her foundations! 6 For this says LORD, 'Cut down her trees, pour out an army against Ierousalēm. O false city! Complete oppression is within her. 7 As a cistern cools water, so her evil cools. Impiety and misery will be heard in her before her face continuously.' 8 'You will be corrected with toil and whip, Ierousalèm, lest my soul departs from you, lest I make you an untrodden land, which was not inhabited.'

9 For this says LORD, ‘Glean, glean like a vine the rest of Israel. Return as one who gathers in his basket!'

## 12. Further Judgement (6:10-15)

10 To whom shall I speak and testify, and he will hear? See, their ears are uncircumcised, and they will not be able to hear. See, the word of LORD has become a reproach to them. Surely they will not desire it. 11 'I have satisfied my fury, and I have held back, and I have not put an end












## 13. A Refusal to Be Warned (6:16-18)








## 14. Rejection, the Evil Fruit of Disobedience (6:19-30)
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to them. I will pour out on children from without [and] on the gathering of young men at the same time, for man and woman will be captured, an old man with one who is full of days. 12 And their houses will be turned over to others, their fields and wives together, for I will stretch out my hand against the inhabitants of this land', says LORD. 13 'For from their small and to the great all have fulfilled lawless deeds, from the priest and to the false prophet all have made falsities. 14 And they were healing the wound of my people, disdaining and saying, "Peace, peace." And where is peace? 15 They have been put to shame, because they have failed, and yet they were not ashamed as [men] being ashamed, and they did not get to know their dishonour. Therefore, they will fall in their fall, and in the time of visitation they will perish', said LORD.

## 13. A Refusal to Be Warned (6:16-18)

16 This says LORD, 'Stand by the ways, and see, and ask for ancient paths of LORD, and see which is the good way, and walk on it, and you will find purification for your souls. And they said, "We will not go." 17 I have set watchmen over you. Listen to the sound of the trumpet! And they said, "We will not listen." 18 Therefore, the nations have listened and those who were tending their flocks.'

## 14. Rejection, the Evil Fruit of Disobedience (6:19-30)

19 Listen you land, see, I bring upon this people evil, the fruit of their turning away, for to my words they have paid no attention, and my law they have rejected.

20 Why do you bring me frankincense from Saba and cinnamon from a land far away? Your burnt offerings are not acceptable, and your sacrifices did not please me.

21 Therefore, this says LORD, 'See, I give weakness to this people, and fathers and sons will be weak together, neighbour and his companion will perish. 22 This says LORD, 'See, a people comes from the north, and nations will be roused from the end of the earth, 23 they will grasp bow and spear, it is reckless, and it will show no mercy, the sound of it is like the surging sea, it will draw up for battle on horses and chariots like a fire against you, daughter Seiōn.'
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## 15. Warning, Disobedience and Punishment (7:2-20)
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24 We have heard their hearsay, our hands have become feeble, anguish has taken hold of us, pains as of a woman in childbirth. 25 Do not go out into the field and do not walk on the roads, for a sword of the enemies dwells all around! 26 You, daughter of my people, gird yourself with sackcloth, besprinkle yourself with ashes, make for yourself a mourning as for someone beloved, a pitiable lamentation, for suddenly misery will come upon you!

27 I have given you as a tester among tested nations, and you will know me when I test their way. 28 They are all insubordinate, walking crookedly, copper and iron, they are all corrupted. 29 The bellow has failed from the fire, the lead has failed, the silversmith works his silver in vain, their wickedness has not melted. 30 Call them 'disapproved silver', for LORD has disapproved of them.

## 15. Warning, Disobedience and Punishment (7:2-20)

2 Hear a word of LORD, all Ioudaia! 3 This says LORD, GOD of Israel, 'Straighten your ways and your practices, and I will settle you in this place. 4 Do not trust in yourselves, in words which are lies, for they will not at all profit you, saying, "LORD's temple, this is LORD's temple." 5 For if straightening you straighten your ways and your practices, and doing you do judgement between a man and between his neighbour, 6 and do not oppress newcomer and orphan and widow, and do not shed innocent blood in this place, and do not walk behind alien gods to your own hurt, 7 I will also settle you in this place, in a land which I gave to your fathers from old and forever. 8 But if you have put your trust in words which are lies, by which you will not profit, 9 and murder and commit adultery and steal and swear falsely, and have been burning incense to her, Baal, and have been going behind alien gods, whom you do not know, 10 so that it has been evil for you, and have come and stood before me in the house where my name is called upon it, and said, "We have abstained from doing all these abominations."'

11 'Surely, my house is not a cave of robbers, is it, where my name is called upon it there before you? And I, see, I have seen', says LORD, 12 'for you have gone to my place, the one in Sēlō, where I made my name dwell there formerly, and see what I have done to it from the face of evil of my people Israel.'
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16. Apostasy, Judgement and Lamentation (7:21-9:22)
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13 'And now, because you have done all these deeds, and I have spoken to you and you have not listened to me, and I have called you and you have not answered, 14 I will also do to the house on which my name is called upon it, in which you have trusted in it, and against the place which I gave to you and your fathers, as I did to Sēlō. 15 And I will throw you away from my face as I have thrown away your brothers, all the seed of Ephraim. 16 And you shall not pray for this people, and you shall not request me to have mercy and you shall not pray, and you shall not come to me for them, for I will not listen. 17 Or do you not see what they do in the cities of Iouda and in the streets of Ierousalēm? 18 Their sons collect wood and their fathers light the fire and their women knead dough to make chawns to the army of heaven, and they have offered drinkofferings to alien gods, in order to provoke me to anger. 19 Surely, they do not provoke me to anger, do they?' says LORD, 'but surely themselves, do they not, so that their faces are ashamed?' 20 Therefore, this says LORD, 'See, my fury and anger are poured out upon this place and upon the men and upon the cattle and upon every tree of their field and upon the fruit of the land and it will burn and it will [not] be quenched.'

## 16. Apostasy, Judgement and Lamentation (7:21-9:22)

21 This says LORD, 'Gather your burnt offerings with your sacrifices and eat flesh, 22 for I did not speak to your fathers and I did not command them in the day in which I brought them up from the land of Egypt, concerning burnt offerings and sacrifices! 23 But I commanded them this word saying, "Hear my voice and I will be for you into GOD and you will be for me into a people, and walk in all my ways, which I will command you, that it may be well with you." 24 And they did not listen to me, and their ear paid no attention, but they walked in the counsels of their evil heart and they have become behind and not in front. 25 From the day that their fathers went out of the land of Egypt and until this day and I have sent to you all my slaves, the prophets, by day and early in the morning, and I have sent. 26 And they did not listen to me and their ear paid no attention, and they hardened their neck more than their fathers. 28 And you will say this word to them, "This is the nation which did not listen to the voice of LORD nor did it receive correction, faithfulness has failed from their mouth."'

29 Cut short the hair of your head, and throw it away, and take up a lamentation on your lips, for LORD has disapproved and rejected the generation which was doing that. 30 'For the sons of Iouda have done
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what is evil before me', says LORD. 'They have set their abominations in the house where my name is called upon it, to defile it. 31 And they have built the altar of Tafeth, which is in the ravine of son of Ennom, to burn their sons and their daughters in fire, which I did not command them, and not devise in my heart. 32 Therefore, see, days come', says LORD, 'and they will not say again, "An altar of Tafeth and a ravine of son of Ennom", but "A ravine of the killed" and they will bury in Tafeth, because there is no room. 33 And the dead of this people will become into food for the birds of the sky and for the beasts of the earth, and there will be no one who scares away. 34 And I will dissolve from the town of Iouda and from the streets of Ierousalēm voice of rejoicing people and voice of glad people, voice of bridegroom and voice of bride, for the whole land will become into a desolation. 8:1 At that time', says LORD, 'they will carry out the bones of the kings of Iouda and the bones of the rulers and the bones of the priests and the bones of prophets and the bones of the inhabitants of Ierousalēm from their graves. 2 And they will dry at the sun and the moon and at all the stars and at the whole army of heaven, which they have loved and which they have served and which they have walked behind them and which they have been cleaving to. And those who have worshipped them will not be mourned and they will not be buried and they will become into an example on the face of the earth. 3 For they have chosen death instead of life, even to all those left, who have been left behind from that generation on every place where I will expel them there.' 4 For this says LORD, 'It cannot be that he who falls will not rise and that he who turns away will not turn back, can it? 5 Why has this my people turned away in a shameless act of turning away and strengthened themselves in their inclination, and why did they not want to return?'

6 'Give ear indeed and hear: they will not speak thus, there is no man who repents from his wickedness saying, "What have I done?" The runner has failed from his track, like a sweating horse in his neighing. 7 Even the asida in the sky knows its time, turtledove and swallow of the field, sparrows observe the times of their coming, but my people do not know the judgements of LORD.'

8 'How can you say, "We are wise and law of LORD is with us"? A false pen has become a vanity to scribes. 9 Wise men have been put to shame, and they have been terrified, and they have been caught, for they have disapproved of the law of LORD. What wisdom is in them? 10 Therefore, I will give their wives to others and their fields to the heirs. 13 And they
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will gather their fruits', says LORD. 'There is no grape in the vines, and there is no fig on the fig trees, and the leaves have fallen off'. 14 'For what reason are we sitting? Gather and let us go into the strong cities and let us be thrown away, for GOD has thrown us away and he has given us gallwater to drink, for we have sinned against him. 15 We gathered for peace and it was no good, for a time of healing and see, trouble. 16 From Dan we will hear a sound of swiftness of his horses. At the sound of neighing from his horse-exercise the whole land shook, and he will come and he will devour the land and all that fills it, town and its inhabitants.' 17 'For see, I send killing snakes to you, which cannot be charmed, and they will bite you, 18 incurably, with the pain of your confused heart.' 19 'See, a sound of a cry of daughter of my people from a land far away, "It cannot be that LORD is not in Seion and that no king is there, can it?"' 'Why did they provoke me to anger with their carved images and with alien vanities?' 20 'Summer is gone and harvest is passed and we have not been saved.'

21 For a wound of daughter of my people I have been saddened, by perplexity pains as of a woman in childbirth have overcome me. 22 It cannot be that there is no resin in Galaad and that no doctor is there, can it? Why did the healing of daughter of my people not take place?

9:1 'Who will give water to my head and a fountain of tears to my eyes? And I will bewail my people day and night, the wounded of daughter of my people. 2 Who would give me a most remote lodge in the desert? And I will leave my people and I will go away from them, for they all commit adultery, an assembly of faithless men. 3 And they have drawn their tongue like a bow, lie and not faithfulness has prevailed in the land, for they have gone from evil to evil, and they have not known me. 4 Beware, each one of his neighbour, and do not trust in your own brothers, for every brother will heel treacherously with his heel, and every friend will walk deceitfully. 5 Every one will mock at his friend, they will speak no truth at all, their tongue has learned to speak lies, they have wronged and they have not ceased to turn. 6 Usury upon usury, deceit upon deceit. They did not want to know me.'

7 Therefore, this says LORD, 'See, I will try them by fire and I will test them, for I will do it from the face of the wickedness of daughter of my people. 8 Their tongue is a wounding missile, the words of their mouth are deceitful, it speaks peace to its neighbour and in itself it has the enmity. 9 It cannot be that I will not visit them', says LORD, 'and that
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## 17. Boast in Lord. Judgement on the Circumcised (9:23-9:26)




my soul will not be avenged in a people such as this one, can it? 10 Take up a mourning for the mountains and a lamentation for the paths of the desert, for they have faded away, because there were no men, they did not hear the sound of creature, from the birds of the sky and even to the cattle they were confused, they are gone. 11 And I will give Ierousalēm into an exile and into a dwelling place for serpents, and the cities of Iouda I will set into a vanishment, because they are not inhabited. 12 Who is the understanding man and let him understand this, and for whom is a word of mouth of LORD for him? Let him declare for you for what reason the land has perished, it has been kindled like a desert, because it is not travelled through.' 13 And LORD said to me, 'Because they deserted my law, which I gave before their face, and did not listen to my voice, 14 but went behind the pleasures of their evil heart and behind the idols, which their fathers taught them.'

15 Therefore, this says LORD, GOD of Israel, 'See, I will feed them with distress and I will give them gall-water to drink, 16 and $I$ will scatter them among the nations, to those whom they and their fathers did not know, and I will send the sword upon them until I have consumed them with it.'

17 This says LORD, 'Call the lamenting women and let them come, and send to the wise women and let them speak. 18 And let them take up a lamentation for you, and let your eyes bring down tears, and let your eyelids flow with water, 19 for a sound of a pitiable one has been heard in Seiōn: "How have we been miserable? We have been very ashamed, for we have deserted the land and we have thrown away our tents."'

20 'Hear indeed, women, a word of GOD and let your ears receive words from his mouth. And teach your daughters a dirge, and a woman her neighbour woman a lamentation, 21 for death has ascended through your windows, it has come into your land to destroy children from without and young men from the streets. 22 And the dead of the men will become into an example on the face of the plain of your land and like grass behind the harvester and there will be no one who gathers.'

## 17. Boast in Lord. Judgement on the Circumcised (9:23-9:26)

23 This says LORD, 'Let not the wise boast in his wisdom, and let not the strong boast in his strength, and let not the rich boast in his riches! 24 But let the boaster boast in this: to understand and know that I
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## 18. Idols Are Vain, Lord Is the Creator (10:1-25)




























am LORD, who does mercy and justice and righteousness on earth, for in these is my will', says LORD. 25 'See, days are coming', says LORD, 'and I will visit all who have circumcised their foreskins; 26 Egypt and Idoumaia and Edōm and the sons of Ammōn and the sons of Mōab and everyone who shaves all around what is in his face, those who inhabit the desert, for all the nations are uncircumcised in flesh and all the house of Israel are uncircumcised in their hearts.'

## 18. Idols Are Vain, Lord Is the Creator (10:1-25)

1 Hear the word of LORD, which he spoke to you, house of Israel. 2 This says LORD, 'Do not learn according to the ways of the nations, and do not fear from the signs of heaven, for they fear them by their faces. 3 For the statutes of the nations are vain, it is tree cut out of the thicket, a work of a carpenter and a molten work, 4 beautified with silver and gold, they have firmed them with hammers and nails, they will put them and they will not be moved, 5 a it is worked silver, they will not go, 9 attached silver will come from Tharseis, gold of Mōphaz, and a handicraft of goldsmiths, all are work of craftsmen, they will clothe them in blue and purple.

5b Being lifted up they will be lifted up, for they will not get up. Do not fear them, for they will do no evil at all, and no good is in them. 11 Thus you shall say to them, "Let Gods, who have not made the heaven and the earth, perish from the earth and from beneath this heaven."'

12 LORD, who made the earth by his strength, he who has straightened up the world by his wisdom, and by his insight, he has stretched out the heaven, 13 and a mass of water in heaven, and he has brought up clouds from the end of the earth, he has made lightning into rain, and he has brought out light from his treasuries. 14 Every man has become foolish, without knowledge, every goldsmith has been put to shame by his carved images, for he has cast false things, there is no SPIRIT in them, 15 they are vain, works laughed down, at the time of their visitation they will perish. 16 The portion of Iakōb is not of such a kind, for he who has formed everything, he is his inheritance, LORD is his name, 17 he has gathered your possession from without, you who dwell among the chosen.

18 For this says LORD, 'See, I trip up the inhabitants of this land by anguish, that your bruise will be found. 19 Woe for your wound, your bruise is painful, and I have said: "Truly, this is your wound and it
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## 19. Covenant Broken. Lord's Judgement (11:1-14)
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has overtaken you, 20 your tent has become miserable, it is ruined, and all your curtains have been torn asunder, my sons and my sheep are no more, there is no more a place for my tent, a place for my curtains."' 21 For the shepherds have acted foolishly and they have not sought LORD. Therefore, the whole pasture did not understand, and they have been scattered.

22 A sound of rumour, see, it comes and a great earthquake from a land of the north to turn the cities of Iouda into a vanishment and into a restingplace for sparrows.

23 I know, LORD, that the way of a man is not at all his own, neither will a man go and keep his course straight. 24 Correct us, LORD, but with justice and not in anger, lest you make us few. 25 Pour out your anger on the nations, who do not know you and on the families, who did not call on your name, for they have devoured Iakōb and they have consumed him and they have desolated his pasture.

## 19. Covenant Broken. Lord's Judgement (11:1-14)

1 The word, which came
from LORD to Ieremias
saying,
2 'Hear the words of this covenant, and you will say to the men of Iouda and to the inhabitants in Ierousalēm, 3 and you will say to them, "This says LORD, the GOD of Israel, 'Cursed is the man who will not listen to the words of this covenant, 4 which I commanded your fathers in the day in which I brought them up from the land of Egypt, from the iron furnace saying, "Hear my voice and do everything which I will command you and you will be for me into a people and I will be for you into GOD, 5 that I may establish my oath, which I have sworn to your fathers, to give them a land flowing with milk [and] honey, as this day."'"' And I answered and said, 'May it be, LORD'.

6 And LORD said to me, 'Read these words in the towns of Iouda and outside of Ierousalēm saying, "Hear the words of this covenant and do them."' 8 And they did not do [them].

9 And LORD said to me, 'A band is found among the men of Iouda and among the inhabitants of Ierousalēm. 10 They have turned themselves to the iniquities of their fathers, those before, who did not want to listen to my words. And see, they walk behind alien gods to serve them. And













## 20. Consequences of Judgement. Ieremias' <br> Prayer, Lord's Answer (11:15-23)
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house of Israel and house of Iouda have scattered my covenant, which I covenanted with their fathers.' 11 Therefore, this says LORD, 'See, I bring evil on this people, of which they will not be able to come out of it. And they will cry to me, and I will not listen to them. 12 And cities of Iouda and the inhabitants of Ierousalēm will walk and they will cry to the gods to which they burn incense to them. Surely, they will not save them in the time of their evil, will they? 13 For according to the number of your cities were your gods, Iouda, and according to the number of ways out of Ierousalēm you set up altars to sacrifice to her, Baal. 14 And you shall not pray for this people and you shall not request for them in your supplication and in your prayer, for I will not listen in the time in which they call on me, in the time of their oppression.'

## 20. Consequences of Judgement. Ieremias' Prayer, Lord's Answer (11:15-23)

15 Why has she, the beloved, made an abomination in my house? Surely, prayers and holy flesh will not take away your wickedness from you, will they, neither will you escape by these, will you? 16 LORD has called your name a beautiful olive-tree with a shadowy appearance, at the sound of its circumcision a fire was kindled against it, your anguish will be great, its branches have become useless. 17 And LORD, who planted you, has spoken evil against you, because of the wickedness of house of ISRAEL and house of Iouda, which they have done to themselves to provoke me to anger by their burning incense to her, Baal.
18 LORD, let me know, and I will know! Then I saw their practices. 19 But I, like an innocent lamb being brought to slaughter, I did not know. They planned a wicked plan against me, saying, 'Come let us put wood into his bread and let us destroy him from the land of living, and his name will not be remembered at all any more. 20 LORD, you who judge righteously and approve kidneys and hearts, let me see your vengeance from them, for to you I have revealed my plea. 21 Therefore, this says LORD against the men of Anathōth, who seek my soul, who say, 'You will not at all prophesy in the name of LORD, but if you will, you will die by our hands.' 22 'See, I will visit them; their young men will die by the sword, and their sons and their daughters will end by famine. 23 And there will be no remnant of them, for I will bring evil upon the inhabitants of Anathōth in the year of their visitation.'

## 21. Ieremias' Complaint, God's Comments and Measures (12:1-17)
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## 21. Ieremias' Complaint, God's Comments and Measures (12:1-17)

1 'LORD, you are righteous, for I will defend myself before you. Only, I will speak judgements against you. Why is it that the way of impious men prospers, all who are faithless doing faithless deeds have flourished, 2 you planted them and they took root, they had children and they bore fruit, you are near in their mouth and far from their kidneys. 3 And you know me, LORD, you have approved of my heart before you. Purify them for the day of their slaughter. 4 How long will the land mourn and all the grass of the field be dried up for the wickedness of those who live in it. Cattle and birds have vanished, for they said, 'GOD will not see our ways'. 5 Your feet run and exhaust you. How will you prepare yourself for horses? And you did not trust in the land of peace. How will you do at a snorting of the Jordan? 6 For both your brothers and the house of your father, these too, have been faithless to you, and they themselves have cried out from behind you, they have gathered together. Do not put your trust within them, because they will speak good to you!

7 'I have deserted my house, I have left my inheritance, I have given my beloved soul in the hands of its enemies. 8 My inheritance has become to me like a lion in a thicket, it has given its voice against me. Therefore, I conceived a hatred of it. 9 Surely, my inheritance is not a cave of a hyena to me or a cave around it, is it? Go, gather all the beasts of the field, and let them come to eat it!'

10 'Many shepherds have destroyed my vineyard, they have stained my portion, they have turned my desired portion into an impassable desert. 11 It was turned into a vanishment of a destruction. Because of me it has vanished by vanishment, for there is no man who is putting in heart. 12 To every passage in the desert they came suffering, for a sword of LORD will devour from an end of the [land to an end of the] land, there is no peace to all flesh. 13 Sow wheat and reap thorn! Their lots will not profit them. Be ashamed from your boasting, from your insult before LORD! 14 For this says LORD concerning all the wicked neighbours who touch my inheritance, which I have allotted to my people Israel. "See, I will draw them away from their land, and Iouda I will cast out from their midst. 15 And it will be after I have cast them out, I will turn them back and I will have mercy on them and I will settle them, each one in his inheritance and each one in his land. 16 And it will be,





## 22. The Linen Girdle and the Wineskin (13:1-14)
































if they having learnt learn the way of my people so that they swear by my name, 'LORD lives', as they have taught my people to swear by her, Baal, it will also be built in the midst of my people. 17 But if they will not return, I will also remove that people by removal and destruction."'

## 22. The Linen Girdle and the Wineskin (13:1-14)

1 This says LORD, 'Go and get yourself a linen girdle and put it around your waist. And it shall not come into water.' 2 And I got the girdle according to the word of LORD, and I put it around my waist. 3 And a word of LORD came to me saying, 4 'Take the girdle, the one around your waist, and get up and go to the Euphrates, and hide it there in the hole of the rock!' 5 And I went there and I hid it in the Euphrates as LORD had commanded me.

6 And it came to be after many days and LORD said to me, 'Get up and go to the Euphrates, and take from there the girdle, which I have commanded you to hide there!' 7 And I went to the river Euphrates, and I dug, and I took the girdle from the place, where I had buried it there. And see, it was ruined, it will not at all be used for anything.

8 And a word of LORD came to me saying, 'This says LORD, 9 "Thus I will ruin the pride of Iouda and the pride of Ierousalēm, 10 this great pride, those who do not want to obey my words and who have gone behind alien gods to serve them and worship them. And they will be just like this girdle, which will not be used for anything. 11 For just as the girdle is affixed to the waist of a man, so I have affixed the house of Israel to myself and the whole house of Iouda to become for me a famous people and a praise and a glory. And they did not listen to me."'

12 And you will say to this people, 'Every wineskin will be filled with wine.' And it will be if they say to you, 'It cannot be that, having got to know we will not know that every wineskin will be filled with wine, can it?' 13 And you will say to them, 'This says LORD, "See, I fill the inhabitants of this land and their kings, the sons of Daueid who sit on their throne, and the priests and the prophets and Iouda and all the inhabitants of Ierousalēm after you what. 14 And I will scatter them, a man and his brother and their fathers and their sons together. I will not have affection," says LORD, "and I will not spare, and I will not have compassion from their destruction."'

## 23. Humble Yourselves or Get Punished (13:15-27)



























## 24. Drought, Destruction, and a Promise of Future Salvation (14:1-16:18)
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## 23. Humble Yourselves or Get Punished (13:15-27)

15 Hear and give ear and do not be arrogant, for LORD has spoken. 16 Give glory to LORD your GOD before it becomes dark and before your feet stumble on dark mountains, and you will wait till light, and there will be shadow of death, and they will be put into darkness. 17 If you do not listen, your soul will cry secretly from the face of the pride, and your eyes will bring down tears, for the flock of LORD has been broken. 18 Say to the king and to those in power, 'Humble yourselves and sit down, for a crown of your glory has been torn down from your head.' 19 Towns, those towards the south, have been shut up, and there was no one who opened, Iouda was sent into exile, they have completed a complete exile. 20 Lift up your eyes, Ierousalēm, and see those who come from the north. Where is the flock, which was given to you, sheep of your glory? 21 What will you say, when they visit you? And you have taught them lessons to rule over you. Surely, pains will take hold of you as of a woman in childbirth, will they not? 22 And if you say in your heart, 'Why has this happened to me?' Because of the mass of your iniquity your back parts have been uncovered that your heels became an example of shame. 23 If an Ethiopian will change his skin and a leopard its decoration, then you will also be able to do good, though you have learned the evil. 24 And I have spread them about as brushwood carried away by the wind into the desert. 25 This is your lot and portion of your disobeying me', says LORD, 'as you have forgotten me and set your hopes in lies. 26 And I will reveal what is behind you before your face, and your dishonour will be seen. 27 And your adultery and your neighing and the estrangement of your fornication. On the hills and in the fields I have seen your abominations. Woe to you, Ierousalēm, for you have not become clean behind me for how long yet?

## 24. Drought, Destruction, and a Promise of Future Salvation (14:1-16:18)

> 1 And a word came
> of LORD to Ieremias
> concerning the drought.

2 Ioudaia has mourned, and her gates have become empty, and they have been darkened in the land, and the cry of Ierousalēm has gone up. 3 And her great men sent their younger men for water, they went to the wells and they found no water, and they returned their vessels empty. 4 And
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the tillage of the land has faded away, for there was no rain. The farmers were put to shame, they covered their heads. 5 Even hinds calved in the field and forsook, for there was no plant. 6 Wild asses stood by the valleys and gasped for air, their eyes failed, for there was no grass.
7 'Our sins have risen up against us, LORD, act for us for your sake, for our sins are many before you, for we have sinned against you! 8 You are a hope of Israel, LORD, and you save in time of evil! Why have you become like a foreigner in the land and like a native who turns aside to a restingplace? 9 Surely, you will not be just like a sleeping man or like a man who cannot save, will you? And you are among us, LORD, and your name is called upon us. Do not forget us!'

10 Thus says LORD to this people, 'They have loved to move their feet, and they have not spared, and GOD did not prosper among them.' Now he will remember their iniquity. 11 And LORD said to me, 'Do not pray for this people for good! 12 For even if they fast, I will not listen to their supplication, even if they bring burnt offerings and sacrifices, I will not have delight in them, for I will put an end to them by sword and famine and death.'

13 And I said, 'You, Who are, LORD, see, their prophets prophesy and say, "You will see no sword and there will be no famine among you, for I will give truth and peace upon the land and in this place."'

14 And LORD said to me, 'The prophets prophesy lies in my name. I did not send them, and I did not command them, and I did not speak to them, for they prophesy for you false visions and oracles and omens from birds and inclinations of their hearts.'

15 Therefore, this says LORD concerning the prophets who prophesy lies in my name, and I did not send them, who say, 'There will be no sword and no famine in this land.' 'They will die by a death caused by disease and the prophets will be consumed by famine. 16 And the people to whom they prophesy to them, and they will be cast out into the streets of Ierousalēm from the face of sword and of the famine, and there will be no one who buries them, and their women and their sons and their daughters, and I will pour out their evil upon them. 17 And you will say this word to them, "Bring down tears on your eyes day and night and let them not cease, for daughter of my people has been broken with a breach and with a very painful blow."' 18 If I go out into the field, and see, men wounded by sword, and if I go into the city, and see,
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toil of famine, for priest and prophet have gone to a land which they did not know. 19 Surely, you have not disapproving disapproved of Iouda, have you, and your soul has not departed from Seiōn, has it? Why did you strike us, and there is no healing for us? We waited till peace and there was no good, till a time of healing, and see, trouble. 20 We have got to know our sins, LORD, iniquities of our fathers, for we have sinned against you. 21 Cease for your name's sake! Do not destroy throne of your glory! Remember, do not break your covenant, the one with us! 22 Surely, there is no one among the idols of the nations who brings rain, is there? And if the sky will give its abundance? Surely, you are he, are you not? And we will wait for you LORD, for you have made all this.

15:1 And LORD said to me, 'Even if Mōsēs and Samouēl stand before my face, my soul is not towards them. Send away this people and let them go away! 2 And it will be if they say to you, "Where shall we go?" And you will say to them, "This says LORD," 'As many as are for death, to death, and as many as are for sword, to sword, and as many as are for famine, to famine, and as many as are for captivity, to captivity. 3 And I will punish on them four kinds', says LORD, 'the sword to slaughter, and the dogs to tear in pieces, and the beasts of the land, and the birds of the sky to devour and destroy. 4 And I will deliver them for distress to all the kingdoms of earth, because of Manassé son of Ezekias, king of Iouda, for all that he did in Ierousalēm.

5 Who will spare on you, Ierousalēm, and who will fear for you, or who will return to peace for you? 6 You have turned yourself away from me,' says LORD, 'you will go back, and I will stretch out my hand and I will destroy you, and I will no longer spare them. 7 And I will scatter them in a scattering in the gates of my people. They have been made childless, they have destroyed my people because of their wickedness. 8 Their widows have been multiplied more than the sand of the sea. They have brought young men upon the mother, misery at noon, suddenly they have thrown trembling and trouble upon her. 9 She who gave birth to seven has become destitute, her soul has given up in despair, the sun has set for her while it is yet noon, she has been put to shame, and she has been insulted. Those left of them I will give to the sword before their enemies.

10 Woe is me, mother, like whom have you given birth to me? A man being condemned and criticized in the whole land. Neither have I been of any use, nor has anyone been of any use to me. My strength has faded away through those who curse me. 11 May it be, master, when they
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prosper, if I did not call on you in the time of their evil, and in the time of their anguish for good against the enemy. 12 If it will be known? Iron and a copper covering is your strength. 13 And I will give your treasures for a spoil, a repayment, because of your sins, even within all your borders. 14 And I will enslave you to your enemies round about in the land which you did not know, for a fire is kindled out of my anger, it will burn on you.

15 LORD, remember me, and visit me, and let me go unharmed from my pursuers, not with patience! Know how I have been insulted for your sake 16 by those who ignore your words! Put an end to them, and your word will be my joy and gladness of my heart, for your name is called upon me, LORD Almighty! 17 I did not sit in their assembly, as they were mocking, but I was fearing from the face of your hand, I was sitting alone, for I was filled with bitterness. 18 Why do those who vex me overcome me? My wound is severe, in what way will I be healed? It has becoming become like false water, which has no faithfulness.

19 Therefore, this says LORD, 'If you will return, I will both restore you, and you will stand before my face, and if you will bring forth precious from worthy, you will be as my mouth, and they will turn back to you and you will not turn back to them. 20 And I will give you to this people as a strong wall of copper, and they will fight you, and they will not at all be able against you, for I am with you to save you, 21 and deliver you from the hand of wicked people. And I will ransom you from the hand of evildoers.

16:1 And you shall not take a wife', says LORD, GOD of Israel. 2 'And no son will be born to you nor daughter in this place.' 3 For this says LORD concerning the sons and concerning the daughters who are born in this place, and concerning their mothers, who have given birth to them, and concerning their fathers, who have begotten them in this land. 4 'They will die from a death caused by disease, they will not be mourned, and they will not be buried, they will become into an example on the face of the earth. And they will be for the beasts of the land and for the birds of the sky, they will fall by sword, and they will be destroyed by famine.

5 This says LORD, 'Do not enter their mourning feast, and do not go to mourn and do not bemoan them, for I have removed my peace from this people. 6 They will not at all mourn for them, nor will they at all make incuttings, and they will not be shaved, 7 and bread will not at all be broken in mourning for them as a comfort for a deceased, they will not give him a cup to drink as a comfort for his father and mother. 8 Do
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## 25. The Vanity of Idols and the Blessing of Trusting in the Lord (16:19-17:10)





not enter a house of drinking to sit together with them, to eat and drink.' 9 For this says LORD, GOD of Israel, 'See, I dissolve from this place, before your eyes and in your days, sound of gladness and sound of joy and voice of bridegroom and voice of bride. 10 And it will be when you announce to this people all these words and they say to you, "Why has LORD spoken all this evil against us? What is our iniquity and what is our sin, which we have sinned against LORD our GOD?" 11 and you will say to them, "Because your fathers deserted me, says LORD, and they went behind alien gods, and they served them, and they worshiped them, and they deserted me, and they did not keep my law, 12 and you have acted more wickedly than your fathers, and see, you walk everyone behind the pleasures of your evil heart so that you do not obey me, 13 I will also throw you away from this land to the land which you and your fathers did not know, and there you will serve other gods, who will show you no mercy."
14 'Therefore, see, days come', says LORD, 'and they will no longer say, "LORD lives, he who brought the sons of Israel up from the land of Egypt," 15 but, "LORD lives, he who has brought the house of Israel up from a land of the north and from all the countries where they have been expelled there". And I will restore them to their land, which I gave their fathers. 16 See, I send the many fishermen, says LORD, and they will fish them and afterward I will send the many hunters and they will hunt them on every mountain and on every hill and out of the holes of the rocks. 17 For my eyes are on all their ways and their misdeeds have not been hidden before my eyes. 18 And I will recompense for all their wickedness and for all their sins, with which they have profaned my land, by the carcasses of their abominations and by their lawless deeds, by which they have offended against my inheritance.'

> 25. The Vanity of Idols and the Blessing of Trusting in the Lord (16:19-17:10)

19 LORD, you are my strength and my help and my refuge in days of evil. To you nations will come from the end of the earth and they will say, 'How false idols did our fathers acquire, and there is no profit by them. 20 Even if a man will make himself gods, these are still no gods.'















## 26. Ieremias Praises the Lord and His <br> Justice. Sabbath Observance (17:11-17:27)
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21 Therefore, see, at this time I will make my hand evident to them, and I will make my power known to them, and they will know that my name is LORD.

17:5 Cursed is the man who has his hope in a man, and who will strengthen the flesh of his arm upon him, and his heart will depart from LORD. 6 And he will be like the tamarisk, which is in the desert. He will not see when the good comes, and he will dwell in salt places, and in a desert, in a salt land, which is not inhabited. 7 And blessed is the man who trusts in LORD, and LORD will be his hope. 8 And he will be like a flourishing tree by the water, and he will strike his root in a moist place, he will not fear when heat comes, and he will have shady branches, in a year of drought he will not fear, and he will not cease to bear fruit. 9 The heart is deep beyond all things, man is too. And who will get to know him? 10 I am LORD who tests hearts and approves kidneys to give everyone according to his ways and according to the fruits of his practices.

> 26. Ieremias Praises the Lord and His
> Justice. Sabbath Observance (17:11-17:27)

11 A partridge calls, it gathers what it did not lay. Someone who gets his riches not with judgement-in the midst of his days they will desert him, and in his last days he will be a fool.

12 An exalted throne of glory is our sanctuary. 13 LORD, you are Israel's hope! All who desert you shall be put to shame! When they have revolted, let them be written on the earth, for they have deserted fountain of life, LORD!

14 Heal me, LORD, and I will be healed! Save me, and I will be saved, for you are my boast! 15 See, they say to me, 'Where is the word of LORD? Let it come!' 16 But I have not become weary of following behind you, and I have not desired day of man, you know [that]. What comes out of my lips is before your face. 17 Do not become an estrangement to me, sparing me on the evil day. 18 Let those who pursue me be put to shame, and may I not be put to shame! May they be terrified, and may I not be terrified. Bring an evil day upon them, break them a double breach!

19 This says LORD, 'Go and stand in the gates of sons of your people, by which enter by them kings of Iouda, and by which they go out by them kings of Iouda, and by which they go out by them, and in all the gates
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## 27. Ieremias at the Potter's. Ieremias Accusing the People. Ieremias Crushing a Jar. Ieremias and Paskō̄r (18:1-20:6)









of Ierousalēm. 20 And you will say to them, " $[\mathrm{Hear}]$ the word of LORD, you kings of Iouda and all Ioudaia and all Ierousalēm, you who enter by these gates.
21 This says LORD. Guard your souls, and bear no burdens on the day of the Sabbath, and do not go out by the gates of Ierousalēm, 22 and carry no burdens out of your houses on the day of the Sabbath, and you will not do every work, sanctify the day of the Sabbath, as I commanded your fathers. And they did not listen, and they did not incline their ear. 23 And they hardened their neck more than their fathers, so that they did not hear me, and so that they did not receive correction. 24 And it will be if you listen to me, says LORD, so that you carry no burdens through the gates of this city on the day of the Sabbath, and sanctify the day of the Sabbath so that you do not do every work, 25 kings and rulers sitting on the throne of Daueid and having got up into chariots and mounted their horses will also enter through the gates of this city, they themselves and their rulers, men of Iouda and the inhabitants of Ierousalèm, and this city will be inhabited for ever. 26 And they will come from the cities of Iouda and from all around Ierousalēm and from the land of Beniamein and from a plain land and from the mountain and from the land towards the south, bringing burnt offerings and sacrifice and incense and manna and frankincense, bringing praise to the house of LORD. 27 And it will be if you do not listen to me, so that you sanctify the day of the Sabbath, so that you bear no burdens and not enter the gates of Ierousalēm on the day of the Sabbath, I will also kindle a fire in its gates and it will devour the blocks of Ierousalēm and it will not be quenched."'

> 27. Ieremias at the Potter's. Ieremias Accusing the People. Ieremias Crushing a Jar. Ieremias and Paskōr (18:1-20:6)

1 The word which came from LORD to Ieremias, saying, 2 'Get up and go down to the house of the potter and there you will hear my words.' 3 And I went down to the house of the potter, and see, he was making a work on the stones. 4 And the vessel which he was making with his hands fell, and he made it again into another vessel, just as it seemed good before him to make. 5 And a word of LORD came to me, saying, 6 'Surely, if just as this potter I will be able to do with you, will I not, you house of Israel? See, as the clay of the potter, you are in my hands.
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7 A determination I will announce about a nation or about a kingdom to remove them and to destroy them, 8 and [if] that nation turns round from all their evil, I too will change my mind about the evil which I planned to do to them. 9 And a determination I will announce about a nation or kingdom to rebuild it and to plant it, 10 and [if] they do the evil before me so that they do not hear my voice, I too will change my mind about the good which I said I would do to them.

11 And now, say to the men of Iouda and to the inhabitants of Ierousalēm, "See, I am forming evil against you, and I am planning a plan against you. Let everyone indeed turn away from his evil way, and you shall amend your practices."' 12 And they said, 'We will be brave men, for we will walk behind our acts of turning away, and each one of us will do the pleasures of his wicked heart.'

13 Therefore, this says LORD, 'Ask indeed among nations, "Who has heard such horrible deeds, which virgin of Israel has done eagerly?" 14 Surely, breasts will not fail from rocks or snow from Libanos, will it? Surely, water violently carried by wind will not turn away, will it? 15 For my people have forgotten me, they have burnt incense in vain, and they will weaken ancient measures by their ways, to enter paths which have no way for a journey, 16 to turn their land into a vanishment and into an eternal hissing. All who pass through it will get confused, and they will shake their head. 17 Like a burning wind I will scatter them before their enemies, I will show them a day of their destruction.

18 And they said, 'Let us plan a plan against Ieremias, for law will not perish from priest, and counsel from wise, and word from prophet. Come and let us strike him with the tongue, and we will hear all his words.'

19 Listen to me, LORD, and listen to the voice of my plea! 20 If good is recompensed with evil? For they have discussed words against my soul, and they have hidden their punishment from me. Remember that I stood before you to speak what was good for them, to turn away your anger from them! 21 Therefore, deliver their sons to famine, and gather them together into the hands of a sword. Let their wives become childless and widows, and let their men be killed by death, and let their young men fall by sword in battle, 22 let there be a cry in their houses, you will suddenly bring robbers upon them, for they have undertaken a task for my capture, and they have hidden traps for me. 23 And you, LORD, have got to know all their counsel to death against me. Do not let their
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iniquities go unpunished, and do not wipe away their sins from your face, let their weakness come before you, deal with them in the time of your anger. 19:1 Then LORD said to me, 'Go and get a turned earthen jar, and you will lead [some] of the elders and [some] of the priests, 2 and you will go out to the "place full of dead men" of sons of their children, which is at the entrance of gate of the tharseis and there you shall read all these words, which I will speak to you. 3 And you will say to them,
'Hear the word of LORD, you kings of Iouda and you men of Iouda and you inhabitants of Ierousalēm and you who enter by these gates. This says LORD, GOD of Israel, "See, I bring evil upon this place, so that everyone who hears it, it will resound in his ears. 4 Because they deserted me and made this place strange, and burnt incense in it to alien gods, whom they themselves and their fathers did not know, and the kings of Iouda filled this place with innocent blood, 5 and they built high places to her, Baal, to burn their sons in fire. I did not command it nor did I devise it in my heart.

6 Therefore, see days come," says LORD, "and this place will no longer be called 'fall' and 'place full of dead men' of the son of Ennom, but 'place full of dead men' of slaughter. 7 And I will slaughter the council of Iouda and the council of Ierousalēm in this place, and I will cast them down by the sword before their enemies and by the hands of those who seek their souls, and I will give their dead into food for the birds of the sky and for the beasts of the earth, 8 I will break down this city into vanishment and into hissing. Everyone who passes by will look sad because of it, and he will hiss because of all its plague. 9 And they will eat the flesh of their sons and the flesh of their daughters, and everyone will eat the flesh of his neighbour in the captivity and in the siege, in which their enemies will besiege them. 10 And you will break the jar before the eyes of the men who go out with you. 11 And you will say, 'This says LORD "Thus I will break this people and this city, just as one breaks an earthen vessel, which will not be possible to repair. For 12 thus I will do," says LORD, "to this place and to the inhabitants in it to give this city just as 'the falling'. 13 And houses of Ierousalēm and houses of kings of Iouda will be just as the place which is falling because of all their impurity in all the houses in which they have burnt incense on their roofs to all the army of the sky and they offered drink-offerings to alien gods.'"'"























## 28. Ieremias' Lamentation (20:7-18)
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14 And Ieremias went from the 'fall', where LORD had sent him there to prophesy, and he stood in the court of house of LORD and said to all the people, $15^{\text {'This says LORD, "See, I bring upon this city and upon all }}$ its cities and upon all its villages all the evil which I have spoken against it, for they have hardened their neck, so that they do not listen to my commandments."

20:1 And Paskōrr son of Emmēr, the priest, heard (and this man had been appointed being chief of house of LORD) when Ieremias prophesied these words, 2 and he struck him and he put him into the 'waterfall', which was in a gate of a detached house of the upper storey, which was in the house of LORD. 3 And Paskōr brought out Ieremias from the 'waterfall', and Ieremias said to him, 'Surely, LORD has not called your name Paskōr, but Exile.'

4 'For this says LORD, "See, I give you into exile together with all your friends, and they will fall by the sword of their enemies, and your eyes will see. And you and all Iouda I will give into the hands of king of Babylon, and they will exile them, and they will cut them down by swords. 5 And I will give all of the strength of this city and all its labours and all its treasures of the king of Iouda into hands of his enemies, and they will bring it to Babylon. 6 And you and all who live in your house will go into captivity, and you will die in Babylon, and there you will be buried, you and all your friends, to whom you have prophesied lies to them."'

## 28. Ieremias' Lamentation (20:7-18)

7 LORD, you deceived me, and I was deceived, you were strong and you were able. I became a laughing-stock, every day I was continually sneered at. 8 For because of my bitter word, I will be laughed at, and I will call upon faithlessness and misery, for the word of LORD has become a reproach to me and a derision all my days. 9 And I said, 'I will definitely not name LORD's name, and I will definitely no longer speak in his name.' And it became like a burning fire flaming in my bones, and I am weakened on all sides, and I cannot bear it. 10 For I heard censure of many gathering together all around, 'Conspire and let us conspire against him, all men, his friends. Watch his thought, if he perhaps will be deceived, and we will be able against him, and we will take our vengeance on him.' 11 But LORD is with me like a strong warrior. Therefore, they pursued and they were not able to understand. They were utterly put to shame, for they did not understand their dishonour, which will never be forgotten.
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## 29. Judgement and Warning (21:1-22:30)
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12 LORD, you who approve of righteous deeds, you who understand kidneys and hearts, may it be that I shall see your vengeance on them, for I have revealed my defences to you!

13 Sing to LORD, praise him, for he has delivered the soul of a poor man from the hand of men acting wickedly.

14 Cursed be the day on which I was born on it! The day on which my mother gave birth to me let it not be longed for. 15 Cursed be the man who rejoicingly brought the good news to my father saying, 'A son is born to you.' 16 Let that man be like the cities which LORD overthrew in anger, and he did not regret it. Let him hear a cry in the morning and wailing at noon, 17 because he did not kill me in the womb, and my mother became my grave, and the womb an eternal pregnancy. 18 Why this, I came out of the womb to see trouble and pain, and my days have continued in shame?

## 29. Judgement and Warning (21:1-22:30)

1 The word which came from LORD to Ieremias, when king Sedekias sent Paskōr, son of Melkias, and Sofonias, son of Manassaias, the priest, to him, saying, 2 'Ask LORD for us, for king of Babylon has risen against us, if LORD will do according to all his wonderful deeds, and he will go away from us!' 3 And Ieremias said to them, 'Thus you shall say to Sedekias, king of Iouda, 4 "This says LORD, 'See, I turn the weapons of war with which you fight with them against the Chaldeans, who have shut you up from without the wall, to the midst of this city. 5 And I will fight against you with outstretched hand and strong arm, with anger and great fury. 6 And I will strike all the inhabitants in this city, the men and the cattle, with an awful death, and they will die.' 7 And after that, thus says LORD, 'I will give Sedekias, king of Iouda, and his servants and the people which is left in this city from the death and from the famine and from the sword, into hands of their enemies, who seek their souls. And they will cut them down with the mouth of sword. I will not spare on them, and I will not have any compassion on them. 8 And to this people you will say,
"This says LORD, 'See, I have put before your face the way of life and the way of death: 9 He who stays in this city will die by sword and by famine and he who goes out to side with the Chaldeans, who have shut you up, will live and his soul will become into a booty, and he will
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live. 10 For I have set my face fast upon this city for evil and not for good. It will be delivered into hands of king of Babylon, and he will burn it with fire.'"' 11 You, the house of king of Iouda, listen to a word of LORD! 12 You house of Daueid, this says LORD, 'Judge a judgement in the morning, and keep straight, and deliver a plundered one from the hand of him who wrongs him, lest my fury will be kindled like fire, and it will burn, and there will be no one who will quench it! 13 See, I am against you who live in the deep valley Sor, the plain, against you who say, "Who will terrify us, or who will enter into the dwelling place?" 14 And I will kindle a fire in its thicket, and it will consume all that is round about it.'"'

22:1 This says LORD, 'Go, and go down to the house of the king of Iouda, and there you will say to him this word. 2 And you will say, "Hear a word of LORD, king of Iouda, you who sit on the throne of Daueid, you and your house and your people and you who enter these gates. 3 This says LORD, do judgement and righteousness and deliver the plundered from the hand of him who wrongs him, and do not oppress the newcomer and the orphan and the widow, and do not act impiously and do not shed innocent blood in this place! 4 For if you doing do this word, kings sitting on the throne of Daueid and having got up on chariots and mounted horses will also enter through the gates of this house, they themselves and their servants and their people.

5 But if you do not do these words, I have sworn by myself," says LORD, "that this house will become into a desolation."'

6 For this says LORD against the house of king of Iouda, 'You are Galaad to me, head of Libanos. If I will not put you in a desert, into cities which will not be inhabited. 7 And I will bring upon you a destroying man and his battle-axe, and they will cut down your chosen cedars and put them into the fire. 8 And nations will go through this city, and each one will say to his companion, "Why has LORD done thus to this great city?" 9 And they will say, "Because they have deserted the covenant of LORD, their GOD, and they have worshipped alien gods, and they have served them.'

10 Do not bewail the dead, nor lament over him! Bewail with a bewailing him who goes out, for he does not return again, neither will he see his fatherland. 11 For this says LORD against Sellēm, son of Iōseia, who was king instead of Iōseia his father, who went out of this place, 'He will not return there again, 12 but he will die in the place where I have exiled him there, and this land he will not see again. 13 He who builds his house not
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with righteousness and his upper stories not in justice will work for his neighbour without payment, and he will not give him his wages. 14 You have built yourself a symmetrical house, airy upper stories separated by windows and panelled with cedar and painted with vermilion. 15 Surely, you will not be king, will you, because you are provoked in Akaz your father? They will not eat, and they will not drink. It is better that you do justice and righteousness. 16 They did not know, they did not judge a judgement of the humble nor a judgement of the poor. Surely, this is that you do not know me, is it not?', says LORD. 17 See, your eyes are not, nor is your heart good, but they are after your acquisitiveness and after the innocent blood, to shed it, and after misdeed and after murder, to commit them.' 18 Therefore, this says LORD against Iōakeim, son of Iōseia, king of Iouda, and against this man, 'They will not mourn him at all, "Ah brother", nor bewail him at all, "Woe LORD". 19 He will be buried with a burial of an ass, when he is swept away he will be cast beyond the gate of Ierousalēm. 20 Go up to Libanos, and cry, and give your voice to Basan, and cry out to the other side of the sea, for all your lovers have been crushed! 21 I spoke to you in your transgression, and you said, "I will not listen". This has been your way from your youth, you have not listened to my voice. 22 Wind will shepherd all your shepherds, and your lovers will go into captivity, for then you will be put to shame, and you will suffer dishonour by all those who love you. 23 You who are dwelling in Libanos, making a nest in the cedars, you will sigh when the pains as of a woman in childbirth come upon you.'

24 'I live', says LORD, 'even if Iekonias, son of Iōakeim king of Iouda, having become becomes a signet ring on my right hand, I will pull you off from there. 25 And I will deliver you into the hands of those who seek your soul, whom you fear from their face, into the hands of the Chaldeans. 26 And I will throw away you and your mother, who has given birth to you, into a land where you were not born there, and there you will die. 27 Into the land for which they pray in their souls, they will definitely not return.' 28 Iekonias has been dishonoured as a vessel, for which there is no need for it, for he has been thrown out, and he has been cast out into a land which he did not know. 29 Land, Land, hear a word of LORD! 30 'Write this man a banished man, for no one from his seed will grow, sitting on the throne of Daueid, ruling again in Iouda!'

## 30. The False Shepherds Will Be Punished. A New Shepherd (23:1-6, 9-40, 7-8)
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## 30. The False Shepherds Will Be Punished. <br> A New Shepherd (23:1-6, 9-40, 7-8)

1 O shepherds, who are destroying and scattering the sheep of their pasture! 2 Therefore, this says LORD against those who tend my people, 'You have scattered my sheep and you have expelled them, and you have not looked after them. See, I punish on you according to your evil practices. 3 And I will gather those left of my people on the whole earth, where I have expelled them there, and I will set them into their pasture, and they will increase, and they will multiply. 4 And I will raise up shepherds for them, who will tend them, and they will fear no more nor be terrified,' says LORD.

5 'See, days come,' says LORD, 'and I will raise up for Daueid a righteous shoot, and a king will be king, and he will understand and he will do justice and righteousness on the earth. 6 In his days Ioudas will be saved and Israel will live confidently too, and this is his name, which LORD will call him, Iōsedek among the prophets.

9 My heart is broken in me, all my bones are shaken, I have become like a broken man and like a man afflicted by wine from the face of LORD and from the face of fine appearance of his glory. 10 For from the face of these the land has mourned, the pastures of the desert are dried up, and their thicket has become useless and their strength thus. 11 For priest and prophet have been defiled, and in my house I have seen their wickedness. 12 Therefore, let their way become for them a fall in darkness, and they will be tripped up, and they will fall in it, for I will bring evil upon them in the year of their visitation. 13 And among the prophets of Samareia I saw lawlessness, they prophesied by her, Baal, and they have misled my people Israel. 14 And among the prophets of Ierousalēm I have seen horrible deeds, men committing adultery and walking in lies and assisting the hands of many, so that they do not turn away each one from his wicked way, they have all become like Sodoma to me and those who inhabit it just like Gomorra.'

15 Therefore, this says LORD, 'See I will feed them with pain, and I will give them bitter water to drink, for from the prophets of Ierousalēm defilement has gone out into the whole land.'

16 Thus says LORD Almighty, 'Do not listen to the words of the prophets, for they invent a vain vision for themselves, they speak from their heart and not from the mouth of LORD. 17 They say to those who reject
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the word of LORD, "There will be peace for you", and to all who walk according to their wills, to everyone who walks according to his heart's error they have said, "Evil will not come upon you". 18 For who stood on the foundation of LORD and saw his word, who has given ear and listened?'

19 See, an earthquake from LORD, and fury goes forth into commotion, accumulating it will come upon the impious. 20 And the anger of LORD will turn away no more, until he has done it, and until [he has established it] from the undertaking of his heart. In the last of days they will understand it. 21 ' I was not sending the prophets, and they were running, nor did I speak to them, and they were prophesying. 22 And if they had stood by my fundament and if they had listened to my words, they would also have been turning my people, them, away from their wicked practices.

23 I am a GOD who comes near and not a GOD from far away. 24 If someone will hide in hidden places and I will not see him? Definitely, I fill the heaven and the earth, do I not?' says LORD. 25 I have heard what the prophets say, they prophesy lies in my name, saying, "I have dreamt a dream". 26 How long will you be in the heart of the prophets who prophesy lies, when they prophesy the wills of their heart, 27 who plan to forget my law by their dreams, which they were telling everyone to his neighbour, just as their fathers forgot my name by her, Baal? 28 Let the prophet in whom the dream is tell his dream, and in whom my word is to him, tell my word in truth. What is the chaff to the grain? Thus are my words', says LORD. 29 'Surely, see my words are just like a fire and like an axe cutting a rock, are they not? 30 Therefore, see, I am against the prophets, [...] 32 those who prophesy false dreams and were not telling them, and they have misled my people by their lies and by their errors, and I did not send them, and I did not command them, and they will not profit any profit to this people. 33 And if they ask you, this people or a priest or a prophet, "What is LORD's message?", and you will say to them, "You are the message, and I will dash you,"' says LORD. 34 The prophet and the priests and the people who say 'LORD's message'-I will also punish that man and his house.

35 Thus you will say each one to his neighbour and each one to his brother, 'What has LORD answered?' and 'What has LORD said?' 36 And LORD's message you shall not mention any more, for the message for a man will be his word. 37 And why did LORD, our GOD, speak?










## 31. Two Baskets of Figs (24:1-10)
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38 Therefore, this says LORD our GOD, 'Because you said this word, "LORD's message," and I sent to you, saying, "You will not say, 'LORD's message'". 39 Therefore, see, I take you and I dash you and the city, which I gave to you and your fathers. 40 And I will give to you an eternal reproach and an eternal dishonour, which will not be forgotten.

7 Therefore, 'See, days come', says LORD, 'and they will no longer say, "LORD lives, he who brought the house of Israel up from the land of Egypt," 8 but, "LORD lives, he who has gathered the whole seed of Israel from a land of the north and from all the countries where he has expelled them there, and he has restored them into their land."

## 31. Two Baskets of Figs (24:1-10)

1 LORD showed me two baskets of figs lying before temple of LORD, after Naboukodonosor, king of Babylon, had sent Iekonias, son of Iōakeim, king of Iouda, and the rulers and the craftsmen and the prisoners and the neighbours out of Ierousalēm into captivity, and he had brought them to Ierousalem, and he had brought them to Babylon. 2 The one basket of very good figs, like the early figs, and the other basket of very bad figs, which will not be eaten for their badness. 3 And LORD said to me, 'What do you see, Ieremias?' And I said, 'Figs. The good are very good, and the bad are very bad, which will not be eaten for their badness.' 4 And a word of LORD came to me, saying, 5 This says LORD, GOD of Israel, 'Like these good figs, so will I acknowledge the Jews who have been sent into captivity, whom I have sent away from this place into the land of Chaldeans for good. 6 And I will set my eyes fast upon them for good, and I will restore them into this land for good, and I will rebuild them, and I will not at all tear them down, and I will plant them, and I will not at all pluck up. 7 And I will give them a heart to know me, that I am LORD, and they will be for me into a people and I will be for them into GOD, for they will return to me with all their heart. 8 And as the bad figs, which will not be eaten for their badness', this says LORD, 'so will I deliver Sedekias, king of Iouda, and his great men and the rest of Ierousalēm, those who have been left in this land and those who live in Egypt. 9 And I will give them into a scattering into all the kingdoms of the earth and into a reproach and into a proverb and into hate and into a curse in every place where I have expelled them there. 10 And I will send famine and death and the sword against them, until they fade away from the land which I have given them.

## 32. Judgement on Iouda, Ierousalèm and on the Family from the North (25:1-13)
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## 33. Concerning Ailam (25:14-26:1)










## 32. Judgement on Iouda, Ierousalēm, and on the Family from the North (25:1-13)

1 The word which came to Ieremias against all the people of Iouda, in the fourth year of Iōakeim, son of Iōseia, king of Iouda, 2 which he spoke to all the people of Iouda and to the inhabitants of Ierousalēm, saying,

3 'In the thirteenth year of Iōsia, son of Amōs, king of Iouda, and until this day, twenty-three years, and I have spoken to you, rising early and saying. 4 And I have been sending my slaves, the prophets, to you, sending early in the morning, and you did not listen and you did not pay attention with your ears, 5 saying, "Turn away, each one, from his wicked way and from your wicked practices, and you will live in the land which I gave to you and your fathers, from old and for ever. 6 Do not go behind alien gods to serve them and to worship them, lest you provoke me to anger with the works of your hands so that you get hurt." 7 And you did not listen to me.' 8 Therefore, this says LORD, 'Because you did not believe my words, 9 see, I send, and I will take a people from the north, and I will bring them against this land and against the inhabitants of it and against all the nations, those round about it, and I will utterly desolate them, and I will give them into a vanishment and into a hissing and into an eternal reproach. 10 And I will destroy from them sound of gladness and sound of joy and voice of bridegroom and voice of bride, scent of perfume and light of lamp. 11 And the whole land will become into a vanishment and they will serve among the nations seventy years. 12 And when seventy years are completed, I will punish that people, and I will set them into an eternal vanishment. 13 And I will bring upon that land all my words, which I have spoken against it, all that is written in this book.'

## 33. Concerning Ailam (25:14-26:1)

14 What Ieremias prophesied against the nations of Ailam.
15 This says LORD, 'The bow of Ailam, the authority of their power, has been broken. 16 And I will bring four winds, from the four ends of heaven, upon Ailam, and I will scatter them by all these winds, and there will be a nation which will not come there, the expelled of Ailam. 17 And I will terrify them before their enemies, who seek their soul, and I will bring upon them according to the fury of my anger, and I will send my sword behind them, until it has consumed them. 18 And I will put my





## 34. Concerning Egypt (26:2-12)
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## 35. Egypt Will Be Punished. A Promise to Iakōb (26:13-28)





throne in Ailam. And from there I will send king and great men. 19 And it will be in the last of days and I will return the captivity of Ailam, says LORD. 26:1 This word concerning Ailam came, in the beginning, when king Sedekias was king.

## 34. Concerning Egypt (26:2-12)

2 For Egypt, against the power of Pharaō Nekaō, king of Egypt, who was by the river Euphrates in Charmeis, whom Naboukodonosor, king of Babylon, struck, in the fourth year of Iōakeim, king of Iouda.

3 'Lift up weapons and shields, and bring them to war, 4 and saddle the horses, be mounted, you horsemen, and draw up with your helmets, hold out the spears, and put on your breastplates. 5 Why is it that they are terrified and withdraw to the rear? Because their mighty ones will be cut down, they fled a flight, and they did not turn back as they were shut in all around', says LORD. 6 'Let not the swift flee, and let not the mighty be rescued, in the north by Euphratēs he became weak, and they fell. 7 Who is this? He will ascend like a river and as rivers roll water. 8 Waters of Egypt will ascend like a river. And he said, "I will ascend, and I will cover the land, and I will destroy those who live in it."

9 Mount the horses, prepare the chariots, go out, you warriors of Ethiopians and you Libyans, armed with armour, and, you Lydians, get up, string a bow! 10 And that day, to LORD our GOD, it will be a day of vengeance, to take vengeance on his enemies, and the sword of LORD will devour, and it will be sated, and it will get drunk with their blood, for it will be a sacrifice to LORD from the land of the north by the river Euphrates. 11 Go up to Galaad, and take resin for the virgin, daughter of Egypt! In vain you have multiplied your medicines, there is no help for you, 12 nations have heard your voice, and the land has been filled with your cry, for warrior upon warrior has become weak, both have fallen together.'

## 35. Egypt Will Be Punished. A Promise to Iakōb (26:13-28)

13 What LORD said by the hand of Ieremias: that the king of Babylon will come to cut down land of Egypt.

14 'Announce to Magdōlos and proclaim to Memphis, say, "Get up and get ready, for a sword has devoured your bindweed! 15 Why has
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36. Concerning Babylon (27:1-28:58)







Apis fled from you? Your chosen calf has not remained, for LORD has disabled it. 16 And your multitude became weak, and they fell, and each one was speaking to his neighbour, 'Let us stand up and return to our people, to our own land from the face of a Greek sword.' 17 Call the name of Pharaō Nekaō, king of Egypt, Saōn esbei emōēd."'

18 'I live', says LORD, GOD, 'for like Itaburion he will come in the mountains and like Karmèlos, which is in the sea. 19 Prepare provisions for exile for yourself, you dwelling daughter of Egypt, for Memphis will become into a vanishment, and it will be called "woe", because there are no inhabitants in it! 20 Egypt is a beautified heifer, an avulsion from the north has come upon her, 21 and her mercenaries in her are just as fatted calves, fed in her, for they have also turned away, and they have fled with one accord, they did not stand, for a day of destruction has come upon them and a time of their vengeance. 22 There is a sound like that of a hissing snake, for they move in sand, they will come upon her with axes like those who cut wood, 23 they will cut down her thicket', says LORD, 'for it is impossible to imagine, for it increases more than locusts, and they cannot be counted. 24 The daughter of Egypt has been put to shame, she has been delivered into the hands of a people from the north. 25 See, I will take vengeance on Ammōn, her son, upon Pharaō and upon those who trust in him. 27 But you, do not fear, my slave Iakōb, nor be terrified, you Israel, for, see, I am saving you from far away and your seed from their captivity, and Iakōb will return, and he will rest, and he will sleep, and no one will be troubling him. 28 Do not fear, you my servant Iakōb', says LORD, 'for I am with you. She, the fearless and delicate has been delivered, for I will make a full end in every [nation] to whom I have expelled you there. But I will by no means cause you to fail, and I will correct you to judgement, and unpunished I will not leave you unpunished.

## 36. Concerning Babylon (27:1-28:58)

## 1 A word of LORD, which he spoke against Babylon.

2 'Announce among the nations, cause it to be heard, do not hide it, say, "Babylon has been caught, Bēlos has been put to shame, the fearless, the delicate, Maiōdak has been delivered. 3 For a nation has come up against her from the north. It will set her land into a vanishment, and no one will live in it, from man and until cattle.






































4 In those days and at that time the sons of Israel, they and the sons of Iouda will come together, walking and crying they will move, seeking LORD their GOD. 5 They will ask the way to Seiōn, for they will set their face to this place, and they will come and they will take refuge with LORD, GOD, for an everlasting covenant will not be forgotten. 6 My people have become lost sheep, their shepherds expelled them, they misled them on the mountains, they went from mountain to hill, they forgot their resting-place. 7 All who found them tried to devour them, their enemies said, 'Let us not spare them, because they have sinned against LORD. A pasture of righteousness to him who gathered their fathers.'

8 Be alienated from the midst of Babylon and from the land of Chaldeans, and go out and become just like serpents before sheep. 9 For, see, I raise gatherings of nations from a land of the north against Babylōn, and they will draw up for battle against her, from there she will be caught, like the missile of a skilful warrior, it will not return empty. 10 And Chaldaia will become into a spoil, all who spoil her will be satiated. 11 For you were rejoicing and you were boasting when you were plundering my inheritance, for you were leaping like calves in the grass, and you were butting like bulls. 12 Your mother was utterly put to shame, a mother for good, last of nations, desert. 13 By the fury of LORD she will not be inhabited, and she will become into a vanishment, all of her. And everyone who travels through Babylon will look sad, and they will hiss at all her plague. 14 Draw up for battle against Babylon round about, all you who draw the bow; shoot with bow and arrow against her, do not spare on your arrows, 15 and prevail against her! Her hands have become feeble, her bulwarks have fallen and her wall is broken down, for it is a vengeance from GOD. Take vengeance on her, just as she has done, do to her! 16 Destroy utterly seed out of Babylon, him who holds a sickle in the time of harvest. From the face of Greek sword everyone will return to his people, and everyone will flee to his land.

17 Israel is a wandering sheep. Lions have expelled him. First the king of Assour ate him, and afterwards this one, the king of Babylon, his bones. 18 Therefore, this says LORD, 'See, I take vengeance on the [king] of Babylon and on his land, just as I have taken vengeance on the king of Assour. 19 And I will restore Israel to his pasture, and he will feed on Karmēlos and on the mountain of Efraim and on Galaad, and his soul will be satisfied. 20 In those days and at that time they will search for the iniquity of Israel and it will not exist, and for the sins of Iouda, and they





































will not at all be found, for I will be merciful to those who are left in the land', says LORD. 21 'Go up harshly against it and against its inhabitants! Punish, you sword and vanish', says LORD, 'and do according to all which I command you!'

22 A sound of war and great destruction in the land of Chaldeans. 23 How has the hammer of the whole earth been broken and crushed! How has Babylon become a vanishment among nations! 24 They will attack you, and you will not know that you Babylon, even you, will be caught. You have been found and you have been taken, for you have resisted LORD.' 25 LORD opened his treasury, and he carried out the instruments of his fury, for there is a task for LORD, GOD, in the land of Chaldeans. $26^{\text {'For her times have come. Open her storages, and search her as a cave, }}$ and destroy her utterly! Let there be no remnant of her! 27 Dry up all her fruits, and let them go down to slaughter! Woe to them, for their day has come and a time of vengeance. 28 A sound of fleeing and escaping men from the land of Babylon, to declare to Seion the vengeance of LORD, our GOD. 29 Summon many against Babylon, each one who draws the bow. Pitch camp against her all around. Let there be no one who escapes from her. Recompense her according to her deeds, according to all which she has done, do to her, for she has resisted LORD, holy GOD of Israel. 30 Therefore, her young men will fall in her streets and all the men, her soldiers, will be cast down', said LORD.

31 'See, I am against you, you haughty woman', says LORD, 'for your day has come and the time of your vengeance. 32 And your haughtiness will be weak and it will fall, and there will be no one who raises it up. And I will kindle a fire in her thicket, and it will devour all that is round about her.'

33 This says LORD, 'The sons of Israel are oppressed together with the sons of Iouda. All who have taken them captive have oppressed them, for they did not want to release them. 34 And he who ransoms them is strong, LORD Almighty is his name. He will judge a judgement against his adversaries, so that he erases the land and provokes the inhabitants of Babylon. 35 A sword against the Chaldeans and against the inhabitants of Babylon and against her great men and against her wise men, 36 a sword against her warriors and they will be weakened, 37 a sword against their horses and against their chariots, a sword against their warriors and against the mixed crowd in her midst, and they will be like women, a sword against her treasures and they will be
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scattered 38 upon her water, and they will be put to shame, for it is a land of the carved images, and in the islands, where they were boasting. 39 Therefore, appearances will inhabit the islands, and daughters of sirens will inhabit her, she will never ever be inhabited again. 40 Just as GOD overthrew Sodoma and Gomorra and their neighbours', said LORD, 'no man will ever live there, and no son of man will ever dwell there. 41 See, a people comes from the north and a great nation, and many kings will rise from the end of the earth, 42 with bow and hand-weapon. It is reckless, and it will show no mercy at all. The sound of them roars like the sea. On horses they will ride like horsemen, prepared just like a fire for war, against you, daughter of Babylon. 43 King of Babylon heard their hearsay, and his hands became feeble. Anguish distressed them, pain as of a woman in childbirth. 44 See, it will go up just like a lion from the Jordan to Gaithan, for I will hastily chase them away from her, and all young men I will set over her. For who is just like me, and who will resist me , and who is this shepherd who will stand before me?

45 Therefore, hear the decision of LORD, which he has decided against Babylon and plans, which he has planned against the inhabiting Chaldeans: if the lambs of their sheep will not be destroyed, if pasture will not vanish from them, 46 for at the sound of capture of Babylon the earth will shake, and a cry will be heard among nations.

28:1 This says LORD, 'See, I raise up a burning and destroying wind against Babylon and against the inhabiting Chaldeans. 2 And I will send away insolent men to Babylon, and they will insult her and spoil her land.'

Woe to Babylon all around at the day of her oppression. 3 Let him who draws his bow draw, and let him who has armour put it on! And do not spare on her young men, and vanish all her army. 4 And wounded will fall in the land of Chaldeans and stabbed outside of it. 5 For Israel and Iouda have not been widowed by their GOD, by LORD Almighty, for their land has been filled with iniquity without the holy men of Israel.

6 Flee from the midst of Babylon and save each one his soul, and do not be thrown away by her iniquity, for it is a time of vengeance on her from LORD, he recompenses her with a recompense.
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7 Babylon was a golden cup in the hand of LORD, making all the earth drunken. Nations drank of her wine, therefore they have been shaken. 8 And suddenly Babylon has fallen and been broken. Lament over her! Take resin for her destruction, if somehow she can be healed. 9 We treated Babylon, and she was not healed. Let us desert her and let us go away, each one to his own land, for her judgement has come close to heaven, it has risen up to the stars. 10 LORD has carried out his judgement. Come, let us announce in Seiōn the works of LORD our GOD. 11 Prepare the arrows, fill the quivers! LORD has raised up the SPIRIT of king of Medians, for his fury is against Babylon to destroy her utterly, for it is vengeance of LORD, it is vengeance of his people. 12 Lift up a sign on the walls of Babylon, set up quivers, raise up guards, prepare weapons, for LORD has begun and will do what he spoke against those who inhabit Babylon, 13 those who live by many waters and by the mass of her treasures. Your end has truly come to your inward parts. 14 For LORD has sworn by his arm: 'For I will fill you with men like locusts, and those who come down will speak against you.'

15 Making earth by his strength, establishing world by his wisdom, by his understanding he stretched out the heaven. 16 He set roar of water into a voice in the heaven, and he brought up clouds from the end of the earth, he made lightnings for rain, and brought forth light from his treasuries. 17 'Every man has become vain from knowledge, every goldsmith has been put to shame by his carved images, for they have cast false things, there is no SPIRIT in them. 18 They are vain, works put to scorn, in the time of their visitation they will perish. 19 Portion of Iakōb is not like that, for he who has formed everything, he is his inheritance, LORD is his name. 20 You scatter for me equipment of war, and I will scatter nations among you, and I will remove kings from you, 21 and I will scatter horse and his horseman among you, 22 and I will scatter chariots and their riders, and I will scatter young man and virgin among you, and I will scatter man and woman among you, 23 and I will scatter shepherd and his flock among you, and I will scatter farmer and his farming among you, and I will scatter your leaders and commanders among you. 24 And I will recompense Babylon and all the inhabiting Chaldeans for all their wickedness, which they have done to Seiōn before your eyes', says LORD.
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$25^{\text {'See, I am against you, the destroyed mountain, that destroys the whole }}$ earth, and I will stretch out my hand against you, and I will roll you down on the rocks, and I will give you as a burnt mountain. 26 And they will take no stone at all from you for a corner and no stone for a foundation, for you will become into a vanishment for ever', says LORD.

27 Lift up a sign in the land, trumpet among nations with a trumpet, consecrate nations against her, summon kings against her, lift up from me, and for the Askanazeoi, set engines of war against her, bring up horses against her as many as locusts! 28 Bring up nations against her, the king of the Medians and of all the earth, his leaders and all his commanders! 29 The land shook and grieved, for a plan of LORD has risen up against Babylon, to set the land of Babylon into a vanishment, and it will not be inhabited. 30 Warrior of Babylon failed to fight, they will sit there in captivity, their power has been broken, they have become like women, her tents have been burnt, her bars have been broken. 31 Pursuer will be pursued to meet pursuer and informer to meet informer to inform the king of Babylon that his city has been taken. 32 From the furthest of its passages they were taken, and their constructions have been burnt with fire, and its men, the warriors, go out. 33 For this says LORD, 'Houses of king of Babylon will be threshed like a threshing floor in season. Yet a little while and her harvest will come. 34 He has devoured me, he has divided me, a slight darkness has overtaken me, Naboukodonosor, king of Babylon, he has gulped me like a serpent, he has filled his stomach with my luxury. 35 Dwelling Seiōn will say, 'My labours and my miseries have expelled me to Babylon', and Ierousalèm will say, 'My blood is against the inhabiting Chaldeans'.

36 Therefore, this says LORD, 'See, I am judging your adversary, and I will avenge your vengeance, and I will desolate her sea, and I will dry up her fountain. 37 And Babylon will become into a vanishment, and it will not be inhabited, 38 for they rose up together like lions and like whelps of lions. 39 In their heat I will give them a potion, and I will make them drunk, so that they may swoon away, and they will sleep an everlasting sleep, and they will never wake up, says LORD. 40 And bring them down like lambs to slaughter and like rams with kids! 41 How is the pride of the whole earth caught and hunted down! How has Babylon become a vanishment by the nations! 42 The sea has risen up against Babylon to the roar of its waves, and she has been covered. 43 Her cities have become like a land lacking water and impassable. Not a single
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## 37. Ieremias' Instructions to Saraias (28:59-28:64)
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one will live in her, nor will any son of man lodge in her. 44 And I will take vengeance on Babylon, and I will bring out from her mouth what she has gulped, and the nations will never gather to her again. 49 And in Babylon wounded will fall from all the earth. 50 You who are being saved go out of the [land], and do not stand still. You who are from far away remember LORD, and let Ierousalèm come to heart. 51 We have been put to shame, for we have heard our insult, dishonour has covered our face, foreigners have come into our holy, into the house of LORD. 52 'Therefore, see, days [come]', says LORD, 'and I will take vengeance on her carved images, and wounded will fall in all her land. 53 For even if Babylon goes up as the heaven, and for even if she fortifies the walls with her power, from me will come men who destroy her utterly', says LORD.

54 A sound of cry is in Babylon, and a great destruction in the land of Chaldeans. 55 For LORD has utterly destroyed Babylon, and taken away from her a great sound roaring like many waters, he has given her sound into destruction, 56 for misery has come upon Babylon, her warriors have been caught, their bow has become useless, for GOD recompenses them, 57 LORD recompenses. And he will make her leaders and her wise men and her commanders drunk with a drunkenness', says the King, LORD Almighty is his name. 58 This says LORD, 'Wall of Babylon was made broad, being broken down it will be broken down, and her high gates will be burnt, and people will not labour in vain, and nations will not fade away by the power.

## 37. Ieremias' Instructions to Saraias (28:59-28:64)

59 The word which LORD commanded Ieremias, the prophet, to say to Saraias, son of Nērias, son of Maasaias, when he was going from Sedekias, king of Iouda, to Babylon, in the fourth year of his reign. And Saraias was an overseer of gifts. 60 And Ieremias wrote all the evil which will come upon Babylon in a book, all these words, which are written against Babylon.

61 And Ieremias said to Saraias, 'When you come to Babylon, and you see, and you read all these words, 62 and you will say, "LORD, LORD, you have spoken against this place to utterly destroy it, and that there will be no inhabitants in it from man to cattle, for it will be a vanishment forever." 63 And it will be, when you cease reading this book, and you will bind a stone to it, and you will cast it into the middle of Euphrates. 64 And you will say, "Thus will Babylon sink, and she will not ever rise from the face of the Chaldeans, which I bring upon her."'

## 38. Concerning the Foreigners (29:1-7)

1 غ̇лі тoùऽ $\dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda$ о甲ú入ovs










 тоข̃ $\overline{x v}$ हैं

 غ̇лย

## 39. Concerning Idoumaia (29:8-23)

## 


















## 38. Concerning the Foreigners (29:1-7)

1 Against the foreigners.
This says LORD, 2 'See, waters ascend from the north, and they will become into a flooding torrent, and it will flood land and its content, city and those who live in it, and the men will cry, and all who inhabit the land will wail. 3 Because of the sound of his rushing, because of the armour of his feet and because of the shaking of his chariots, the sound of his wheels, fathers did not return for their sons, because of the feebleness of their hands, 4 in the day that is coming to destroy all the foreigners. And I will vanish Turos and Seidōn and all those left of their help, for LORD will utterly destroy the remnants of the islands. 5 Baldness has come upon Gazan, Askalōn has been thrown away, and those left of Enakeim. 6 How long will you cut down, you sword of LORD? How long will you give up resting? Return to your scabbard, take rest and be lifted up! 7 How can it rest, and LORD has commanded it to rise up against Askalōn and against the regions on the seacoast and against the remaining regions?
39. Concerning Idoumaia (29:8-23)

8 To Idoumaia.
This says LORD, 'There is no longer wisdom in Thaiman, counsel has perished from the wise, their wisdom is gone, 9 their place has been deceived. Dig deep for a place of refuge, you who live in Daidam, for he has made troubles! I brought it upon him at the time at which I visited him. 10 For gatherers of grapes have come, who will leave you no leftover, as thieves by night lay their hand upon. 11 For I have laid Ēsau waste, I have uncovered their hidden places, they are not at all able to hide, they have been ruined by the hand of his brother, my neighbour. And it is not possible 12 that your orphan is left to live, and I will live, and widows trust in me.'

13 For this said LORD, 'Those for whom there was no law to drink the cup, have drunk, and you, being unpunished, you will not at all remain unpunished, 14 for I have sworn by myself', says LORD, 'that you will become into an impassable and into a reproach and into a cursing in her midst, and all her cities will be desert for ever.'

15 I have heard a hearsay from LORD, and he has sent messengers to nations: 'Gather and come against her, rise up for battle. 16 I have given you small among nations, despised among men. 17 Your game
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## 40. Concerning the Sons of Ammōn (30:1-5)
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## 41. Concerning Kēdar (30:6-11)
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has afflicted you, boldness of your heart has dissolved holes of rocks, it has seized strength of high hill, for he has exalted just like an eagle his nest, from there I will tear you down. 18 And Idoumaia will become into an impassable, everyone who passes by will hiss at it. 19 Just as Sodoma and Gomorra and its neighbours have been overthrown', said LORD Almighty, 'no man will ever sit there, and no son of man will ever live there. 20 See, just like a lion he will come up from the midst of the Jordan to the place of Aitham, for I will quickly chase them away from her, and you, set the young men over her. For who is just like me, and who will resist me, and who is this shepherd who will stand before me?

21 Therefore, hear the decision of LORD, which he has decided against Idoumaia, and his plan, which he has planned against the inhabitants of Thaiman, if not the smallest of the sheep are swept away, if their fold is not laid waste for her. 22 For at the sound of their fall the land was frightened, and the roar of sea was not heard. 23 See, just like an eagle he will see and stretch out his wings over her strongholds. And in that day the heart of the strong of Idoumaia will be like the heart of a woman with birth-pains.

## 40. Concerning the Sons of Ammōn (30:1-5)

1 To the sons of Ammōn.
Thus said LORD, 'It cannot be that there are no sons in Israel or that they have no one who will inherit, can it? Why did Melkol inherit Galaad, and why will their people live in their cities? 2 Therefore, see, days come', speaks LORD, 'I will let a noise of battles be heard against Rabbath, and they will become into an impassable and into a destruction, and its altars will be burnt in fire, and Israel will inherit his dominion. 3 Change, you Esebōn, for it is ruined! Cry, you daughters of Rabbath, gird yourselves with sackcloth and mourn, for Melkom will go into exile, his priests and his rulers together. 4 Why do you rejoice in the young children of Enakeim, you daughter of boldness, you who trust in her treasures, you who say: "Who will come in against me?" 5 See, I bring fear upon you, said LORD, 'from all the country round about you, and you will each one be scattered to his face, and there is no one who gathers.'

## 41. Concerning Kēdar (30:6-11)

6 To Kēdar, queen of the courtyard, whom Naboukodonosor, king of Babylon, beat.














## 42. Concerning Damaskos (30:12-16)
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## 43. Concerning Mōab (31:1-32:24)
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Thus said LORD, 'Rise up, and go up against Kēdar, and fill the sons of Kedem. 7 They will take their tents and their sheep, they will take their garments and all their belongings and their camels for themselves, and summon you a destruction upon them from all around. 8 Flee hastily! You have dug deep for a place of refuge, you who are sitting in the courtyard, for king of Babylon has decided a decision against you and he has planned a plan. 9 Rise up, and go up against a nation, steady, sitting for refreshment, for whom there are no doors, no bolts, no bars, they lodge alone. 10 And their camels will become into spoil and a mass of their cattle into a destruction, and I will winnow them with every wind, mixed before them, from every other side I will bring their rout', said LORD. 11 And the courtyard will be a haunt for sparrows and impassable forever. No man will ever sit there, and no son of man will ever live there.

## 42. Concerning Damaskos (30:12-16)

## 12 To Damaskos.

Thēmar has been put to shame and Arphad, for they heard a bad hearsay, they got confused, they became upset, they can take no rest at all. 13 Damaskos has faded away, she has turned away into flight, trembling has taken hold of her. 14 How has she not left my city, they have loved a village. 15 'Therefore, young men will fall in your streets, and all the men, your warriors, will fall', speaks LORD. 16 'And I will light a fire in the wall of Damaskos, and it will devour a block of houses of son of Ader.'

## 43. Concerning Mōab (31:1-32:24)

$$
1 \text { To Mōab }
$$

Thus said LORD, 'Woe to Nabau, for it has been ruined, Kariathem has been taken, Amath and Agath have been put to shame. 2 There is no longer any healing for Mōab, boastfulness in Esebōn. He has planned evil against her, we have cut her off from a nation, and she will cease a ceasing, a sword will go behind you, 3 for a sound of crying men from Ōrōnaim, destruction and a great crush. 4 Mōab has been broken, announce it to Zogora, 5 for Alōth has been filled with bewailing, bewailing he will go up on the way of Ōrōnaim, you have heard a cry of crushing. 6 Flee and save the souls for him, and put them just as a wild ass in the desert. 7 Because you trusted in your strongholds, you too will be captured, and Chamōs will go out into captivity, and his priests and his rulers together. 8 And
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destruction will come upon every city, it will not at all be saved, and the valley will perish, and the plain will be utterly destroyed, as LORD said. 9 Give signs to Mōab, for she will be plagued by a plague, and all her cities will become into an impassable. From where will there be an inhabitant for her? 10 Cursed is he who does the works of LORD carelessly, keeping back his sword from blood. 11 Mōab has been relaxed from childhood, and has trusted in his glory. He was not pouring in from vessel to vessel, and he was not going into exile. Therefore, his taste has remained in him, and his odour has not faded away.
12 'Therefore, see, his days come', speaks LORD, 'and I will send bending men, and they will bend him, and they will make his belongings meagre, and they will cut his horns in pieces. 13 And Mōab will be put to shame by Chamōs, just as house of Israel was put to shame by Baithēl, their hope, having put their trust in them.

14 How will you say, 'We are strong, and a man being strong for war'? 15 Mōab, his city, is ruined, and his chosen young men have gone down to slaughter. 16 Day of Mōab is coming soon, and his misery is very quick. 17 Shake at him all you who are all around him, all of you give out his name, say, 'How is a glorious rod broken, a staff of might! 18 Come down from glory, and sit in moisture! Sitting Daibōn is being destroyed, for Mōab is ruined. A man spoiling your stronghold has come up to you. 19 Stand by the road, and watch, you who are sitting in Aroēr, and ask a fleeing man and a man who is being saved, and say, 'What has happened?' 20 Mōab has been put to shame, for he has been broken. Howl and cry, announce in Arnōn that Mōab is ruined! 21 And judgement comes to the land of Meisōr, upon Chailōn and Rephas and Mōphas, 22 and upon Daibōn and upon Nabau and upon the house of Daiblathaim, 23 and upon Kariathaim and upon the house of Gaimoll and upon the house of Maōn, 24 and upon Kariōth and upon Bosor and upon all the cities of Mōab, those far and those near. 25 Horn of Mōab is broken down, and his hand-weapon has been broken. 26 Make him drunk, for he has magnified himself against LORD! And Mōab will clap with his hand, and he himself will become into a laughing-stock. 27 And if Israel was not into a jesting to you, and he was found among your thefts, for you were fighting against him. 28 The inhabitants of Mōab have left the cities and they have settled in rocks, they have become just like doves nesting in rocks, in the mouth of a pit.





































29 And I have heard of the pride of Mōab, he has prided himself greatly on his pride and on his arrogance, and his heart has been lifted high. 30 But I have got to know his works. This is not enough for him, he did not do thus. 31 Therefore, howl for Mōab, cry out from every side for men shorn of drought! 32 As a bewailing of Iazēr I will bewail you, a vine, as a desert. Your branches went through a sea, city of Iazēr they reached, destruction has fallen upon your fruit, your gatherers of grapes, 33 joyfulness and joy have been swept away from the Moabitess. Though wine was in your wine presses, they did not tread it in the morning, nor in the evening. They did not do aide. 34 From the cry of Esebōn to Aitam their cities have given their voice, from Zogor to Ōrōnaim and a message of Salaseia, for even the water of Nebrein will become into a burning. 35 And I will destroy Mōab', speaks LORD, 'when he is going up to the altar and burning incense to his gods. 36 Therefore, heart of Mōab is just like flutes will boom, my heart will boom just like a flute at shorn men. Therefore, [what] has been acquired has perished from man. 37 They will have every head shaved in every place, and every beard will be shaved, and all hands will beat, and there will be sackcloth on every waist, 38 and on every roof of Mōab and on her streets, for I have crushed,' speaks LORD, 'like a vessel, for which there is no need for it. 39 How has she changed, how has she turned her back, Mōab has been put to shame, and Mōab has become a laughing-stock and an annoyance for all who are round about her.' 40 For thus said LORD, 41 'Akkarōn has been captured, and the strongholds have been occupied. 42 And Mōab will be destroyed by the crowd, for he has magnified himself against LORD. 43 There is a trap and fear and a pit for you, sitting Mōab. 44 He who flees from before the fear will fall into the pit, and he who comes up from the pit will be captured in the trap, for I will bring this upon Mōab in the year of their visitation.'

32:1 Thus said LORD, GOD of Israel, 'Take the cup of this unmixed wine from my hand, and give all the nations to drink to whom I send you to them. 2 And they vomit and they will be mad from the face of the sword which I send among them.' 3 And I took the cup from the hand of LORD, and I gave the nations to drink to whom LORD sent me to them: 4 Ierousalèm and the cities of Iouda and kings of Iouda and his rulers, to set them into a desolation and into an impassable and into a hissing, 5 and Pharaō, king of Egypt and his servants and his great men and all his people 6 and all the mixed crowds and all the kings of foreigners, Askalōn and Gaza and Akkarōn and the remnant
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of Azōtos, 7 and Idoumaia and the Moabitess and the sons of Ammōn, 8 and kings of Turos and kings of Seidōn and kings, those across the sea, 9 and Daidan and Thaiman and Rōs and everyone who is shaved in his face, 10 and all the mixed crowds who lodge in the desert, 11 and all kings of Ailam and all kings of Persai, 12 and all the kings from the east, those far and those near, each one against his brother, and all the kingdoms which are on face of the earth. 13 And you will say to them, 'Thus said LORD, Almighty, "Drink, get drunk, and you will vomit, and you will fall, and you will never rise from the face of the sword, which I send among you." 14 And it will be, when they do not want to take the cup from your hand to drink, and you will say, "Thus said LORD, 'Having drunk drink!' 15 For in a city in which my name has been mentioned upon it, I will start to do evil, and you will not at all be cleansed with a cleansing, for I will call a sword against those who sit on the earth." 16 And you will prophesy these words against them, and you will say, "LORD will declare from on high, from his sanctuary he will give his voice, he will declare against his place, and these will answer just like men who are gathering grapes, and destruction has come upon men sitting on the earth, 17 upon a part of the earth, for there is a judgement for LORD among the nations, he himself contests a legal case against all flesh, but the impious have been given to the sword"', says LORD.

18 Thus said LORD, 'See, evil comes from nation to nation, and a great hurricane comes forth from the farthest end of the earth. 19 And there will be wounded by LORD in the day of LORD from the end of the earth to the end of the earth, they will not be buried at all, they will become into dung on the face of the earth. 20 Wail, you shepherds, and cry, mourn, you rams of the sheep, for your days have been completed for slaughter, and you will fall just like the chosen rams. 21 And flight will perish from the shepherds, and rescue from the rams of the sheep. 22 A sound of cry of the shepherds and wailing of the sheep and of the rams, for LORD has destroyed their pasture. 23 And the rest of the peace will cease from the face of the fury of my anger. 24 He has deserted just like a lion his resting-place, for their land has become an impassable from the face of the great sword.'

## 44. Ieremias' Prophecy Against the House of Lord. Serve the King of Babylon and Live (33:1-34:18)
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## 44. Ieremias' Prophecy Against the House of Lord. Serve the King of Babylon and Live (33:1-34:18)

1 In the beginning of king Iōakeim, son of Iōseia, this word came from LORD.

2 Thus said LORD, 'Stand in the court of house of LORD, and you will declare to all the Jews and all who come to worship in the house of LORD all these words which I have commanded you to declare to them. Do not take away a word. 3 Perhaps they will listen, and they will turn everyone away from his evil way, and I will cease from the evil which I plan to do to them because of their evil practices. 4 And you will say, "Thus said LORD," 'If you do not listen to me, so that you walk according to my statutes, which I have given before you, 5 to listen to the words of my servants, the prophets, which I send to you early in the morning, and I have sent, and you did not listen to me, 6 I will also give this house just like Sēlō, and I will give the city into a curse to all the nations of the whole earth.

7 And the priests and the false prophets and all the people heard when Ieremias spoke these words in the house of LORD. 8 And it came to be when Ieremias ceased to speak everything that LORD had ordered him to speak to all the people. And the priests and the false prophets and all the people captured him, saying, 'You will die a death, 9 for you have prophesied in the name of LORD, saying, "This house will be just like Sēlō, and her city will be desolated of inhabitants." And all the people assembled against Ieremias in the house of LORD. 10 And the rulers of Iouda heard this word, and they went up from the house of the king to the house of LORD, and they sat down in the entrance of the new gate. 11 And the priests and the false prophets said to the rulers and to all the people, 'A judgement of death is to this man, for he has prophesied against this city just as you have heard with your ears.' 12 And Ieremias said to the rulers and to all the people, saying, 'LORD has sent me to prophesy against this house and against this city all these words which you have heard. 13 And now make your ways and your works better, and listen to the voice of LORD, and LORD will cease from the evil which he has spoken against you. 14 And see, I am in your hands, do with me as it is profitable and as it is best for you, 15 but having got to know you will know that if you kill me, you bring innocent blood upon you and upon this city and upon those who live in it, for truly LORD has sent me to you to speak in your [ears] all these words.'
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16 And the rulers and all the people said to the priests and to the false prophets, 'There is no judgement of death to this man, for in the name of LORD our GOD he has spoken to us.'

17 And men from the elders of the land rose and said to all the assembly of the people, 18 'Meichaias, the Mōratheitēs, lived in the days of Ezekias, king of Iouda and he said to all the people of Iouda, "Thus said LORD, 'Seiōn will be ploughed like a field, and Ierousalēm will become into an impassable and the mountain of the house into a thicket grove."' 19 Surely, Ezekias and all Iouda did not having killed kill him, did they? Surely, because they feared LORD and because they prayed to the face of LORD, LORD also ceased from the evil which he had spoken against them, did he not? And we have done great evil against our souls.

20 And a man was prophesying in the name of LORD, Oureias, son of Samaias, from Kariathiareim, and he prophesied about this land according to the words of Ieremias. 21 And the king, Iōakeim, and all the rulers heard all his words, and they were trying to kill him. And Oureias heard and went to Egypt. 22 And the king sent men to Egypt. 23 And they brought him out from there, and they brought him in to the king, and he struck him with the sword, and cast him into the grave of sons of his people.' 24 But hand of Acheikam, son of Saphan was with Ieremias, so that he was not delivered into the hands of the people, so that they did not kill him.

34:1 Thus said LORD, 'Make bonds and collars, and put them around your neck! 2 And you will send them to the king of Idoumaia and to the king of Mōab and to the king of sons of Ammōn and to the king of Turos and to the king of Seidōn by the hands of their messengers, who come to Ierousalēm to meet them, to Sedekias, king of Iouda. 3 And you will order them to say to their lords,
"Thus said LORD, GOD of Israel, ‘Thus will you say to your lords, 4 "I have made the earth by my great strength and by my lofty endeavour, and I will give it to whom will seem right in my eyes, 5 I have given the land to Nabouchodonosor, king of Babylon, to serve him, and the beasts of the field to work for him. 6 And the nation and the kingdom who will not put their neck under the yoke of king of Babylon-with sword and famine I will visit them", said LORD "until they have faded away by his hand. 7 And you, do not listen to your false prophets and to those who divine to you and to those who dream for you and to your omens from birds and to your sorcerers, who say, 'You will not at all work for



















## 45. Ieremias and Ananias (35:1-17)
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the king of Babylon', 8 for they prophesy lies to you to remove you far away from your land! 9 And the nation which will bring its neck under the yoke of king of Babylon and will work for him-and I will leave him in his land, and he will work for him, and he will live in it. 10 Also to Sedekias, king of Iouda, I have spoken according to all these words, saying, 'Bring your neck into, and work for the king of Babylon, 11 for they prophesy iniquity to you, 12 for I did not send them', speaks LORD, 'and they prophesy unjustly in my name to destroy you. And you and your prophets, who unjustly prophesy lies to you, will perish. 13 I spoke to you and to all this people and to the priests, saying, "Thus said LORD, 'Do not listen to the words of the prophets, who prophesy to you, saying, "See, vessels of house of LORD will return from Babylon," for they prophesy iniquities to you, 14 I did not send them. 15 If they are prophets and if word of LORD is in them, let them meet me! 16 For thus said LORD also of the remaining vessels, 17 which king of Babylon did not take, because he sent Iechonias into exile from Ierousalēm, 18 they will come to Babylon, says LORD.'

## 45. Ieremias and Ananias (35:1-17)

1 And it came to be in the fourth year of Sedekias, king of Iouda, in the fifth month, that Ananias, son of Azōr, the false prophet from Gabaōn, said to me in the house of LORD, before the eyes of the priests and of all the people, saying, 2 'Thus said LORD, "I have broken the yoke of the king of Babylon, 3 yet two years of days and I will return the vessels of house of LORD to this place, 4 and Iechonias and the exile of Iouda, for I will break the yoke of king of Babylon.' 5 And Ieremias said to Ananias before the eyes of all the people and before the eyes of the priests, who stood in house of LORD, 6 and Ieremias said, 'Truly, thus may LORD do! May he establish your word, which you prophesy, to return the vessels of house of LORD and all the exile from Babylon to this place! 7 Only, hear the word of LORD, which I say into your ears and into the ears of all the people, 8 "The prophets who were before me and before you from old, they also prophesied against much land and against great kingdoms for war. 9 The prophet who has prophesied for peace-when the word has come, they will know the prophet whom LORD has sent to them in faithfulness."'
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## 46. Ieremias' Letter to the Exile (36:1-32)




 $\beta \alpha \sigma ı \lambda i ́ \sigma \sigma \eta \varsigma ~ \varkappa \alpha i ~ \tau \tilde{\omega} v ~ \varepsilon u ̉ v o v ́ \chi \omega v ~ \varkappa \alpha i ~ \pi \alpha v \tau o ̀ \varsigma ~ \varepsilon ̇ \lambda \varepsilon v v ̀ \varepsilon ́ \varrho o v ~ \varkappa \alpha i ̀ ~ \delta \varepsilon \sigma \mu \omega ́ \tau о v ~ \varkappa \alpha i ̀ ~$

















10 And Ananias took the collars from the neck of Ieremias in the eyes of all the people and broke them. 11 And Ananias said before the eyes of all the people, saying, 'Thus said LORD, "Thus I will break the yoke of king of Babylon from the necks of all the nations."' And Ieremias went his way.

12 And word of LORD came to Ieremias, after Ananias had broken the collars from his neck, saying, 13 'Go and say to Ananias, saying, "Thus said LORD, 'You have broken wooden collars, and I will make iron collars instead of them', 14 for thus said LORD, 'I have put an iron yoke on the neck of all the nations to serve the king of Babylon.'"'

15 And Ieremias said to Ananias, 'LORD has not sent you, and you have made this people trust in injustice. 16 Therefore, thus said LORD, "See, I send you away from the face of the earth, this year you will die."' 17 And he died in the seventh month.

## 46. Ieremias' Letter to the Exile (36:1-32)

1 And these are the words of the book which Ieremias sent from Ierousalèm to the elders of the exile and to the priests and to the false prophets, a letter to Babylon, to the exile and to all the people, 2 (afterwards, when Iechonias, the king, and the queen and the eunuchs and every free man and prisoner and craftsman had left Ierousalēm) 3 by the hand of Eleasa, son of Saphan, and Gamarias, son of Chelkias, whom Sedekias, king of Iouda, had sent to the king of Babylon to Babylon, saying, 4 'Thus said LORD, GOD of Israel, concerning the exile, whom I have sent into exile from Ierousalēm, 5 "Build houses, and live in them, plant gardens and eat their fruits! 6 And take wives, and have sons and daughters, and take wives for your sons, and give your daughters to husbands, and multiply, and do not be diminished! 7 And seek for peace of the land to which I have exiled you there, and pray for them to LORD, for in its peace is your peace! 8 For thus said LORD, 'Let not the false prophets who are among you persuade you, and let not your diviners persuade you, and do not listen into your dreams, which you dream, 9 for they prophesy iniquities to you in my name, and I did not send them!' 10 For thus said LORD, 'When seventy years are about to be completed for Babylon, I will visit you, and I will establish my words for you, to return your people to this place. 11 And I will plan a plan of peace for you and not evil to give you that. 12 And pray
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## 47. A Promise of Return for the Exile (37:1-3)
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to me, and I will listen to you! 13 And seek me out, and you will find me, for you will seek me with all your heart! 14 And I will appear to you, 15 for you said, 'LORD has appointed prophets for us in Babylon.'

21 Thus said LORD concerning Achiab and concerning Sedekias, 'See, I give them into the hands of king of Babylon, and he will strike them before your eyes. 22 And they will take from them a curse among all the exile of Iouda in Babylon, saying, 'May LORD do to you as he did to Sedekias and to Achiab, whom king of Babylon broiled in the fire, 23 because of the lawless deed which they did in Israel. And they were committing adultery with the wives of their citizens, and they declared a word in my name, which I had not ordered them, and I am a witness', speaks LORD. 24 And to Samaias, the Ailameitēs you will say, 25 'I did not send you in my name.' And to Sophonia, son of Maasaias, the priest, he said, 26 'LORD has given you into a priest instead of Iödae, the priest, to become an overseer in the house of LORD over every man who prophesies and every man who is mad, and you will put him in the guard-house and in the waterfall. 27 And now, why have you joined in reviling Ieremias, the one from Anathōth, who has prophesied to you? 28 Surely, it is because of this that he has sent to you to Babylon, is it not? saying, "It is distant, build houses and live in them, plant gardens and eat their fruit!"' 29 And Sophonias read the book in the ears of Ieremias

30 And word of LORD came to Ieremias, saying, 31 'Send to the exile, saying, "Thus said LORD concerning Samaias, the Ailameitēs, 'Because Samaias has prophesied to you-and I did not send him-and he has made you trust in injustices', 32 therefore, thus said LORD, 'See, I will visit Samaias and his family, and there will be no one of them in your midst to see the good, which I will do for you. They will not see it.'"

## 47. A Promise of Return for the Exile (37:1-3)

1 The word, which came to Ieremias from LORD to say,
2 'Thus said LORD, GOD of Israel, saying, "Write all the words which I have declared to you in a book! 3 For see, days come", speaks LORD, "and I will return the exile of my people, Israel and Iouda", said LORD, "and I will return them to the land which I gave to their fathers, and they will dominate it."'

## 48. Deliverance, Restoration, and Rebuilding of Israel (37:4-38:9) <br> 
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48. Deliverance, Restoration, and Rebuilding of Israel (37:4-38:9)

4 And these are the words which LORD spoke concerning Israel and Iouda.

5 'Thus said LORD, "You will hear a sound of fear, fear, and there is no peace. 6 Ask and see if a male has born, and concerning fear by which they will hold waist and salvation, for I have seen every man and his hands on his waist, they have turned face, they have become jaundice. 7 For that day is great and there is no such day, and time is short for Iakōb, and he will be saved from that."'

8 'In that day', said LORD, 'I will break the yoke from their neck, and their bonds I will break away, and they will [no longer] work for aliens. 9 And they will work for LORD, their GOD, and I will raise up Daueid, their king, for them.'

12 Thus said LORD, 'I have raised up destruction, your wound is painful. 13 There is no one who judges your judgement, you have been healed into something painful, there is no help for you. 14 All your friends have forgotten you, they will never ask, for I have struck you with a wound of an enemy, a severe correction, because of all your iniquity, your sins have increased. 16 Therefore, all who eat you will be eaten, and all your enemies will eat all their own flesh. Because of the multitude of your iniquities, your sins have increased, they have done this to you and those who tear you in pieces will become into a torn piece, and all who spoil you I will give into a spoil. 17 'For I will bring about your healing, I will heal you from a painful wound', speaks LORD, 'for you have been called "dispersed", she is your prey, for there is no one who seeks her.'

18 Thus said LORD, 'See, I will return the exile of Iakōb, and I will have mercy on his captivity, and a city will be built upon her height, and the people will settle according to its judgement. 19 And singers will go out from them, a sound of playing men, and I will multiply them, and they will not at all diminish. 20 And their sons will go in as before and their testimonies will be set right before me, and I will visit those who oppress them. 21 And its mighty ones will be over them, and its ruler will come from it, and I will gather them, and they will return to me, for who is this one who has set his heart to return to me', speaks LORD. 23 For an angry fury of LORD has gone out, a turning fury has gone out, it will come upon the impious. 24 A fury of LORD's anger will not return until it has done and until it has established an undertaking of his heart,
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## 49. Lord Will Gather Iakōb, Have Mercy on Ephraim, and Restore Israel and Iouda (38:10-38:30)
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in the last of days you will understand it. 38:1 'At that time', said LORD, 'I will be into GOD to the descendants of Israel, and they will be to me into a people.'

2 Thus said LORD, 'I have found a warm one in the desert with the killed by the sword. Go, and do not destroy Israel. 3 LORD appeared to him from far away. I have loved you with an everlasting love. Therefore, I have drawn you into compassion, 4 for I will build you, and you, virgin Israel, will be built, you will take your tambourine, and you will go out with a gathering of playing men, 5 for you have planted vineyards in the mountains of Samareia. Plant and praise! 6 For it is a day of calling of those who plead in the mountains of Ephraim, "Get up, and go up to Seiōn to LORD, our GOD."' 7 For thus said LORD to Iakōb, 'Rejoice and neigh for the head of nations, cause it to be heard, praise, say, "LORD has saved his people, the rest of Israel!" 8 See, I bring them from the north, and I gather them from the furthest of the earth during the feast of phasek, and you will have a great crowd of children, and they will return here. 9 They went out with bewailing, and I will bring them back with comfort, lodging them by channels of waters in a straight way, and they will not wander in it, for I have become to Israel into a father, and Ephraim is my first-born.'

## 49. Lord Will Gather Iakōb, Have Mercy on Ephraim, and Restore Israel and Iouda (38:10-38:30)

10 Hear words of LORD, you nations, and announce to the farther islands, say, 'He who has winnowed Israel will gather him and will take care of him as one who feeds his flock, 11 for LORD has ransomed Iakōb, he has delivered him from the hand of those stronger than he. 12 And they will come, and they will rejoice in the mountain of Seiōn, and they will come to the good things of LORD, to a land of grain and wine and fruits and cattle and sheep, and their soul will be just like a fruitful tree, and they will not be hungry again. 13 Then virgins will be glad in a gathering of young men, and old men will rejoice, and I will turn their mourning into joy, and I will let them be glad. 14 I will enlarge, and I will give the soul of the priests, sons of Leuei, to drink, and my people will be satiated with my good things.'
15 Thus said LORD, 'A voice of lamentation and bewailing and mourning has been heard in Rama. Rachēl did not want to cease bewailing for her sons, for they are not.'
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50. A New Covenant. Ierousalēm Besieged (38:31-39:5)



16 Thus said LORD, 'Let your voice cease from bewailing, and your eyes from your tears, for there is reward for your works, and they will return from the land of enemies, 17 a fixed abode for your children.'

18 I have heard a hearsay of Ephraim lamenting, 'You have corrected me, and I have been corrected. Just like a calf I was not taught. Turn me back, and I will turn back, for you are LORD, my GOD! 19 For after my captivity I changed my mind, and after I knew I groaned at the days of shame, and I showed you, because I have had reproach from my youth.'

20 'Ephraim is a beloved son to me, a pleasing child, for because my words are in him, I will remember him with a remembrance. Therefore, I concerned myself about him, having mercy I will have mercy on him,' speaks LORD.

21 Set yourself, Seiōn, make help, give your heart to the shoulders, return the way you went, you virgin of Israel, return mourning to your cities! 22 How long will you turn away, you dishonoured daughter, for LORD has created salvation for a new plantation? Men will go about in salvation. ${ }_{23}$ For thus said LORD, 'They will say this word again in the land of Iouda and in its cities, when I return its captivity, "Blessed is LORD, on a righteous mountain, on his holy one."' 24 And they will be living in the cities of Iouda, and in all his land, together with a farmer, and he will be lifted up in a flock. 25 For I have given every thirsty soul to drink, and every hungry soul I have satiated. 26 Therefore, I awoke, and I saw and my sleep had been pleasant to me. 27 'Therefore, see, days come', speaks LORD, 'and I will sow Israel and Iouda with seed of man and seed of beast. 28 And it will be just as I have been watching them to tear down and to do evil, so I will watch them to build and to plant', speaks LORD.

29 'In those days they will certainly not say, "The fathers have eaten an unripe grape, and the teeth of the children were set on edge." 30 But everyone will die by his own sin, and the teeth of him who has eaten the unripe grape will be set on edge.'

## 50. A New Covenant. Ierousalēm Besieged (38:31-39:5)

31 'See, days are coming', speaks LORD, 'and I will covenant a new covenant with the house of Israel and the house of Iouda. 32 Not according
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to the covenant which I covenanted with their fathers in day when I took hold of their hand to lead them out of the land of Egypt, for they did not abide by my covenant, and I neglected them', speaks LORD. 33 'For this is my covenant, which I will covenant with the house of Israel after those days,' speaks LORD. 'Giving I will give my laws into their mind, and on their heart I will write them, and I will be to them into GOD and they will be to me into a people. 34 And they shall not everyone teach his citizen and everyone his brother, saying, "Know LORD", for all will know me, from their small [and] until their great, for I will be merciful to their iniquities, and their sins I will remember no more.'

35 'If the sky will be lifted up to the high place', speaks LORD, 'and if the ground of the earth will be brought down below, yet I will not disapprove of the descendants of Israel', speaks LORD, 'concerning all they have done.'

36 Thus said LORD, he who has given the sun into a light of the day, moon and stars into a light for the night and a roar in the sea-and its waves boomed-LORD Almighty is his name, 37 'If these laws will cease from my face', speaks LORD, 'the descendants of Israel will also cease to be a nation before my face all the days.'

38 'See, days come', speaks LORD, 'and a city will be built to LORD from the tower of Anameè to the gate of the corner. 39 And its measure will go out from before them right to hills of Garēb, and it will be compassed round about of chosen stones. 40 And all asarēmōth right to Nachal Kedrōn right to the corner of gate of horses of east will be a sanctuary to LORD, and it will never ever fade away again, and it will not at all be torn down for ever.

39:1 The word which came from LORD to Ieremias, in the tenth year of king Sedekias, this was the eighteenth year of king Nabouchodonosor, king of Babylon. 2 And force of king of Babylon besieged Ierousalēm, and Ieremias was being kept in the court of the prison, which is in the house of king, 3 in which king Sedekias had shut him in, saying, 'Why do you prophesy, saying, "Thus said LORD, 'See, I am giving this city in the hands of king of Babylon, and he will take it. 4 And Sedekias will not at all be rescued from the hand of the Chaldeans, for he will be delivered by a delivery into the hands of king of Babylon and his mouth will speak to his mouth and his eyes will see his eyes. 5 And Sedekias will come into Babylon and there he will sit.'"'

# 51. Ieremias Buys a Field and Prays. Ierousalèm Will Be Captured for the Sins of Israel and Iouda. Restoration and a <br> New Covenant (39:6-44) 




































## 51. Ieremias Buys a Field and Prays. Ierousalèm Will Be Captured for the Sins of Israel and Iouda. Restoration and a New Covenant (39:6-44)

6 And a word of LORD came to Ieremias, saying, 7 'See, Anameēl, son of Salōm, brother of your father, comes to you, saying, "Buy my field, the one in Anathōth, for yourself, for you have a legal right to acquire it as a property."' 8 And Anameēl, son of Samōl, brother of my father, came to me to the court of the prison and said, 'Buy my field, the one in the land of Beniamein, the one in Anathōth, for you have the legitimate right to buy it, and you are older.' And I knew that it was a word of LORD. 9 And I bought the field of Anameēl, son of brother of my father, and I weighed out seventeen shekels of silver to him. 10 And I wrote it in a book, and I sealed it, and I called witnesses to witness, and I weighed the silver in a balance. 11 And I took the sealed book of the property, 12 and I gave it to Barouch, son of Nērias, son of Maasaias, before the eyes of Anameēl, son of brother of my father, and before the eyes of the men who were standing beside and writing in the book of property, and before the eyes of the Jews, who were in the court of the prison. 13 And I ordered Barouch before their eyes, saying, 14 'Thus said LORD Almighty, "Take this book of property and the book which has been read, and you will put it into an earthen vessel, that it will be preserved many days, 15 for", thus said LORD, "fields and properties and vineyards will be founded again in this land."'

16 And I prayed to LORD after I had given the book of property to Barouch, son of Nērias, saying, 17 'You Who are, LORD, you have made the sky and the earth by your great strength and by your high and uplifted arm. Nothing at all will be hidden from you, 18 you who do mercy to thousands and repay sins of fathers into the bosoms of their children after them, the great, the strong GOD, 19 LORD of great counsel and powerful in deeds, GOD the great and the almighty and LORD with a great name, your eyes are upon the ways of the sons of men to give everyone according to his way, 20 you who made signs and wonders in the land of Egypt, until this day both in Israel and among the earth-born you have also made yourself a name as this day. 21 And you brought out your people, Israel, from the land of Egypt with signs and wonders, with a strong hand and a high arm 22 and with great sights, and you gave them this land, which you swore to their fathers, a land flowing with milk and honey. 23 And they went into,
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and they took it, and they did not listen to your voice, and they did not walk in your orders, everything which you commanded them, they did not do. [And you made] all this evil happen to them.

24 See, a crowd has come to the city to capture it, and the city has been given into the hands of Chaldeans, who fight her from the face of sword, and of the famine. As you spoke, thus it happened. 25 And you say to me, 'Buy yourself the field for silver.' And I wrote a book, and I sealed it, and I called witnesses to witness, and the city was given into hands of Chaldeans.

26 And a word of LORD came to me, saying, 27 ' I am LORD, GOD of all flesh, surely, not a thing will be hidden from me, will it?'

28 Therefore, thus said LORD, GOD of Israel, 'Having been given this city will be given up into hands of king of Babylon and he will take it. 29 And the Chaldeans will come fighting against this city, and they will burn this city with fire, and they will burn down the houses, in which they burnt incense on their roofs, for it was to her, Baal. And they were offering drink-offerings to other gods to provoke me. 30 For the sons of Israel and the sons of Iouda alone were doing the evil before my eyes from their youth. 31 Because for my fury and for my anger this city has existed, from the day in which they built it and until this day, that I might remove it from my face, 32 because of all the wickedness of the sons of Israel and Iouda, which they have done to provoke me, they and their kings and their rulers and their priests and their prophets, men of Iouda and those who live in Ierousalēm. 33 And they turned their back to me and not their face, and I taught them in the morning, and I taught them, and they did not listen any more to take correction. 34 And they set their pollutions in the house where my name has been called upon it, by their impurities. 35 And they built the altars to her, Baal, those in the ravine of son of Ennom, to offer their sons and their daughters to king Moloch, which I did not order them, and it did not come up to my heart to do this abomination, that Iouda may sin again.
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## 52. Restoration, Healing, and Joy (40:1-13)

















36 And now, thus said LORD, GOD of Israel concerning the city, which you say, 'It will be delivered into hands of king of Babylon by sword and by famine and by banishment.' 37 'See, I gather them from the whole earth, where I have scattered them there in my fury and in my anger and in great provocation, and I will return them to this place, and I will cause them to sit confidently. 38 And they will be to me into a people, and I will be to them into GOD. 39 And I will give them another way and another heart, to fear me all the days, both for their good and for their children after them. 40 And I will covenant an everlasting covenant with them, which I will not at all turn away from behind them, and my fear I will put into their heart that they may not depart from me. 41 And I will visit to do them good, and I will plant them in this land in faithfulness and with all heart and with all soul. 42 For thus said LORD, 'As I have brought upon this people all this great evil, so I will bring upon them all the good which I have spoken upon them. 43 And fields will be bought again in the land about which you say, "It will be untrodden by men and beasts." And they have been delivered into the hands of Chaldeans. 44 And they will buy fields for silver, and you will write a book, and you will seal it, and you will call witnesses to witness in the land of Beniamein and round about Ierousalēm and in the cities of Iouda and in the cities of the mountain of Sephēla and in the cities of Nageb, for I will return their exiles.

## 52. Restoration, Healing, and Joy (40:1-13)

1 And a word of LORD came to Ieremias a second time, and he was still bound in the court of the prison, saying, 2 'Thus said LORD, who made earth, and formed it to straighten it up, LORD is his name, 3 "Cry to me and I will answer you, and I will report great and mighty things to you, which you did not know them, 4 for thus said LORD concerning the houses of this city and concerning the houses of king of Iouda, which have been torn down for palisades and battlements, 5 to fight against the Chaldeans and fill the city with the corpses of the men which I struck in my fury and in my anger, and I turned my face from them, because of all their wickedness. 6 'See, I bring upon it complete healing and cure, and I will reveal it to them, and I will heal it and peace and faithfulness. 7 And I will return the exile of Iouda and the exile of Israel, and I will build them as also before. 8 And I will clean them from all their iniquities, which they have sinned against me, and I will not at all remember their sins, which they have been sinning against me, and they departed from me. 9 And
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## 53. Ieremias' Message to Sedekias (41:1-7)
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it will become into joy and praise and into glory to the whole people of the land, who will hear all the good which I will do, and they will fear, and they will be provoked for all the good things and for all the peace which I will do to them.'"'

10 Thus said LORD, 'It will be heard again in this place, about which you say, "It is desert from men and cattle," in the cities of Iouda and outside Ierousalēm, those which have been deserted because there is no man and no cattle, 11 voice of joy and voice of joyfulness,-to the voice of bridegroom and to the voice of bride,-a voice of men saying, "Praise LORD, Almighty, for LORD is good, for his mercy is for ever." And they will bring gifts to the house of LORD, for I will return all the exile of that land as before', said LORD.

12 Thus said LORD of the forces, 'There will be again, in this place, which is desert, because there is no man and no cattle, in all its cities restingplaces for shepherds folding sheep. 13 In the cities of the hill country and in the cities of Sephēla and in the cities of Nageb and in the land of Beniamein and in the [cities] round about Ierousalēm and in the cities of Iouda, sheep will pass again by the hand of one who counts them', said LORD.

## 53. Ieremias' Message to Sedekias (41:1-7)

1 The word which came to Ieremias from LORD-and Nabouchodonosor, king of Babylon and all his army and all the land of his dominion were fighting him against Ierousalēm and against all the cities of Ioudasaying, 2 'Thus said LORD, "Go to Sedekias, king of Iouda, and you will say to him, 'Thus said LORD, "By a delivery this town will be delivered into the hands of king of Babylon, and he will capture it, and he will burn it with fire. 3 And you will not at all be saved from his hand, and by a capture you will be captured, and you will be given into his hands, and your eyes will see his eyes, and you will come into Babylon." 4 But hear the word of LORD, Sedekias, you king of Iouda.

Thus says LORD, 5 "You will die in peace, and as they bewailed your fathers, who were kings before you, they will also bewail you, and they will mourn you right to Hades, for I have spoken a word"', said LORD. 6 And Ieremias spoke all these words to king Sedekias in Ierousalēm. 7 And the force of king of Babylon was fighting against Ierousalèm and against the cities of Iouda and against Lacheis and against Azēka, for these had been left among the cities of Iouda, strong cities.

## 54. The Broken Covenant (41:8-22)
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## 54. The Broken Covenant (41:8-22)

8 The word which came to Ieremias from LORD after king Sedekias had completed a covenant with the people to proclaim release, 9 so that each one should send away his servant free and each one his servant-girl free, the Hebrew man and the Hebrew woman, so that a man from Iouda should not be a slave. 10 And all the great men and all the people, those who had entered into the covenant to send away each one his servant and his servant-girl, turned again, and they forced them back 11 to be servants and servant-girls.

12 And a word of LORD came to Ieremias, saying, 13 'Thus said LORD, "I made a covenant with your fathers in the day in which I delivered them from the land of Egypt, from a house of slavery, saying, 14 'When six years have been completed you will send away your Hebrew brother, who will be sold to you, and he will work six years for you, and you will send him away free. And they did not listen to me and they did not incline their ear. 15 They turned back today to do what is right in my eyes so that each one should proclaim release of his neighbour, and they completed a covenant before me in the house where my name has been called upon it. 16 And you turned back, and you profaned my name that each one turned back his servant and each one his girl-servant, whom you had sent away free according to their desire, for you as servants and girl-servants.' 17 Therefore, thus said LORD, 'You have not listened to me to proclaim release each one to his neighbour. See, I will proclaim release to you to the sword and to death and to famine, and I will give you into scattering among all the kingdoms of the earth. 18 And I will give the men who have transgressed my covenant, who did not keep up my covenant which they made before me, the calf, which they made to work for it, 19 the rulers of Iouda and the powerful and the priests and the people, 20 and I will give them to their enemies, and their carcasses will be food for the birds of the sky and for the beasts of the earth. 21 And Sedekias, king of Ioudaia, and their rulers I will give into the hands of their enemies, and force of king of Babylon will be against those who run away from them.'

22 'See, I order', speaks LORD, 'and I will return them to this land, and they will fight against it, and they will take it, and they will burn it with fire and the cities of Iouda, and I will give them desert from inhabitants.'

## 55. Ieremias and the Archabein (42:1-19)

 $\beta \alpha \sigma ı \varepsilon ́ \varepsilon \omega \rho ~ I o v \delta \alpha ~ \lambda \varepsilon ́ \gamma \omega v$



 đò $\pi \alpha \sigma \tau o \varphi o ́ g \iota o v ~ v i ́ \omega ̃ v ~ I ~ \omega v \alpha v ~ v i o u ̃ ~ A v \alpha v i ́ o v ~ v i o v ̃ ~ \Gamma o \delta o \lambda i ́ o v ~ \alpha ̉ v \vartheta \varrho \omega ́ \pi o v ~$





























55. Ieremias and the Archabein (42:1-19)

1 The word which came to Ieremias from LORD in days of Iōakeim king of Iouda, saying,

2 'Go to the house of Archabein, and you will bring them to the house of LORD, to one of the courts, and give them wine to drink!' 3 And I brought Iechonias, son of Ieremin, son of Chabasein, and his brothers and his sons and the whole house of Archabein, 4 and I brought them into the house of LORD, into the chamber of sons of Iōnan, son of Ananias, son of Godolias, a man of GOD, who is near to the house of the rulers, those above the house of Maasaias, son of Selōm, keeper of the court. 5 And I gave before them a jar of wine and cups, and I said, 'Drink wine!' 6 And they said, 'We will never drink wine, for Iōnadab, son of Rēchab, our father, commanded us, saying, "You will never drink wine, you and your sons forever! 7 And you will never build a house, and you will never sow seed, and you will have no vineyard, for you will live in tents all your days, that you may live many days in the land in which you reside in it!" 8 And we listened to the voice of Iōnadab, our father, to drink no wine all our days, we and our wives and our sons and our daughters, 9 and to build no houses to live there, we have had no vineyard and no field and no seed, 10 and we have lived in tents, and we have listened, and we have done according to all that Iōnadab, our father, commanded us. 11 And it came to be when Nabouchodonosor came up against the land, and we said that we would go in, and we went into Ierousalèm from the face of the force of the Chaldeans and from the face of the force of the Assyrians, and we were living there.'

12 And a word of LORD came to me, saying, 13 'Thus says LORD, "Go and say to a man of Iouda and to those who live in Ierousalèm, 'Surely, you will take no correction to listen to my words, will you? 14 Sons of Iōnadab, son of Rēchab, have kept a word which he commanded his children, to drink no wine, and they did not drink. And I have spoken to you in the morning, and I have spoken, and you have not listened. 15 And I sent my servants, the prophets, to you, saying, "Turn away everyone from his evil way, and make your practices better, and you do not go behind other gods to serve them, and you will live in the land which I gave to you and to your fathers!" And you did not incline your ears and you did not listen. 16 And sons of Iōnadab, son of Rēchab, have kept the commandment of their father, but this people did not listen to me."'







## 56. Ieremias, Barouch, and the Papyrus (43:1-8)
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## 57. Iōakeim has the Papyrus Burnt, Barouch Writes a New One. Ieremias Imprisoned (43:9-45:28)








17 Therefore, thus said LORD, 'See, I bring upon Iouda and upon those who live in Ierousalem all the evil which I have spoken against them.' 18 Therefore, thus said LORD, 'Because sons of Iōnadab, son of Rēchab have listened to the commandment of their father to do as their father commanded them, 19 there will never lack a man of the sons of Iōnadab, son of Rēchab, standing before me all the days of the land.'

## 56. Ieremias, Barouch, and the papyrus (43:1-8)

1 In the fourth year of Iōakeim, son of Iōseia, king of Iouda, a word of LORD came to me, saying,

2 'Take yourself a small papyrus of a book, and write on it all the words which I have spoken to you against Ierousalēm and against Iouda and against all the nations, from the day in which I began to speak to you, from days of Iōseia, king of Iouda, until this day. 3 Perhaps the house of Iouda will hear all the evil which I plan to do to them, that they turn away from their evil way, and I will be merciful to their iniquities and to their sins.'

4 And Ieremias called Barouch, son of Nērias, and he wrote from the mouth of Ieremias all the words of LORD which he had spoken to him on a small papyrus of a book. 5 And Ieremias commanded Barouch, saying, 'I am being guarded, I cannot enter into the house of LORD. 6 And you will read in this small papyrus in the ears of the people in the house of LORD on the day of fasting, and in the ears of all Iouda, those who come from their city, you will read to them. 7 Perhaps compassion for them will fall before LORD, and they will turn away from their evil way, for great is the anger and the fury of LORD, which he has spoken against this people.' 8 And Barouch did according to all which Ieremias had commanded him, to read in the book, words of LORD, in the house of LORD.

## 57. Iōakeim has the Papyrus Burnt, Barouch Writes a New One. Ieremias Imprisoned (43:9-45:28)

9 And it came to be in the eighth year of king Iōakeim, in the ninth month, all the people in Ierousalēm and house of Iouda proclaimed a fast before LORD. 10 And Barouch was reading in the book the words of Ieremias, in the house of LORD, in the house of Gamareias, son of Saphan, the scribe, in the court which is above, in the entrance of gate of the house of LORD, the new gate, in the ears of all the people. 11 And
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Meichaias, son of Gamareias, son of Saphan, heard all the words of LORD from the book. 12 And he went down to house of king, to the house of the scribe, and see, there all the rulers were sitting, Eleisama, the scribe, and Dalaias, son of Selemias, and Iōnathan, son of Akchobōr, and Gamarias, son of Saphan, and Sedekias, son of Ananias, and all the rulers. 13 And Meichaias reported to them all the words which he had heard, when Barouch read in the ears of the people. 14 And all the rulers sent to Barouch, son of Nērias, son of Nathanias, son of Selemias, son of Chousei, saying, 'The small papyrus in which you read in it, in ears of the people, take it in your hand, and come.' And Barouch took the small papyrus, and went down to them. 15 And they said to him, 'Read again in our ears.' And Barouch read.

16 And it came to be when they heard all the words, each one consulted with his neighbour, and they said, 'Reporting let us report to the king all these words.' 17 And they asked Barouch, saying, 'Where did you write all these words?' 18 And Barouch said, 'From his mouth Ieremias reported all these words to me, and I wrote them in a book.'

19 And they said to Barouch, 'Go, hide, you and Ieremias! Let not a man know where you are!' 20 And they went in to the king, to the court, and they gave the small papyrus to be preserved in the house of Eleisa, and they reported all the words to the king. 21 And the king sent Ioudein to take the small papyrus, and he took it from the house of Eleisa, and Ioudein read it in the ears of the king, and in the ears of all the rulers who were standing around the king. 22 And the king was sitting in the winter house, and a hearth of fire was before him. 23 And it came to be when Ioudein had read three columns and four, he cut them off with the razor of the scribe, and he threw them into the fire which was on the hearth, until all the papyrus had ceased into the fire which was on the hearth, until all the papyrus had ceased into the fire which was on the hearth. 24 And they did not seek, and they did not tear their garments, the king and his servants, who had heard all these words. 25 And Elnathan and Godolias suggested to the king that he should burn the small papyrus completely.

26 And the king commanded Ieremeēl, son of the king, and Sarea, son of Esriēl, to capture Barouch and Ieremias, and they hid.
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27 And a word of LORD came to Ieremias after the king had completely burnt the small papyrus, all the words which Barouch had written from the mouth of Ieremias, saying, 28 'Take again another small papyrus, and write all the words which were on the small papyrus, the words which king Iōakeim burnt completely! 29 And you will say, "Thus said LORD, 'You have burnt this small papyrus completely, saying, "Why have you written on it, saying, 'Entering the king of Babylon will enter, and he will utterly destroy this land, and man and cattle will fade away from it.'" 30 Therefore, thus said LORD concerning Iōakeim, king of Iouda, 'He will not have a man sitting on the throne of Daueid, and his carcass will be cast in the heat by day and in the frost by night. 31 And I will visit him and his family and his servants, and I will bring upon him and upon those who live in Ierousalèm and upon the land of Iouda all the evil which I have spoken to them, and they have not listened.'"'

32 And Barouch took another small papyrus and wrote on it from the mouth of Ieremias all the words of the book, the words which Iōakeim had burnt completely, and yet more words were added to it like these.

44:1 And Sedekias, son of Iōseia was king instead of Iōakeim, whom Nabouchodonosor made king to be king of Iouda. 2 And they did not listen, he and his servants and the people of the land, to the words of LORD, which he spoke by the hand of Ieremias. 3 And king Sedekias sent Iōachal, son of Selemias, and Sophonias, the priest, son of Massaias, to Ieremias, saying, 'Pray indeed for us to LORD'. 4 And Ieremias went, and he went through the midst of the city, and they had not delivered him into the house of prison.

5 And force of Pharaō went out from Egypt, and the Chaldeans heard their hearsay, and they went up to Ierousalēm. 6 And a word of LORD came to Ieremias, saying, 7 'Thus said LORD, "Thus you will say to the king of Iouda, who has sent to you to seek me, 'See, force of Pharaō, which has gone out to help you, they will go back to the land of Egypt. 8 And the Chaldeans themselves will return, and they will fight against this city and they will take it, and burn it with fire. 9 For thus said LORD, "Do not suppose in your souls, saying, 'Departing the Chaldeans will go away from us', for they will not at all go away. 10 And even if you strike every force of the Chaldeans, those who fight against you, and some pierced men are left behind, each one in his place, these men will stand up and burn this city with fire."'"'
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11 And it came to be when the force of the Chaldeans had gone up from Ierousalēm from the face of the force of Pharaō, 12 Ieremias went out from Ierousalèm to go to the land of Beniamein to buy from there in the midst of the people. 13 And he came to be in the gate of Beniamein and there was a man by whom he used to lodge, Sarouias, son of Selemias, son of Ananias, and he captured Ieremias, saying, 'You are fleeing to the Chaldeans.' 14 And he said, 'That is a lie. I am not fleeing to the Chaldeans.' And he did not listen to him, and Sarouia captured Ieremias, and brought him in to the rulers. 15 And the rulers were provoked at Ieremias, and they beat him, and they sent him to the house of Iōnatham, the scribe, for they had made it a prison house. 16 And Ieremias went to the house of the cistern and to the chereth, and he sat there many days. 17 And Sedekias sent, and called him, and the king asked him secretly to say, 'Is the word from LORD?' And he said, 'It is. You will be delivered into the hands of king of Babylon.'

18 And Ieremias said to the king, 'What wrong have I done to you and your servants and to this people, that you deliver me to the house of prison? 19 And where are your prophets, who prophesied to you, saying that, "King of Babylon will not at all come against this land. 20 And now, LORD king, let compassion for me fall before you! And why do you return me to the house of Iōnathan, the scribe? And I will not at all die there! 21 And the king ordered and they put him into the house of prison, and they gave him a bread a day, from without where they bake, until the bread failed from the city. And Ieremias sat in the court of the prison.

45:1 And Saphanias, son of Nathan, and Godolias, son of Paschōr, and Öachal, son of Selemias, heard the words which Ieremias was speaking against the people, saying, 2 'Thus said LORD, "He who lives in this city will die by sword and by famine, and he who goes out to the Chaldeans will live, and his soul will become into a find, and he will live. 3 For thus said LORD, 'Being delivered this city will be delivered into the hands of force of king of Babylon, and he will take it.'"' 4 And they said to the king, 'Let indeed that man be killed, for he weakens the hands of the men who fight, who are left in the city, and the hands of all the people, when he is speaking to them according to these words, for this man is not speaking for edification of peace to this people, but just evil.' 5 And the king said, 'See, he is in your hands', for the king was not able against them. 6 And they threw him into a cistern of Melchias, son of the
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king, which was in the court of the prison, and they let him down into the cistern, and there was no water in the cistern, but just mud, and he was in the mud.

7 And Abdemelech, the Ethiopian, heard-and he was in the house of the king-that they had delivered Ieremias into the cistern. And the king was in the gate of Beniamein. 8 And he went out to him, and he spoke to the king, and said, 9 'You have acted wickedly, what you have done, to kill this man from the face of the famine, for there is no more bread in the city.' 10 And the king commanded Abdemelech, saying, 'Take into your hands thirty men, and bring him up from the cistern, that he may not die!' 11 And Abdemelech took the men, and went into the underground house of the king, and he took from there old rags and old ropes, and he threw them to Ieremias in the cistern. 12 And he said, 'Put these under the ropes.' And Ieremias did so. 13 And they drew him with the ropes, and they brought him up from the cistern. And Ieremias sat in the court of the prison. 14 And the king sent and called him to himself to the house of aseleisēl, the one in the house of LORD, and the king said to him, 'I will ask you a word, and not indeed you shall hide from me a word!' 15 And Ieremias said to the king, 'If I report to you, surely with death you will put me to death, will you not? And if I consult with you, you will not at all listen to me. 16 And the king swore to him, saying, 'LORD lives, he who made this soul for us. If I will kill you, and if I will give you into hands of these men.'

17 And Ieremias said to him, 'Thus said LORD, "If having gone out you will go out to the leaders of king of Babylon, your soul will live, and this city will not at all be burnt down with fire, and you will live, you and your house. 18 And if you do not go out, this city will be delivered into the hands of the Chaldeans, and they will burn it with fire and you will not at all be saved."'

19 And the king said to Ieremias, 'I have concerns with the Jews who have fled to the Chaldeans, lest they will deliver me into their hands, and they will mock me.'

20 And Ieremias said, 'They will not at all deliver you. Hear the word of LORD which I say to you, and it will be better for you, and your soul will live! 21 And if you do not want to go out, this is the word which LORD has shown to me, 22 "And see, all the women, who were left in the house of king of Iouda were brought out to the rulers of king of Babylon, and these women said, 'They have deceived you, and your peaceful men will
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## 58. Ierousalèm Captured, Ieremias Released, Abdemelech Saved (46:1-3, 14-18)


















be able against you, and they will dissolve your feet with slips, they have turned away from you. 23 And they will bring out your women and your children to the Chaldeans, and you will not at all be saved, for you will be captured by the hand of king of Babylon, and this city will be burnt down.'"'

24 And the king said to him, 'Let not a man know from these words, and you will not at all die! 25 And if the rulers hear that I have spoken to you, and they come to you, and say to you, "Tell us what the king spoke to you! Do not hide it from us, and we will not at all kill you! And what did the king speak to you?" 26 And you will say to them, "I throw compassion for me before the eyes of the king, that he will not send me back to the house of Iōnathan to die there."' 27 And all the rulers came to Ieremias, and they asked him, and he told them according to all these words, which the king had commanded him. And they kept silent, for not a word of LORD was heard. 28 And Ieremias sat in the court of the prison until time when Ierousalēm was captured.

## 58. Ierousalèm Captured, Ieremias Released, Abdemelech Saved (46:1-3, 14-18)

1 And it came to be in the ninth month of Sedekias, king of Iouda, Nabouchodonosor, king of Babylon, and his whole force came against Ierousalēm, and they besieged it. 2 And in the eleventh year of Sedekias, in the fourth month, on the ninth day of the month the city broke. 3 And all the leaders of king of Babylon went in, and they sat in the middle gate, Marganasar and Samagōth and Nabousachar and Nabousareis, Nagargasnaser, Rabamath and the rest of the leaders of king of Babylon. 14 And they sent, and they took Ieremias from the court of the prison and they gave him to Godolias, son of Acheikam, son of Saphan, and they brought him out, and he sat in midst of the people. 15 And to Ieremias came a word of LORD in the court of the prison, saying, 16 'Go and say to Abdemelech, the Ethiopian, "Thus said LORD, GOD of Israel, 'See, I bring my words upon this city for evil and not for good. 17 And I will save you in that day, and I will not at all deliver you into the hands of the men whom you fear from their face, 18 for saving I will save you, and you will not at all fall by the sword, and your soul will become into a find, because you have trusted in me', speaks LORD."'
59. Ieremias and Godolias. Godolias Killed. The

Jews Flee to Egypt. Ieremias in Egypt (47:1-50:13)
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59. Ieremias and Godolias. Godolias Killed. The

Jews Flee to Egypt. Ieremias in Egypt (47:1-50:13)
1 The word which came from LORD to Ieremias, after Nabouzardan, the chief cook from Daman, had sent him away, when he had taken him in handcuffs in the midst of exile of Iouda, of those who were brought to Babylon. 2 And the chief cook took him, and he said to him, 'LORD, your GOD, spoke this evil upon this place, 3 and LORD has done it, because you sinned against him, and you did not listen to his voice. 4 See, I have released you from the handcuffs, those on your hands. If it is good before you to come with me to Babylon, I will also put my eyes upon you. 5 But if not, run away, and return to Godolias, son of Acheikam, son of Saphan, whom king of Babylon has appointed in the land of Iouda, and live with him in the midst of the people in the land of Iouda. Go to all that is good in your eyes to go to.' And the chief cook gave him gifts, and he sent him away. 6 And he went to Godolias, to Massēpha, and he sat in the midst of the people who had been left in the land. 7 And all the leaders of the force which was in the field, they and their men, heard that king of Babylon had appointed Godolias in the land, and they committed their men and women to him, whom he had not sent into exile to Babylon. 8 And Ismaēl, son of Nathanias, and Iōnan, son of Karēe, and Saraia, son of Thanaemaith, and sons of Iōphe of Netōphatei, and Iezonias, son of Mochatei, they and their men came to Godolias to Massēpha. 9 And Godolias swore to them and to their men, saying, 'Do not fear from the face of servants of the Chaldeans, settle in the land, and work for the king of Babylon, and it will be better for you! 10 And see, I sit before you in Massēpha to stand before the Chaldeans, who will come against you. And you, gather wine and fruit and oil, and put it into your vessels, and live in the cities which you have obtained possession of! 11 And all the Jews, those in Mōab and among the sons of Ammōn and those in Idoumaia and those in the whole land heard that king of Babylon had given a remnant to Iouda, and that he had appointed Godolias, son of Acheikam, over them. 12 And they came to Godolias, to the land of Iouda, to Massēpha, and they gathered wine and much fruit and oil. 13 And Iōanan, son of Karēe, and all the leaders of the force, those who were in the fields, came to Godolias in Massēpha. 14 And they said to him, 'Do you know by knowledge that Belisa, king of sons of Ammōn, has sent Ismaēl to you to strike your soul?' And Godolias did not believe them. 15 And Iōanan said to Godolias, secretly in Massēpha, 'I will go indeed and strike
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Ismaēl. Let no one know, lest he will strike your soul, and all Iouda will be dispersed, those who have been gathered to you, and those left of Iouda will perish.' 16 And Godolias said to Iōanan, 'Do not do this deed, for you are telling lies about Ismael.'

48:1 And it came to be in the seventh month, Ismaèl, son of Nathanias, son of Elasa, of the family of the king, and ten men with him, came to Godolias to Massēpha. And there they ate bread together. 2 And Ismaēl rose and the ten men with him, and they struck Godolias, whom King of Babylon had appointed over the land, 3 and all the Jews who were with him in Massēpha and all the Chaldeans who were found there.

4 And it came to be on the second day, when he had struck Godolias, and a man did not know, 5 and men came from Suchem and from Salēm and from Samareias, eighty men with shaven beards and torn garments and mourning, and manna and frankincense in their hands, to bring into the house of LORD. 6 And Ismaēl went out to meet them. They themselves were coming, and they were crying. And he said to them, 'Come in to Godolias!' 7 And it came to be, when they entered into middle of the city, he slaughtered them into the well. 8 And ten men were found there, and they said to Ismaèl, 'Do not kill us, for we have treasures in the field, wheat and barley, honey and oil. And he passed by them, and he did not kill them in the middle of their brothers. 9 And the well in which Ismaēl threw there all whom he had struck, this is a big well, which king Asa had made from the face of Baasa, king of Israel. This Ismaēl filled with wounded. 10 And Ismaēl returned all the people who had been left in Massēpha, and the daughters of the king, whom the chief cook had committed to Godolias, son of Acheikam. And he had gone to the other side of sons of Ammōn.

11 And Iōanan, son of Kareee and all the leaders of the force, those who were with him, heard about all the evil which Ismaēl had done. 12 And they brought all their army, and they went to fight against him, and they found him at much water in Gabaō. 13 And it came to be, when all the people who were with Ismaēl, saw Iōanan and the leaders of the force which was with him, 14 and they returned to Iōanan. 15 And Ismaēl was saved together with eight men, and they had gone to the sons of Ammōn. 16 And Iōanan and all the leaders of the force, those with him, took all those left of the people whom he had returned from Ismaēl, powerful men in war and the women and the rest and the eunuchs, [whom] he
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returned from Gabaōn. 17 And they went and they sat in Gabērōchamaa, the one by Bēthleem, to go to Egypt 18 from the face of the Chaldeans. For they feared from their face, for Ismaēl had struck Godolias, whom king of Babylon had appointed in the land. 49:1 And all the leaders of the force and Iōanan and Azarias, son of Maasaias, and all the people, from the small and to the great, came 2 to Ieremias, the prophet, and said to him, 'Let indeed compassion for us fall before you, and pray to LORD, your GOD for these who are left, for we are few who are left out of many, as your eyes see. 3 And let LORD, your GOD, declare the way in which we will go in it, and a word which we will do.

4 And Ieremias said to them, 'I have heard. See, I will pray to LORD, your GOD, according to your words, and the word will be, whichever LORD will answer, I will declare it to you. I will not at all hide a word from you.' 5 And they said to Ieremias, 'Let LORD become among us into a righteous and faithful witness, if we will not do thus, according to all the word which LORD will send to us. 6 Both if it is good and if it is bad, we will listen to the voice of LORD, our GOD, where we send you to him, that it will be better for us, for we will listen to the voice of LORD, our GOD. 7 And it came to be, after ten days, a word of LORD came to Ieremias. 8 And he called Iōanan and the leaders of the force and all the people from the small and to the great. 9 And he said to them, 'Thus said LORD, 10 "If having sat down you sit in this land, I will build you, and I will not at all tear down, and I will plant you and I will not at all pluck up, for I have rested upon the evil which I have done to you. 11 Do not fear from the face of king of Babylon, whom you fear from his face. Do not fear", speaks LORD, "for I am with you to deliver you and save you from their hand. 12 And I will give you mercy, and I will show mercy to you, and I will return you to your land. 13 And if you say, 'We will not at all sit in this land, so that we do not listen to voice of LORD, 14 for we will go into the land of Egypt, and we will see no war at all, and we will hear no sound of a trumpet, and we will not at all be hungry in bread, and there we will live.'"' 15 Therefore, hear a word of LORD, 'Thus said LORD, "If you give your face to Egypt, and you go into it to live there, 16 and it will be, the sword, which you fear from its face, will find you in the land of Egypt, and the famine about which you have concerns from the face of it, will overtake you, behind you in Egypt, and there you will die. 17 And all the men and all the foreigners who have set their face to





































the land of Egypt to live there will be, they will fade away by the sword and by the famine, and there will be no one of them who is being saved from the evil which I bring upon them." 18 For thus said LORD, "As my anger has dropped upon the inhabitants of Ierousalēm, so my anger will drop upon you, when you have come into Egypt. And you will become into an impassable and subordinates and a curse and a reproach, and you will never ever see this place again."'
19 This is what LORD spoke concerning you, you who are left of Iouda, 'Do not go to Egypt.' And now having got to know you shall know 20 that you have acted wickedly in your souls, when you sent me, saying, 'Pray for us to LORD, and according to all that LORD will speak to you, we will do.' 21 And you did not listen to the voice of LORD, which he sent me to you. 22 And now you will fade away by the sword and by famine, in the place where you want to go to live there.
50:1 And it came to be, when Ieremias ceased saying to the people the entire words of LORD, which LORD had sent him to them, all these words. 2 And Azarias, son of Maasaias, said, and Iōanan, son of Karēe, and all the men who had been speaking to Ieremias, saying, 'Lies! LORD has not sent you to us, saying, "Do not go into Egypt to live there", 3 but Barouch, son of Nēreias, incites you against us, that you may give us into the hands of the Chaldeans to kill us and that we be sent into exile to Babylon. 4 And Ioanan and all the leaders of the force and all the people did not listen to the voice of LORD, to live in the land of Iouda. 5 And Iōanan and all the leaders of the force took all those left of Iouda, who had returned to live in the land, 6 the powerful men and the women and the rest and the daughters of the king and the souls whom Nabouzaradan had left with Godolias, son of Acheikam, and Ieremias, the prophet, and Barouch, son of Nēreias. 7 And they went into Egypt, for they did not listen to the voice of LORD, and they went into Taphnas. 8 And a word of LORD came to Ieremias in Taphnas, saying, 9 'Take yourself large stones, and hide them in the entrance in the gate of the house of Pharaō in Taphnas before the eyes of men of Iouda. 10 And you will say, 'Thus said LORD, "See, I send, and I will bring Nabouchodonosor, king of Babylon, and he will set his throne above these stones, which you have hidden, and he will lift the weapons against them. 11 And he will come into and he will strike the land of Egypt, those who are for death, to death, those who are for exile, to exile and those who are for sword, to sword. 12 And he will light a fire in the houses of their gods, and he will burn the houses, and he will send the men into exile, and he will pick the lice off the land
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of Egypt just as a shepherd picks the lice off his garment, and he will go away in peace. 13 And he will break the pillars of Heliopolis, those in Ōn, and he will burn their houses completely with fire.

## 60. Lord's Word Concerning the Jews in Egypt (51:1-30)

1 The word which came to Ieremias for all the Jews who live in the land of Egypt and for those who are settling in Magdōlos and in Taphnas and in the land of Pathourēs, saying,

2 'Thus said LORD, GOD of Israel, "You have seen all the evil which I have brought upon Ierousalem and upon the cities of Iouda. And see, they are desert from inhabitants, 3 from the face of their evil, which they did to provoke me, when they went to burn incense to other gods, whom you did not know. 4 And I sent to you my servants, the prophets, early in the morning, and I sent, saying, 'Do not do this deed of defilement, which I have hated!' 5 And they did not listen, and they did not incline their ear, to turn away from their evil, to not burn incense to other gods. 6 And my fury and my anger dropped, and it burnt in the gates of Iouda and outside Ierousalēm, and they became a desolation and an impassable, as this day." 7 And now, thus said LORD, Almighty, "Why are you doing great evil to your souls, to cut off from you man and woman, child and suckling from the midst of Iouda, that no one of you will be left, 8 to provoke me with the works of your hands, to burn incense to other gods in the land of Egypt, to which you came to live there, that you might be cut off, and that you might become a curse and a reproach among all the nations of the earth? 9 Surely, you have not forgotten the evil of your fathers and the evil of the kings of Iouda and the evil of your rulers [and the evil of your rulers] and the evil of your women, which they committed in the land of Iouda and outside Ierousalēm, have you? 10 And they have not ceased until this day, and they have not been cleaving to my orders, which I gave before their fathers."'

11 Therefore, thus said LORD, 'See, I set up my face 12 to destroy all who are left, those in Egypt, and they will fall by the sword, and by famine they will fade away from the small to the great, and they will become into a reproach and a destruction and a curse. 13 And I will visit those who are settling in the land of Egypt as I visited Ierousalēm with sword and with famine. 14 And there will not be a single one who is saved of the remnants of Iouda, those who dwell in the land of Egypt, to return to the land of Iouda, to which they hope in their souls to return there. They will not at all return, except those who are rescued.'
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15 And they answered Ieremias, all the men who knew that their women were burning incense, and all the women, a great assembly, and all the people who were settling in the land of Egypt, in Pathourē, saying, 16 'The word which you have spoken to us in the name of LORD, we will not listen to you, 17 for doing we will do the whole word, which will come out of our mouth, to burn incense to the queen of heaven, and to offer drink-offerings to her, as we did and our fathers and our kings and our rulers in the cities of Iouda and outside Ierousalēm, and we were satisfied with bread, and we were well, and we saw no evil. 18 And when we ceased to burn incense to the queen of heaven, we were all diminished, both by the sword and by famine we have faded away. 19 And that we burn incense to the queen of heaven, and we offered drink-offerings; surely, we did not make chawns to her, and we did not offer drink-offerings to her without our men, did we?' 20 And Ieremias said to all the people, to the powerful and to the women and to all the people who had answered him words, saying, 21 'Surely, LORD remembered the incense which we burnt in the cities of Iouda, and outside Ierousalēm, you and your fathers and your kings and your rulers and the people of the land, did he not, and it came up to his heart, did it not? 22 And LORD could no longer bear from the face of the evil of your deeds, and from the abominations which you committed. And your land became a desolation and an impassable and a curse, as in this day, 23 from the face of what you were burning as incense, and what you sinned against LORD, and you did not listen to the voice of LORD, and you did not walk in his orders and in his law and in his testimonies, and this evil took hold of you.'

24 And Ieremias said to the people and to the women, 'Listen to the word of LORD! 25 Thus said LORD, GOD of ISRAEL, "You women have spoken with your mouth, and you have fulfilled with your hands, saying, 'Doing we will do our promises, which we have promised, to burn incense to the queen of heaven and to offer drink-offerings.' Having abode you have abode by your promises, and doing you have done."'

26 'Therefore, hear a word of LORD all Iouda, you who are settling in the land of Egypt. See, I have sworn by my great name', said LORD, 'if my name will come again into the mouth of all Iouda to say, "LORD lives", in every Egypt land. 27 For I am watching over them, to do them evil and not to do good, and all Iouda, those who live in the land of Egypt, will fade away by the sword and by famine, if they will fade away. 28 And those rescued from the sword will return to the land of Iouda, few in number. And those left of Iouda, those who have settled in the land of Egypt
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## 62. Ierousalēm Captured. Sedekias Captured and Brought to Babylon (52:1-11)

















to live there, will know whose word will stand. 29 And this will be the sign to you that I will visit you for evil. 30 Thus said LORD, "See, I give Ouaphrē, king of Egypt, into the hands of his enemy and into the hands of those who seek his soul, as I gave Sedekias, king of Iouda, into the hands of Nabouchodonosor, king of Babylon, his enemy and one seeking his soul."'

## 61. Lord's Word to Barouch (51:31-35)

31 The word which Ieremias, the prophet, spoke to Barouch, son of Nērias, when he was writing these words in the book from the mouth of Ieremias, in the fourth year of Iōakeim, son of Iōsia, king of Iouda.

32 Thus said LORD concerning you, Barouch, 33 'Because you said, "Woe, woe, for LORD has added trouble to pain for me, I lay down in groanings, I found no rest." 34 Say to him, "Thus said LORD, 'See, those whom I have built, I tear down, and those whom I have planted, I pluck up. 35 And you will seek great things for yourself? Do not seek, for see, I bring evil upon all flesh', says LORD, 'and I will give your soul into a finding in every place, where you go there.'"'

## 62. Ierousalēm Captured. Sedekias Captured and Brought to Babylon (52:1-11)

1 It was the twenty first year [of Sedekias], when he was king, and he was king for eleven years in Ierousalēm, and the name of his mother was Ameitaal, daughter of Ieremias from Lobena. 4 And it came to be in the ninth year of his reign, in the ninth month, on the tenth day of the month, Nabouchodonosor, king of Babylon, and all his force came against Ierousalèm, and they besieged it, and they enclosed it with foursided constructions round about. 5 And the city came under siege until the eleventh year of king Sedekias, 6 on the ninth day of the month, and the famine was severe in the city, and there was no bread for the people of the land. 7 And the city was broken up, and all the men, the warriors, went out by night, by the way of the gate between the wall and the outwork which was by the garden of the king. And the Chaldeans were against the city round about. And they went a way, the one to Araba. 8 And the force of the Chaldeans pursued behind the king, and they overtook him on the other side of Iereichō, and all his servants were scattered from him. 9 And they captured the king, and brought him to the king
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of Babylon, to Deblatha, and he spoke to him with judgement. 10 And king of Babylon slaughtered the sons of Sedekias before his eyes, and all the rulers of Iouda he slaughtered in Deblatha. 11 And he blinded the eyes of Sedekias, and he bound him in fetters, and king of Babylon brought him to Babylon, and he placed him in a mill-house, until the day on which he died.

## 63. Ierousalēm Burned. The People and Loot Taken to Babylon. Iōakeim Pardoned (52:12-34)

12 And in the fifth month, on the tenth day of the month, Nabouzardan, the chief cook, who stands before the king of Babylon, came to Ierousalēm. 13 And he burnt the house of LORD and the house of the king and all the houses of the city, and every great house he burnt with fire. 14 And the force of the Chaldeans which was with the chief cook, tore down every wall of Ierousalēm round about. 16 And those left of the people the chief cook left as vinedressers and farmers. 17 And the Chaldeans broke the pillars of copper, those in the house of LORD, and the bases and the sea of copper, the one in the house of LORD, and they took the copper of them, and carried it away to Babylon, 18 and the crown and the bowls and the flesh-hooks and all the vessels of copper with which they had been rendering service with them, 19 and the saphphōth and the masmaröth and the vessels for pouring oil and the lampstands and the censers and the ladles, which were golden golden and which were of silver of silver, the chief cook took these. 20 And the two pillars and the one sea and the twelve calves of copper under the sea, which king Salōmōn had made for the house of LORD-there was no weight for the copper of them. 21 And the pillars-height of the one pillar was thirtyfive cubits, and a cord of twelve cubits compassed it, and its thickness was four fingers round about. 22 And a cornice of copper was upon them, and the length of it was five cubits, the height of the one cornice, and a net and pomegranates were upon the cornice round about, all was of copper, and the same with the other pillar, eight pomegranates by the cubit for the twelve cubits. 23 And the pomegranates were ninety-six, one part, and the entire pomegranates were one hundred on the net round about. 24 And the chief cook took the first priest and the priest who was second, and the three who were guarding the way 25 and one eunuch, who was overseer of men, the warriors, and seven famous men, those in the face of the king, who were found in the city, and the scribe of the forces who was secretary to the people of the land, and sixty men of the people of
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the land, who were found in the middle of the city. 26 And Nabouzardan, the chief cook, took them, and brought them to the king of Babylon, to Deblatha. 27 And king of Babylon struck them in Deblatha, in the land of Aimath.

31 And it came to be in the thirty-seventh year, after Iōakeim, king of Iouda, had been sent into exile, in the twelfth month, on the twentyfourth day of the month, Oulaimadachar, king of Babylon, in the year in which he became king, he took the head of Iōakeim, king of Iouda, and he sheared him, and he brought him out from the house, in which he was kept. 32 And he spoke kindly to him, and he gave him the throne above the kings who were with him in Babylon. 33 And they changed his prison clothing, and he ate bread continually before him all the days which he lived. 34 And the allowance was given to him continually by the king of Babylon from day to day until the day on which he died.

COMMENTARY

The first section, which is one of the shortest in the whole book of Jeremiah, is not much more than a superscription. To describe it as an introduction would most likely go too far. Perhaps it could rather be described as a preface by the person who collected the words of Jeremiah. The superscription contains information about (a) the content of the following text, viz., a word of God, i.e., a prophecy, (b) the mediator of the content, i.e., the prophet Jeremiah, (c) the time span of the prophecy. Nothing more is told about the content of this prophecy than that it is a word of God, given to the prophet Jeremiah. Not much is told about the prophet either; the name of his father was Chelkias, he was a priest, and he was living in Anathōth. The rest of the section gives information about the beginning and end of Jeremiah's prophetic activity.

Though the first section is very short and only consists of a superscription to the book of Jeremiah, it still clearly illustrates some of the major problems with the Greek text of Jeremiah, viz., what the relation is between the Greek text and its Hebrew Vorlage, and how the reader of the Greek text understood the Greek text without access to the Vorlage. The second of these questions is the main concern of the present commentary, while the first question is mostly beyond the scope of the present investigation and will only occasionally be addressed.

1. Already in the first verse of Jeremiah there are a number of features that doubtless caught the attention of the reader. In the Septuagint the first
 correspond rather well to what is found in Hos. 1:1, Joel 1:1, Mic. 1:1, and Zeph. 1:1, with the exception of $\varrho \dot{\eta} \mu \alpha$ instead of $\lambda$ ó $\gamma \mathbf{o}$. On the other hand the text differs from that in MT, דברי ירמיהו, which appears to correspond rather to Amos 1:1 and Eccl. 1:1. Obviously, LXX is not a literal translation of a Hebrew text which corresponds to MT. This does not necessarily mean that the translator had another Hebrew text in front of him, and it has been argued that the translator "accommodated Jer. 1:1 to a more common type of superscription", McKane 1986, 2. Given the literal character of the translation, it is, however, at least a possibility that the translator followed another Hebrew text, cf. Tov 1981, 4-5. Most likely the average reader of Greek Jeremiah was not aware of the relation to the Hebrew text, but he was certainly aware that he was reading a translation. Thus he might have wondered what was behind $\varrho \tilde{\eta} \mu \alpha$ and $\lambda$ óros, and what was the difference between tò @́ $\tilde{\eta} \mu \alpha$ тoṽ $\vartheta \varepsilon o \tilde{v}$ which
came to Jeremiah, and the $\lambda$ ó $\gamma \mathrm{os}$ भugiov, which was given to, e.g., Joel and Hosea. As the following comment on tò $\varrho \tilde{\eta} \mu \alpha$ will show, there was actually nothing in the Vorlage that caused the variation in the Greek text, but only variation from the side of the translator.
đò $\varrho \tilde{\eta} \mu \alpha]$ For the variation in translation of the noun דבר between @ $\tilde{\eta} \mu \alpha$ and $\lambda o \gamma^{\prime} \circ \varsigma$ (v. 2) cf., e.g., Zech. 1:13; Is. 66:5; Jer. 9:7 (where the verb דבר is translated into $\tau \dot{\alpha}$ פ $\varrho$ translated into $\chi \varrho \eta \mu \alpha \tau i \zeta \omega) ; 45: 14 ; 49: 4$. Of the more than 200 examples of the noun דבר in Jeremiah, only 9 (1:1; 5:14; 6:10; 7:23; 16:10; 26:2 (LXX 33:2); 35:14 (LXX 42:14); 38:14 (LXX 45:14); 42:4 (LXX 49:4)) are דבר by $\varrho \tilde{\eta} \mu \alpha$ in these examples either (cf., however, $33: 2 ; 45: 14$ and 49:4). Whether Chrysostom had access here to a Hebrew text, or just noticed the variation between different passages can only be guessed. Anyhow, he comments on the passage: Chrys. fr. in Jer. 64.745 @ீ $ך \sigma \iota ~ \delta \varepsilon ́, ~ x \alpha i ̀ ~ \lambda o ́ \gamma o \varsigma, ~$
 message are the same."
đòv 七oũ Xe入xíov] seems to be a rendering of בן בן בן בקיהו is usually rendered by viós. Only here in Jeremiah is the idiomatic Greek expression, without viós, used. For a discussion of the construction with viós, see Thackeray 1909, 41-42, and Johannessohn 1910, 22. Cf. Tov. 1981, 7. Since the expression without viós is idiomatic Greek, "son" is added in the English translation to produce an idiomatic English translation.
2. The question of how the reader understood the text is clearly illustrated by the relative pronoun here in verse two. The very literal translation sometimes produces a Greek that is awkward and not infrequently there are several ways to understand the text. Of course, it is not possible to know how the readers understood the text, unless someone comments on the text. Thus it is important to discuss several possibilities, even though only one can be put in the translation.
ö $\varsigma$ ] The relative pronoun introducing the second verse most likely was as puzzling to the ancient reader as it is to the reader of today. The relative pronoun appears to be the common, but here not very successful, translation of the Hebrew relative particle אשׁר. The translation does not reproduce the meaning of the Vorlage, and the literal translation of the original produces a Greek which is quite odd. The same Hebrew construction is found in 14:1; 46:1 (LXX 26:1); 47:1 (LXX 29:1); 49:34 (LXX 25:14), none of which is translated in the same way as 1:2 (see, however, the critical apparatus of Gött. for $14: 1 ; 25: 14 ; 26: 1 ; 29: 1$ ).

The text can be interpreted in two ways. Either $\lambda$ ó $\gamma o s$ is taken as the complement of $\dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \varepsilon v \dot{\eta} \vartheta \eta$, the antecedent of ös being $\varrho \tilde{\eta} \mu \alpha$ (for the relative not agreeing in gender with the antecedent, but with the complement, see Smyth 1956, $\$ 2502 e$ ), and the beginning of verse two is rendered "which became a word of God"-or the antecedent of ös is $\lambda$ ó $\gamma \mathrm{o}$, which is incorporated in the relative clause (for incorporation, see Smyth 1956, §2536, BDR, $\$ 294.5$, and Porter 1999, 253). The first interpretation does not fit the context very well, and thus the latter is adopted. The incorporation of the antecedent is very rare in the translated books of the Septuagint. The reason for this is, of course, that there is no equivalent in Hebrew to the incorporation found in Greek. Still, there are a few examples in Jeremiah. Beside this example there is one in
 ทָ̃ $\sigma \omega \tau \eta$ oía. According to BDR, $\$ 294.5$ incorporation of the antecedent stems from literary usage. Thus it might be a hint about the ability of the translator of Jeremiah. According to Sollamo 1992, 45, the use of attraction of the relative is an indication of the ability of the translator, e.g., $7: 7,14$ and 15:14. Cf. also the comparative form in 38:11, and the predicative aorist participles in 49:20 and 51:3, as well as the use of the particle ${ }_{\alpha} v$ in 23:22. For a discussion of the ability of the translator and its relation to his ambition, i.e., why he produced a literal translation when he was able to produce an idiomatic one, see Walser 2008.

I $\omega \sigma \varepsilon ı \alpha]$ Gött. has I $\omega \sigma \iota \alpha$. Cf. v. 3.
3. In verse 2 the call of Jeremiah was assigned to the thirteenth year of the reign of Iōseia, i.e., approximately in the year 626; here in verse 3 the end of Jeremiah's mission is put to the captivity of Jerusalem in the year 587; cf. McKane 1986, 1.
uaì $\dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \varepsilon \dot{\varepsilon} \varepsilon \varepsilon \tau 0]$ It is not totally clear what is the subject of the verb $\dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \varepsilon \dot{\varepsilon} v \varepsilon \tau 0$. Either the subject could be supplied from the first verse, viz., to $\varrho \dot{\varrho} \tilde{\eta} \mu \alpha$, or $\dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \dot{\varepsilon} \varepsilon \varepsilon \tau \sigma$ is taken as an impersonal verb with the subject "it" referring to what happened in the first verse. Since the expression xai $\dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \varepsilon \dot{\varepsilon} v \varepsilon \tau o$ in Jeremiah is usually used as an impersonal verb when some kind of temporal modifier is added (here $\dot{\varepsilon} v$ таĩऽ $\mathfrak{\eta} \mu \varepsilon ́ \propto \alpha u s), ~ i t ~ i s ~$ taken as an impersonal verb here too. For a discussion of this Hebraistic construction, see Introduction.

I $\omega \alpha x \varepsilon \mu]$ Gött. has I $\omega \alpha \kappa \mu$.
I $\omega \sigma \varepsilon \iota \alpha$... $\Sigma \varepsilon \delta \varepsilon x \iota \alpha \ldots$ I $\omega \sigma \sigma \alpha$ ] Gött. has I $\omega \sigma \iota \alpha$ in both examples. For the genitive ending - $\alpha$ instead of the common ending -ov, see

Thackeray 1909, 161-162. The ending -ov is found in I $\omega \sigma \varepsilon \iota \frac{1}{}$ 3:6 and in $\Sigma \varepsilon \delta \varepsilon x, 0 v$ in $26: 1 ; 28: 59 ; 52: 1,10$, and 11.

Thus, in very few words the time frame is set, and the content is given. The author of the superscription wastes no time and no space in any details, but instead he turns directly to the words of Jeremiah himself.

## 2. Lord Calls Ieremias (1:4-10)

The second section, which like the first one is relatively short, comes as a very natural introduction after the superscription, since it contains Jeremiah's call, apparently the first words given to Jeremiah by God. It tries to establish the credibility of Jeremiah, thus anticipating Jeremiah's conflict with the false prophets. Right from the beginning Jeremiah struggles with his calling; a struggle that will form a part of the whole book. Jeremiah's hesitation might seem a topos for the calling of a prophet (cf. Moses and Jonah), but given the turbulent times, the fate of earlier prophets, and his later struggles, it forms a very suitable introduction to the book of Jeremiah.
5. In verse 5 the first reason for Jeremiah's credibility is given: he has not decided to become a prophet himself, but has been chosen and appointed by God. Here in verse 5 Jeremiah is addressed for the first time. The verse contains an interesting but somewhat surprising choice of tense made by the translator.
$\dot{\varepsilon} \pi i \sigma \tau \alpha \mu \alpha ı \ldots \dot{\eta} \gamma i \alpha \alpha \alpha \ldots$. . $\tau \dot{\varepsilon} \vartheta \varepsilon \varepsilon ı \alpha]$ are all renderings of Hebrew perfect forms: ידעתי ... הקדשתי ... נתתי. The Greek perfects $\mathfrak{\eta} \gamma \dot{\gamma} \alpha x \alpha$ and $\tau \varepsilon ́ v \varepsilon เ x \alpha$ fit the context very well, and the full range of the perfect aspect appears to be used, i.e., to express "the state or condition of the subject of the verb, as a result of an action (logically a prior action)", McKay 1994, 31, cf. Porter 1989, 245-259, and Fanning 1990, 103-120. Thus it is surprising that the translator chose to render the Hebrew perfect ידעתי by a verb in present tense, viz. $\dot{\varepsilon} \pi i \sigma \tau \alpha \mu \alpha \iota$. This is even more surprising since the standard renderings in Jeremiah of ידע are $\gamma เ v \omega ́ \sigma \varkappa \omega$ and oĩ $\alpha \alpha$, which would have fitted the context as well as the other perfect forms. However, $\varepsilon$ ह̇лíбт $\alpha \mu \alpha$ can be taken as a present signalling "an activity begun in the past and continuing to present time", McKay 1994, 41. Thus ėлíotau人l can be rendered by "I have been knowing", as it is in the present translation, cf. Smyth 1956, $\S 1885$, and Wallace 1995, 519-520. Still, it is puzzling
that the translator chose a present form followed by two perfect forms. Interestingly enough, Theodoret comments on the expression $\mathfrak{\eta} \gamma i \alpha x \alpha$ but has the verb in the aorist tense. Whether Theodoret had an aorist, which is found in a few MSS of Jeremiah, in his text, or whether he interpreted the perfect form as having the meaning of an aorist, can only
 "The 'I consecrated' he put instead of 'I appointed."'
$\dot{\varepsilon} \chi \mu \dot{\eta} \varrho \varrho \alpha \varsigma]$ is written in the margin. Most likely it is only a slip, which is already corrected by the first hand. $\dot{\varepsilon} \chi \mu \dot{\eta} \tau \varrho \alpha \varsigma$ is thus included in the text, but put in brackets.
 with the double accusative or with $\varepsilon i s / \omega \rho$ with the meaning "cause to be/become" is at least very rare outside the Septuagint and texts related to the Septuagint. Thus the literal rendering "I had set you a prophet". Cf. Helbing 1928, 57-58, BDAG, 1004, and Muraoka 2002, 555-556.

In the following paragraph, verses 6 to 8, the second reason for Jeremiah's credibility is given: Jeremiah is sent by God and his message is given by God. Further, a supposed objection against Jeremiah, viz., his age, is discussed, and the help of God is promised to him. The expressions $\mu \dot{\eta}$
 will be anything but pleasant. From verse 6 Jeremiah himself is the speaker of the text. In verse 6 a rare Hebrew expression is rendered by
 14:13 and 39:17. Thus Rahlfs suggests that all examples should have $\tilde{\omega}$, while Ziegler suggests that ó ơv should be read in all examples. Without access to a Hebrew text the reader, of course, knew nothing of the varying renderings in the manuscript, but if he had access to several manuscripts of the Greek text, it is not totally unlikely that he found different readings in the manuscripts.
6. The first word in the verse, $x \alpha i$, is written with a ligature. The scribe of Vaticanus rarely uses ligatures except in line endings, and the reason here appears to be to make room for a space between the last letter in verse 5 and the first letter in verse 6 . This space is rendered by a new paragraph in the present edition. For further information on sections and paragraphs see Introduction.
ó $\not \omega v$ ] Where LXX-Jeremiah has ó ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{w} v$ MT has אהה. Beside the four examples in Jeremiah ( $1: 6 ; 4: 10 ; 14: 13 ; 39: 17$ (MT 32:17)) there are 11 examples of אהה in MT. Four are translated with oulpuol (Judg. 11:35;

Ezek. 9:8; 11:13; Joel 1:15 (with three ơ" $\mu \mu \mathrm{ot})$ ), three with $\tilde{\omega}$ (2 Kings 3:10, 6:5, 6:15), two with $\mu \eta \delta \alpha \mu \tilde{\omega} \varsigma(E z e k .4: 14 ; 21: 5$ ) one with $\delta \varepsilon ́ o \mu \alpha u$ (Josh. 7:7), one with $\tilde{\alpha} \alpha \tilde{\alpha}$ (Judg. 6:22). In the four verses in Jeremiah we find both ó $\omega ้ v$ and $\tilde{\omega}$, and there is also variation between the manuscripts. In Vaticanus (as well as in Sinaiticus and Alexandrinus) three verses have ó $\omega ้ v$ and one (4:10) has $\stackrel{\tilde{\omega}}{\omega}$. Gött. has ó $\grave{\omega} v$ in all four examples, whereas Rahlfs has $\tilde{\omega}$ in all examples. The expression ó $ٌ \mathfrak{\omega} v$ most likely derives from Ex. 3:14, where it is a translation of אהיה. The similarity between אnd is striking, and it is likely that the translator was translating the latter into ó $\omega ้ v$ and the former into $\tilde{\omega}$. Cf. Ziegler 1958, 40, Janzen 1973, 81-82, Tov 1981, 13-14, and Althann 1983, 60.

Since ó $\neq v$ appears to be a reference to the holy name of God, "Who" is written with the capital letter in the translation. Chrysostom comments:

 when Moses once asked, and wanted to know the divine name, the Master said, 'I am the being."'
veஸ́tع@oऽ] is a rendering of נער. According to BDAG the comparative of véos mostly has little comparative force, which explains the quite frequent use of the comparative vé́te@os to render various Hebrew expressions, though Hebrew has no comparative forms. For the use of the comparative to soften an expression, see Smyth 1956, §1082d.
7. A stroke in the left margin above the line indicates that a new paragraph starts with verse 7 . Since $\varepsilon i \mu \mathrm{t}$ is the last word of the previous line, the new paragraph is not confirmed by the text. Hence it is not indicated in the edition. See also Introduction.
vعஸ́tを@os. Cf. v. 6.
8. $\dot{\alpha} \pi$ ì $\pi \varrho о \sigma \dot{\omega} \pi \sigma \cup]$ is a literal rendering of מפני. Jeremiah, with 41 examples, has one of the highest frequencies of this expression in the Septuagint. According to Sollamo 1979, 329, "The phrase $\dot{\alpha}$ лò $\pi \varrho о \sigma \dot{\omega} \pi \sigma v$ is unknown in Classical Greek and is not attested in the Koine or Modern Greek, either. It is a peculiarity of translation Greek. For this reason it could be regarded as a phraseological Hebraism. Since, however, $\alpha$ dó and $\dot{\varepsilon} \chi x$ can both be used to denote the starting point of the movement spatially and as they are often quite interchangeable, I have considered
 of, from before', whereas other meanings (above all, the causal meanings) and uses of $\dot{\alpha} \pi$ ò л@обо́лоv (after certain verbs, such as $\varphi о \beta \varepsilon \tilde{\tau} \sigma \vartheta \alpha$,
$\varepsilon \cup \dot{u} \lambda \alpha \beta \varepsilon \tau \sigma \vartheta \sigma \iota$, etc.) are regarded as Hebraistic." However, given the high frequency of the expression in Jeremiah and its total absence outside the Greek of the Septuagint and texts related to the Septuagint, it is here regarded as a Septuagintism. Thus the literal rendering "from ... face" in the English translation. Cf. BDR, $\S 140,217.1$, and Muraoka 2002, 492. For the article, see Introduction.

In verses 9 and 10 the last reason for Jeremiah's credibility is given: not only has God given him what he will say, but also the ability to speak the words of God. If there is a reference to Is. 6:5-7 here too, this reference is at least not very explicit.
10. $x \alpha \tau \varepsilon \sigma \tau \alpha x \alpha]$ is the late transitive form of the classical intransitive
 xai $\beta \alpha \sigma i \lambda \varepsilon i \alpha \kappa]$ Gött. has xai દ̉лi $\beta \alpha \sigma ı \lambda \varepsilon i \alpha \varsigma$.

 be an indication of a tradition in which the positive element of Jeremiah's message to the nations, $\varepsilon$ हैvv $\eta$, is excluded. It should also be noticed that the intensive force of five infinitives in succession is even augmented by the fact that all are in the present tense, thus indicating the ongoing character of Jeremiah's mission.

After the superscription and the short introduction with Jeremiah's call, the book turns directly to the first vision of Jeremiah.

## 3. The First Vision: A Rod of Nut-wood (1:11-12)

The following section is the shortest in Jeremiah, but nonetheless it contains a very interesting problem concerning translation technique. In the Hebrew text there is a play on words, which is not reproduced in the translation. Thus the Greek text most likely made very little sense to the reader. The same problem can be found in most modern translations, which appear to make no sense to the reader either. In the Hebrew text, on the other hand, there is a clear relation between the almond in verse 11 and the watching in verse 12 . Perhaps this is the reason why both Chrysostom and Theodoret here refer to the original text. Interestingly enough, though both of them refer to the original text, where the play on the words is very clear, they both still interpret the Greek text without
taking the Hebrew text into consideration. This is even more interesting since Chrysostom explicitly points out that watching and almond are expressed by the same word in Hebrew. It seems that Chrysostom tried to make sense of the Greek text itself, even when he occasionally had access to a Hebrew text.
 is no attempt in LXX to reproduce the play on the Hebrew root שקד (almond/watch). It is hard to see how the vision could have made any sense to a Greek reader, since the explanation of the vision given by the Lord in verse 12 obviously has nothing to do with what the Greek reader could find in verse 11. This is also confirmed by the quotations below. The Vulgate renders ששקד as virgam vigilantem, thus adjusting the translation to the following verse. Chrysostom comments on $\beta \alpha x \tau \eta \varrho i \alpha v ~ x \alpha \varrho v i ̈ v \eta v: ~$ Chrys. fr. in Jer. 64.752 tò $\gamma \dot{\alpha} \varrho ~ \xi u ́ \lambda o v ~ \tau o v ̃ \tau o ~ \sigma \tau \varepsilon \varrho \varrho o ̀ v, ~ x \alpha i ̀ ~ \beta \alpha \varrho u ̀, ~ x \alpha i ̀ ~$




 $x \tilde{\varrho} \pi \varrho о \varphi \varepsilon ́ \varrho \varepsilon \tau \alpha \iota \varrho \supseteq \eta \mu \alpha \tau \omega v$ "For this wood is hard and heavy and suitable for striking. For what reason did they see this? Because the things and the visions were very clear, and they struck the listener exceedingly. For the rod is a symbol of stroke. ... The 'of nut-wood' some interpret as 'of almond'. The Hebrew has it thus: 'I see a watching rod'. For the watching and the almond are expressed by the same words in Hebrew." Theodoret comments on the same expression: Thdt. Jer. 81.500-501 $\mu \varepsilon \tau \dot{\alpha} \tau \alpha \tilde{\tau} \alpha \alpha \varepsilon^{\prime} \varepsilon^{-}$





 $\tau \dot{\alpha} \mu \varepsilon \tau \dot{\alpha} \tau \alpha \tilde{\tau} \tau \alpha$ "After this he shows him a rod of nut-wood, or, according to the Syriac and Hebrew, of almond. ... The almond rod hints at the quickness of the punishment. For before all other trees this tree blooms. According to the Seventy [the Septuagint] it should be understood thus: The fruit of the nut tree is bitter and the shell is rough, but the eatable is hidden beneath this. Thus discipline too is grievous and what can be seen is painful, but what comes after this is beneficial."
$\dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \varrho \eta \dot{\gamma} \wp \varrho \alpha]$ is a rendering of ${ }^{\text {. }}$. The perfect is translated into a present participle to emphasize the present meaning attached to the perfect of $\dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \varepsilon \dot{\varrho} \varrho \omega$. Apparently, $\dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \varepsilon \dot{\varrho} \varrho \omega$ has the same meaning as $\gamma \varrho \eta \gamma-$ @ $\varepsilon \omega$ here. Both $\varepsilon ่ \gamma \varepsilon i \varrho \omega$ and $\gamma \varrho \eta \gamma \circ \varrho \varepsilon ́ \omega$ are always renderings of שקד in Jeremiah. For the perfect form, which is only found here and in 51:27 in the Septuagint, see Thackeray 1909, 224.

After this first vision, which hardly made any sense to the reader, Jeremiah is immediately presented with another vision.

## 4. The Second Vision: A Boiling Cauldron, the Enemy from the North (1:13-2:3)

The second vision is no less cryptic than the first one, only this time the interpretation given by God has a clear connection to the vision even in the Greek version. For the second vision the interpretation is not based on a word play, but on the content of the vision. Hence the interpretation can easily be understood by the reader of the Greek text, without any access to the Vorlage. The interpretation of the vision describes the coming fate of Israel, but it also goes one step beyond the vision giving the reason for the future disaster, the apostasy and the idolatry of Israel. Thereby, the theme of the book of Jeremiah is given too.
13. ข́лохаเó $\mu \varepsilon v o v]$ Literally "being heated from underneath", thus "boiling".

$$
\text { ג̉лò л@обஸ́лоv] Cf. v. } 8 .
$$

14. व̉лò л@обஸ́лоv] Cf. v. 8.

т $\dot{\alpha}$ rox $\alpha$ ] is rendered "the evil", since the Greek plural is often used to describe a singular feature, and thus corresponds to the English singular. This is also underlined by the fact that of the almost 50 examples of xaxo in Jeremiah only four render the Hebrew plural רעות (all four in 51:9), one, $13: 23$, renders the infinitive construct הרע and the rest render the singular רעה. For the Greek plural, see Schwyzer 1959, 2.43.
The second vision is intelligible also to the Greek reader. The con-
 люобо́лои ßо@@ $\tilde{\alpha}$ is found both in the vision and in the explanation given by the Lord.
15. $\sigma v v \varkappa \alpha \lambda \tilde{\omega}]$ Gött. has $\sigma v \gamma \alpha \alpha \lambda \tilde{\omega} . \gamma$ is written above the line in the MS.
đò л@óv̛v@ $\alpha$ ] is rendered "the entrance". All five examples of đ@óv̛v@ $\alpha$ in Jeremiah, 1:15; 19:2; 33:10; 43:10; 50:9, render the Hebrew singular , and there are no examples of singular n@óvv@ov in Jeremiah. For the Greek plural, see tò xaxó in verse 14.
16. $\mu \varepsilon \tau \dot{\alpha} \chi \varrho \dot{\sigma} \sigma \varepsilon \omega \varsigma]$ is a literal rendering of משפט. Thus the literal rendering "with judgement" in the present translation.
 MS.

ह̌धvoav] Gött. has $\dot{\varepsilon} \vartheta v \mu i \alpha \sigma \alpha v$, which is a conjecture by Ziegler.
17. God repeats the calling and the assurance that he will be with Jeremiah, though the mission will be a hard one for Jeremiah.
$\pi \varepsilon \varrho i \zeta \omega \sigma \varepsilon]$ Gött. has $\pi \varepsilon \varrho i \zeta \omega \sigma \alpha \mathrm{~L} . \alpha \mathrm{l}$ is written above the line in the MS.
а̉ло̀ люобஸ́лоv] Cf. 1:8.
$\mu \eta \delta \dot{\varepsilon} \pi \tau 0 \eta \vartheta \uparrow \tilde{n} 5$ ẻvavtiov aủtãv] has no equivalent in MT. According to Ziegler 1958, 88-89, the translator had ואל־תחת לפניהם in his Vorlage, but this is denied by McKane 1986, 22. It should be noticed that лтoź $\omega$ with $\dot{\varepsilon} v a v t i o v$ is only found here and in $25: 17$ (MT 49:37) in the Septuagint, and this use of $\dot{\varepsilon} v a v t i o v$ is not found outside the Septuagint and texts related to the Septuagint. Thus the literal rendering of evovioov by "before". For a discussion of $\mathfrak{\varepsilon} v a v t i o v ~ i n ~ t h e ~ K o i n e, ~ s e e ~ S o l l a m o ~ 1979, ~$ 125, 313-317.

18. Again God repeats the calling, only this time it is in the form of a parable. In verse 19 the first explicit reference to the coming suffering of Jeremiah is given, and again God repeats that he will be with Jeremiah.
 discussion of the construction and its rendering, see 1:5.

ỏzu@ouv] is a misspelling for ỏzu@óv, and thus without accent.
 According to Muraoka 2002, 136, the absolute use of dúvalaı ( $3: 5 ; 5: 4$, 22; 20:7), $\delta$ v́vauवı л@ós тıva (1:19; 15:20; 45:5), and סúvauaı with the person in the dative case ( $20: 10 ; 45: 22$ ), "unknown outside of the LXX, is most likely a Septuagintalism modelled on Heb. /yāhōl l-/, but could have developed from, and been understood in the light of, the classical
sense 'to be equivalent to'". Thus the literal rendering "they will not at all be able against you" in the present translation.

The following two verses are cryptic, and the text is even more cryptic in the Vatican manuscript since $\alpha$ vito $\begin{gathered}\text { in verse } 2 \\ 2 \text { is most likely a scribal }\end{gathered}$ error, which makes poor sense in this context. However, even if the scribal error is corrected the text is open to several interpretations, which can be seen by the different interpretations given by the early Christian interpreters. Further, the punctuation between the verses is not totally clear, and Ziegler suggests that some words should be deleted altogether.
 the early Greek interpreters of this verse had problems with the kindness and love which Israel according to MT had shown God. According to Theodoret the words about love are ironical: Thdt. Jer. 81.504 to

 his maturity' he intended to be ironical. For the Jews never showed any perfect love for their benefactor." Chrysostom, on the other hand, takes God to be the subject of the kindness and love, which he showed



 ह̉v Aî
 лœóvotóv oot vé́uas "For when he disputes with them he reminds of his own kindness and convinces the prophet that he does not pass the sentence on them unrighteously. For he says that from the beginning you have enjoyed much care from me. By 'youth' he means the time the people lived in Egypt, that they then were chosen by God. The 'love of your maturity' means 'I loved you perfectly, providing for you every care". Though the interpretation by Chrysostom is possible, the interpretation by Theodoret (taking the people of Israel to be the subject of kindness and love) is more natural and is thus followed in the present translation.


 the same way, it is supposed that the reader understood $\dot{\varepsilon} \lambda \alpha{ }^{\prime}{ }^{\prime}$

$\alpha \cup ๋ \tau o \tilde{v}]$ This reading is only found in Vaticanus, and is most likely a mistake for oov 兀o $\tilde{v}$, which is the text adopted by Gött.
3. $\stackrel{\alpha}{\alpha} \gamma \operatorname{los} \operatorname{I\sigma \varrho \alpha \eta \lambda ]}$ Rahlfs takes these words with the previous xú@เos. Though there is no evidence in the manuscripts, Ziegler suggests that the words ö $\alpha$ קד (ליהוה) should be deleted. The present translation takes the words with the following.
$\tau \tilde{\varphi} \overline{\chi \omega}]$ is a rendering of ליהוה. For the article with $\chi u ́ \rho \iota o s, ~ s e e ~ I n t r o-~$ duction.

甲 $\eta \sigma i v \overline{x 5}]$ is a rendering of נאם-יהוה. This expression occurs 268 times in MT, 168 (more than $60 \%$ ) being in Jeremiah. נאם-יהוה appears to be a favourite expression of Jeremiah and some of the minor prophets (Amos, Zephaniah, Haggai, Zechariah). The expression is used throughout in Jeremiah, but is only rendered by $\varphi \eta \sigma i v \overline{\pi \zeta}$ in approximately $15 \%$ of the examples, all of which (except the present example) occur in the latter part of Jeremiah (30-49 (several MSS have pqoiv xúgıs in 23:12 and 25:12 as well)). The most common rendering of נאם is $\lambda \varepsilon \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \varepsilon \iota \overline{\chi \varsigma}$ but also عĩл $\varepsilon v \overline{x \varsigma}$ occurs occasionally ( $1: 19 ; 27: 30,40 ; 30: 10 ; 34: 8 ; 37: 8 ; 38: 1$; 41:5). The reason for rendering only some examples of נאם־יהוה by $\varphi \eta \sigma i v$ $\overline{x \zeta}$ is not very clear. $\varphi \eta \sigma i v \overline{\chi \varsigma}$ is a more literary expression than $\lambda \varepsilon \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \varepsilon$ $\overline{x 5}$, cf. Muraoka 2002, 582, and it should be noted that more than $50 \%$ of the examples of $\varphi \eta^{\prime} \mu \mathrm{t}$ in the translated books of the Septuagint occur in Jeremiah. But it could also be an attempt to distinguish between נאם and אמר, cf. Tov 1976, 69-70. Anyhow, the reader of the text most likely noted the use of the literary expression $\varphi \eta \sigma i v \overline{\chi \zeta}$; cf. the five examples in Num. 24:3-4, 15. Thus the rendering "speaks LORD" in the present translation.
$\left.\varphi \eta \sigma^{\prime} v\right]$ Gött. has $\lambda \varepsilon ́ \gamma \varepsilon ı$.
After this second vision God turns to plain speech in his reproach of Israel.

## 5. Israel's Apostasy and Degeneration (2:4-30)

This is the first section of some length, and it is a long reproach by God of the apostasy of the people of Israel. Though God had done them no harm, they turned to other vain deities. Though God had been their shepherd in the desert, and had brought them into the Promised land,
they turned the land into an abomination，and turned away from God． Especially the leaders are the subject of the reproach．The only natural outcome of Israel＇s degeneration has already fallen upon them，and they have become a prey to the surrounding countries．In the long account of various ways of idolatry there seems to be not even a hint of conversion， and the disaster appears to be unavoidable．

After a few introductory words giving the speaker and the addressee， Jeremiah immediately turns to the main subject of his mission，viz．the apostasy and degeneration of the people．

From a linguistic point of view the section contains several inter－ esting features such as the first example of the quite common redun－ dant pronouns in relative clauses（v．6），the middle use of the passive
 and especially，the use of the causal expression ла＠$\alpha$ tò $\mu \eta$ in contexts and for Hebrew expressions that are all but causal（v．15）．The Hebrais－ tic flavour can be felt everywhere，not only in expressions like those mentioned，but also in the vocabulary，e．g．，ò oí $\sigma \omega$（v．5）and $\tau \tilde{\eta} \mathrm{B} \alpha \alpha \lambda$ （v．8）．

5．ỏлíбo］is a rendering of אחרי，which usually is rendered by＇after＇． The use of obitow in the sense＂after＂seems to be an invention by the Septuagint translators．According to Balode and Blomqvist 2002，107： ＂The conventions of LXX translation technique meant that，once óní⿱宀 $\boldsymbol{}$ was established as the accepted word for＇behind＇，Hebrew prepositions for＇behind＇were translated as ỏ $\pi$ í $\omega$ also in phrases where normal Greek would use a different expression．As a consequence，ỏлiow in LXX，NT and dependent texts must often be translated as＇after＇，either in a local or in a temporal sense．That usage seems to be unknown in extra－biblical texts，with the possible exception of some instances of temporal＇after．＇ It should be noted，however，that following the translation principle of Balode and Blomqvist，unidiomatic expressions should be translated into idiomatic ones．This is not the principle followed in the present translation；cf．Introduction．Hence，ỏлí $\sigma \omega$ with genitive in a local sense is rendered by＂behind＂．Cf．BDR，$\$ 215.1$ ．

6．$\alpha \beta \alpha \dot{\alpha} \tau \omega]$ For a discussion of the term $\alpha$ 人̉ßatos，see Pietersma 2006，who argues that the translator has taken the term from Lev．16：22．
ov̉vย́v］Instead of ov̉v่́v several manuscripts have $\dot{\alpha} v \eta$ ŋ́，which ap－ pears to be a correction according to the Hebrew אישׁ ．In Vaticanus ảvท́ $\varrho$ is added in the margin and a sign suggests that it should be added before
oủษย่v or perhaps that it should replace it. $\dot{\alpha} v \eta$ ท́@ is written by an early hand, very much like the first scribe.
$\tilde{\tilde{\eta}} \ldots \dot{\varepsilon} v \alpha v ่ \tau \tilde{n}]$ The redundant preposition and pronoun $\dot{\varepsilon} v \alpha u ̉ \tau \tilde{\eta}$ in the Greek text is rendered by an equally redundant preposition and pronoun in the English translation, "in it". The redundant pronoun in Greek is due to the translation of the Hebrew relative particle אשׁר into a Greek relative pronoun, and still translating the Hebrew pronoun into a, in Greek superfluous, pronoun. Cf. BDR, $\S 297$, and CS, $\S 69$ : "Now in Hebrew the relative is indeclinable. Its meaning therefore is not complete until a pronoun has been added to determine it. But the relative in Greek being declinable, the translator was forced to assign to it gender, number, and case, which rendered the addition of the pronoun after it unnecessary. Nevertheless the pronoun was retained out of regard for the sacred text." Cf. Sollamo 1991 and 1992.
7. ท้ $\gamma \alpha \gamma o v]$ Gött. has $\varepsilon i \sigma \eta ं \gamma \alpha \gamma o v$.
 construction and its rendering, see 1:5.
8. $\tau \tilde{\eta} \mathrm{B} \alpha \alpha \lambda]$ is translated into "by her, Baal". Baal is normally recognized as a masculine deity, but still has the feminine article. The reason for this is mostly thought to be the Hebrew custom to read בשׁת instead of בעל and, hence, $\dot{\eta} \alpha i \sigma \chi v ́ v \eta$ instead of $\circ$ B $\mathrm{B} \alpha \alpha \lambda$, to avoid pronouncing the name of a foreign deity. Therefore, the feminine article is used instead of the expected masculine article. Cf. BDR, $\$ 53.5$.

ỏлí $\sigma \omega]$ Cf. v. 5.
 боцаı] The passive forms of $x$ oiv $\omega$ appear to make poor sense in this context, if they are taken in the passive sense. However, according to Thackeray 1909, 238-239, the passive forms of ooiv $v$ have a middle sense here. It should also be noted that both examples of $\varkappa \varrho \iota \vartheta ่ \sigma о \mu \alpha \iota$ are renderings of the active form אריב. For x@ívoual with $\pi \varrho o ́ s, ~ s e e ~ H e l b i n g ~$ 1928, 237, and Mayser 1934, 501. Cf. v. 35 and 32:17. The early commentators comment on the passage: Chrys. fr. in Jer. 64.760-761 xai $\mu \eta \nu$













 $\tau \dot{\alpha} \varsigma ~ o u ̉ x ~ \varepsilon ้ ย \varepsilon เ \sigma \varepsilon ~ \mu \varepsilon \tau \alpha \beta \dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \varepsilon \sigma \vartheta \propto \iota ~ \tau \grave{\eta} v \gamma v \dot{\omega} \mu \eta v$ "And there was certainly no need for judgement, but for sentence, but for condemnation. Or he wants to say this, that ' $I$ have not said everything, but I have something more to say, again I have to contest a legal case', or, that 'You have forced me to plead my cause against you.'... So you are not punishing them? 'Yes', he says. He talks about the postponement, that 'Again I am contesting a legal case, though there is still no justification. I neither refuse to contest a legal case against you nor against your sons, and give account to you and to them. For you shall not by any means think that I condemn them by the former accusations, I also contest a legal case against them, I do not refuse to contest a legal case against anyone.' Because they used to say that it has nothing to do with us, if the fathers sinned, nor is it right to be punished for the errors of someone else. When he wanted to show that they themselves were not inferior to the ancestors, he says, 'I do not only contest a legal case against them, but also against you, and not only against you, but also against the descendants', that he should demonstrate the magnitude of his kindness. For having patiently borne those who sin for so long time, he did not persuade them to change their mind."


 tas "He perseveres in the moderateness. For he did not say, 'I will judge you and your offspring', but 'I will contest a legal case against you and against them', which means, 'I will plead my cause, and I will reprove those who break the law.' It appears that the view of Thackeray is confirmed by the early commentators. Thus $\chi \varrho เ \vartheta \eta \dot{\eta} \sigma \mu \alpha \iota$ is rendered by "contest a legal case" in the present translation. Cf. Muraoka 2002, 330.
$\lambda \varepsilon \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \overline{x \varsigma}]$ is written in the margin. Vaticanus is the only manuscript which has left out $\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \varepsilon เ$ xúgos after $\dot{u} \mu \tilde{\alpha} \varsigma$.
10. $\varepsilon$ ย̈ $\lambda \vartheta \varepsilon \tau \varepsilon]$ Gött. has $\delta เ \varepsilon ́ \lambda \vartheta \varepsilon \tau \varepsilon$.
voŋ́ $\sigma \alpha \tau \varepsilon] \varepsilon$ in voŋ́ $\sigma \alpha \tau \varepsilon$ is illegible. Something seems to have dripped on the manuscript.

After having declared in the previous two verses that Israel is even worse than the surrounding gentiles, God now goes on in the following paragraph and declares that not only is God himself upset, but even heaven is amazed at the apostasy of Israel. And again the apostasy is described as leaving God for alien deities. Only this time a parable is used.
12. દ̇лì $\pi \lambda \varepsilon \tilde{\imath}$ ov $\sigma \varphi o ́ \delta \varrho \alpha]$ The expression is unparalleled in other Greek
 as a substantive, see BDAG, 849.
13. xai] The use of the first xai in this verse is uncommon. It is left out in Sinaiticus and in several versions, but retained in the quotation in Barn. 11:2. x oí seems to have no equivalent in the Hebrew text. Cf. BDR, $\$ 442.7 \mathrm{a}$.
$\dot{\varepsilon} v x \alpha \tau \dot{\varepsilon} \lambda ı \pi \frac{1}{}$ ] has been corrected by a later scribe to $\dot{\varepsilon} \gamma x \alpha \tau \dot{\varepsilon} \lambda ı \pi o v$, which is also the text of Gött.
$\left.\zeta \omega \tilde{\eta}_{\varsigma}\right]$ could most likely be regarded as a genitive of quality, and could thus be translated into "living". Given the low frequency of genitive of quality in contemporary Greek literature, a more literal translation is chosen. For genitive of quality, see BDR, $\$ 165$.

 cistern is man-made, it has no water gushing out."

The following paragraph contains two very interesting translation-technical problems, which are of similar kind. They both look very literal and seem to be spontaneous renderings closely following the original. However, the differences in meaning are distinctive. The first example is the question in v. 14 introduced by $\mu \dot{\prime}$, which turns an objective question into a question with the expected answer "no". The second one, which is more substantial, is the use of the causal expression $\pi \alpha \varrho \alpha \dot{\alpha}$ tò $\mu \eta$ to render a Hebrew non-causal expression, thus totally changing the content of the text. Of course, the reader knew nothing about this change of meaning of the text, but at least some of the causal expressions in the Greek text are very odd in the context, and it is likely that these expressions caught the attention of the reader.
14. $\mu \dot{\eta}]$ For a discussion of questions introduced by the interrogative particle $\mu \dot{\eta}$, see 5:9.
 e.g., Helbing 1928, 64-65, BDR, $\S 157.5$, and Muraoka 2002, 98 . According to Helbing this construction was perfectly understandable to the Greeks and has several parallels in non-Biblical Greek. Also according to Muraoka, who refers to LSJ, the expression is normal Greek. Only the frequency of the expression is higher in the Septuagint than in nontranslated texts, but the frequency is, of course, as high in the present translation as in the Greek text. Cf. CS, $\S 900$, who argue that "The use of عis after عivval and $\gamma \varepsilon \varepsilon \varepsilon \dot{\sigma} \sigma \vartheta \alpha$ as practically equivalent to the nominative may safely be regarded as a Hebraism." Thus the non-literal rendering in the present translation.
 tant". There are 28 examples of л $\alpha \varrho \alpha ̀$ tó with infinitive in LXX translated from various Hebrew expressions (mostly מאין and מבלי). Of these 17 (Gen. 29:20; Ex. 14:11; Num. 14:16; Deut. 7:8; 9:28bis; 23:5; 2 Kings 1:3; 1:6; Job 4:11, 20, 21; 24:8; 36:12; Bar. 3:28; Lam. 1:4; Ezek. 34:8) are usually interpreted as causal. In the other 11 examples ( $2 \mathrm{Sam} .10: 3$; Zeph. 3:6; Is. 6:11bis; Jer. 2:15; 4:7; 9:10; 9:11; 9:12; 40:10 (MT 33:10); 40:12 (MT 33:12)) the Hebrew text is usually not interpreted as causal. This interpretation of the Hebrew text as not causal made by modern scholars is most likely right. Why then did the translator use a Greek expression which usually has a causal meaning, and could the reader of the Greek text, without access to the Hebrew original, have interpreted the expression as other than causal? According to Schwyzer 1959, 2.370 л $\alpha \varrho \grave{\alpha}$ tó with infinitive is "hellenist. ursächlich", and according to Mayser 1926, 331 л $\varrho \varrho \dot{\alpha}$ tó with infinitive "bezeichnet lediglich ... die Ursache und der Ursprung einer Erscheinung."

The two Hebrew expressions rendered by л $\alpha \varrho \alpha ̀$ tò $\mu \eta$ ' with infinitive in Jeremiah (מאין מבלי) have several different meanings, one of which is the causal meaning. These expressions also seem to be very close in meaning, which is clear from Zeph. 3:6 מבלי־אישׁ מאין יוֹשב (л@@à tò
 Jeremiah) is always rendered by $\pi \propto \varrho \alpha \grave{\alpha}$ to $\mu \dot{\eta}$ with infinitive in Jeremiah. It could perhaps be argued that a stereotyped translation technique is the reason for rendering such passages in the Hebrew text as appear not to be causal, by л $\alpha \varrho \alpha ̀$ tó with infinitive. On the other hand, מאין (27 examples in MT, which are rendered in LXX, 15 of these in Jeremiah) is rendered by
several different expressions in Jeremiah. E.g., the expression מאין יושׂ



 Further, in Jer. 40:10 (MT 33:10) the expression מאין אדם ומאין בהמה

 translator of Jeremiah was inclined to use the rendering of the Hebrew expression which he thought to be appropriate, without paying too much attention to earlier renderings of the same Hebrew expression. Therefore, though unlikely, it cannot be excluded that the translator interpreted the Vorlage of the examples rendered by л $\alpha \varrho \alpha ̀ ~ \tau o ̀ ~ \mu \eta$ ' with infinitive as causal.

However, it is hard to see how the ancient reader, without access to the Hebrew, could have interpreted the examples of $\pi \alpha \varrho \grave{\alpha}$ tò $\mu \eta$ ' with infinitive as other than causal. Olympiodorus comments on the expres-
 they have no good inhabitants." See also 9:12. Olympiodorus obviously interpreted the expression as causal. Thus, in the present translation, all examples are translated as causal. Cf. the only example of $\pi \alpha \varrho \alpha \dot{\alpha}$ tò $\mu \eta$ with infinitive in Baruch, which obviously has a causal meaning: Bar.
 ously, the construction $\pi \alpha \varrho \alpha ̀$ tò $\mu \eta$ ' with infinitive caused Brenton some trouble. He interprets Zeph. 3:6; Is. 6:11 bis; Jer. 9:10; 40:10, 12 as causal. In Zeph. 3:6 he refers to the use of $\pi \alpha \varrho \alpha$ in 1 Cor. 12:15, 16. In Is. 6:11 he refers to the use of $\pi \alpha \varrho \alpha$ in Jer. 40:10, 12. On the other hand, even though Jer. 2:15; 4:7; 9:11, 12 are identical with Is. 6:11, Brenton does not translate them as causal.

16. oủxi] For a discussion of questions introduced by the interrogative particle oủxí, see 7:19.

In verses 18-22 God again reproaches Israel, and this time for the collaboration with Egypt and Assyria. But even worse is the fact that the apostasy appears to be habitual, and hence there seems to be no way to recovery.
 to be a rendering of מה־לך לדרך מצרים ... מה־לך לדרך אשור. For the

Greek construction, cf. John 2:4, KG, 1.417, Tabachovitz 1956, 108-109, and BDR, $\$ 127.3$.
$\Gamma \eta \omega v]$ seems to be a rendering of שחור. For a discussion of the rendering, see Olofsson 1988.
19. tò $x \alpha \tau \alpha \lambda ı \pi \varepsilon \tilde{\imath} v$ ] For a discussion of the Hebrew Vorlage, see SoisalonSoininen 1987, 207.
$\dot{\varepsilon} \pi i ́]$ A letter between $\pi$ and $\iota$ in $\dot{\varepsilon} \pi i ́ h$ has been erased. There are traces of an $\varepsilon$ between $\pi$ and t . Most likely the first scribe wrote $\dot{\varepsilon} \pi \varepsilon \varepsilon^{\prime}$, which was probably only a scribal error.
$\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \varepsilon \iota \overline{x \varsigma}$ ó $\bar{\vartheta} \varsigma \sigma 0 v]$ Cf. 26:10.
20. $\pi \tilde{\alpha} v]$ Gött. has $\pi \alpha \dot{\alpha} \tau \alpha$, thus taking $\beta$ ouvós as masculine.

ย่ หє

 infinitely."
22. $\mathfrak{\varepsilon} \alpha \dot{\alpha} v]$ is taken in a concessive sense here. For concessive $\varepsilon$ çóv without concessive particle, see 14:12.
 canus there is the active form, but the $\varsigma$ is not filled in by the later scribe. The reason for changing the active form to the middle is most likely that there is no object to the verb. Cf. Ziegler 1958, 40.
$\pi o i \alpha v$ ] Gött. has $\pi o ́ \alpha v$, which is the common spelling, cf. Thackeray, 1909, 93. Theodoret comments on лóav: Thdt. Jer. 81.512 лóav $\tau \dot{\alpha} \dot{\alpha} \pi \grave{o}$
 earth."
$\varkappa \varepsilon \chi \eta \lambda \varepsilon i ́ \delta \omega \sigma \varepsilon]$ Gött. has $\varkappa \varepsilon \varkappa \eta \lambda i ́ \delta \omega \sigma \alpha ı$.

In the last paragraph of the present section, God turns against the attempt to deny the idolatry and the calling for help in times of trouble.

The paragraph contains a number of notable linguistic features concerning both vocabulary and syntax. The term $\pi o \lambda v \alpha v \delta \varrho \varepsilon \tau ̃ v$ is discussed both from a lexical and from a reception-historical point of view. In verse 28 the conjunction $\varepsilon^{i}$ is odd, and its position is not the same as that of the supposed Vorlage אםם. It can be seen how a very small change in word order changes the meaning totally. However, since the reader was most likely unaware of this, the translation tries to make sense of the text as it is preserved in the manuscript.
23. The text in the manuscript is not filled in from -ví in $\varphi \omega v \eta$ ' up to the second $\alpha u ̉ \tau \tilde{\omega} v$ in $v .26$, which is the end of the column and of the page. For further comments of the enhancement of the text see Introduction.
őлíб $\omega$ ] Cf. v. 5.
$\tau \tilde{\eta} \varsigma B \alpha \alpha \lambda]$ Cf. v. 8.
лодvavס@i $\varphi$ ] Gött. has лодvavס@عi $\varphi$. лодvavס@i $\varphi$ is in Jeremiah (2:23; 19:2; 19:6bis) a translation of the Hebrew "valley". The adjective, лодvóvס@เоร, means "full of men" and the substantive, tò лодvavס@ع̃ov (for the spelling and accent, see below) "a place full of men". The latter can also be used in a transferred sense, with the meaning "burial place, cemetery, mass grave". The transferred sense appears to be used in 2 Mac. 9:4, 14 and here in v. 23 (cf. Ezek. 39:11, 15, 16). Chrysostom comments on лоגuavס@ع̃ov in 19:6: Chrys. fr in Jer. 64.925 d̉ло̀
 $\tau \varepsilon \varsigma$ "By the multitude of those killed the interpreters interpreted it."
 $\tau \tilde{\omega} v \mu \nu \eta \mu \alpha ́ \tau \omega v \chi \omega \varrho i o v$ "Подvóvঠ@ıov he calls the place of the graves." And Olympiodorus on 19:6: Olymp. fr. Jer. 93.669 Пoえuóvঠ@ıov tó-

 likely was collapsed, the tomb of the son of Ennom was called thus, 'the Fall."' The present translation tries to take both the literal and the transferred meaning into account, thus translating "place full of dead men". Cf., however, also the comment on лоגvavס@عiov given by Chrysos-

 having been overcome by [vel with] the women." Cf. Bruce 1979, 2122.

It should be noted that лодvavס@ع̃ov is the spelling adopted in chapter 19 in the MS. Gött. has roגvavס@ziov in all examples. The spelling and accent preferred by the later hands of the MS is лодvóvס@ov, which is also the spelling and accent in Rahlfs edition and in LEH.
24. In the present edition, along with Gött., verse 24 begins with $\tau \dot{\alpha} \varsigma$


лávteऽ ... oủ] is a rendering of the Hebrew כל ... לא. The literal translation into Greek slightly changes the meaning of the Hebrew "none" into "not all". See also BDR, $\S 302.1, \mathrm{CS}, \S 88$, and Tabachovitz 1956, $87-91$. Hence a literal translation is also adopted in the present translation.
25. ỏлíđ $\omega$ ] Cf. v. 5.
28. $\varepsilon i]$ seems to be a rendering of אם, but the word order is not the same as in MT. In MT אם is placed between the verbs יקומו and יושיעו, which correspond to $\alpha \mathfrak{\alpha} \alpha \sigma \tau \eta \dot{\sigma} \sigma v \tau \alpha \iota$ and $\sigma \dot{\omega} \sigma o v \sigma ı v$. In LXX $\varepsilon i$ is placed before the verbs. The word order of MT, forming a conditional sentence with an apodosis followed by a protasis, makes good sense, and the word order of MT would make good sense in Greek too. The word order of LXX, on the other hand, makes poor sense, and there seems to be no good reason to change the word order of MT when translating into Greek. عỉ placed before the verbs looks like the well-known Hebraism (cf. CS, $\S 101, \mathrm{BDR}, \$ 454.5$ ), where $\varepsilon$ ei is a rendering of אם introducing an oath and not forming a conditional clause. Cf. Jer. 45:16 xai $\omega \mu \mu \sigma \varepsilon v$

 and 51:26. Hence LXX seems to correspond to a Hebrew text where אa is placed before the verbs, thus introducing an oath and not forming a conditional sentence.

It should be noted, however, that the same construction is used in the New Testament without any further explanation, Mark 8:12 $\alpha \mu \eta \geqslant \nu \varepsilon \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \omega$
 cannot be excluded that the ancient reader could have understood the text in this way too, but in any case he most likely did not perceive it as normal Greek. For Mark 8:12, cf. BDAG, 278. It is also possible that the reader understood the conjunction $\varepsilon i$ as introducing a protasis corresponding to an elliptical apodosis implied in the context. For examples of such constructions, see LSJ p. 481 ( $\varepsilon i$ VII.1). Anyhow, since none of the early commentators discusses the passage, it is not possible to know how it was understood by the reader. Hence the literal rendering in the English translation.

ớбovovv] Gött. has $\sigma \omega ́ \sigma o v \sigma i ́ ~ \sigma \varepsilon, ~ w h i c h ~ c o r r e s p o n d s ~ t o ~ M T . ~$
$\tau \tilde{\eta} B \alpha \alpha \lambda]$ Cf. v. 8.
29. i'va ti] is, as here, mostly a rendering of the common expression למה. The high frequency of the expression in LXX is due to the stereotyped translation technique. The expression is rare outside the Septuagint and texts related to the Septuagint, though not unknown (e.g., one example in Plato: Symposium 205a, and six examples in Aesop's Fables: 136, 192, 217, 228, 247, 338). Cf. Walser 2001, 114-115, 120.
30. $\varepsilon ่ \delta \varepsilon ́ \xi \alpha \sigma \vartheta \alpha$ ] Gött. has $\dot{\varepsilon} \delta \varepsilon ́ \xi \alpha \sigma \vartheta \varepsilon$.
$\lambda \dot{\varepsilon}\langle\omega v$ ỏ $\lambda \varepsilon\rangle \vartheta \varrho \varepsilon v \dot{\omega} \omega v]-\omega v$ ỏ $\lambda \varepsilon$ - are added in the margins. They have most likely dropped out owing to homoioteleuton and have been added by the first scribe himself.

After this quite long and detailed reproach of Israel's apostasy, it is only natural to ask the question whether there is any healing, a question that will be hinted at in the following section.

## 6. Israēl's Folly (2:31-3:5)

The Lord is perplexed by the repeated apostasy of the people, who have not only forgotten the Lord, but have also shed innocent blood. Nevertheless, the people claim to be innocent and want to return to the Lord, who calls the possibility of a restoration of the people into serious doubt.

The section, though quite short, has diverse kinds of variation between the Hebrew and the Greek versions of the text, misinterpretations of the Hebrew text by the translator as well as misinterpretations of the Greek text by subsequent readers. Especially interesting is the allusion to Deut. 24:1-4 in 3:1, where the Greek version varies quite a bit from the Hebrew version. 3:1 also contains the first example of a Hebrew infinitive absolute rendered by Greek present participle. Given the relatively high frequency of this grammatical construction and its impact on the Greek translation, the translation technique is discussed in some detail.
33. है $\tau \iota$ ] Gött. has ö ötı, which is a conjecture by Katz and not found in any MS.
34. In the second half of v. 34 it is not clear who is the subject of $\varepsilon \tilde{\tilde{v}}$ מצאתי (usually interpreted as 2nd person fem. sing.) as 1st person sing., but this does not mean that the subsequent reader interpreted $\varepsilon \tilde{\tilde{u}} \varrho 0 v$ as 1 st person singular. There is nothing in the context that suggests that the Lord should be subject. Either the undefined agent of $\varepsilon \underset{\cup}{\varrho \varepsilon} \vartheta \vartheta \eta \sigma \alpha v$ is also subject of $\varepsilon \tilde{\tilde{v}} \mathrm{gov}$, or the people of Israel. Olympiodorus comments on the passage: Olymp. fr. Jer. 93.632 ov̉d̀̀ $\lambda \dot{\alpha} \vartheta \varrho \alpha, \varphi \eta \sigma i v, \dot{\alpha}^{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \lambda^{\prime} \dot{\varepsilon} \varphi \varphi^{\prime} \dot{v} \psi \eta \lambda \mathrm{o} \tilde{v}$, тоvтย́бтıv, pave@ãs $\alpha \mu \alpha \varrho \tau \alpha ́ v o v o ı v ~ " N o r ~ s e c r e t l y, ~ h e ~ s a y s, ~ b u t ~ o n ~ a ~$
height, i.e., they sin openly." Most likely Olympiodorus did not mean that they sinned "openly" so that God was able to see, but "openly" for everyone to see. Hence $\varepsilon \tilde{\tilde{v}}$ gov is taken as 3 rd person plural.

סıo@ú $\gamma \mu \alpha \sigma \iota v$... $\delta \varrho v i ́] ~ \delta ı o ́ \varrho v \gamma \mu \alpha$ most likely refers to Ex. 22:1 $̇$ è̀v
 ع̌otiv גủt@̃ póvos, cf. Holladay 1975, 222. Consequently, if the blood had been the blood of a thief ( $\kappa \lambda \varepsilon \dot{\varepsilon} \tau \tau \eta \varsigma$ ) caught in housebreaking ( $\dot{\varepsilon} v \tau \tilde{\varphi}$ סıogú $\gamma \mu \alpha \tau \iota$ ), it would not have been the blood of an innocent soul. Now, on the other hand, blood of innocent souls has been found on every oak-
 vocalized אלקלה as, מלה, thus taking it as the demonstrative pronoun. The text of MT is problematic. The problem with LXX is the interpretation of ס@ví. Most likely it should be interpreted as the place of some illegitimate practice, perhaps human sacrifice. Cf. Jer. 2:20; 3:6, 13; Hos. 4:13. Cf. McKane 1986, 53-54.
35. For this short paragraph, which begins in the middle of verse 35, there are two comments by Chrysostom and Theodoret, which show their interest in small details not only of content, but also of grammar.
x@cívou人l $\pi \varrho o ̀ s ~ \sigma \varepsilon] ~ i s ~ a ~ r e n d e r i n g ~ o f ~ נ ש פ ט ~ א ו ת ך . ~ F o r ~ x \varrho i ́ v o \mu \alpha l ~ w i t h ~$ л@ós, see Helbing 1928, 237, and Mayser 1934, 501. Cf. vv. 9 and 32:17. The early commentators comment on the passage: Chrys. fr. in Jer.







 this, I would not have contested a legal case. Do you see that it is this that makes me condemn you, and despise you? You have put me under this necessity, you are responsible for the lawsuit, you bring this accusation, so that I can speak on my own behalf, you make these long speeches.' Though he did not say, 'I accuse you', but, 'I contest a legal case against you, I plead my own case, I act as my own advocate. So that I would not have contested a legal case, not only that, I would not have accused you, if you had not said this, because you want to make me responsible for the accusation." Thdt. Jer. 81.516 каi oủ $\lambda \varepsilon ́ \gamma \varepsilon ı, ~ \varkappa \varrho ı v \tilde{\omega} \sigma \varepsilon, \alpha \dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \dot{\alpha}$,

 'I contest a legal case against you', which means, 'I plead my cause, and I reproach you when you lie, and when you shamelessly deny." Apparently, the early commentators take roivouau in the middle sense here. Thus the rendering "contest a legal case" in the present translation. Cf. Muraoka 2002, 330.
36. ötı] Gött. following Katz has ö $\tau$ t. Unfortunately, it is not possible to decide the reading from the MS. Rahlfs has $\tau i$. The reading ötı leaves $\varkappa \alpha \tau \varepsilon \varphi \varrho o ́ v \eta \sigma \alpha \varsigma$ without an object, which is rare, but not unparalleled. Cf. Gen. 27:12, Prov. 25:9, LSJ ad loc., and Muraoka ad loc.
 MS. Cf. Thackeray 1909, 199.

3:1. The following paragraph is a good example of the variation between the two versions of the text. There seem to be both intentional and unintentional differences between the versions, which makes it very hard to decide which version is the original.

The whole verse is a discussion of the regulation given in Deut. 24:1-4. The rendering in MT-Jeremiah is a much-abbreviated version of Deut., but the content is approximately the same. In LXX-Jer., on the other hand, the abbreviated version in Jeremiah of Deut. also has some significant deviations from Deut. In MT (both Deut. and Jer.) and LXX-Deut. it is the man who is prohibited to return to the woman, in LXX the woman is prohibited to return to the man ( $\mu \dot{\eta} \dot{\alpha} v \alpha x \alpha \dot{\mu} \mu \tau \sigma \sigma \sigma \alpha \dot{\alpha} v \alpha-$ xápuєı л@òs av̉tóv). In MT it is the land which is defiled, in LXX it is the woman. It is hard to decide which text is original. Either LXX is an adjustment to the following context, where Israel has returned to the Lord, or MT of Jeremiah is an adjustment to Deut. It should also be noticed that the difference in Greek between land ( $\gamma \tilde{\eta}$ ) and woman ( $\gamma \cup v \eta$ ) is rather small, and could be an early scribal error. Cf. Ziegler 1958, 38.

Moreover, there appears to be a strong affinity between the vocabulary of Jer. and Deut. in LXX, even where there is variation between MT-Deut. and MT-Jer. $\varepsilon$ है $\alpha \pi$ обт $\dot{\varepsilon} \lambda \lambda \omega$ is the translation of שלח in both Jer. and Deut.,
 טמא in both Jer. and Deut. and $\mu$ והנף in Jer. and of in of in Deut. Hence, even though the examples are few and the translations are not very remarkable, it is still likely that the translator of Jeremiah was familiar with the Greek text of Deut.
 Hence the literal rendering "and becomes to another man". For this Hebraistic expression, see BDR, $\$ 189.2$.
$\mu \dot{\eta} \ldots$ ov̉] are renderings of the Hebrew interrogative particles ... ה .הלוא. For discussions of the Greek renderings of Hebrew interrogative particles, see 5:9 and 7:19.

 finite verb, and though the Hebrew 'equivalent' in MT of $\alpha \mathbf{v} \alpha \boldsymbol{\alpha} \alpha \mu \pi \tau o v \sigma \alpha$ $\dot{\alpha} v \alpha x \alpha ́ \mu \psi \varepsilon \varepsilon$ is only $\quad$, i.e., finite verb without infinitive absolute, it is likely that the translator had an infinitive absolute שוב beside the finite verb in his text, which he rendered $\dot{\alpha} v \alpha x \alpha ́ \mu \tau \tau о v \sigma \alpha ~ \dot{\alpha} v \alpha x \alpha ́ \mu \psi \varepsilon$. The present participle is a quite common rendering of the infinite absolute in LXX, when the infinitive absolute forms a figura etymologica with a finite verb. In Greek texts, on the other hand, which are not translations from Semitic originals, the figura etymologica of a present participle and a finite verb is extremely rare. See also Thackeray 1909, 47-50, Sollamo 1985, 104, Walser 2001, 102-103.

In MT of Jeremiah there are 56 examples of infinitive absolute immediately followed (negatives excluded) by a finite form of the same root. In 30 of these ( $3: 1 ; 4: 10 ; 5: 11 ; 6: 15 ; 7: 5$ bis; 10:5b; 12:16; 13:12; 14:19; 15:18; 22:4; 25:28 (LXX 32:14); 26:15 (LXX 33:15); 26:19 (LXX 33:19); 31:20 (LXX 38:20); 36:16 (LXX 43:16); 36:29 (LXX 43:29); 37:9 (LXX 44:9); 38:3 (LXX 45:3); 38:17 (LXX 45:17); 39:18 (LXX 46:18); 42:19 LXX 49:19); 44:17 (LXX 51:17); 44:25ter (LXX 51:25); 49:12 (LXX 29:13) and 51:58 (LXX 28:58)) the infinite absolute is rendered by a participle, of which 12:16; 13:12; 25:28 (LXX 32:14); 26:15 (LXX 33:15); 26:19 (LXX 33:19); 38:17 (LXX 45:17); 42:19 (LXX 49:19); 44:25 (LXX 51:25) are aorist and the remaining ones present participles (if the apparatus criticus of BHS is followed, there is another example with an aorist participle in 42:10 (LXX 49:10), for which see below at 49:10). In one example (20:15) the infinitive absolute is rendered by a present participle but the following verb of the same root in MT is not rendered at all. In eight examples (9:4; (17:24 not in Vaticanus); 25:29 (LXX 32:15); 26:8 (LXX 33:8); 31:20 (LXX 38:20); 32:4 (LXX 39:4); 34:3 (LXX 41:3); 38:15 (LXX 45:15); 40:14 (LXX 47:14) the infinitive absolute is rendered by a noun in the dative case of the same root as the following verb (cf. also 48:9 (LXX 31:9)). Cf. BDR, $\S 198.6$. In three examples ( $23: 32 ; 31: 18$ (LXX 38:18); 50:34 (LXX 27:34); 51:56 (LXX 28:56) not in Vaticanus) the infinitive absolute is rendered by a noun in the accusative case of
the same root as the following verb. In one example (46:28 (LXX 26:28)) the infinitive absolute is rendered by an adjective of the same root as the following verb. In one example (25:30 (LXX 32:16)) the infinitive absolute is rendered by a noun in the accusative case of a similar meaning as the following verb. In one example (6:9) the infinitive absolute is rendered by another identical verb form. In the remaining 12 examples (8:12; 11:7; 11:12; 13:17; 17:24; 30:11 (LXX 37:11); 42:15 (LXX 49:15); 42:22 (LXX 49:22); 44:29 (LXX 51:29); 49:12bis (LXX 29:13); 51:56 (LXX 28:56)) the infinitive absolute is not rendered at all in LXX.

There are also five examples in which the infinitive absolute follows after a verb of the same root as the infinitive absolute. In two examples ( $12: 17 ; 22: 10$ ) the infinitive absolute is rendered by a noun in the dative case, and in one example ( $6: 29$ ) it is rendered by a noun in the accusative case. In the remaining two examples (23:17; 41:6 (LXX 48:6)) it is not rendered at all.

Common for all different renderings of the infinitive absolute is that they more or less produce a Greek which differs from the Greek outside the Septuagint and texts related to the Septuagint. Most peculiar is the rendering of the same verbal form twice and the present participle. The figura etymologica of verb with noun, either in dative or accusative, can be found in all kinds of Greek, but the very high frequency of figura etymologica is typical of the Septuagint and especially of Jeremiah (cf. Introduction). For the renderings of infinite absolute in the Pentateuch, see Sollamo 1985. For figura etymologica, see BDR $\$ 153$, Helbing 88-91 and Schwyzer 1959, 1.700.

The figurae etymologicae of the Hebrew text in 3:1 have been preserved in the Greek translation. The figurae etymologicae have also been preserved in the translation "will ... returning return ... will being defiled be defiled", since the construction of the present participle with the finite verb is as strange to Greek as it is to English. According to Sollamo 1985, 105, "The participial constructions of the LXX under discussion show a formally correct Greek structure, but the semantic content can be correctly understood only on the basis of the underlying Hebrew expressions."
$\mu \eta \alpha ı v o \mu \varepsilon ́ v \eta]$ Gött. has $\mu \iota \alpha ı v o \mu \varepsilon ́ v \eta$. t is written above the first $\eta$ in the MS.
$\dot{\alpha} v \varepsilon ́ ห \alpha \mu \pi \tau \varepsilon \varsigma]$ The imperfect is taken in an iterative sense. For the iterative sense of the imperfect, see BDR, $\$ 325$, Smyth $1956, \$ 1790$, Wallace 1995, 546-547, and Fanning 1990, 244-249. Thus the rendering "you returned ... repeatedly" in the present translation. The imperfect could
also be taken in a conative sense, and be rendered by "you wanted/tried to return". For the conative sense of the imperfect, see BDR, $\S 326$, Wallace 1995, 550-552, and Smyth 1956, §1895. Chrysostom comments on
 $\beta \lambda \alpha ́ \beta \eta \varsigma \pi \varrho o ̀ s ~ \varepsilon ̇ \mu \varepsilon ̀ ~ દ ̇ \pi \alpha v ท ุ ́ \varepsilon ı \varsigma ~ " T h e n, ~ w h e n ~ y o u ~ b e c a m e ~ a w a r e ~ o f ~ y o u r ~ h a r m ~$ again, you returned to me." The comment of Chrysostom is repeated by Theodoret, Thdt. Jer. 81.517.
2. $\mathfrak{\varepsilon} \xi \varepsilon \varepsilon \varphi \cup \mathfrak{\varrho \vartheta \eta ร ] ~ T h e o d o r e t ~ c o m m e n t s ~ o n ~ t h e ~ e x p r e s s i o n : ~ T h d t . ~ J e r . ~} 81.517$
 $\varkappa \alpha i ̀ ~ \varepsilon \tilde{v} \varrho o ́ v ~ \sigma \varepsilon \pi \varepsilon \varphi v \varrho \mu \varepsilon ́ v \eta v \stackrel{\varepsilon}{\varrho} v \tau \tilde{\omega} \alpha i \prime \mu \alpha \tau i ́ \sigma o v$ "Instead of 'you have been defiled'. This he also says to her by Ezekiel, 'And I found you soaked with your own blood.'" The quotation is from Ezek. 16:6.

лo@víaıs] Gött. has лo@veíaıs. $\varepsilon$ is written above the line in the MS.
 MS.

5. $\varepsilon i \varsigma$ vixos] appears to be a rendering of לנצח. The meaning of $\varepsilon i \varsigma$ vĩoos is not very clear, and has been much debated. Thus the literal rendering "to victory" in the present translation. For a discussion of the expression, see Muraoka 2002, 387, and Kraft 1975, 153-156.
xai $\mathfrak{\eta} \delta v v \alpha \dot{\sigma} \vartheta \eta \zeta$ ] is a literal rendering of ותוכל. Thus the literal rendering "and you have been able" in the present translation. For the Hebraistic absolute use of $\delta u ́ v \alpha \mu \alpha$, cf. 1:19.

## 7. Return to Me and I Will Heal You (3:6-20)

Iouda follows Israel in her faithlessness, but after the harsh reproach in the previous section, the Lord tells the people to return to him and he promises to be merciful and take care of them.

The section contains one of the rare dittographies in Vaticanus of Jeremiah, and a unique reference to the Hebrew text in the margin. There are also a few very literal renderings of the Hebrew text, one of which appears to have left traces in the New Testament, and one of the few unique readings of Vaticanus.
6. I $\left.\omega \sigma \varepsilon \varepsilon^{\prime} 0 v\right]$ Gött. has I $\omega \sigma$ óa. Cf. 1:3.
 (MT derives it from (نוב). кatoוxía could be a reference to the exile of Israel; cf. McKane 1986, 65, 70.
7. Jooveṽoal] Gött. has roıñ $\sigma \alpha$, which is a conjecture by Spohn and found only in the Ethiopic version. According to Ziegler 1958, 18-19 it is likely that the reading ro@veṽodu has come about by influence of غ̇лóovevoav in v. 6 .
8. The translation of this verse is, of course, dependent on whether the dittography discussed below is translated or not. A translation of the dittography is included in the text, but put within brackets.
\{xai عídov лع@i $\pi \alpha \dot{\alpha} \tau \omega v \tilde{\omega} v\}]$ is not found in Gött. It is obviously a dittography. Someone has put brackets around the text in the MS and it has not been filled in by the scribe who enhanced the text. There is also a note in the right margin: OYK H EBP, which obviously means that someone noticed that the text is missing in the Hebrew text. Strangely enough, this person consulted a Hebrew text to certify that it is a dittography. Why not another Greek MS? Or was the dittography found in other Greek MSS too? Given the great difference between the Hebrew and Greek versions of Jeremiah, it is even stranger that this dittography is the only thing that was noticed by someone who had access to the Hebrew text.

$\dot{\varepsilon} \mu o<\chi \tilde{\alpha} \tau o]$ For the form, see Thackeray 1909, 276.
 words have been supplied from Deut. 24:1, 3 .

11. This paragraph has the first indication of a future salvation for the people. It also has an abundance of very literal renderings of the Hebrew original, which all have affected the Greek in one way or the other. The most well-known is the common expression in $v .12$, which has left traces not only in the NT but also in many modern translations.
$\left.\dot{\alpha} \pi \delta^{\prime}\right]$ is a translation of $מ \boldsymbol{p}$, which is used as a comparative preposition; see Gesenius 1910, $\$ 133$.a, and JM, $\$ 41$.g. The literal translation of into $\alpha$ 人 ó gives a strange Greek, and it is doubtful if the comparative meaning of $\dot{\alpha} \pi \dot{o}^{\text {was }}$ wecognized by a reader without access
to the Hebrew text. Thus $\dot{\alpha} \pi o ́ ~ i s ~ t r a n s l a t e d ~ i n t o ~ " f r o m " . ~ O r i g e n ~ c o m-~$ ments on the verse: Or. hom. in Jer. 4.1 т $\alpha \dot{\alpha} \mu \propto \varrho \tau \eta \dot{\mu} \alpha \tau \alpha$ то ${ }^{\text {'Iの@à̀ } \lambda}$

 takes of Judah have become a justification of the soul of the assembly of Israel." Chrysostom comments on the same verse: Chrys. fr. in

 Israel as having become righteous, but as having sinned less even though first."
 לוא־אפיל פני בכם . The same expression is found in Jer. 21:10 and ten times in Ezek. Only in Jer. 3:12 бтף@'ֹ 5 is a translation of In Ezek. 14:8 $\sigma \tau \eta \varrho i \zeta \omega$ is a translation of a translation of שם (cf. 51:11, where it is rendered by $\dot{\varepsilon} \varphi$ íбт $\eta \mu \mathrm{u})$. In the NT the expression is found in Luke 9:51. "I will not set my face fast on you" in the present translation is an attempt to preserve the literal translation of
 expression as unique to the Septuagint and related texts, see Wifstrand 2005, 32.
$\mu \eta v i \tilde{\omega}]$ For the Attic future form, see CS, $\$ 21$.
13. $\left.\pi \lambda \eta_{\eta} v\right]$ For the use of the particle $\pi \lambda \eta^{\prime} v$ in the Septuagint and in texts related to the Septuagint, see Blomqvist 2011.
14. In the following paragraph the Lord repeats his exhortation to the people to return to him, and he gives the first indication of a more radical change in the future, a change which will be discussed again especially in chapter 38.
$\Sigma \varepsilon \omega \omega v]$ Gött. has $\Sigma \omega \omega v$.
15. каi лоцахои̃бเv ... лоцаivovtєऽ] The translator of Jeremiah most likely read ורעה and not ורעו .... דעה as in MT, thus taking רעה a as an infinitive absolute and producing the construction discussed in 3:1 of an infinitive absolute as a complement to a finite verb of the same root-although here the infinitive absolute is placed after the finite verb. It should be noted, however, that there are no examples of infinitive absolute of רעה in MT. The figura etymologica of the Greek text is preserved in the English translation "will tend ... tending".
16. xai हैбтаı] According to Muraoka 2002, 282, "Hebraistically rai है $\sigma \tau \alpha \iota$ introduces an utterance indicating that which may or ought to happen, with an adverbial clause or phrase intervening". Cf. Introduction.
 thus the present translation is also very literal. Cf. BDR, $\$ 4.3$.
17. In the last paragraph of this section there is a hint at a universal conversion to the Lord, and a unique reading for the MS Vaticanus which is hard to explain and also fits the context quite badly.

The space between $\varepsilon$ ह̈tı and $\dot{\varepsilon} v$ indicating a new paragraph is relatively small, but still large enough to justify a new paragraph, since there is also a stroke above the line in the left margin. Cf. Introduction.
$\pi \alpha \dot{v} \tau \alpha \tau \dot{\alpha}$ हैधvŋ] is placed after عis $\alpha u ̉ \tau \eta \dot{v}$ in Gött.
ỏлívo] Cf. 2:5.
18. A stroke above the line in the MS indicates a new paragraph. Since v. 18 begins at the beginning of the line, there is no space within the text which could confirm the new paragraph.

то⿱̃ Iqœoŋ $\lambda$ ] Gött. has Iø@aŋ $\lambda$.
19. x $\alpha \lambda \varepsilon ́ \sigma \varepsilon \tau \alpha \iota ~ . . . ~ \alpha ̉ л о \sigma \tau \varrho \alpha \varphi \eta ́ \sigma \varepsilon \sigma \vartheta \alpha ı] ~ G o ̈ t t . ~ h a s ~ \varkappa \alpha \lambda \varepsilon ́ \sigma \varepsilon \tau \varepsilon ~ a n d ~ \alpha ̉ л о \sigma \tau \varrho \alpha-~$ $\varphi \eta \sigma \varepsilon \sigma \vartheta \varepsilon . \varepsilon$ is written above $\alpha \mathrm{L}$ in both cases in the MS. Cf. Thackeray 1909, 77-78.
 an active sense as it is in 33:3. Cf. McKay 1994, 24.
 the witnesses except Vaticanus. According to Ziegler 1958, 38 ז $\varepsilon$ とva is the original reading, and he refers to the following $\overline{\pi \varrho \alpha} \chi \alpha \lambda \varepsilon ́ \sigma \varepsilon \tau \alpha i ́ \mu \varepsilon$, "you will call me 'FATHER'". For the translation "I will turn you into", see 2:14 and Helbing 1928, 59.
$\overline{\pi \varrho \alpha]}$ This is the only example in Jeremiah of Vaticanus of $\pi \alpha \tau \eta \varrho$ as a nomen sacrum, and it is marked in the translation by capital letters "FATHER". For the nomina sacra in Jeremiah, see Introduction.
20. $\left.\pi \lambda \eta^{\prime} v\right]$ For the use of the particle $\pi \lambda \eta^{\prime} v$ in the Septuagint and in texts related to the Septuagint, see Blomqvist 2011.

The people show some indication of being aware of their wrongdoings and also a sign of repentance. However, the Lord shows distrust of the honesty of the people, which is indicated by the repeated conditional clauses at the beginning of chapter four. The Lord also underlines that if the repentance does not take place, the anger of the Lord will be released.

In the following section there are again a number of very literal renderings of the Hebrew original. Especially interesting is the first example of an apodosis introduced by $\varkappa \alpha i ́$, a feature which occurs several times in Jeremiah, and thus is discussed in some detail. Interestingly enough, Origen comments upon the text and his comment indicates that most likely the text was interpreted differently by the original translator than by subsequent readers.
 89 and 216, the original reading was $\varepsilon ่ \pi \varepsilon \lambda \alpha \dot{\vartheta} \varepsilon v \tau$. There appear to be traces of $\varepsilon$ in the MS, which the scribe who filled in the MS changed to غ่л $\varepsilon \lambda \alpha \dot{\vartheta} \vartheta \frac{1}{}$
 attention to $3: 14$, where the same Hebrew word, שובבים, in the same expression, is translated into $\dot{\alpha} \varphi \varepsilon \sigma \tau \eta \varkappa o ́ \tau \varepsilon \varsigma . ~ O b v i o u s l y, ~ t h e ~ t r a n s l a t o r ~$ made two different interpretations. The reader, of course, knew nothing about this.
idoù $\delta$ oṽ $\lambda_{o t}$ ] Gött. has oíd $\varepsilon$, which is a conjecture by Ziegler. Cf. Ziegler 1958, 38-39.
23. $\varepsilon i \zeta$... $\tilde{\eta} \sigma \alpha v]$ For a discussion of the construction Eĩval ... $\varepsilon$ ís, see, e.g., Helbing 1928,65, BDR, $\$ \$ 145,157.5$, Muraoka 2002, 148. According to Helbing this construction is also found in other Greek, i.e., Greek not influenced by a Semitic language, but many of them should be regarded as Hebraisms. According to BDR, $\S 145$ the construction is influenced by Hebrew, according to Muraoka it is a Semitism, and according to CS, $\$ 90 c$, "The use of $\varepsilon$ is after $\varepsilon$ ĩvol and $\gamma \varepsilon v \varepsilon \dot{\sigma} \sigma \circ \alpha \mathrm{as}$ practically equivalent to the nominative may safely be regarded as a Hebraism." Thus the literal translation "have become into".
$\pi \lambda \dot{\eta} v]$ For the use of the particle $\pi \lambda \dot{\eta} v$ in the Septuagint and in texts related to the Septuagint, see Blomqvist 2011.

4:1. то⿱̃ л@обढ́лоv] Gött. has лœобต́лоv.
$\langle\mu o v\rangle]$ is written in the margin.
 nective particle 1 is rendered by $\kappa \alpha i$. Both והתברכו and xai عủ̉ here introduce the apodosis corresponding to the protasis introduced by غ̇òv лع@ı' $\lambda \eta$. But whereas 1 is a normal way to introduce the apodosis in Hebrew (cf. JM, $\$ \$ 118 \mathrm{~m}$ and 176 b ), $\chi \alpha i$ is very strange (cf. CS, $\$ 40$, BDR, $\S 442.5$, Muraoka 2002, 282, BDAG xaí 1 b $\delta$, and especially Aejmelaeus 1982, 126-144, and Aejmelaeus 1987 (= Aejmelaeus 2007)). Thus the very stereotyped rendering of 1 by $\varkappa \alpha i$ here produces a very strange Greek.

However, it is also possible to take the $x \alpha i$ as a preparatory $x \alpha i$ corresponding to the connective xaí (xai $\varepsilon$ ह̉v aủtã aivéoovoıv): "both ... and"; cf. Denniston 1959, 323-325. Though this most likely was not the intention of the translator, it is still possible that xai was interpreted this way by the reader. Origen comments on the apodosis xai $\varepsilon \dot{\jmath} \lambda 0 \gamma \eta{ }^{\prime} \sigma o v \sigma v$

 @aì $\lambda$ "He has spoken to those of the nations, he has also spoken to those of Israel". This possible interpretation has been adopted in the present translation. Cf. 7:7, 14; 15:19; 23:22.
3. There is a small space between Iroovo $\alpha \lambda \eta \mu$ and ötı, which could indicate a new paragraph, but there is no stroke in the margin which could confirm a new paragraph. Thus there is no new paragraph in the present edition.
$v \varepsilon \dot{\omega} \mu \alpha \tau \alpha]$ vé $\omega \mu \alpha$ is a neologism. It is translated into "new-ones" to correspond to the neologism. The meaning given by LEH "newlyploughed field previously left untilled" is more of an interpretation than a translation and hence not appropriate for the present translation.

блєi@ๆтаı] Gött. has $\sigma \pi \varepsilon i \varrho \eta \tau \varepsilon$.

 to Ziegler 1958, 39 the reading of Vaticanus is secondary and influenced by Deut. 10:16.
$\alpha$ v̉toũ] Gött. has $\mu \mathrm{ov}$.


## 9. The War Is Near (4:5-18)

Despite the exhortations to repent, the Lord announces the coming disaster from the north, something that urges Jeremiah to ask if (or according to another interpretation to declare that) the Lord is deceiving the people. The Lord repeats his exhortation to the people to repent, but then immediately turns again to the imminent disaster.

In the following section it is not very clear who is speaking, God or Jeremiah. The same problem occurs in MT, though the content of LXX and MT differ a great deal. See McKane 1986, 90-91. Anyhow, the division into paragraphs follows the MS.

The section contains several translation-technical problems, as well as variation between the manuscripts, and also a discussion of the accentuation of the text. Interesting is the rare use of the spirit as a nomen sacrum in Vaticanus, which here actually appears to be an evil spirit.
5. $\varkappa \varepsilon \varkappa \varrho \alpha ́ \xi \varepsilon \tau \varepsilon] ~ G o ̈ t t . ~ h a s ~ \varkappa \alpha i ~ \varkappa \varepsilon \varkappa \varrho \alpha ́ \xi \varepsilon \tau \varepsilon . ~$
6. $\dot{\alpha} v \alpha \lambda \alpha \beta o ́ v \tau \varepsilon \varsigma]$ is strange, since it is used as an intransitive verb. This seems to be the only example of an intransitive use of $\dot{\alpha} v \alpha \lambda \alpha \mu \beta \alpha \dot{\alpha} v \omega$ both in LXX and in other Greek literature. $\alpha v \alpha \lambda \alpha \beta o ́ v \tau \varepsilon \varsigma$ is here, as often, a translation of the verb נשז , which is usually transitive. According to BDB נשׂא is used intransitively only four times (Nah. 1:5; Psa. 89:10 (LXX 88:10); Hos. 13:1; Hab. 1:3), none of which are translated into $\alpha \mathfrak{\alpha} v \alpha-$ $\lambda \alpha \mu \beta \alpha \dot{v} \omega \omega$. According to KB, 726, at least Nah. 1:5 and Psa. 89:10 should be differently interpreted. In MT of 4:6 the verb נשא has an object נס, which the translator has interpreted as a verb and translated into $\varphi$ عú$\gamma \varepsilon \tau \varepsilon$. The same Hebrew expression is found in Is. $5: 26 ; 11: 12 ; 13: 2 ; 18: 3$; Jer. 51:12, 27 (LXX 28:12, 27); 50:2 (LXX 27:2). In LXX 27:2 the expression is not translated at all (cf. Gött. ad loc), and in all other examples נשז is translated into $\alpha i \varrho \omega$, and into бךиعі̃ov ( $\sigma u ́ \sigma \sigma \eta \mu \circ v$ Is. 5:26).

Perhaps the translator only used the common translation of נשׂ , i.e., $\alpha \dot{\alpha} \alpha \lambda \alpha \mu \beta \alpha \dot{\alpha} \nu \omega$, and did not recognize the intransitive meaning of the verbs. It is also possible that the text has been revised by someone who changed the original object of $\dot{\alpha} v \alpha \lambda \alpha \beta$ óv $\tau \varepsilon \varsigma$ into a verb. Anyhow, the text as we have it today has a transitive verb without an object, thus the translation also has a usually transitive verb "lift up" without an object. Cf. Althann 1983, 43.

For the translation of two Hebrew imperatives into a participle and an imperative, see Walser, 2001, 39-54.
xаха́] Cf. 1:14.
 its rendering, see 1:5.

8. $\alpha \lambda \alpha \lambda \alpha \dot{\beta} \alpha \tau \varepsilon]$ is a rendering of . The choice of rendering seems to have been influenced by the similarity of sounds. Cf. 29:2; 30:3; 32:20, 22.
$\left.\dot{\alpha} \varphi^{\prime} \dot{v} \mu \tilde{\omega} v\right]$ Gött. has $\dot{\alpha} \varphi^{\prime}{ }^{\prime} \eta \mu \tilde{\omega} v$, which is found only in Sinaiticus. The reading $\dot{\alpha} \varphi \varphi^{\prime} \eta \mu \tilde{\omega} v$ attributes the text to Jeremiah, while $\dot{\alpha} \varphi \varphi^{\prime} \dot{u} \mu \tilde{\omega} v$ is not decisive; the speaker could be either God or Jeremiah. It is true that, if God is the speaker, there is a reference to God in the third person, but according to McKane this "cannot ... be ruled out as inadmissible", McKane 1986, 91.
9. xai हैøт $\alpha$ ] Cf. 3:16.
$\langle\lambda\rangle \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \varepsilon \mathrm{\varepsilon}] \lambda$ in $\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \varepsilon \mathrm{ct}$ is written above the line.
10. $\tilde{\omega}]$ Gött has. ó $勹$ oैv. Cf. 1:6, Ziegler 1958, 40, Janzen 1973, 81-82, and Althann 1983, 60.
$\tilde{\alpha} \varrho \alpha \dot{\alpha} \gamma]$ Both Gött. and Rahlfs have ${ }^{\circ} \varrho \alpha \gamma \varepsilon$. However, it is accentuated $\tilde{\alpha} \varrho \alpha \dot{\alpha} \gamma \varepsilon$ in the MS, i.e., the scribe who added the accents to the MS interpreted the sentence as a question. Moreover, Chrysostom and Theodoret also take it as a question. Thus it is quite clear that the text was interpreted as a question at the time of the MS.

There appears to be no reason to interpret the Hebrew text as a question, and it is not clear how the original translator of Jeremiah interpreted the text. Perhaps the reading $\dot{\alpha} \varrho \alpha \dot{\alpha} \gamma \varepsilon$ is an attempt to soften the harsh accusation of Jeremiah.
$\dot{\alpha} \pi \alpha \tau \tilde{\omega} v \eta$ ท̉ $\boldsymbol{\alpha} \tau \eta \sigma \alpha \varsigma]$ is a literal rendering of השא השאתת, i.e., infinitive absolute with finite verb. The figura etymologica of the Hebrew text has been preserved in the Greek translation. It has also been preserved in the present translation "deceiving deceived", since the construction of the present participle with the finite verb is as strange to Greek as it is to English. For a discussion of the Greek present participle as a rendering of the Hebrew infinitive absolute, see 3:1.
11. $\overline{\pi v \alpha}]$ is written as a nomen sacrum in Vaticanus, though it is a $\pi v \varepsilon \tilde{v} \mu \alpha$ $\pi \lambda \alpha v \eta{ }_{\sigma} \sigma \varepsilon \omega \varsigma$ "a spirit of error". Cf. $\pi v \varepsilon \tilde{v} \mu \alpha \pi \lambda \eta \varrho \omega ́ \sigma \varepsilon \omega \varsigma$ in v. 12, which is also written as a nomen sacrum. For nomina sacra, see Introduction.

In the translation of the noun-clause ódós ... örıov the verb "lead to" is supplied from the context.
12. $\overline{\pi v \alpha}]$ Cf. v. 11.
13. xov ¢ótع@ot $\alpha$ ả $\tau \tilde{\omega} v]$ is a rather free, but quite idiomatic rendering of קלו מנשררים, indicating the fine linguistic instinct of the translator. Cf. 1:2 and 38:11.
14. $\dot{\alpha} \pi o ́ \pi \lambda u v \varepsilon]$ Gött. has $\dot{\alpha} \pi o ́ \pi \lambda u v \alpha ı$. Even if the difference could be only orthographic, it should be noted that there is also a change of voice,



16. ovбт@очai] For a discussion of the Vorlage, cf. Althann 1983, 77-78.

## 10. A Vision of Misery and Destruction (4:19-26)

This section contains another vision of Jeremiah. But unlike the earlier visions, which were strictly visual, this vision appears to affect the whole person of Jeremiah, as well as creation. It is a vision of the consequences of the unavoidable disaster.

The short section contains an interesting translation-technical problem: should the text given in the manuscripts be translated, though it makes poor sense, or should a correction of the text be translated with or without an indication in the translation? In the present translation the text of Vaticanus is rendered into English, even if it sometimes makes very poor sense.
 following MT.
$\dot{\eta} \psi v \chi \eta \dot{\eta} \mu \mathrm{ov}]$ MT has לבי. For a discussion of the Hebrew text, cf. Althann 1983, 88.
20. $\tau \alpha \lambda \alpha \iota \pi \omega$ oí $\alpha v]$ Gött. has $\tau \alpha \lambda \alpha u \pi \omega \varrho$ í $\alpha$, which is not attested in any manuscript. Ziegler adopts the suggestion made by Köhler, arguing that the text given by the manuscripts is incomprehensible. The text given
by Vaticanus is problematic, and the translation is only a suggestion of what could have been the understanding of the reader of the MS. The two asyndetic accusatives $\tau \alpha \lambda \alpha \iota л \omega \varrho i \alpha v$ бvvт@ццо́v are taken as objects of $\dot{\varepsilon} \pi \iota x \alpha \lambda \varepsilon \tilde{\tau} \alpha \alpha$, of which the subject is not given. Cf. Ziegler 1958, 40.
$\tau \varepsilon \tau \alpha \lambda \varepsilon \pi \omega \dot{\varrho} \eta \not \varepsilon \varepsilon v]$ Gött. has $\tau \varepsilon \tau \alpha \lambda \alpha \iota \pi \omega ́ \varrho \eta \varkappa \varepsilon v$. Cf. $\tau \alpha \lambda \alpha \iota л \omega \varrho i \alpha \sigma v$ and тєт $\alpha \lambda \alpha \iota \sigma \dot{\varrho} \eta \chi \varepsilon v$ earlier in the verse.
23. oủษย์v] is most likely a rendering of תהו ובהו or at least of one of these words. Aq., Symm. and Theod. add $\varkappa \varepsilon v \grave{\eta}$ 火ai (with the asterisk) before ovivév, thus indicating that the translator has left something in the Hebrew text untranslated. However, תהו is translated both into oưvغ́v (1Sam. 12:21; Is. 40:17; 40:23 (oủסév); Job 26:7 (oủסév)) and into xevóv (Is. 45:18). Moreover, תהו ובהו appears to be a quotation from Gen. 1:2,
 which obviously was not appropriate for the present context.
24. $\tilde{\eta} v \tau \varrho \varepsilon ́ \mu o v \tau \alpha]$ For the periphrastic form, see BDR, $\$ 353$, Wallace 1995, 648, CS, $\S 72$, and Smyth 1956, § 1961 .
26. व̉ло̀ л@обต́лоv] Cf. 1:8.

## 11. Destruction, a Consequence of Being Unfaithful (4:27-6:9)

This is the first longer section, and its focus is the disaster and its causes. The whole section is a long exposition of the consequences of faithlessness and the vanity of trying to escape. God has made up his mind and he will not change it, because all men are corrupt, low and high, priests and prophets alike. But though the focus of the section is the faithlessness of the people and its consequences, there are still several indications that the disaster will not be complete.

This section contains the first text from Jeremiah (5:21) which is quoted in the New Testament (Mark 8:18). Further, the section has an abundance of very literal renderings of Hebrew expressions, and these are usually rendered by very literal expressions in the English translation as well. It also contains the first example of the Hebrew interrogative particle rendered by a Greek interrogative particle, a rendering that slightly changes the content of the text. There is also an obscure passage, which is interpreted differently by Chrysostom and Theodoret, and the intransitive use of an otherwise transitive verb. Finally, there are a
number of minor textual differences, all of which affect the translation in one way or the other.
 less translated here. If $\grave{\varepsilon} \pi i ́ i$ is read the translation could be, "For this ...."
$\dot{\alpha} \pi$ ' $\left.\alpha u ̉ \tau \eta \tilde{\eta}_{5}\right]$ is rendered by "from the land", since it is clear from the Greek that it refers to the land. A more literal rendering "from it" would not be very clear in English.


30. $\dot{\varepsilon} \dot{\alpha} v . . . \dot{\varepsilon} \dot{\alpha} v]$ are both taken in a concessive sense. For concessive clauses without roí, see Smyth 1956, $\$ 2379$. Cf. BDR, $\$ 374$.
$\dot{\varepsilon} v \chi \varrho \varepsilon i \sigma \eta[]$ Gött. has $\varepsilon \in \gamma \chi \varrho i \neq \eta . \gamma$ is written above the line.
oti $\left.{ }_{\mathrm{\beta}} \mathrm{l}\right]$ Chrysostom comments on the word: Chrys. fr. in Jer. 64.808 tò
 talks about the black with which women used to paint their eyes."



2. $\mathfrak{\varepsilon} v]$ Gött. has $\mathfrak{\varepsilon} \pi$ í.
3. Gött. has inserted oủxí between oov and عis. oủxi has no support in the MSS, but is found in Aq. (where it is also supplied by the editor) and in Symm. and Syh. (both of which have $\mu \dot{\eta}$ oủxi).
 Johannessohn 1910, 45, Johannessohn 1926, 219, and CS, $\$ 94$.
4. oủx $\dot{\varepsilon} \delta u v \alpha ́ \sigma \vartheta \eta \sigma \alpha v]$ dúvaucu in Jeremiah is otherwise always a rendering of the Hebrew root יכל. For the Hebraistic absolute use of סv́vapaı, cf. 1:19.

 this is also what is translated. ह̈л $\pi \sigma \varepsilon v$ "has fallen" would make no sense here. For the confusion of $\alpha$ and $\varepsilon$ see Introduction.

$\omega ̉ \lambda$ óv@
$\pi \alpha \varrho \delta \alpha \lambda\langle\mathrm{l}\rangle \varsigma] \mathrm{l}$ is written above the line.
$\dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \varrho \eta \gamma o ́ \varrho \eta \sigma \varepsilon v]$ For the form, see CS, $\$ 27 \mathrm{~b}$.
7. лoíą тoú $\tau \omega v$ í $\lambda \varepsilon \omega \varsigma ~ \gamma \varepsilon ́ v \omega \mu \alpha i ́ ~ \sigma o ı] ~ T h e o d o r e t ~ a n d ~ O l y m p i o d o r u s ~ c o m-~$ ment on the passage: Thdt. Jer. $81.537 \lambda \varepsilon ́ \gamma \varepsilon \iota ~ \delta \dot{\varepsilon}$ жаì $\tau \tilde{\eta} \varsigma \pi \alpha \varrho \alpha v o \mu i ́ \alpha s ~ \tau \grave{\alpha}$ $\varepsilon " \delta \eta$ "He talks about the forms of lawlessness." Olymp. fr. Jer. 93.640
 permit, and what kind [will I] not [permit]?" Brenton renders лoí $\alpha$ by "in what way". Theodoret and Olympiodorus are followed in the present translation.

 אלהים. According to Helbing 1928, 72, and BDR, $\$ 149.2$, ő $\mu v v \mu$ u with $\dot{\varepsilon} v$ is a Hebraism. Thus the literal rendering "were swearing in those who are no gods" in the present translation.
$\dot{\varepsilon} \mu \mathrm{o} \chi \chi \tilde{\omega} v \tau 0]$ For the form, see Thackereay 1909, 276.


 introduces a question which expects the answer "no". To preserve the question with the expected answer "no", the interrogative particle $\mu \eta$ is rendered by "It cannot be that ... can it?"

It should be noted that translating the Hebrew interrogative particle by the Greek interrogative particle $\mu \dot{\prime}$ changes the content of the clause. Whereas the Hebrew particle introduces a question with no given answer, the Greek question introduced by $\mu \eta$ has a given answer "no". For questions introduced by interrogative particles, see BDR, $\$ 427.2$.
 According to Helbing 1928, 36, $\dot{\varepsilon} x \delta \iota x \varepsilon ́ \omega$ with $\dot{\varepsilon} v$ is a Hebraism. Thus the literal rendering "will ... be avenged in a nation such as this" in the present translation.

عैvveı] is a rendering of גוי in the identical expression in v. 29 is also rendered by $\varepsilon \notin \vartheta v \varepsilon$, but in the identical expression in 9:9 it is rendered by $\lambda \alpha \tilde{\varrho}$. There seems to be no reason to suppose a different Vorlage here, but rather the variation is due to the translator of Jeremiah.

тoเov́ $\omega$ ] Gött. has $\tau \tilde{\varrho}$ тoเoú $\tau \omega$.
 see Introduction.
 absolute and finite verb. The figura etymologica of the Hebrew text has been preserved in the Greek translation. The figura etymologica has also been preserved in the translation "breaking they broke", since the construction of the present participle with the finite verb is as strange to Greek as it is to English. For a discussion of the Greek present participle as a rendering of the Hebrew infinitive absolute, see 3:1.
$\lambda \varepsilon ́ \gamma \varepsilon เ \bar{x}]$ Not in Gött.

$\tau \tilde{\omega} \overline{\chi(\underset{\omega}{]}}$ is a rendering of ביהוה. For the definite article with $\chi u ́ \rho เ o \varsigma, ~ s e e ~$ Introduction.

غ́avtãv] Gött. has aủtãv.

13. $\tilde{\eta} \sigma \alpha v \varepsilon i \varsigma]$ For a discussion of the construction cĩval ... عis, see 3:23.
14. $\varrho \tilde{\eta} \mu \alpha]$ is a rendering of דבר. For a discussion of the rare rendering @ $\tilde{\eta} \mu \alpha$, see 1:1.
 second singular middle, though it is hard to explain the reason for the middle form here. On the other hand, third person singular would not fit the context. For the endings, see Thackeray 1909, 216-218.
$\tau \tilde{\eta} \varsigma \varphi \omega v \tilde{\eta} \varsigma]$ is bracketed in Gött. Most MSS have either $\tau \tilde{\eta} \varsigma \varphi \omega v \tilde{\eta} \varsigma$ or $\tau \tilde{\eta} \varsigma \gamma \lambda \omega \sigma \sigma \eta \varsigma$. According to Ziegler 1958, 102-103, $\tau \tilde{\eta} 5 \gamma \lambda \omega \sigma \sigma \sigma \eta 5$ is most likely original, since לשׁון is always translated into $\gamma \lambda \omega \sigma \sigma \sigma \eta$ in Jeremiah, and $\tau \tilde{\eta} \varsigma \varphi \omega v \tilde{\eta} \varsigma$ is most likely a correction by the scribe according to Deut. 28:49.
av̉roṽ] resembles the redundant pronouns, of which there is an example in verse 17 . But here there is no relative particle in the Hebrew text of which o $\tilde{\tilde{v}}$ could be a translation.
17. $\grave{\alpha} \varsigma$ лó $\lambda \varepsilon เ \varsigma]$ is written two times only in Vaticanus.
 equally redundant expression "in them" in the English translation. For redundant pronouns in Greek translated from Semitic originals, see 2:6.
18. xaì हैбт
 हैo $\sigma \alpha$ introducing an utterance followed by an adverbial clause with its apodosis introduced by $\kappa \alpha i$, see Muraoka 2002, 282. Cf. Introduction.

ठov $\lambda \varepsilon v \dot{\sigma} \sigma \tau \alpha \iota]$ Gött. has $\delta o v \lambda \varepsilon v v^{\circ} \sigma \varepsilon \tau \varepsilon . \varepsilon$ is written above the line. The form $\delta 0 \cup \lambda \varepsilon u ́ \sigma \varepsilon \tau \varepsilon$ is translated in the present translation. For a discussion on the confusion of $\alpha \iota$ and $\varepsilon$, see Introduction.
21. $\dot{\alpha} \nsim o v i \sigma \alpha \tau \varepsilon \delta \dot{\eta}]$ The emphatic Greek particle $\delta \dot{\eta}$ is in the Septuagint mostly a rendering of the Hebrew emphatic particle $נ$. Since the use of the particle נא is quite stereotyped, the use of the particle $\delta \eta$ is equally stereotyped. The particle is almost exclusively used with hortatory verbs and placed immediately after the verb. Thus the particle $\delta \dot{\eta}$ in the Septuagint is mostly used in the same way. This use differs a great deal from the use of $\delta \dot{\eta}$ in Greek which is not translated from a Semitic original (cf. Denniston 1959, 203-278, and Walser 2001, 131-134, 161). Hence the stereotyped rendering of $\delta \eta$ by "indeed" in the present translation. Cf. 5:24; 8:6; 9:19 (LXX 9:20); 18:11; 18:13; 37:3 (LXX 44:3); 38:4 (LXX 45:4); 38:14 (LXX 45:14); 40:15 (LXX 47:15); 42:2 (LXX 49:2).

ỏ $\varphi \vartheta \alpha \lambda \mu$ oi ... $\alpha$ 人̉ov́ovoıv] For the quotation in Mark 8:18, see Introduction.
 a rendering of ה. ... לאתתיראו ... לא תחילו. For a discussion of this construction and of the translation, cf. v. 9.
 least very rare in other Greek, and the expression $\alpha$ д̀̀ л@обо́лоv $\mu$ оv is, according to Helbing, "hebraisierend". Thus the translation is very literal too. See Helbing 1928, 25-26, 31-32. Cf. 1:8.
oủ סuvŋ́бধтаı] appears to be a literal rendering of לא יוכלו. Thus the literal rendering "it will not be able" in the present translation. For the Hebraistic absolute use of סúvaual, cf. 1:19.
24. عĩлov] Gött. has cĩл $\alpha v$.
$\varphi о \beta \eta \vartheta \tilde{\omega} \mu \varepsilon v \delta \eta \dot{\eta}$ For a discussion of the construction and the rendering "indeed", see 5:21.
x $\tau \dot{\alpha}$ x $\alpha \varrho \grave{v} \pi \lambda \eta \varrho \omega ́ \sigma \varepsilon \omega \varsigma ~ \pi \varrho о \sigma \tau \alpha ́ \gamma \mu \alpha \tau о \varsigma ~ \vartheta \varepsilon \varrho \iota \sigma \mu о \tilde{v}]$ appears to be a very literal rendering of בעתו שבעות חקות קציר. Thus the literal rendering in the present translation too. The Greek text is obscure. Chrysostom comments on the passage: Chrys. fr. in Jer. 64.816-817 $\dot{\alpha} \sigma \alpha \varphi \tilde{\omega} \varsigma ~ \tau о \tilde{\tau} \tau 0$
 says is something like this ..." In short, Chrysostom then explains that God gives new rain before they have used up what was produced by the previous rain. Theodoret gives a quite different interpretation: Thdt.

 ordinance. And it bestows plump fruit from the land."
$\overline{\chi v}$ tòv $\overline{\vartheta v}]$ Gött. has tòv xúgıov $\vartheta \varepsilon o ́ v$.
л@́́циоv] Gött. has л@óцог.

26. $\tau$ ข̃ $\delta เ \alpha \varphi \vartheta \varepsilon โ \varrho \alpha u] ~ G o ̈ t t . ~ h a s ~ \delta ı \alpha \varphi \vartheta \varepsilon โ ̃ \varrho \alpha ı . ~$
28. Ë 亿osıvav] Gött. has ë $\not \varrho \varrho v \alpha v$.
 construction and of the translation, cf. v. 9.
 and its rendering, see v. 9 .

 Schleusner, cf. Gött. 176. For a discussion of the text ह̇лєx@átๆбаv $\tau \alpha i ̃ \varsigma$ ג६@oiv aủtãv, see Sollamo 1979, 209-210.

лоиŋ $\sigma \eta \tau \varepsilon]$ Gött. has the future indicative лоוŋ́ $\sigma \varepsilon \tau \varepsilon$. $\varepsilon$ is written above the line.

6:1. $\varepsilon$ हैvuđúvocte] According to Muraoka 2002, 187, the intransitive use of $\dot{\varepsilon} v i \sigma \chi \dot{v} \omega$ is not attested before the Septuagint. According to LEH, 153, غ̇vơứoote should be taken in a reflexive sense here, "strengthen yourselves", for MT העוז, which might have been the intention of the translator of Jeremiah. However, Olympiodorus comments on the passage: Olymp.
 ט́น $\tilde{v} v$ oi $\delta u v \alpha ́ \mu \varepsilon v o l ~ \pi о \lambda \varepsilon \mu \varepsilon i v ~ " D o ~ n o t ~ b e ~ j e a l o u s, ~ ' h e ~ s a y s ', ~ b u t ~ y o u ~ w h o ~$ are able to fight straighten out your neighbours." The comment by Olympiodorus indicates an intransitive meaning of $\mathfrak{\varepsilon} v i \sigma \chi \mathcal{U}^{\prime} \sigma \alpha \tau \varepsilon$ (or perhaps a transitive meaning, if an object is supplied from the context). Thus the rendering "prevail" in the present translation.

غ̇x $\mu \varepsilon ́ \sigma o v]$ For a discussion of the expression, see Sollamo 1979, 249.
4. $\sigma \chi \varepsilon เ \alpha i ̀ ~ \tau \tilde{\eta} \varsigma ~ \varepsilon ́ \mu \varepsilon ́ \varrho \alpha \varsigma] ~ G o ̈ t t . ~ h a s ~ \sigma \chi ı \alpha i ̀ ~ \tau \eta ̃ ऽ ~ \varepsilon ́ \sigma л \varepsilon ́ \varrho \alpha \varsigma . ~$

6. $\tilde{\omega}]$ Gött. has $\stackrel{\omega}{\omega}$, Rahlfs has $\tilde{\omega}$, which is also the accent of the MS.
$\hat{\varepsilon} v ~ \alpha u ̉ \tau n ̃] ~ F o r ~ a ~ d i s c u s s i o n ~ o f ~ t h e ~ e x p r e s s i o n ~ a n d ~ i t s ~ r e n d e r i n g, ~ s e e ~$ Sollamo 1979, 240.
7. A stroke above the line in the left margin indicates that a new paragraph starts after лavtós. Since v. 7 begins at the beginning of the line, there is no space within the text which could confirm the new paragraph. Hence there is no new paragraph in the present edition.
raxia] Gött. has raxiov, thus being the object of the second $\psi v$ v́xı. In Vaticanus raxio is subject of $\psi$ v́x\&l, which has no object. According to Thackeray 1909, $290 \psi$ v́ $\chi \omega$ is both transitive and intransitive in this verse. However, it is most likely better to take $\psi v \chi \chi \omega$ as a transitive verb in both examples and supply an object from the context, as did Brenton, who supplied "her". In the present translation no word is supplied; instead this is left to the reader of the text, just as the reader of the Greek text had to supply something. Cf. Muraoka 2002, 608.
8. $\left.{ }^{\alpha} \beta \alpha \tau o v\right]$ Cf. 2:6.
$\eta ँ \tau \iota]$ For the use of the indefinite relative pronoun instead of the relative pronoun $\eta$, see BDR, $\$ 293$, CS, $\$ 71$.

 xat $\omega x i \sigma \vartheta \eta$ ). For the form without augment, cf. Thackeray 1909, 200, and $\mathrm{BDR}, \S 67.1 \mathrm{~b}$. The accent added in the MS is a circumflex, thus indicating a prohibitive subjunctive, $\chi \alpha \tau о เ x เ \sigma \vartheta \tilde{\eta}$, which, however, would require the negative $\mu \dot{\eta}$.
9. $x \alpha \lambda \alpha \mu \tilde{\alpha} \sigma \vartheta \varepsilon$ к $\kappa \alpha \lambda \alpha \mu \tilde{\alpha} \sigma \vartheta \varepsilon]$ is a rendering of i.e., an infinitive absolute with a finite verb of the same root. The rendering of this Hebrew expression by the repetition of the same verbal form is very rare. For a discussion of the translation of this Hebrew expression, see 3:1.

> 12. Further Judgement (6:10-15)

The following short section is just a continuation of the previous section, and it is hard to see the reason for a new section here.

From a linguistic point of view, on the other hand, the section contains a couple of very interesting features. Given the fact that there are no comparative forms in Hebrew, a very literal translation such as the translation of Jeremiah is likely to have very few comparative forms as well. However, this does not mean that there is no comparison in Hebrew, or that the translator did not recognize the comparison in the Hebrew text. He only made a very literal rendering. The reader of the translation, on the other hand, with no knowledge of the Hebrew original, is unlikely to have recognized the comparison without comparative forms. Thus the present translation does not have any comparative form here. The next feature is of a similar kind, only here the translator chose the opposite solution. In Hebrew there is no term for a false prophet, while there is one in Greek. Hence the translator used the Greek term for false prophet when he interpreted the Hebrew term for prophet as a false prophet. Interestingly enough, in the Septuagint the use of the Greek term for a false prophet is only found in Jeremiah and once in Zechariah.
10. סuvท́ซovtaı] Gött. has סúvavtol.
$\oint \tilde{\eta} \mu \alpha]$ is a rendering of דבר. For a discussion of the rare rendering @ $\tilde{\eta} \mu \alpha$, see 1:1.
11. oủ $\sigma u v \varepsilon \varepsilon \varepsilon \dot{\lambda} \lambda \varepsilon \sigma \alpha]$ According to Ziegler 1958, 51, the negative was added by the translator of Jeremiah.
$\dot{\varepsilon} x \chi \varepsilon \tilde{\omega}]$ This un-Attic future form was, according to Thackeray 1909, 243, "designed to differentiate the fut. from the present".
$\langle x \alpha i\rangle]$ The fourth $x \alpha^{\prime}$ is added in the margin.
$\sigma v v \lambda \eta \mu \varphi \vartheta \vartheta \dot{\eta} \sigma \sigma v \tau \alpha u]$ Gött. has $\sigma \cup \lambda \lambda \eta \mu \varphi \vartheta \eta^{\eta} \sigma o v \tau \alpha \mathrm{~L}$. In the manuscript $\lambda$ is written above the first $v$ in $\sigma v v \lambda \eta \mu \varphi \vartheta \eta^{\prime} \sigma o v \tau \alpha$.

л@єбßútє@оऽ] is a rendering of ri, and seems to be an official title without comparative force. Cf. BDAG, 862.
 גדולם, and is rendered by "from their small and to the great". The meaning of the Hebrew מקטנם ועד־גדולם is most likely "from the least to the greatest of them" (NRSV), but since there are no comparative forms in Hebrew the translator preferred to translate the Hebrew adjectives into Greek adjectives in the positive form. The literal Greek translation is thus translated into a literal English translation, preserving the positive forms of the Greek. For the lack of superlative forms in Hebrew and its influence on the Greek of the Septuagint, see Thackeray 1909, 181.
 though the word order is apparently not the same. Gött. has raí between í@ź $\omega \varsigma$ and $\varepsilon$ é $\omega \varsigma$. It should be noted that there is no word for "false prophet" in Hebrew. Consequently, $\psi \varepsilon v \delta о \pi \varrho о \varphi \eta ́ \tau \eta ร$ is always an interpretation by the translator and a rendering of נביא. Beside the nine examples in Jeremiah (6:13; 33:7, 8, 11, 16 (MT 26:7, 8, 11, 16); 34:7 (MT 27:9); 35:1 (MT 28:1); 36:1, 8 (MT 29:1, 8)) there is only one example of $\psi \varepsilon v-$ бол@очи́тทऽ in LXX, namely Zech. 13:2.
15. $\mathfrak{\varepsilon} \xi \varepsilon \lambda i ́ \pi o \sigma \alpha v]$ for the 2nd aorist ending -oo $\alpha v$, see Thackeray1909, 212.
 Hebrew infinitive absolute with finite verb, גם בושש לא־יבוֹשו. Usually this Greek construction is translated into a present participle followed by a finite verb in the present translation (see the discussion of this construction on 3:1). Since the negative ovid́ can hardly belong to the participle, which would need $\mu \eta$ ', a translation following the usual word order ("yet being ashamed they were not ashamed"), would be quite illogical. A more plausible rendering is given in the translation. Chrysostom comments on their being ashamed: Chrys. fr. in Jer. $64.825 \pi \tilde{\omega} \varsigma ~ x \alpha \tau \eta \sigma \chi v ́ v \vartheta \eta \sigma \alpha v ;$

 sign of deeds did not accompany the words. They were convicted by their deeds".
$\dot{\omega} \varsigma]$ Gött. has $\check{\omega} \varsigma . \dot{\omega} \varsigma$ is found in Vaticanus. For the use of $\dot{\omega} \varsigma$ as a comparative particle, see BDR, $\$ 425.4$.

## 13. A Refusal to Be Warned (6:16-18)

The section is one of the shortest, and it contains yet another exhortation for the people to turn around. This time the exhortation is directed directly to the people, and it also contains a promise, which is quoted by Jesus in the Gospel of Matthew (11:29) in a slightly different way. Again the people refuses to turn around.

Interesting is the change of mood from imperative in the Hebrew text to past tense in the translation. The Greek version of the text was recognized as a prophecy both by Chrysostom and Theodoret, a prophecy which again has a universal aspect; cf. 3:17.
 11:29, but with $\dot{\alpha} v \alpha \dot{\alpha} \pi \alpha v \sigma ı v$ instead of $\dot{\alpha} \gamma v i \sigma \mu o v^{v}$. For the quotation, see Introduction.
17. $\chi \alpha \tau \varepsilon ́ \sigma \tau \alpha \gamma \alpha]$ for the form cf. 1:10.
18. $\eta \nsim o v \sigma \alpha v]$ is apparently a rendering of the Hebrew perfect form ,שָׁמְעוּ, while the text of BHS has an imperative שיׁמְעו. The Greek version was taken as a prophecy both by Chrysostom: Chrys. fr. in Jer. 64.828

 living, the Jews did not listen. When they have died, we listen ...", and by Theodoret: Thdt. Jer. 81.545, 548, who refers to Acts 13:46-47 as the fulfilment of the prophecy.

лощغ́vovtєऽ] Gött. has лощаívovtєऽ. $\alpha \mathrm{L}$ is written above the line.

## 14. Rejection, the Evil Fruit of Disobedience (6:19-30)

The people have been rejected, for they did not want to listen to the words of the Lord. They will be hit by weakness, and an enemy will come upon them from the north. The disaster is here, it is time for mourning, for the people has been disapproved of.

From a linguistic point of view the section offers a number of very literal renderings, a text-critical problem, and a decision has to be made whether a word should be taken as a substantive or an adjective. The decision has some impact on the interpretation of the passage.
20. îv
$\dot{\varepsilon} \xi]$ Gött. has $\dot{\varepsilon} x . x$ is written above the line.
21. $\alpha \mathfrak{\alpha} \sigma \vartheta \varepsilon \dot{v} v a v]$ Gött. has $\dot{\alpha} \sigma \vartheta \varepsilon^{\prime} v \varepsilon เ \alpha v . ~ \varepsilon$ is written above the line.

22. A stroke above the line in the MS indicates a new paragraph. Since v . 22 begins at the beginning of the line, there is no space in the text which could confirm the new paragraph.

23. $\Sigma \varepsilon \iota \omega v]$ Gött. has $\Sigma \iota \omega v$.
24. $\mathfrak{\eta} \nsim o v ́ \sigma \alpha \mu \varepsilon v \tau \grave{\eta} \nu \dot{\alpha} \nsim O \eta ̀ v \alpha u ̛ \tau \tilde{\omega} v]$ is a rendering of the Hebrew expression ששמענו את־שממעו. Both the Hebrew and the Greek expressions form a figura etymologica. The rendering "we have heard their hearsay" is an attempt to preserve this figura etymologica in the English translation too. $\vartheta \lambda \varepsilon \tau \psi \iota \varsigma]$ Gött. has $\vartheta \lambda \tau ̃ \not \iota \varsigma$.
25. A stroke above the line in the MS indicates a new paragraph. Since v. 25 begins at the beginning of the line, there is no space in the text which could confirm the new paragraph.

$\pi о i ́ \eta \sigma \varepsilon]$ Gött. has $\pi о$ í $\eta \sigma \alpha \iota$. $\alpha$ is written above the line.
$\dot{\varepsilon} \xi \dot{\varepsilon} \varphi v \eta \zeta$ ] Gött. has $\mathfrak{\varepsilon} \xi \alpha i ́ \varphi v \eta \varsigma$. $\alpha$ t is written above the line.
$\left.\dot{\varepsilon} \varphi^{\prime} \cup \mathfrak{v} \mu \tilde{\alpha} \varsigma\right]$ Gött. has $\varepsilon \in \varphi^{\prime} \eta \eta^{\eta} \tilde{\alpha} \varsigma$ which is a conjecture by Spohn following MT. If the text by Ziegler is followed, the speaker is changed from the Lord to the prophet Jeremiah. Cf. Althann 1983, 263.
27. $\delta$ oxı $\mu \alpha \sigma \tau \eta v$ ] could be either the feminine accusative of the adjective божццабто́s or the masculine accusative of the substantive божц $\mu \sigma \tau \eta$ ' If the same person is addressed in v. 27 as in v. 26, viz. Эv́ช $\alpha \tau \varrho \lambda \alpha o \tilde{v}$ $\mu \mathrm{ov}$, ठoxı $\mu \alpha \sigma \tau \eta v$ could be a feminine adjective, which could be rendered: approved, tried out, tested. Thus Israel would be a tested people among tested nations ( $\dot{\varepsilon} v \lambda \alpha o \tilde{\tau} \varsigma \delta \varepsilon \delta o x \mu \alpha \sigma \mu \varepsilon ́ v o ı s)$. This appears to be the opinion of Brenton: "I have caused you to be tried among tried nations". It also seems to be the opinion of LEH, 119, and Muraoka 2002, 132.

If the person addressed in v. 27 is the prophet Jeremiah, бохциа$\sigma \tau \eta v$ could be a masculine substantive, which could be rendered: tester, approver. This seems to be the content of MT (for MT, see McKane 1986, 153-155) and it is also the opinion of Chrysostom: Chrys. fr. in Jer.
 tells the prophet [italics added for the sake of clarity] to expose their wickedness". In the present translation, following Joannes Chrysostom,


 eral translations of נתן, see Helbing 1928, 51-53: "Weil נָתָ auch „machen" bedeutet, so haben die Übersetzer $\delta \iota \delta o ́ v \alpha \iota ~ i n ~ d i e s e m ~ S i n n, ~ w o ~ s o n s t ~ \pi o t-~$ عĩv u.ä. stehen, zugelassen. Es liegt an sich ein lexikalischer Hebraismus vor, da die Bedeutung im Griechischen nicht ohne Weiteres möglich ist. Jedoch kann $\delta \iota \delta o ́ v \alpha \iota-v g l . ~ \alpha ̋ \lambda \gamma \varepsilon \alpha ~ \delta o u ̃ v \alpha ı ~ b e i ~ H o m e r-„ b e r e i t e n, ~ s c h a f-~$
fen, bewirken" sein ..., woraus sich ganz allgemein „machen" entwickeln konnte. Das Verständnis der einzelnen Stellen bei den LXX war auch dadurch erleichtert daß vielfach „geben" statt „machen" angenommen werden konnte." See also Thackeray 1909, 39. Thus the very literal rendering "I have given you as a tester". The same literal rendering of is
 the examples with $\varepsilon$ ís ( $9: 11 ; 12: 10 ; 15: 13 ; 19: 7 ; 25: 9 ; 28: 53 ; 33: 6 ; 36: 26$;

 misspelling of $\mu$ ó $\boldsymbol{\imath}$ ßos caused by assimilation. See Thackeray 1909, 106. Cf. Schwyzer 1959, 1.257.
 verb with infinitive absolute of the same root. The figura etymologica of the Hebrew text has been preserved in the Greek translation. The figura etymologica has also been preserved in the translation "the silversmith works his silver". For a discussion of this Hebrew construction and its renderings, see 3:1.



## 15. Warning, Disobedience and Punishment (7:2-20)

With what seems to be an almost inexhaustible patience, the Lord once again repeats his exhortation to the people to turn around, and he adds a promise to let the people stay in the Promised Land. The exhortation is detailed and it gives a glimpse into the bad conditions that were prevalent in Israel. But with the same unfailing stubbornness, the people answers with lies and refuses to turn around. Then the Lord turns to the example of Sion, but that seems to have no effect either. Therefore, the Lord will punish the people and do to Jerusalem what he did to Sion. But he goes a step further. Because of the severe idolatry, the Lord does not even allow Jeremiah to pray for the people.

As usual there are a number of very literal translations in the Septuagint, which are rendered by equally literal translations in the English translation. There is also a short expression in v. 11, which has been quoted in all the synoptic Gospels. Further, there is a neologism, люoб$\dot{\eta} \lambda \nu \tau 0 \varsigma$, which appears to be an attempt by the translator to render the
meaning of the Hebrew expression by forming a new word. This solution is not very common and thus an attempt is made to form a new word with approximately the same meaning in the English translation as well. The verses $8-10$ are complicated. Not only is the apodosis missing, but in verse 9 there is an unexpected change of tense too. For some reason the translator seems to have had some problems with this passage. Finally, there is a transliteration of a Hebrew expression in the Septuagint, for which there is a transliteration in the present translation too.
2. 'Iov $י$ יהודה ${ }^{\text {י }}$. For a discussion of the renderings of יהודה in Jeremiah, see McLean 1997, 69-71, and 79-80.

 a literal rendering of עשׂו תעשו, i.e., the Hebrew construction infinitive absolute with finite verb is twice rendered by Greek present participle with finite verb. The figurae etymologicae of the Hebrew text have been preserved in the Greek translation. The figurae etymologicae have also been preserved in the English translation "straightening you straighten ... doing you do", since the construction of the present participle with the finite verb is as strange to Greek as it is to English. For a discussion of the Greek present participle as a rendering of the Hebrew infinitive absolute, see 3:1.

的v这 $\mu \varepsilon ́ \sigma o v . . . \dot{\alpha} v \dot{\alpha} \mu \varepsilon ́ \sigma o v]$ is a rendering of בין . .. of the expression $\dot{\alpha} v \dot{\alpha} \mu \varepsilon \dot{\varepsilon} \sigma o v$ in Greek appears to have its origin in the Septuagint, and the repeated use of $\dot{\alpha} v \dot{\alpha} \mu \dot{\varepsilon} \sigma o v$ is restricted to the Septuagint and to the literature related to the Septuagint. Hence the repeated use of $\dot{\alpha} v \dot{\alpha} \mu \varepsilon ́ \sigma o v$ must be considered as redundant, when compared to the use of $\dot{\alpha} v \dot{\alpha} \mu \dot{\varepsilon} \sigma o v$ in literature not related to the Septuagint. Consequently, there is a redundant use of the rendering (between) of $\dot{\alpha} v \grave{\alpha} \mu \varepsilon ́ \sigma o v$ in the translation too. See Muraoka 2002, 25, where Muraoka suggests that the repeated use of $\alpha v \dot{\alpha} \mu \varepsilon ́ \sigma o v ~ c o u l d ~ b e ~ a ~ H e b r a i s m . ~ T h e ~ s u g g e s-~$ tion of Muraoka was confirmed by a search on the TLG-disc. See also Johannessohn 1926, 170-174.
6. $\pi \varrho о \sigma \dot{\eta} \lambda v \tau \sigma v] \pi \varrho о \sigma \dot{\eta} \lambda v \tau \sigma \varsigma$ is a neologism to render the Hebrew expression גר, temporary dweller, newcomer, one who has come close (to live as an immigrant). However, at the time of the supposed reader of the text the term $\pi \varrho \circ \sigma \dot{\eta} \lambda v \tau \circ \varsigma$ was well-known and is thus rendered by "newcomer".

ỏ $\pi i ́ \sigma \omega]$ Cf. 2:5.

7. xai $x \alpha \tau$ оוxı $\tilde{\omega}]$ is a rendering of $ו$ ושכנתי, where the Hebrew connective particle 1 is rendered by $x \alpha i ́$. Both וששכנתי and $x \alpha i \not x \alpha \tau o \iota x i \tilde{\omega}$ are here introducing the apodosis, but whereas $\dagger$ is the normal way to introduce the apodosis in Hebrew, xai is very strange. Thus the very stereotyped rendering of $ו$ by $x \alpha i$ here produces a very strange Greek. Cf. 4:2.

However, it is also possible to take $x \alpha i$ as an adverb rendered by "also". Though this was most likely not the intention of the translator, it is perhaps the most natural way to take it, since a connective $x \alpha$ í is totally out of place. Thus xaí is rendered by "also" in the present translation. Cf. xaí v. 14 and 4:2.
 1992, 45.
c่v $\gamma \tilde{\eta}]$ Gött. has $\stackrel{\varepsilon}{\varepsilon} v \tau \tilde{\eta} \gamma \tilde{\eta}$.
8. $\varepsilon i ̉ \delta \dot{\varepsilon}]$ According to Ziegler 1958, 41, $\varepsilon$ ci $\delta \dot{\varepsilon}$ is a rendering of הנה, which the translator took in its Aramaic sense. Thus the translator created a protasis which was not present in the Hebrew original. Consequently, there is no apodosis to this protasis in the Greek text either. As we have the text, the verses $8-10$ form one long protasis with no apodosis.

9. In v. 9 there is a change of tense from present to past, which is quite unexpected, and it indicates that the translator did not fully understand his Hebrew original. See also v. 8. Gött. has the present tense until v. 10, where it also changes the tense from present to past.

ย่ษขนเ $\tilde{\alpha} \tau \varepsilon]$ Gött. has $\vartheta v \mu \iota \tilde{\alpha} \tau \varepsilon$.
$\tau \tilde{\eta} B \alpha \alpha \lambda]$ For the feminine article and its translation, see 2:8.
ย̇ло@єv่ย $\sigma \vartheta \varepsilon]$ Gött. has $\pi о \varrho \varepsilon v ่ \varepsilon \sigma \vartheta ์ \varepsilon . ~$
ỏлíб $\omega$ ] Cf. 2:5.
10. $\dot{\varepsilon} v \dot{\jmath} \pi \iota o v]$ For a discussion of the preposition, see Sollamo 1979, 58, and $\mathrm{BDR}, \S 214.6$.
 redundant "upon it" in the English translation. For redundant pronouns in Greek translated from Semitic originals, see 2:6.


a Hebraism; cf. Muraoka 2002, 215. Thus the literal rendering in the present translation.
$\dot{\alpha} \pi \varepsilon \sigma \chi \eta \dot{\mu} \mu \vartheta \vartheta \alpha$ тоṽ $\mu \grave{\eta} \pi \sigma เ \varepsilon i ̃]$ S Since the negative $\mu \eta$ is not redundant in Greek, but the normal usage of the negative in such expressions, there is no negative in the translation, where it would have been redundant. For the use of the redundant negative $\mu \eta$, with verbs of negative meaning, see Smyth 1956, § 2739.
11. The space between the last letter in v .10 and the first letter in v .11 indicating a new paragraph is quite small.

 is no equivalent of $\dot{\varepsilon} \chi \varepsilon \tilde{1}$, which usually is a rendering of שם . The rendering oṽ of אשׁר, on the other hand, suggests that the translator had שם in his text rather than עליו, which is found in MT. It is not possible to decide if the translator had שליו or in his Vorlage, but it is quite unlikely that
 original translation. However, see v. 30 and 14 , where Gött. has oṽ ... غ̇ $\tau$ ' ๙ủtธั.

દ̇vต́лıov] Cf. v. 10.

oũ ... ̇̇ $ห \varepsilon i ̃] ~ T h e ~ d e m o n s t r a t i v e ~ a d v e r b ~ દ ̇ ห \varepsilon \check{~ i s ~ r e d u n d a n t ~ i n ~ G r e e k, ~ a n d ~}$ thus the equally redundant adverb "there" in the English translation. In Hebrew the relative אשׁר is indeclinable and thus is completed by the adverb אשׁר .שׁם is here rendered by the Greek relative adverb oũ, which needs no complement, and therefore the demonstrative adverb $\dot{\varepsilon} \not \varepsilon \varepsilon \tau$ Greek is unnecessary. Cf. CS, $\S 87$.
 . Hence the literal rendering "from face of evil" in the present translation. Cf. Muraoka 2002, 493 and 1:8.
13. The gap between the last letter in v. 12 and the first letter in v. 13 indicating a new paragraph is quite small.
14. 的这 лоוֹ $\eta \omega 0$ ] is a rendering of where the Hebrew connective
 ducing the apodosis. For a discussion on $x \alpha i$ introducing an apodosis, see v. 7. xaí is here taken as an adverb, though this most likely was not the intention of the translator.

$\left.\tilde{\tilde{\varphi}} \ldots \dot{\varepsilon} \pi^{\prime} \alpha v ̉ \tau \tilde{\varphi} \dot{\varepsilon} \varphi^{\prime} \tilde{\varphi}_{\tilde{\varphi}}^{\ldots} \ldots \dot{\varepsilon} \pi^{\prime} \alpha v ̉ \tau \tilde{\varphi}\right]$ Both examples of $\dot{\varepsilon} \pi^{\prime} \alpha u ̉ \tau \tilde{\varphi}$ are redundant in Greek, and are rendered by the equally redundant "upon it" and "in it" in the English translation. For redundant pronouns in Greek translated from Semitic originals, see 2:6.

 1992, 45.
15. ஷ̉л
$\dot{\alpha} \pi \varepsilon ́ \varrho \iota \psi \alpha]$ Gött. has $\alpha \pi \varepsilon ́ \varrho \varrho \iota \psi \alpha$. The second $\varrho$ in $\dot{\alpha} \pi \varepsilon ́ \varrho \varrho \iota \psi \alpha$ is written above the line. For a discussion of the spelling, see Thackeray 1909, 118119 and Ziegler 1957, 119.
16. A stroke above the line in the MS indicates a new paragraph. Since v. 16 begins at the beginning of the line, there is no space in the text which could confirm the new paragraph.
$\dot{\varepsilon} \lambda \varepsilon \eta \vartheta \vartheta \tilde{\eta} v \alpha \iota \alpha u ̉ \tau o v ́ \varsigma]$ Gött. has $\delta \varepsilon \eta \vartheta \tilde{\eta} v \alpha \iota ~ \pi \varepsilon \varrho i ~ \alpha u ̉ \tau \tilde{\omega} v$, which is a conjecture by Ziegler, of which $\delta \varepsilon \eta \vartheta \tilde{\eta} v \alpha u$ is originally a conjecture by Wutz. Ziegler 1958, 20, suggests that $\dot{\varepsilon} \lambda \varepsilon \eta \vartheta \tilde{\eta} v \alpha \iota$ is a slip of the pen for $\delta \varepsilon \eta \vartheta \tilde{\eta} v \alpha \iota$ and refers to $11: 14$ and 14:12, where רנה is rendered by $\delta \varepsilon ́ \eta \sigma ו s . ~ M c K a n e ~$

ov่ $\chi$ عiбaxov́боцаı] is, according to Thackeray 1909, 127, a clerical error. Gött. has ovix عíaxov́ooual. $x$ is written above the line and the scribe who added the accents wrote a spiritus lenis.

## 18. $\sigma \tau \varepsilon ́ \varsigma]$ Gött. has $\sigma \tau \alpha i ̃ \varsigma$.

$\chi \alpha v \tilde{\omega} v \alpha s] \chi \alpha v \omega \dot{v}$ is a transliteration of the Hebrew כון, which has also been Hellenized, i.e., the Greek form of the word is declinable. Usually $\chi \alpha v \omega \dot{v}$ is translated into "cake", but since $\chi \alpha v \omega ́ v$ is a Hellenized transliteration, it is also transliterated and Anglicized in the present translation into "chawn" (of kauōn). See Thackeray 1909, 36.
19. $\mu \eta$... ov̉ $\chi i]$ The Greek interrogative particles $\mu \dot{\prime}$ and ov̉ $\chi$ i are renderings of the Hebrew interrogative particles הלוא and המ ות of which the latter is a combination of the interrogative particle $ה$ and the negative לוא). In the first question the Hebrew particle $ה$ does not indicate the answer expected, while the Greek rendering $\mu \eta$ ' expects the answer "no". In the second question both the particle הלוא and its rendering oủxi expect an affirmative answer "yes". Hence the renderings in the translation: "Surely
... do they? ... but surely ... do they not ...?". For questions introduced by negative particles, see BDR, $\$ 427.2$ and Gesenius $1910, \$ 150$, JM, 102i, KB, 236, 512. Cf. 5:9.
20. A stroke above the line in the MS indicates a new paragraph. Since v. 20 begins at the beginning of the line, there is no space in the text which could confirm the new paragraph.

व̉ץœoṽ $\alpha u ̉ \tau \tilde{v} v]$ Gött. has $\dot{\alpha} \gamma \varrho 0$ ṽ.
$\langle o u ̀\rangle]$ is written above the line.
$\sigma \beta \varepsilon \vartheta \eta \dot{\eta} \sigma \varepsilon \tau \alpha l]$ Gött. has $\sigma \beta \varepsilon \sigma \vartheta \vartheta \eta \dot{\sigma} \sigma \tau \alpha \mathrm{L}$.

## 16. Apostasy, Judgement and Lamentation (7:21-9:22)

This is one of the longer sections. The Lord rebukes the people for not listening to his words, though the Lord has repeatedly sent his servants, the prophets. There is no use sacrificing when there is no faithfulness. It is time for mourning. In a very graphic description the consequences of war are presented by the Lord. In short: the land is emptied of all joy and filled with slain men. But not only that-even the bones of the deceased will be dragged out of their graves and displayed on the face of the earth. The reason is the apostasy of the people, a people which has degenerated as far as sacrificing its own sons and daughters, a crime which seems to be even beyond the imagination of the Lord. However, it is not only the extent of the apostasy that has perplexed the Lord; the total unwillingness to return appears to be not only perplexing but also unnatural. Though there appears to be some kind of awareness of the disaster, still there seems to be no one who considers the possibility to turn around. Jeremiah is perplexed too; can it really be that the Lord has abandoned the people? He (or the Lord?) cries for someone to comfort him in his grief for the people. The Lord, on the other hand, observing all the faithlessness of the people, cannot but conclude that they did not want to know him. Therefore, the judgment is inevitable. Jerusalem and the cities of Judah will be destroyed, and the people will be scattered among the nations. There is nothing to do but to take up a lamentation.

From a linguistic point of view this long section has quite a few interesting features which should be mentioned. First it has to be noticed that in some passages it is difficult to decide who is the speaker, the Lord or Jeremiah. The problem seems to be present both in the Greek and in the Hebrew version of the text. Beside the usual literal renderings, this sec-
tion also contains the first example of a rendering of the Hebrew infinitive absolute of שכם, which is a characteristic of the prophet Jeremiah. In all examples in Jeremiah, the infinitive absolute of שכם is accompanied by another infinitive absolute of some other verb. These infinitive absolutes, especially the second ones, appear to have caused the translator some problems, and he mostly yielded a very literal translation. This literal rendering of the peculiar use of these infinitive absolutes produces a Greek which is peculiar, to say the least. Thus the construction is discussed in some detail here. Further, there is one of the rare readings which is only found in Vaticanus, and there are a couple of transliterations, one of which most likely very early was corrupted into a similar Greek word, which now is found in all manuscripts. There is also one of few discussions of punctuation. In chapter 9 there is a reading which is found in Vaticanus, Sinaiticus and Alexandrinus, but not mentioned in the Göttingen edition. Thus, from a translation-theoretical point of view, it is clear that, when translating the Göttingen edition, the translation will not be based on the best manuscript evidence. This might, of course, also be the case when translating the unique readings of Vaticanus or the conjectures of the Göttingen edition which are found in the present section.
23. $\varrho \tilde{\eta} \mu \alpha]$ is a rendering of דבר. For a discussion of the rare rendering $\varrho \varrho^{\eta} \mu \alpha$, see 1:1.
 عĩvaı ... દís, see 3:23.
 to have an adversative meaning here. Still the translator did not render ו by any adversative particle. Thus $x \alpha$ í is rendered by "and" in the present translation. For the adversative use of $x \alpha$ í, see Blomqvist, 1979.
$\pi \varrho о \sigma \varepsilon ́ \sigma \chi \varepsilon v$ đò oũ̃ऽ] Cf. 25:4.
25. หаі $\mathfrak{\varepsilon} \xi\} \alpha \pi \varepsilon ́ \sigma \tau \varepsilon ı \lambda \alpha]$ Given the punctuation adopted by Ziegler (and Rahlfs) $x \alpha i \mathfrak{\varepsilon} \xi \xi \alpha \pi \varepsilon \sigma \tau \varepsilon เ \lambda \alpha$ introduces the apodosis following the protasis
 $\boldsymbol{l}$ is the normal way to introduce the apodosis in Hebrew, xai is very strange. Thus the very stereotyped rendering of ו by xaí here produces a very strange Greek; cf. 4:2 and 7:7.

Since it is hardly possible to take $x \alpha i$ as an adverb in this example, x $x$ í is rendered by "and", which produces an English translation as strange as
the Greek translation xai of the Hebrew ו. Perhaps this was the reason which compelled Brenton to take $\dot{\alpha} \varphi^{\prime} \tilde{\eta}^{\prime} 5 \ldots$ тav́rns together with the preceding verse.
 i.e., a noun followed by two examples of infinitive absolute. The noun and the first infinitive absolute are rendered by two genitives of time (cf. Smyth $1956, \$ 1444$, and Wallace 1995, 122-124). Whereas the rendering of יום by $\mathfrak{\eta} \mu \varepsilon ́ \varrho \alpha \varsigma$ is quite literal (for a discussion of יום, cf. McKane 1986, 175) the rendering of השכם by o้@ษoov is quite free. Nevertheless, the
 by the early commentators. Theodoret comments on this verse: Thdt.
 ually talking to them through the prophets", and Olympiodorus upon the meaning of the words $\mathfrak{\eta} \mu \varepsilon ́ \varrho \alpha \varsigma ~ \varkappa \alpha i ~ o ̋ \varrho \vartheta \varrho o v: ~ O l y m p . ~ f r . ~ J e r . ~ 93.644 ~$
 the continual prophetic exhortation". However, the mere fact that the meaning is commented upon most likely indicates that it was not totally evident.

It should also be noted that the use of the infinitive absolute of is a characteristic of the prophet Jeremiah. Of 65 examples of the verb שכם in MT, 14 are infinitive absolute (all in Hifil). 11 of these are found in Jeremiah: 7:13; 7:25; 11:7 (not in LXX); 25:3; 25:4; 26:5 (LXX 33:5); 29:19 (not in LXX); 32:33 (LXX 39:33); 35:14 (LXX 42:14); 35:15 (LXX 42:15) and 44:4 (LXX 51:4). In fact, Jeremiah only uses the Hifil infinitive absolute of the verb שכם. Of the verses translated in the Septuagint, (LXX 42:15). In 7:25; 25:4; 26:5 (LXX 33:5); 32:33 (LXX 39:33); 35:14 (LXX 42:14) and 44:4 (LXX 51:4)


In all examples of שכם in Jeremiah, there is another infinitive absolute following the infinitive absolute of שכם. These are rendered in LXX by:


 11 examples in MT of Jeremiah, the verb following the infinitive absolute of שכם is the same as the first verb in the clause, which is always in the first person singular (except 25:4), and always the Lord is subject of the verb (except 25:3). It should be noted, however, that in the Septuagint the Lord is subject in 25:3 and the verb is in the first person singular in 25:4. For a discussion of the textual history of 25:3-4, see McKane 1986, 618-623, and Aejmelaeus 2002, 467.

Obviously, the rendering of the infinitive absolute caused the translator some problems. Especially the second infinitive absolute appears to have been problematic. Though the translator tried the possibility of translating the infinitive absolute by a participle in 25:3 $\dot{\varepsilon} \lambda \dot{\alpha} \lambda \eta \sigma \alpha \ldots$ ỏ@-
 preferred the finite verb in the other examples. The rendering of the last infinitive absolute by a finite form produces a verb which is quite out of place, and adds nothing to the content, but only repeats the first verb of the clause. Thus the literal rendering "and I have sent" at the end of the verse in the English translation.

The only example of this construction (verb X + infinitive absolute of + infinitive absolute of verb X) outside Jeremiah is found in 2 Chr.

 obviously is a parallel of the examples in Jeremiah describing the activity of the Lord in the time of Zedekiah. The same construction, but with other verbs, is found in Gen. 8:3; 12:9; Josh. 6:9.

ن́лغ̀@ tov̀ऽ $\pi \alpha \tau \dot{\varepsilon} \varrho \alpha \varsigma]$ For the comparative use of $\dot{v} \pi \dot{\varepsilon} \varrho$ with the accusative, see Johannessohn 1910, 45, Johannessohn 1926, 219, and CS, $\$ 94$.
28. тoṽтo tò हैधvos] could also be taken as a nominativus pendens, but since it is possible to take it as a nominal clause, this is preferred in the present translation. For nominativus pendens, see CS, $\S 53$, BDR, $\$ 466.2$.

 above the line. Cf. v. 15.
 in the Koine, see Sollamo 1979, 125, 313-317. According to Sollamo, $\dot{\varepsilon} v a v t i o v ~ i s ~ " a t t e s t e d ~ i n ~ e a r l i e r ~ a n d ~ i n ~ c o n t e m p o r a r y ~ G r e e k ~ o u t s i d e ~ t h e ~$ LXX only in the sense 'before, in the presence of'. Since, however, these prepositions were capable of absorbing novel metaphorical nuances (as the לפני material demonstrated), they were most likely also acceptable expressions in the sense 'in the eyes of, in the judgement of'"' Given the fact that the metaphorical use of $\dot{\varepsilon} v a v t i o v$ is not found outside the Septuagint and texts related to the Septuagint, the literal rendering of évavtion by "before" is adopted in the present translation.
of vioí] Gött. has vioí.
oṽ ... غ่ $\left.\pi^{\prime} \alpha v ̉ \tau o ́ v\right]$ This construction seems to be a confusion of the


غ̇лเหย́ห
32. A stroke above the line in the MS indicates a new paragraph. Since v. 32 begins at the beginning of the line, there is no space in the text which could confirm the new paragraph.
$\left.\alpha \lambda^{\prime} \lambda^{\prime}\right]$ is a rendering of כִּי אִם. Of 12 examples of $\dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda^{\top} \eta^{\eta}$ nine (7:23, 32; 9:24; 19:6; 20:3; 38:30; 45:4, 6; 51:14) have כִּי אִם as an equivalent in
 breathing (spiritus asper) is added by the scribe, thus indicating $\eta$ (the article) instead of $\eta$. Since $\eta$ makes good sense in the context, there is no reason to assume that a reader without the added breathing read $\dot{\eta}$ instead of $\geqslant$ here. Thus the present edition follows the Göttingen edition as well as Rahlfs edition.

33. The whole verse is a reference to Deut. 28:26, which has $\dot{v} \mu \tilde{\omega} v$ for $\tau 0 \tilde{v}$ $\lambda \alpha o v ̃$ тov́тov, and $x \alpha \tau \alpha \dot{\beta \varrho \omega \mu \alpha \text { for } \varepsilon i \varsigma ~ \beta \varrho \tilde{\omega} \sigma เ v . ~}$

34. $\pi o ́ \lambda \varepsilon \omega \varsigma]$ Gött. has $\pi o ́ \lambda \varepsilon \omega v$.


8:1. ỏбт $\tilde{\alpha} \pi \varrho о \varphi \eta \tau \tilde{\omega} v]$ Gött. has ỏбт $\tilde{\alpha} \tau \tilde{\omega} v \pi \varrho о \varphi \eta \tau \tilde{\omega} v$. ẻv Iع@ov $\sigma \lambda \eta \eta$ ] Gött. has I $\varepsilon \varrho o v \sigma \alpha \lambda \eta \mu$.
2. $\psi \dot{v} \xi o v \sigma \iota]$ is a rendering of the root שטח, which is usually translated "spread". The noun of the same root, משטח, is usually translated "spreading-place (for drying)". $\psi u ́ \chi \omega$ on the other hand, is usually translated "cool" or "dry". The noun of the same root, $\psi v \gamma \mu$ ós, which is always a translation of the root ששטח, and most likely a neologism, is translated drying-ground. Hence it is possible that the translator interpreted שטח as "spreading out to dry", and thus rendered it by $\psi v ́ \chi \omega$. Cf. LEH, 524, 525, Muraoka 2002, 605, KB, 644-645, 1474. Chrysostom comments on
 their sight".
xai $\pi \varrho o ̀ s ~ \pi \alpha ́ v \tau \alpha \varsigma ~ \tau o u ̀ \varsigma ~ \alpha ̉ \sigma \tau \varepsilon ́ \varrho \alpha \varsigma] ~ i s ~ b r a c k e t e d ~ i n ~ G o ̈ t t . ~ A c c o r d i n g ~ t o ~$ Ziegler 1958, 91, this phrases is an addition from Deut. 4:19, which is
most likely supported by the fact that sun, moon and stars are usually mentioned together. Cf. McKane 1986, 181-182.
$\tilde{\omega} v \ldots \alpha u ̉ \tau \tilde{\omega} v]$ av̉ $\tilde{\omega} v$ is redundant in Greek, and is rendered by the equally redundant "them" in the English translation. For redundant pronouns in Greek translated from Semitic originals, see 2:6.

ỏлí $\sigma \omega$ ] Cf. 2:5.
oï л@обєхúvךбаv] Gött. has oĩs л@обєхúv $\eta \sigma \alpha v$. oï is only found in Vaticanus and is most likely a slip of the pen. Gött. oís л@обع $\frac{1}{v} \eta \sigma \alpha v$ avitoĩs could be rendered "whom they have worshiped them", where the redundant Greek pronoun aủtoĩs is rendered by the equally redundant pronoun "them" in the English translation. Cf. $\tilde{\omega} v \ldots \alpha u \mathfrak{\tau} \tilde{\omega} v$ above. If Gött. is followed, a new clause begins with oủ หoлท́бovtal ... "They will not be mourned ..."


 freedom instead of life with slavery".
 equally redundant "there" in the English translation. For a discussion of the construction, see 7:12.
4. A stroke above the line in the MS indicates a new paragraph. Since v . 4 begins at the beginning of the line, there is no space in the text which could confirm the new paragraph.
 rendering of הה ... לא יקומו ... לא ישוׁ. For a discussion of this construction and of the translation, see 5:9.

 translator has preserved the figura etymologica. "turned away ... act of turning away" is an attempt to preserve the figura etymologica in the English translation too.
$\mu \mathrm{ov}$ oṽ̃тos] Gött. has $\mu \mathrm{ov}$.
6. $\dot{\varepsilon} v \omega \tau i \sigma \alpha \sigma \vartheta \vartheta \varepsilon \delta \dot{\eta}]$ For a discussion of the construction and the rendering "indeed", see $5: 21$. It should be noted that there is no equivalent of $\delta \dot{\eta}$ in MT in this example, and that MT has the first two verbs in first person singular.
$\mu \varepsilon \tau \alpha v o \tilde{\omega} v \alpha \dot{\alpha} \pi o ́]$ seems to be a rendering of נחם צל. According to Wilcox 1965, 102-105 (referred to by BDAG), the use of $\alpha$ dó with $\mu \varepsilon \tau \alpha-$ vó́ $\omega$ is a Semitism. Wilcox, who discusses the example in Acts 8:22, has several examples of Semitic expressions with the preposition $מ$, which could be the origin of the expression $\mu \varepsilon \tau \alpha v o \varepsilon ́ \omega \alpha \dot{\alpha} \pi \sigma^{\circ}$. Unfortunately, he does not notice that the preposition in Jeremiah is not מן but על על. On the other hand, the rendering $\dot{\alpha} \pi o ́$ of 1 is rare. Of 13 examples of נחל על in MT, nine have the preposition $̇$ ๕̇лí (e.g., Jer. 38:15 (MT 31:15) $\pi \alpha$ v́$\sigma \alpha \sigma \vartheta \alpha \iota \varepsilon ̇ \pi i)$, and two have лє@í (Jer. 18:8, $10 \mu \varepsilon \tau \alpha v o \eta(\sigma \omega \pi \varepsilon \varrho i ́)$. Only in 8:6 the preposition $\alpha$ do is used. Anyhow, the rendering $\mu \varepsilon \tau \alpha v o \tilde{\omega} v \dot{\alpha} \pi{ }^{\prime}$ is quite literal. Hence the literal rendering "repent from" in the present translation.
7. $\alpha \sigma \iota \delta \alpha]$ is a transliteration of חסידה. Thus the transliteration "asida" in the English translation. The חסידה is a bird, most likely a stork or heron. Chrysostom comments upon $\alpha \sigma \iota \delta \alpha$ : Chrys. fr. in Jer. 64.845 ỏoí $\alpha \nu \mu \varepsilon \dot{\varepsilon} v$ $\lambda \varepsilon ́ \gamma \varepsilon \iota ~ \tau o ̀ v ~ \pi \varepsilon \lambda \alpha \varrho \gamma o ́ v ~ " a s i d a ~ h e ~ c a l l s ~ t h e ~ s t o r k ", ~ w h i l e ~ T h e o d o r e t ~ h a s: ~ T h d t . ~$
 $\chi \alpha \lambda \varepsilon \tau ั \vartheta \vartheta \alpha$ "The asida is a bird. Some say that the kite is called thus", and Olympiodorus has: Olymp. fr. Jer. $93.645^{\text {'A }}$ xú $\lambda \alpha \varsigma \mu \varepsilon ̀ v ~ \tau o ̀ v ~ \varepsilon ُ ~ \varrho \omega \delta ı o ̀ v ~$
 heron. The asida is an Indian bird". Obviously, the asida caused the early interpreters some trouble.

ả $\gamma \varrho o \tilde{v}]$ Gött. has $\alpha \gamma 0 v \varrho$, which is a transliteration of עגור. It should be noted that all manuscripts have $\alpha \gamma o o \tilde{v}$, which is followed by all versions. According to Thackeray 1909, 37, $\dot{\alpha} \gamma \varrho 0 \tilde{v}$ is a corruption of $\alpha \gamma 0 \cup \varrho$. Cf. Ziegler 1957, 130-131 and McKane 1986, 184.
$\left.\varepsilon^{\prime} \gamma v \omega \ldots \quad \dot{\varepsilon} \varphi v \hat{v}^{\prime} \lambda \xi \alpha \nu \ldots{ }^{\prime} \gamma v \omega\right]$ are taken as gnomic aorists and thus rendered by present tense in the translation. Cf. Smyth 1956, §1931, and Wallace 1995, 562.
ot@ovvía] is bracketed in Gött. According to Ziegler, ot@ovधía is a secondary, but very early, doublet due to the corruption of $\alpha \gamma 0$ ov into $\dot{\alpha} \gamma \varrho о \tilde{v}$. Cf. $\alpha \mathfrak{\gamma} \varrho o \tilde{v}$ above.
$\varepsilon \propto \alpha \tau \tau ̃ v]$ Gött. has $\alpha u ̉ \tau \tilde{\omega} v$.
9. vó $\mu \mathrm{ov}$ ] Gött. has $\lambda$ ó $\gamma o v$.
13. In the first $\varepsilon$ हैбтıv $\tau$ is written above the line. Perhaps something else than $\varepsilon$ हैoтu has been corrected by a later hand.

At the end of $v .13$ in the right margin there is another OYK H EBP.

Unfortunately it is not clear which words were missing in the Hebrew text of the person who made the comment. Cf. 3:8.
14. $\dot{\alpha} \pi о \varrho เ \varphi \tilde{\omega} \mu \varepsilon v ~ . . . ~ \dot{\alpha} \pi \varepsilon ́ \varrho เ \psi \varepsilon v] ~ G o ̈ t t . ~ h a s ~ \alpha ̉ \pi о \varrho \varrho เ \varphi \tilde{\omega} \mu \varepsilon v$ and $\alpha ̉ \pi \varepsilon ́ \varrho \varrho \iota \psi \varepsilon v$. Cf. 7:15.
$\ddot{v} \delta \omega \varrho \chi \circ \lambda \tilde{\eta} \varsigma]$ is a literal rendering of מי־ראשׁ, where $\chi \circ \lambda \tilde{\eta} 5$ is a genitive of quality corresponding to ראש. The literal translation technique of the translator of Jeremiah, and the lack of adjectives in Hebrew, resulted in the rendering $\chi 0 \lambda \eta$ ' of the substantive ראשׁ, i.e., a genitive of quality, where Greek usually has an adjective. Hence the literal rendering of $\because \delta \omega \omega \chi \chi \lambda \tilde{\eta} 5$ by "gall-water". For genitive of quality, see BDR, $\S 165$. Cf. 23:15, where מי־ראשׁ is rendered by ưסw@ $\pi \iota \ldots \varrho o ́ v$.
15. A stroke above the line in the MS indicates a new paragraph. Since v. 15 begins at the beginning of the line, there is no space in the text which could confirm the new paragraph.
 ï $\pi \pi \omega v$ is a gloss on the rare word iлл兀абias. Cf. McKane 1986, 192. $\tau \eta v \gamma \tilde{\eta} v]$ Gött. has $\gamma \tilde{\eta} v$.
18. The verse is problematic. Gött. and Rahlfs put a full stop after v. 17 and at the end of v. 18 , but it is hard to see how v. 18 could make good sense taken by itself. Brenton takes it together with v. 17. Brenton takes $\alpha{ }^{2} \mathrm{v}^{\prime}-$ $\alpha \tau \alpha$ adverbially (for neuter plural as adverb, see Schwyzer 1959, 1.621) and translates "mortally". Olympiodorus comments on $\mu \varepsilon \tau$ ' ỏסv́v $\ddagger$ к $\alpha$ @-

 conscience". The comment of Olympiodorus could indicate that he took it together with v. 17. In the present translation it is taken loosely together with v. 17. $\dot{\alpha} v^{\prime} \alpha \tau \alpha$ is rendered by the adjective "incurable", thus indicating the problem of the Greek text. Cf. McKane 1986, 194.

It should be noticed that if v .18 is taken together with v .17 , the Lord is also the speaker of v. 18. Usually v. 18, according to MT, is attributed to Jeremiah. But if v. 18 is attributed to the Lord, who is/are the speaker/speakers in v. 19 ?
19. It is difficult to decide who is the speaker in v. 19. It seems that the expression $\vartheta v \gamma \alpha ́ \tau \eta \varrho \lambda \alpha o \tilde{v} \mu o v$ is used both by the prophet Jeremiah (v. 21) and by the Lord (9:6), but in most, if not all, examples with this
expression in Jeremiah (4:11; 6:26; 8:11 (not in LXX), 19, 21, 22, 23; 9:6 (LXX 9:7); 14:17) there is a discussion about who is the speaker. For a general discussion of the problem the reader must be referred to other commentaries.

For the first half of v. 19 there is a comment by Chrysostom who definitely attributes it to Jeremiah: Chrys. fr. in Jer. 64.849 ó л@оч $\eta$ тทऽ $\alpha ̉ \nsim o v ́ \varepsilon เ ~ \pi \alpha ́ \lambda \iota v ~ o ̉ \delta v \varrho o \mu \varepsilon ́ v \omega v ~ \alpha v ̉ \tau \tilde{\omega} v \alpha ̉ \pi o ̀ ~ \tau \tilde{\eta} \varsigma \alpha i \chi \mu \alpha \lambda \omega \sigma i \alpha \varsigma ~ " t h e ~ p r o p h e t ~$ hears them again lamenting from the captivity". The final part of $v .19 \tau^{\prime}$ $\ldots \quad \dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda$ otoiors, seems to be spoken by the Lord. The words are deleted by McKane 1986, 193-194, with the motivation "it creates a formal dissonance in an otherwise cohesive lament".

甲 $\omega v \grave{\eta}$ x@avزท̃ร] Gött. has $\varphi \omega v \eta$. Cf. 28:54 (MT 51:54); 32:36 (MT 25:36).
 a discussion of this construction and its translation, see 5:9. Theodoret comments: Thdt. Jer. 81.557 л $\alpha v \tau \alpha \chi \circ \tilde{v}, ~ \varphi \eta \sigma i$, $\pi \alpha \varrho \varepsilon \sigma \tau \iota v$ ó $\tau \tilde{\omega} v$ ő $\lambda \omega v \vartheta \varepsilon$ ध́s "everywhere, he says, God of all things is present".
$\Sigma \varepsilon t \omega v]$ Gött. has $\Sigma \iota \omega v$.
 nominative and subject of $x \alpha \tau i \sigma \chi v \sigma \varepsilon v$, while the subject of $x \alpha \tau i \sigma \chi v \sigma \alpha v$ in Vaticanus is $\mathfrak{\omega} \delta \tilde{v} v \varepsilon \varsigma$. Cf. Ziegler 1958, 42.
 discussion of this construction and its translation, see 5:9.
@ $\eta \tau \varepsilon i ́ v \eta]$ Gött. has @ீ $\uparrow \tau i v \eta$.
9:1. $\delta \dot{\omega} \sigma \varepsilon \iota]$ is taken as a usual future form, though it could be taken as a substitute for optative with $\alpha ้ v$. Cf. $\delta \dot{\varphi} \eta$ in v. 2. Thus the rendering "will give" in the present translation.
$\mu o v ~ \tau o \tilde{\tau} \tau o v]$ Gött. has $\mu \mathrm{ov}$.
2. $\delta \dot{\varphi} \eta]$ For the optative form, see $B D R, \$ \S 26,95.1$. The use of the optative mood without $\alpha ้ v$ is strange. Perhaps the translator intended a potential optative, but omitted the particle $\alpha ้ v$. For potential optative with ${ }_{\alpha}^{\alpha} v$, see BDR, $\$ 385.1$, Wallace 1995, 699-701, and Smyth 1956, §1824. Cf. also the potential optative without $\alpha \circ v$, Smyth $1956, \$ 1821$. It should be noticed that the iota subscript could also be placed under the $\eta$, $\delta \omega \dot{\eta} \eta$, thus taking $\delta \dot{\prime} \eta$ as a deliberative subjunctive. For deliberative subjunctive, see BDR, $\$ 366.1$.
3. $\mathfrak{\varepsilon} \xi \dot{\eta} \lambda \geqslant$ oo $\alpha v$ ] For a discussion of the longer ending -oo $\alpha v$ instead of -ov, see Thackeray 1909, 209-210, 213.
4. $\alpha \cup ̉ \tau \tilde{\omega} v$ ] is taken to be an equivalent of $\tilde{\varepsilon} \alpha v \tau \tilde{\omega} v$ (or in classical Greek $\dot{v} \mu \tilde{\omega} v \alpha u ̉ \tau \tilde{\omega} v$ ), and thus translated into "your own". Some MSS, e.g., Alexandrinus, have $\varepsilon \propto v \tau \tilde{\omega} v$, and some versions have $\dot{v} \mu \tilde{\omega} v$.
$\left.\pi \varepsilon \pi \frac{\prime}{\vartheta} \vartheta \alpha \tau \varepsilon\right]$ For the form (instead of $\pi \varepsilon \pi \frac{1}{\prime} \vartheta \varepsilon \tau \varepsilon$ ), see CS, $\S 28$.
$\pi \tau \varepsilon ́ \varrho v \eta$ ที $\pi \tau \varepsilon \varrho v \iota \varepsilon \check{\imath}]$ is a rendering of עקוב יעקב, i.e., infinitive absolute with finite verb of the same root. For a discussion of the translation of this Hebrew construction, see 3:1. The figura etymologica of the Hebrew text is preserved in the Septuagint, and "heel treacherously with his heel" is an attempt to preserve the figura etymologica in the English translation too. The reference of these words to the patriarch Jacob (Gen. 25:26; 27:36) is very clear in MT, and still quite clear in the Greek translation by the combination of the rare words $\pi \tau \varepsilon \varrho v \alpha$ and $\pi \tau \varepsilon \varrho v i \zeta \omega$. Cf. also Hos. 12:4. Perhaps $\delta$ o $\lambda i \omega \varsigma$ is also an allusion to $\delta$ ó $\lambda$ os of Gen. 27:35. Cf. McKane 1986, 200.
5. x $\left.\alpha \tau \alpha \pi \alpha i^{\prime} \xi \varepsilon \tau \alpha ı\right]$ In the MS the letter $\varepsilon$ is written in a space of at least two letters between $\pi$ and $\xi$. Most likely the letters $\alpha \iota$ were originally written in the space between $\pi$ and $\xi$. However, there are no traces left of $\alpha_{t}$ in the MS.
 MT, which is also complicated, see McKane 1986, 201. What causes the problem of the Greek text is the interpretation of $\dot{\varepsilon} \pi \iota \sigma \tau \varrho \varepsilon \dot{\varepsilon} \psi \alpha$. The verb $\dot{\varepsilon} \pi \iota \sigma \tau \varrho \varepsilon ́ \varphi \omega$ is used by Jeremiah both in a positive sense, i.e., to return to the Lord, e.g., $3: 10$, and in a negative sense, i.e., to return to the evil, e.g., 11:10. Most of the examples in Jeremiah are used in a positive sense. Here the context suggests the negative sense, which is also the interpretation by Chrysostom: Chrys. fr. in. Jer. $64.853 \dot{\alpha} \mu \varepsilon-$ $\tau \dot{\alpha} \beta \lambda \eta \tau \alpha \alpha \cup \jmath \tau \tilde{\omega} \nu \tau \dot{\alpha} x \alpha x \dot{\alpha}$ "their evil is unchangeable". Brenton, on the other hand, takes it in the positive sense: "they ceased not, so as to return" adding (sc. the right way) in a note. The rendering "they have not ceased to turn" in the present translation is deliberately ambiguous. According to Ziegler 1958, 51, the negative is added by the translator.
 1909, 38, it is also a transliteration. Cf. McKane 1986, 201.

7. $\pi v \varrho \dot{\omega} \sigma \omega]$ The verb $\pi v \varrho o ́ \omega$ usually means "to burn with fire". For the metaphorical use of the verb, which seems to be at least unusual outside the Septuagint and texts related to the Septuagint, cf. the reference given by Theodoret to Psa. 65:10 (MT 66:10) Thdt. Jer. 81.560 ötı $\varepsilon$ ह̇סoxí $\mu \alpha \sigma \alpha$
 tested us, you tried us as silver is tried."

божıцг̃] For the Attic future form, see Helbing 1907, 86, and Schwyzer 1959, 1.785.

а่ло̀ л@об́́лоv лоvŋ@ías] Cf. 1:8. It should be noted that лоvŋ@ías has no equivalent in MT.
8. @ $\varrho \dot{\eta} \mu \alpha \tau \alpha$ ] is a rendering of דבר. MT takes דבר as a verb, דֵּר. For a discussion of the rare rendering $\varrho \in \tilde{\eta} \mu \alpha$ of דבר, see 1:1.
xai] The clause introduced by xai appears to have an adversative relation to the previous clause, but is still translated by "and", because the translator rendered the Hebrew particle ו by xai. Cf. 7:24.
 dering of ה ה ... לא־אפקד ... לא תתנקם. For a discussion of this construction and its translation, see 5:9.
 tion and its rendering, see 5:9.
$\lambda \alpha \tilde{\varphi}]$ Cf. 5:9, 29.
10. $\pi \alpha \varrho \alpha ̀ ~ \tau o ́] ~ C f . ~ 2: 15 ~ \pi \alpha \varrho \alpha ̀ ~ \tau o ̀ ~ \mu \eta ̀ ~ \psi \alpha \tau о н \varkappa \tilde{\sigma \vartheta \alpha ı . ~}$
 "they are gone", in the present translation. For the special usages of the imperfect of oi'xo $\mu \alpha l$ with a perfect sense, see LSJ, 1211.
 literal translation "give ... into". Cf. 6:27.
 construction and its rendering, see 1:5.
$\pi \alpha \varrho \dot{\alpha}$ тó] Cf. 2:15 $\pi \alpha \varrho \alpha ̀ ~ \tau o ̀ ~ \mu \grave{~} ~ \varkappa \alpha \tau о เ x i ̃ \sigma \vartheta \alpha ı . ~$

12. A stroke above the line in the MS indicates a new paragraph. Since v. 12 begins at the beginning of the line, there is no space in the text which could confirm the new paragraph.

бuvetós ... бuvét $\omega$ ] is a rendering of חכם ... יבן. Thus the figura etymologica of the Greek text has no equivalent in the Hebrew Vorlage.
"understanding man ... let him understand" is an attempt to reproduce the figura etymologica of the Greek text in the translation.
xai ouvét $\omega$ ] is a literal translation of ויבן. Thus the literal translation "and let him understand". xaí could also be understood as an equivalent of the relative, ôs $\sigma v v \varepsilon ́ \tau \omega$ (cf. BDR, §442.4b), and be translated "who shall understand". Since the paratactic Greek is a characteristic of the Septuagint, the literal translation is preferred.
$\tilde{\varphi} . . . \pi \varrho o ̀ s ~ \alpha u ̉ t o ́ v] ~ \pi \varrho o ̀ \varsigma ~ \alpha u ̉ t o ́ v ~ i s ~ r e d u n d a n t ~ i n ~ G r e e k, ~ a n d ~ i s ~ r e n-~$ dered by the equally redundant "for him" in the English translation. For redundant pronouns in Greek translated from Semitic originals, see 2:6.
$\pi \alpha \varrho \alpha ̀ ~ t o ̀ ~ \mu \grave{~ \delta ı o \delta \varepsilon u ́ \varepsilon \sigma \vartheta ̛ \alpha ı ~ \alpha u ̉ \tau \eta ́ v] ~ O l y m p i o d o r u s ~ c o m m e n t s ~ o n ~ t h i s ~}$ expression: Olymp. fr. Jer. 93.648 dı̀̀ tò $\mu \eta \delta \dot{\varepsilon} v \alpha, \varphi \eta \sigma i v$, è $\chi \varepsilon ı v$ ódcúovt $\alpha$
 one who walks in the ways of Lord, it has become desert". Obviously, Olympiodorus interpreted the expression $\pi \alpha \varrho \alpha ̀ ~ t o ́ ~ a s ~ c a u s a l . ~ C f . ~ 2: 15 ~$

13. A stroke above the line in the MS indicates a new paragraph. Since v. 13 begins at the beginning of the line, there is no space in the text which could confirm the new paragraph.
$\dot{\varepsilon} v \varkappa \alpha \tau \alpha \lambda ı л \varepsilon i ̃ v]$ Gött. has $\grave{\varepsilon} \gamma \nsim \alpha \tau \alpha \lambda ı \pi \varepsilon i ̃ v . ~ \gamma$ is written above the line.
है $\delta \omega \chi \alpha$ люò лৎобо́лоv] is a rendering of נתתי לפני. For a discussion of

14. ỏлí $\sigma \omega$... ỏлí $\sigma \omega]$ Cf. 2:5.
15. $\psi \omega \mu \tilde{\omega}]$ Gött. has $\psi \omega \mu i \zeta \omega$.

ข゙ठ $\omega \varrho \chi 0 \lambda \tilde{\eta} \varsigma]$ Cf. 8:14.
16. $\varepsilon i \varsigma]$ is bracketed in Gött.

 there is only support of the first $\mathfrak{\eta} \mu \tilde{\omega} v$ in one manuscript. The text of Gött. is a conjecture by Spohn. Cf. v. 21.
 Vaticanus, Sinaiticus and Alexandrinus, is not mentioned in Gött.
$\Sigma \varepsilon t \omega v]$ Gött. has $\Sigma t \omega v$.
$\dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \gamma \alpha \tau \varepsilon \lambda i ́ \pi о \mu \varepsilon v]$ Gött. has $\dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \gamma \alpha \tau \varepsilon \lambda i ́ \pi о \mu \varepsilon v . \gamma$ is written above the line. $\dot{\alpha} \pi \varepsilon \varrho i \not \psi \alpha \mu \varepsilon v]$ Gött. has $\dot{\alpha} \pi \varepsilon \varrho \varrho i ́ \psi \alpha \mu \varepsilon v . \varrho$ is written above the line. Cf. 7:15.
20. $\alpha \dot{\alpha} \neq v \dot{\sigma} \sigma \alpha \varepsilon \delta \dot{\eta}]$ For a discussion of the construction and the rendering "indeed", see 5:21. It should be noted that there is no equivalent of $\delta \eta$ in MT in this example. Perhaps there was a particle נא between נשים and in the Vorlage of the translator or he just misread one of the 3 in שמענה נשׁים
$\tau \grave{\eta} v \pi \lambda \eta \sigma^{\prime} \circ v$ ] is rendered "neighbour woman" to indicate the feminine article $\tau \eta v$.
21. $\dot{v} \mu \tilde{\omega} v \ldots \dot{v} \mu \tilde{\omega} v]$ Gött. has $\tilde{\eta} \mu \tilde{\omega} v \ldots i \not \eta \mu \tilde{\omega} v$, which has no support in any manuscripts, but is a conjecture by Spohn.
$\dot{\varepsilon} \nsim \tau \varrho \varepsilon \tilde{\imath} \psi \alpha ı]$ Gött. has $\dot{\varepsilon} \nsim \tau \varrho \tilde{\imath} \psi \alpha \iota$.
$\pi \alpha \varrho \alpha ́ \delta ı \gamma \mu \alpha]$ Gött. has $\pi \alpha \varrho \alpha ́ \delta \varepsilon ı \gamma \mu \alpha$.
 $\pi \alpha \varrho \alpha \dot{\alpha} \delta \gamma \mu \alpha]$ Gött. has $\pi \alpha \varrho \alpha \dot{\alpha} \varepsilon \varepsilon \tau \mu \mu$. $\varepsilon$ is written above the line. $\pi \alpha \iota \delta i ́ o v ~ \tau \tilde{\eta} \varsigma ~ \gamma \tilde{\eta} \varsigma ~ \cup ́ \mu \tilde{\omega} v]$ Gött. has $\tau \tilde{\eta} \varsigma \gamma \tilde{\eta} \varsigma$, which is a conjecture by Ziegler; cf. Ziegler 1958, 98-99. The original $\pi \alpha \iota \delta i o v$ is corrected to $\pi \varepsilon \delta i o v . \varepsilon$ is written above the line.
$\dot{\omega} \varsigma]$ Gött. has rai $\omega \varsigma$. ỏлí $\sigma \omega$ ] Cf. 2:5.

## 17. Boast in Lord. Judgement on the Circumcised (9:23-9:26)

Again the long previous section is followed by the present very short one, only this time the new section appears to be more motivated by the content. There is only one true reason for boasting, viz., to understand and know the Lord, who is merciful and righteous. The Lord will visit all the circumcised, who in reality are uncircumcised-the nations in their flesh just like Israel in its heart.

This short section contains several Greek expressions which can be understood in different ways, and decisions have to be made whether to take them in one way or the other. Occasionally the early commentaries can give an idea about how the early readers could have understood the text. Finally, the section contains a passage which is quoted by Paul in both his letters to the Corinthians.

23-24. These verses are very similar to the text of 1 Sam. 2:10 in the Septuagint, which is not found in MT: $\mu \grave{\eta}$ каv $\alpha \alpha \sigma \vartheta \omega$ ó p@óvццоऽ $\dot{\varepsilon} v$

 x $\alpha \chi \alpha \dot{\alpha} \sigma \vartheta \omega$ ó $x \alpha v \chi \omega ́ \mu \varepsilon v o s ~ \sigma v v i ́ \varepsilon เ v ~ x \alpha i ~ \gamma เ v \omega ́ \sigma x \varepsilon เ v ~ \tau o ̀ v ~ x u ́ \varrho เ o v ~ x \alpha i ~ \pi о є \varepsilon i ̃ v ~$
 1:31 and 2 Cor. 10:17, see Introduction. Cf. Aejmelaeus 2008.
24. $x \alpha v \chi \alpha ́ \sigma \vartheta \omega$ о́ $x \alpha v \chi \dot{\mu} \mu \varepsilon v o \varsigma]$ is a rendering of יתהלל המתהלל. The figura etymologica of the Hebrew is preserved by the translator. "shall the boaster boast" is an attempt to preserve the figura etymologica in the English translation too.

Ó $\pi 0 เ \tilde{\omega} v]$ Gött. has $\pi o \iota \tilde{\omega} v$.
25. A stroke above the line in the MS indicates a new paragraph. Since v. 25 begins at the beginning of the line, there is no space in the text which could confirm the new paragraph.
$\pi \alpha ́ v \tau \alpha \varsigma ~ \pi \varepsilon \varrho \iota \tau \varepsilon \tau \mu \eta \mu \varepsilon ́ v o v \varsigma] \pi \varepsilon \varrho \iota \tau \varepsilon \tau \mu \eta \mu \varepsilon ́ v o v \varsigma$ is apparently a predicative participle, though this was hardly the intention of the translator, who most likely only made a literal rendering of the Hebrew text, כל-מול, which has no definite article. Nevertheless, at least Chrysostom seems to have taken the participle in an attributive sense: Chrys. fr. in Jer. 64.857
 bring punishment also upon the other nations, the circumcised." Hence the rendering "who have circumcised" in the present translation. Cf. Ziegler 1958, 144.
 which means that the translator did not render the preposition ב by a Greek preposition, and he also added $\alpha u ̛ \tau \tilde{\omega} v$. $\dot{\alpha} \nprec \varrho o \beta v \sigma \tau i \alpha s$ taken as an accusative of respect is good Greek. The accusative of respect is rarely used by the translator of Jeremiah and is quite uncommon in the whole Septuagint. Thus, given the literal translation of Jeremiah into Greek, it is not clear why the translator used the accusative instead of the dative, especially since he used the dative when he added $\sigma \alpha 0 x i ́$ in v. 26. Cf. v. 26;
 accusative of respect, see Smyth 1956, §1601, BDR, §160, Wallace 1995, 203-204, and Schwyzer 1959, 2.84-86.
26. Iסovu人í $\alpha v$ ] Gött. has 'Iovסגí $\alpha v$, which is also found in MT. For a discussion of the renderings of יהודה in Jeremiah, see McLean 1997, 68, 72-73, and 79-80.
 comments upon this description: Chrys. fr. in Jer. 64.860 лع@i $\tau \tilde{\omega} v$

 "He says about the Sarakēnoi, who leave the long hair on the back part, they only shave the part which is to the face, [thus] adorning the

 cheeks".
 rendering of ערלים ... לב. $\sigma \propto x i$ and $\alpha v ̉ \tau \tilde{\omega} v$ appear to be added by the translator. As in v. 25 it is not clear why the translator uses different cases in oœ@xi and ra@ঠias. For several variant readings with various cases, see the apparatus criticus of Ziegler 1957.

## 18. Idols Are Vain, Lord Is the Creator (10:1-25)

This section might attract special attention, since the Greek text differs considerably from MT, and because there are fragments from Qumran that agree with the Greek text against MT. However, the Greek reader of the text had no knowledge about that, and thus it is beyond the scope of the present commentary. Instead the text is presented as it was understood by the reader with no access to any Hebrew text. It should be mentioned, however, that one of the verses in the Hebrew version, which is missing in the Septuagint, is quoted in the New Testament.

In the present section the Lord points out the vanity of idols and the danger of serving them. The idols are vain because they are the work of men, and therefore they can do neither good nor bad, and in the end they will all perish. The Lord, on the other hand, is the creator of everything. But not only are the idols vain, the idolatry has also turned men into fools. Consequently, the Lord has punished and will punish the people. Again it is not clear who is the speaker in some passages, only this time it is a text-critical issue. In Vaticanus the Lord appears to be the speaker until verse 23, where Jeremiah cries out to the Lord to correct them, but to turn his anger against the nations, who have desolated the land.

Beside the text-critical problems, which decide who is the speaker in some passages, there are a few problematic expressions whose interpretation is not totally self-evident. The early commentaries are consulted where possible, i.e., when they comment upon a text that is close
to or identical with the text of Vaticanus. Especially interesting from a translation-technical point of view are the four verses that have an almost identical Vorlage in chapter 28. Though the Hebrew texts are next to identical, the Greek translations differ a great deal from each other. Worth noticing is also one of the rare examples of a nomen sacrum of $\pi \nu \varepsilon \tilde{\mu} \mu \alpha$.

1. tòv $\lambda$ ó $\gamma o v$ ] Gött. has $\lambda o ́ \gamma o v$.
2. $\mu \alpha v \vartheta \alpha ́ v \alpha \tau \varepsilon]$ Gött. has $\mu \alpha v \vartheta$ óv $v \varepsilon \tau \varepsilon$.

тоі̃ऽ л@обо́лоเऽ $\alpha \cup \mathfrak{\tau} \tilde{\omega} v]$ The interpretation of these words is difficult. The Greek does not seem to be a rendering of MT. aủt $\tilde{v} v$ could refer to the subject of poßoṽvtol, i.e., הגוים (= $=\dot{\alpha}$ हैधvvך, which is not translated in LXX). This seems to be the way Brenton took it translating: "falling on their faces" (falling being supplied by Brenton). Perhaps the words could be taken as instrumental dative "with their faces", but it seems to make poor sense.
 л@обо́лоьs could refer to the decans of the Zodiac. Cf. Paulus Alexandri-
 $\tau \tilde{\omega} v \dot{\alpha} \sigma \tau \varepsilon \in \omega v$ "for the decans are called the faces of the stars". Since the words are not discussed in the early commentaries, the latter interpretation is adopted in the present translation. Cf. also Olympiodorus, who comments on the verse: Olymp. fr. Jer. 93.649 т $\dot{\alpha} \varsigma ~ \eta ं \lambda \iota \alpha x \alpha ́ s, ~ \varphi \eta \sigma i v, ~ \alpha ̉ v \alpha-$



 the solar cycles, and moon waning and waxing, and the relationship and separation of the stars, and their time-bound appearances and disappearances, and their well-joined motions, do not fear them as gods, but him who made them."
4. $\varkappa \varepsilon \chi \alpha \lambda \lambda \omega \pi \iota \sigma \mu \varepsilon ́ v \alpha]$ Gött. has $x \varepsilon \chi \alpha \lambda \lambda \omega \pi \tau \sigma \mu \varepsilon ́ v \alpha$ ह̇бтıv. $\varepsilon \sigma \tau \tau \downarrow$ is only missing in Vaticanus.

Эŋ่ซovavv aủcó] Not in Gött.

5a. For the verses not represented in LXX and for the order of verses, see the general commentaries, e.g., McKane 1986, 217-220.
roogutóv] is rendered only by "worked", since it is not clear how the silver is worked.
9. л@об $\beta \lambda \eta \tau$ óv] is a neologism.

$\chi$ zi@] For the rendering "handicraft", cf. Thackeray 1909, 44-45 and LSJ, $\chi$ ع̣́ VI.b.
$\tau \varepsilon \chi v \varepsilon เ \tau \omega ̃ v]$ Gött. has $\tau \varepsilon \chi v i \tau \omega ̃ v$.
 etymologica of the Hebrew Vorlage is preserved in the Greek translation. The figura etymologica has also been preserved in the translation "being lifted up they will be lifted up", since the construction of the present participle with the finite verb is as strange to Greek as it is to English. For a discussion of the Greek present participle as a rendering of the Hebrew infinitive absolute, see 3:1.
11. The Vorlage of this verse is not in Hebrew, but in Aramaic. There seems to be nothing in the Greek translation which could reveal this to the reader. Cf. McKane 1986, 225.

12-16. In MT these verses are almost identical to 28:15-19, with the only exception being וישראל שבט נחלתו of v. 16, where 28:19 has וֹשבט נחלתו. Several MSS and versions have the longer text in 28:19 too, while the translator of Jeremiah apparently had a text without וישראל שבט both here and in 10:16. Interestingly enough, there are several differences between the two Greek renderings in the translation of Jeremiah. Both texts are presented below, and for the sake of clearness the texts are divided and arranged in parallel columns.

| 10:12-16 | 28:15-19 |
| :---: | :---: |
|  | 15 лotãv $\gamma \tilde{\eta} v$ |
|  |  |
|  |  |
| èv тท̃ бо甲íą $\alpha$ ט̉兀oṽ |  |
|  |  |
| tòv oủ@ $\alpha$ vòv | tòv oủgavóv |
|  |  |
| ėv ov̉@ $\alpha v$ ¢̣ | غ̇v $\tau \tilde{Q}$ ov̉@ $\alpha v \tilde{\varrho}$ |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |




๙̉лò $\gamma \nu \omega ́ \sigma \varepsilon \omega \varsigma$

غ̇лі тоั̃ร $\gamma \lambda v \pi \tau 0$ ั̃ऽ $\alpha \cup ̉ \tau о ข ̃ ~$





$\dot{\alpha} \pi о \lambda о \tilde{v} \tau \alpha$ ৷
16 oủx ย้สтเข тoเav́tๆ

őtı ó $\pi \lambda \alpha ́ \sigma \alpha \varsigma ~ \tau \alpha ̀ ~ \pi \alpha ́ v \tau \alpha ~$

$\overline{x s}$ oैvo $\mu \alpha$ 人v̉โ $\check{̣}$


17 غ̇น $\alpha \tau \alpha \iota \omega ́ \vartheta \eta \eta ~ \pi \tilde{\alpha} \varsigma ~ \alpha ้ v \vartheta \varrho \varrho \omega \pi \circ \varsigma$
ג̉ло̀ $\gamma \nu \omega ́ \sigma \varepsilon \omega \varsigma$

$\dot{\alpha} \pi \dot{\partial} \tau \tilde{\omega} v \gamma \lambda v \pi \tau \tilde{\omega} v \alpha u ̉ \tau \circ \tilde{v}$



غ̌冃 $\gamma \alpha \mu \varepsilon \mu \omega \varkappa \eta \mu \varepsilon ́ v \alpha$

ผ่ло入оข̃vт
19 ov̉ тoเaútท
$\mu \varepsilon \varrho i \varsigma \tau \tilde{\varrho} \operatorname{I} \alpha \varkappa \omega \beta$
őtı ó $\pi \lambda \alpha ́ \sigma \alpha \varsigma ~ \tau \alpha ̀ ~ \pi \alpha ́ v \tau \alpha ~$



Apparently，most differences are on the level of vocabulary．A few differ－ ences could be attributed to different source texts：$\overline{\chi 5}$ in 10：12 is missing

 to the process of translation．Anyhow，it is hard to see that the translator had one of the translated texts in front of him while translating the other．

13．$\varphi \tilde{\omega} \varsigma]$ Several MSS and versions have $\dot{\alpha} v \varepsilon ́ \mu$ ovऽ following MT．For a discussion of the text，see Ziegler 1958，42．It should be noted that there are no variant readings of $\varphi \tilde{\omega} \varsigma$ in 28：16．

 Vorlage of 28：17（MT 51：17），but the translation differs in two details． While נבער is rendered by $\dot{\varepsilon} \mu \omega \varrho \alpha \dot{v} \vartheta \eta$ in $10: 14$ ，it is rendered by $\dot{\varepsilon} \mu \alpha \tau \alpha l-$


 $\tau \tilde{\omega} v \gamma \lambda v \pi \tau \tilde{\omega} \nu \alpha u ̉ \tau o \tilde{v}$ in 28：17．$\mu \omega \varrho \alpha i v \omega$ and $\mu \alpha \tau \alpha \iota o ́ \omega$ appear to be syn－ onyms（see，e．g．，Rom．1：21－22），and the construction of these two verbs with $\dot{\alpha} \pi$ ó seems to be restricted to these two passages in Jeremiah．For $\mu \omega \varrho \alpha i ́ v \omega$ वैv७̛＠$\omega \pi \sigma$ ऽ đ̉лó，cf．Muraoka 2002，384．Also $x \alpha \tau \alpha \iota \sigma \chi v ́ v \omega$ with $\alpha \dot{\alpha}$ ó seems to be quite uncommon outside the Septuagint and literature related to the Septuagint．According to Helbing 1928，262，ádó is causal here．Cf．CS，\＄92，and Johannessohn 1926，281－282．

The difficulty of translating this verse is the interpretation of the preposition $\dot{\alpha} \pi \delta^{\prime}$. ḋлó as well as $\varepsilon$ ह̇лí are renderings of the Hebrew preposition מן. Most modern translations take the two examples of מן differently. The first is usually rendered by "without" and the second by "by". For the meaning of מן, see KB, 597-599. Perhaps this is also the interpretation made by the translator of Jeremiah into Greek, who rendered the first מן by ỏлó and the second one by ėлí, in 10:14. However, in 28:17 is rendered by $\alpha$ óo in both examples, which most likely indicates that the translator used $\alpha$ đó as a causal preposition, since the second $\dot{\alpha} \pi$ ó in 28:17 hardly could be anything else than causal. But what about the first ỏró, and more importantly for the present translation, how did the reader interpret the first $\dot{\alpha} \pi o ́ ?$

Origen in hom. 8.7-9 discusses the expression $\varepsilon ่ \mu \omega \varrho \alpha \dot{v} v \vartheta \eta \pi \tilde{\alpha} \varsigma ~ \alpha ̈ v \vartheta \varrho \omega-$

 $\gamma v \omega \sigma \varepsilon \omega \varsigma$ "If every man has become foolish $\alpha$ đ́só knowledge, and Paul is a man, Paul has become foolish d̉лó knowledge". Further: Or. hom.


 of Paul as against that knowledge which is in heaven, as against the perfect knowledge, is foolishness. Therefore, every man has become foolish $\dot{\alpha} \pi$ ó knowledge". Origen concludes his discussion with: Or. hom. in

 the weakness of Jesus and wise by the foolishness of God". There can hardly be any doubt that Origen took the first $\dot{\alpha} \boldsymbol{\pi}$ ó in 10:14 in a causal sense.

Chrysostom and Olympiodorus, on the other hand, seem to take the first $\dot{\alpha} \pi o ́$ in the sense "without": Chrys. fr. in Jer. 64.861 عi $\gamma \dot{\alpha} \varrho$
 "For even if he is very wise, he evinced foolishness about the carved images." There is nothing in the comment by Chrysostom which suggests that "every man" should have been foolish "by knowledge", and his
 as "without knowledge" than as "by knowledge". Thus, it is reasonable to believe that Chrysostom took $\dot{\alpha} \pi \grave{\gamma} \gamma v \omega \dot{\sigma} \omega \varsigma$ as "without knowledge". Olympiodorus' comment is more clear: Olymp. fr. Jer. 93.649 ó $\mu \eta$ خ $\tau \eta v$
 have the knowledge of this theology is foolish". Of course, "He who does
not have the knowledge" is "without knowledge", and could not have "become foolish" by something he did not have.

Given the usually very allegorical interpretations of Origen, and his access to the Hebrew text, the interpretation "without" of $\dot{\alpha}$ лó is adopted in the present translation, but it should be noticed that the causal interpretation is also possible. Another similar possibility is to take $\dot{\alpha} \pi \dot{\gamma} \gamma v(\dot{\prime}-$
 man without knowledge has become foolish".

$\overline{\pi v \alpha}]$ In Vaticanus $\pi v \varepsilon \tilde{v} \mu \alpha$ is written as a nomen sacrum. For nomina sacra, cf. Introduction.
 the line.
17. víóवт
 ments on this clause: Chrys. fr. in Jer. 64.864 tovtéotı tòv $\dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda$ ót@ơ $\sigma \varepsilon$
 he made you bring the foreign riches with you. For he plundered Egypt." Theodoret on the other hand comments: Thdt. Jer. 81.568 tovtéotıv, $\dot{\varepsilon} \varkappa$
 "I.e., having chosen you [ $\tau \dot{v} v \pi \alpha \varrho \varrho \alpha \dot{\varrho} \varrho \eta v$ the patriarch] from among the other nations he gave you the chosen land." While Olympiodorus com-


 ouvๆ่ $\vartheta \vartheta \eta$ "O knowledge of God, you who live among the chosen, by his wonders and works your essence ( $\mathbf{\tau} \boldsymbol{\sigma} \circ \boldsymbol{\sigma} \alpha \alpha \sigma \iota)$ has been understood. And the Community has been gathered from outside, i.e., from among the nations." In the present translation íлóवтабוs is rendered by "possession". For a detailed discussion of úлóбтабьऽ, see Muraoka 2002, 575.
x $\alpha \tau \boldsymbol{x} x \mathrm{v} \tilde{\sigma} \alpha]$ appears to be a rendering of the feminine Hebrew participle of יששב. There are almost one hundred examples of participles of ישׁב in Jeremiah, most of which are rendered in the Septuagint by the
 Jeremiah apparently often considered to be synonyms. Most of these renderings are participles with definite articles and objects, e.g., 51:1 toĩs
 (הישבים בארץ מצרים הישבים במגדל). These examples cause no problems,
but in twelve examples without definite article or object it is not totally clear how the text should be understood. However, eight of these examples appear to form a rather homogeneous group, for most of which the interpretation is quite clear. Thus all eight examples are interpreted in the same way. In four of the examples the Hebrew participle is rendered by x $\alpha$ тоıо $\tilde{v} \sigma \alpha$ (10:17; 22:23; 26:19 (MT 46:19); 28:35 (MT 51:35)), in two the participles are rendered by $\kappa \alpha \vartheta \eta \mu \varepsilon ́ v \eta ~(31: 18,19$ (MT 48:18, 19)), in
 (MT 48:43)). All examples are interpreted as attributive participles or
 $\lambda \varepsilon x \tau о \tilde{s}$, and the example in 31:43, x $\alpha \vartheta \eta$ й $\mu$ vos $\mathrm{M} \omega \alpha \beta$, are quite clear, and it is obvious that they cannot be interpreted as predicative participles. Hence, the other examples are interpreted accordingly. It should be noted that a phrase with the verb xato七x $\omega$ usually indicates the place inhabited (cf. Mayser 1934, 312), but that in 26:19 and 28:35 there is no such indication. Thus the examples with xatoıxoṽ $\sigma \alpha$ are all rendered by "dwelling". Unfortunately, the early commentaries mostly comment on a text with the accusative $\varkappa \alpha \tau o \varkappa o \tilde{v} \sigma \alpha v$.

For the four examples $(27: 45 ; 28: 1,24,35)$ of the participle of rotot$\chi \varepsilon ́ \omega$ without object, see 27:45.
18. $\left.\vartheta \lambda \varepsilon \varepsilon^{\prime} \psi \varepsilon \iota\right]$ Gött. has $\vartheta \lambda i ́ \psi \varepsilon ı$.
 $\mu \varepsilon$.
20. $\sigma 0 v \ldots$. $\sigma o v$ ] Gött. has $\mu \mathrm{ov} \ldots \mu \mathrm{ov}$.

$\omega ้ \lambda \varepsilon \tau \circ]$ Not in Gött.
 with $x$ v́gıs, see Introduction.
$\dot{\varepsilon} \zeta \eta \dot{\eta} \tau \eta \sigma \alpha v]$ Gött. has $\mathfrak{\varepsilon} \xi \varepsilon \zeta \eta \dot{\eta} \tau \eta \sigma \alpha v$.
$v o \mu \eta$ '] is a rendering of מרשית, which usually is translated by "pasturing, shepherding, pasturage". According to Gesenius 1910, 945, it has the meaning "flock" in this example due to metonymy (this meaning is not mentioned for מרעית in KB, 637). Either the translator did not take מרעית as "flock", or he did not notice the peculiar meaning in this example, or he just used a common translation, vouף. Anyway, the meaning "flock" for $v o \mu \eta$ does not seem to be attested and thus vo $\mu \dot{\eta}$ is rendered by "pasture"
in the present translation. The peculiar meaning of voui in this example is also confirmed by Theodoret, who comments on the meaning of vou';
 "'pasture' he calls here, not the grass, but the flock."
22. $\sigma$ @ovध $\tilde{\omega} v$ ] seems to be a rendering of תנים, which usually is rendered by jackals. Theodoret comments: Thdt. Jer. 81.569 бт@ovษoùs $\tau \grave{\alpha} \varsigma$

 Libyans, which most people call ostriches. For this animal is fond of solitude." Aquila and Symmachus have ocı@ท́v $\omega v$, while Theodotion has ठ@ $\alpha$ óv $\tau \omega v$.
24. $\pi \lambda \eta \dot{\eta} v$ For the use of the particle $\pi \lambda \eta v$ in the Septuagint and in texts related to the Septuagint, see Blomqvist 2011.

## 19. Covenant Broken. Lord's Judgement (11:1-14)

This is the first section in which there is an indentation of the left margin of the first lines. The lines with indentation are also very short. The present edition follows the manuscript both in indentation and wordwrapping.

The Lord reminds the people of the covenant which he commanded to the people as they left Egypt; the people should listen to and obey the Lord, they would be his people and he would be their God. He also declares that the covenant was broken by the people, who turned to idols and worshipped them. As a consequence of their idolatry the Lord will bring evil over the people, from which there will be no escape. Neither will the Lord listen to the prayers of the people, and he repeats the prohibition for Jeremiah to pray for the people.

The section has only the common literal renderings and an ambuous expression, which has been equally ambiguously rendered in the present translation.

1. The indentation of the left margin in $v .1$ and the word-wrapping follows the MS.
 and then in singular, a fact which is not seen in the present translation,
but very nicely in the translation by Brenton: "Hear ye ... thou shalt speak". For a discussion of the mixture of plural and singular, see McKane 1986, 236-237.
$\varepsilon \in v$ Iغ@ovo $\alpha \lambda \eta \mu$ ] Gött. has I Iœoovo $\alpha \lambda \eta \mu$.
2. xausívov] Gött. has xapivov.
 line, an $\varepsilon$ is written, which is not filled in by a later hand.
 عĩval ... عís, see 3:23.
3. $\langle x \alpha i\rangle]$ The first $x \alpha i$ is written above the line.
4. $\sigma v ́ v \delta \varepsilon \sigma \mu \mathrm{o}$ ] is rendered by "band", since $\sigma u ́ v \delta \varepsilon \sigma \mu o \varsigma$ and "band" have approximately the same double meaning of bond for fastening and band of people. The meaning "conspiracy" for oúvסع $\sigma \mu \mathrm{s}$ seems to be restricted to the Septuagint. Chrysostom comments on the expression:
 $\mu \alpha \tau \alpha \lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \varepsilon \mathrm{Et}$ "He talks about the web of the evils which is due to the sins." Theodoret on the same expression: Thdt. Jer. 81.572-573 tò ع์์@と́ध $\eta$ ov́v-

 they are bound, and intertwined with the fathers, and they follow their manner of walking", while Olympiodorus gives: Olymp. fr. Jer. 93.649 $\sigma \nu \mu \varphi \omega v i \alpha \alpha \pi \alpha ́ v \tau \omega v$ દ̇лі tò $\chi \varepsilon \tau \varrho o v$ "Agreement of all for the worse." Ori-

$\grave{v} v$ Ir@ovo $\alpha \lambda \eta \mu$ ] Gött. has Ir@ovoa $\lambda \eta \mu$.
5. $\grave{\eta} \vartheta \dot{\varepsilon} \lambda \eta \sigma \alpha v]$ Gött. has $\eta \geqslant \vartheta \varepsilon \lambda o v$. лоюءv́ovtol] Gött. has $\beta \alpha \delta i \zeta o v o v$. òліб $\sigma$ ] Cf. 2:5.
 Cf. 38:31 and 41:8.
6. A stroke above the line in the MS indicates a new paragraph. Since v . 11 begins at the beginning of the line, there is no space in the text which could confirm the new paragraph.
$\dot{\varepsilon} \xi \tilde{\omega} v \ldots \hat{\varepsilon} \xi \alpha \hat{\xi} \tau \tilde{\omega} v] \hat{\varepsilon} \xi$ av̉t $\tilde{\omega} v$ is redundant in Greek, and is rendered by the equally redundant "of it " in the English translation. For redundant pronouns in Greek translated from Semitic originals, see 2:6.

oĩs ... aủtoĩ̧] av̉тoĩs is redundant in Greek, and is rendered by the equally redundant "to them" in the English translation. For redundant pronouns in Greek translated from Semitic originals, see 2:6.
$\mu \eta$ … av̉vãv] It should be noted that the Vorlage has no question here, and that rendering the Hebrew negative לא by $\mu$ í gives a Greek question with the expected answer "no". On the other hand, a literal rendering of the Hebrew negative לא by ov̉, which would have been the normal negative to the indicative ó́oovorv, would have been quite inappropriate. Most likely the reader would have taken the ov as an interrogative particle, and since a question introduced by ov̉ expects the answer "yes", this translation would have been the opposite of the Vorlage.
7. $\tau \tilde{\omega} v \pi o ́ \lambda \varepsilon \omega v]$ Gött. has $\pi o ́ \lambda \varepsilon \omega v$.
$\tau \tilde{\eta} B \alpha \alpha \lambda]$ For the feminine article and its translation, see 2:8.
8. A stroke above the line in the MS indicates a new paragraph. Since v . 14 begins at the beginning of the line, there is no space in the text which could confirm the new paragraph.
$\left.\dot{\varepsilon} v \tilde{\varphi}_{\tilde{\omega}}\right]$ Gött. has $\tilde{\tilde{\omega}}$.

## 20. Consequences of Judgement.

 Ieremias' Prayer, Lord's Answer (11:15-23)Again the Lord is astonished at the idolatry of the people, and its hope to escape punishment by prayers and sacrifices. Although the Lord himself has chosen the people, he now has spoken evil against them because of their wickedness. Then, quite unexpectedly, Jeremiah cries out to the Lord, because of the wickedness of the people, which is directed against himself. He compares himself to an innocent lamb, which the people of Anathoth try to kill because of his prophecies. The Lord replies that he will punish the people by destruction.

This rather short section contains a number of text-critical and trans-lation-technical problems, as well as some very literal renderings of the Hebrew Vorlage. For the translation-technical problems, the early commentaries are consulted, and the translation follows what appears to be the understanding of these commentators.
 construction in Greek is usually considered to be Hebraizing, and thus the literal rendering "has called ... name" in the English translation. Cf. Helbing 1928, 50, BDR, $\$ 157.2$, BDAG ővo $\mu \alpha$ 1.b.
$\pi \varepsilon \varrho \iota \tau о \mu \tilde{\eta} \varsigma]$ appears to be a rendering of המולה, but not vocalized as in MT. Obviously, $\pi \varepsilon \varrho \iota \tau 0 \mu \tilde{\eta} \varsigma$ makes poor sense here. Nevertheless, this was the text of the early commentators, and Chrysostom comments:

 of her severance, i.e. not having uncircumcised fruit, to speak uncleanly"; while Theodoret comments: Thdt. Jer. 81.573 лع@ıто $\grave{\eta} v \gamma \dot{\alpha} \varrho \chi \alpha \lambda \varepsilon \tau ̃ ~ \tau \grave{\eta} v$ xáध $\propto \varrho \sigma \iota v$ "For circumcision he calls the purification", and quotes John 15:2.
 $\vartheta \lambda \varepsilon \tilde{\imath} \psi \iota \varsigma ~ \dot{\varepsilon} \pi i$ ó $\sigma$, though the words are only missing in the text of Origen.
 غ̇رil oć are renderings of גדלה הצית אשׁ עליה. Further, according to Ziegler, it is strange that the words which correspond to MT are missing in the text of Origen, who usually corrects according to MT, and thus could not have deleted them. Hence Ziegler supposes that the words were missing in the Vorlage of Origen. Moreover, Ziegler notes that it is strange that in the second rendering אשׁ is not translated, and he supposes that the translator of Jeremiah had another Vorlage than MT. See Ziegler 1958, 100. McKane 1986, 250, on the other hand, calls Ziegler's conclusion into doubt and suggests that $\mu \varepsilon \gamma \alpha \dot{\lambda} \eta \dot{\eta} \vartheta \lambda \varepsilon \tilde{\imath} \psi \iota \varsigma \varepsilon ̇ \in i$ MT גדלה." However, such expansions seem to be at least very rare in the quite literal translation of Jeremiah.
$\mu \varepsilon \gamma \alpha \bar{\lambda} \eta \dot{\eta} \vartheta \lambda \varepsilon \tilde{\imath} \psi \iota \varsigma]$ is a nominal clause, and a verb has to be supplied in the translation. Past, present and future tense are all possible. Chrysostom comments: Chrys. fr. in Jer. 64.868 тovtéのтıv, ov̉ $\delta \dot{\varepsilon}$ л@òs $\beta \varrho \alpha \chi \dot{v}$
 will not pay penalty a little, but a very severe punishment because of your sins." Following Chrysostom, the verb is supplied in the future tense. $\vartheta \lambda \varepsilon \pi \imath \iota \varsigma]$ Gött. has $\vartheta \lambda \tau \imath \iota \varsigma$.
17. $\overline{\mathrm{I} \sigma \lambda}]$ is one of only two examples in Jeremiah of Vaticanus where Iogaŋ $\lambda$ is abbreviated into a nomen sacrum. For nomina sacra, cf. Introduction.
ö $\tau \iota$ ] Gött. and Rahlfs have ő $\tau$, while Brenton has ö, $\tau \iota$. The relative ö seems to be preferable here. If the text is taken as the conjunction
ötı, غ̇лoiך غ̇лоínoav could easily be supplied from the context.
$\tau \tilde{n} B \alpha \alpha \lambda]$ For the feminine article and its translation, see 2:8.
 etymologica in Hebrew is preserved in the Greek translation. "They planned a ... plan" is an attempt to preserve the figura etymologica in the English translation too.

tò ővoun] Gött. has ővoua.
$\mu \nu \eta \sigma \vartheta \tilde{n}]$ According to Thackeray 1909, 276, aorist and future tenses
 (unclass.)". Thackeray refers to this verse, but there appears to be no compelling reason not to take it in its common sense "to remember". Thus $\mu v \eta \sigma \vartheta \frac{n}{n}$ is rendered by "be remembered" in the present translation.
20. 久@ziv $\omega v$ ] Gött. has x@iv $\omega v$.
 rendering of $\mathfrak{p}$. $\dot{\varepsilon} x \delta \dot{\chi} x \eta \sigma ı \varsigma$ with $\dot{\varepsilon} x$ seems to be at least very uncommon outside the Septuagint. Thus the literal translation into English "from them". It should be noted that this verse is almost identical with 20:12,
 Several MSS have $\mathfrak{e ̉ v}$ aủtoĩs in 11:20 too. Chrysostom comments: Chrys.
 exact a just right from them".
21. A stroke above the line in the MS indicates a new paragraph. Since v . 21 begins at the beginning of the line, there is no space in the text which could confirm the new paragraph.
 future tense as it is with aorist subjunctive. For a discussion of ov̉ $\mu \eta$ with different tenses and the variation between the manuscripts, see BDR, \$365.
 used 11 times in Jeremiah with the verbs л@оч $\uparrow \tau$ ví ( $11: 21 ; 14: 14,15$; 23:25; 33:9, 20 (MT 26:9, 20); 34:12 (MT 27:15); 36:9 (MT 29:9)) and $\lambda \alpha \lambda \varepsilon ́ \omega$ (20:9; 33:16 (MT 26:16); 51:16 (MT 44:16)). In four examples (33:9, 20 (MT 26:9, 20); 34:12 (MT 27:15); 51:16 (MT 44:16)) בשט (is rendered by $\tau \tilde{\varphi}$ ỏvó $\mu \alpha \tau \iota$, in the other examples by غ̇лi $\tau \tilde{\varphi}$ ỏvó $\mu \alpha \tau \iota$.

There seems to be no good reason for the variation in translation, or any difference in meaning. Thus all examples are rendered "in ... name".
$\varepsilon ̇ v \tau \alpha \tilde{\Sigma} \varsigma \chi \varepsilon \varrho \sigma i v]$ is a rendering of ביד. For a discussion of the rendering èv taĩऽ $\chi$ と@óv, see Sollamo 1979, 166.
22. $\lambda \varepsilon \mu \mu \tilde{\omega}]$ Gött. has $\lambda \mu \tilde{\varrho}$.
23. $\dot{\varepsilon} v x \alpha \tau \alpha \dot{\lambda} \lambda \mu \mu \alpha]$ Gött. has $\dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \mu \alpha \tau \alpha \dot{\lambda} \varepsilon \mu \mu \alpha . v$ and $\varepsilon$ are written above the line.
$\varepsilon ่ v \mathrm{~A} v \alpha \vartheta \omega \vartheta]$ Gött. has Avaษ由ษ.
$\dot{\varepsilon} \pi \iota \sigma x \varepsilon ่ \psi \varepsilon \omega \varsigma]$ is not filled in by the later hand and $\dot{\varepsilon} \pi \iota \sigma \chi \circ \pi \tilde{\eta} \varsigma$ is written in the margin.

## 21. Ieremias' Complaint, God's <br> Comments and Measures (12:1-17)

Despite the promise of the Lord at the end of the previous section to punish the people, Jeremiah still complains about the well-being of the impious and faithless. The shift of speaker from Jeremiah to the Lord is not very clear, but from v. 5 the Lord appears to be the speaker. The content of the somewhat cryptic massage that follows seems to be that what is bad will become worse. Therefore, do not trust in anyone. The Lord agrees with Jeremiah and his criticism of the people, and repeats that the judgement will come; in fact, it is already in progress. However, the Lord will have mercy on the people once again, and return them to their land. But not only that-he will also make room in the land for those who led the people astray, provided that they also turn to the Lord; otherwise they will be given into destruction.

The present section contains a large number of literal renderings of the Hebrew text, some of which are so literal that they apparently caused the early interpreters some problems. Thus the English translation is equally literal and equally problematic. In one expression there is some doubt whether the verb is transitive or intransitive. Here too, the opinion of the early commentaries is followed. Further, there is one of the very rare haplographies in the text, which is added in the margin and within brackets in the present translation.

Finally, this section also contains two of the rare quotations in the New Testament, viz. the one from v. 3 in James 5:5, and the one from v. 15 in Acts 16:16.

1. ötu] is a literal rendering of the Hebrew particle כי Thus the literal rendering "for" in the English translation. For a discussion of the particle כי and its translation in the ancient versions, see McKane 1986, 260. The rendering ötı might be inappropriate and Chrysostom and Olympiodorus comment: Chrys. fr. in Jer. 64.872 tovtéotı $\gamma$ voús $\sigma \varepsilon$ dixaıov,
 "I.e., having become aware that you are righteous, from what you have taught me, the judgements. I defend myself for what I have besought


 $v \omega v \tau \eta ̀ v$ aitiov "I know, he says, that you are righteous. I look for a word of defence for your judgements. Or like this: first I have to defend myself from you, Lord, for you are righteous, then to look for the reason for the perplexities".
$\pi \lambda \dot{\eta} v]$ For the use of the particle $\pi \lambda \eta \dot{\eta} v$ in the Septuagint and in texts related to the Septuagint, see Blomqvist 2011.
oi $\alpha \mathfrak{\alpha} \vartheta \varepsilon \tau о \tilde{v} v \varepsilon \varsigma ~ \alpha \dot{\alpha} \vartheta \varepsilon \tau \eta \prime \mu \alpha \tau \alpha]$ is a literal rendering of בגדי בגד, which preserves the figura etymologica of the Hebrew original, cf. Helbing 1928, 90. "who are faithless doing faithless deeds" is an attempt to preserve the figura etymologica in the English translation too.


2. हैvavtiov oov] seems to be a rendering of אתך. For a discussion of $\dot{\varepsilon} v a v t i o v ~ a n d ~ i t s ~ u s a g e ~ i n ~ e x t r a-S e p t u a g i n t a l ~ K o i n e, ~ s e e ~ S o l l a m o ~ 1979, ~$ 313-317.

ทֹ $\varepsilon$ غ́ $\alpha v \sigma \varphi \alpha \gamma \tilde{\eta} \varsigma]$ For the quotation in James 5:5, see Introduction.
4. $\tau \tilde{\omega} v$ xatoıxoúvt $\omega v$ ] Gött. has ratoıxoúvt $\omega v$.
oủ $\chi]$ Gött. has oủx.
5. A stroke above the line in the MS indicates a new paragraph, which most likely begins between vv. 4 and 5 . However, there is nothing except the stroke above the line which indicates a new paragraph.

The meaning of MT of this verse is not totally clear (cf. McKane 1986, 263-267), and the meaning of LXX obviously caused the early commentators some trouble, since Chrysostom consulted the translation
of Aquila and Theodoret the Syriac translation to interpret the verse. Theodoret gives the following interpretation of the Greek translation:



 Greek interpretation it should be understood thus: the course of your wickedness has exhausted you, and has removed the strength. Deprived of power and strength how can you resist and draw up against those who fight with a multitude of horses?" Olympiodorus comments: Olymp. fr. in Jer. 93.652 л@òऽ toùऽ $\dot{\alpha} \mu \alpha \varrho \tau \alpha ́ v o v \tau \alpha \varsigma ~ o ́ ~ \lambda o ́ \gamma o \varsigma . ~ \tau \varrho \varepsilon ́ \chi о v \tau \varepsilon \varsigma ~ \gamma \alpha ̀ \varrho, ~ \varphi \eta \sigma i v, ~$
 to those who sin: running, he says, to the evil, you weaken the forces of
 $\zeta \varepsilon \sigma \vartheta \alpha$; "How do you believe that you can be saved by the help of cavalry, when you offend God?" Obviously, the commentators interpret the verse differently. The translation "How will you prepare yourself for horses?" is an attempt to take both possibilities into consideration.
ov̉] Gött. has $\sigma v$.
6. $\lambda \alpha \lambda$ oṽoıv] Gött. has $\lambda \alpha \lambda \eta{ }_{\eta} \sigma o v \sigma \iota v . ~ \eta \sigma$ is written above the line in the MS.
xaì oṽ̃tol ... xaì גủtoí] are both renderings of גם־המה. If the second $x \alpha i$ is not taken as an adverb, aủzoí can be taken either as stressed, unstressed or in the sense "themselves". It should most likely not be taken as a stressed pronoun, since it is hard to see how it could be a new subject (this is most likely also why the translator rendered the second גם־המה as xai $\alpha u ̛ \tau o i ́ a n d ~ n o t ~ x \alpha i ̀ ~ o v ̃ ँ o ı) . ~ T h e r e f o r e, ~ i t ~ i s ~ l i k e l y ~ t h a t ~ t h e ~ t r a n s l a t o r ~ o f ~$ Jeremiah took $\alpha v i \tau o i ́ a s$ anstressed pronoun with the meaning "they" here. On the other hand, the reader of the text could have taken au'toí in the sense "themselves", especially since the unstressed avंтot is quite rare outside the Septuagint and related texts. Cf. Michaelis 1951, BDR, $\$ 277 \cdot 3$, CS, $\S_{13}$, Schweizer 1950, 163, Wifstrand 2005, 41. Thus the translation "they themselves" in the present translation.
$\dot{\varepsilon} \chi \tau \tilde{\omega} v o \dot{o} \pi \dot{i} \sigma \omega \sigma o v]$ is a rendering of אחריך. In the English translation it has been taken with the preceding $\dot{\varepsilon} \beta$ ó $\eta \sigma \alpha v$. However, it can be taken with the following $\varepsilon \pi \iota \sigma v v \eta \chi \vartheta \eta \sigma \alpha \nu$ as well. Both אחר and its common equivalent órí$\sigma \omega$ can be placed both before and after the verb to which it belongs. For a discussion of the relation between the Hebrew text and the Greek translation, see McKane 1986, 267-268. For ỏлí $\sigma \omega$, cf. 2:5.
 1928, 201, Jıotev́ $\omega$ with $\varepsilon ่ v$ is a Hebraism. Thus the rendering "Do ... put your trust within them" in the present translation. Cf. BDR, $\S 187.2$ and the references given there.
7. $\dot{\varepsilon} v x \alpha \tau \alpha \lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \lambda$ oıt $\alpha$ ] Gött. has $\dot{\varepsilon} \gamma x \alpha \tau \alpha \lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \lambda$ oıt $\alpha$. $\gamma$ is written above the line. عiऽ $\chi \varepsilon \llbracket \varrho \alpha \varsigma]$ is a rendering of בכף. For a discussion of the rendering $\varepsilon$ cis $\chi \varepsilon \tau \varrho \alpha \varsigma$, see Sollamo 1979, 222.
8. $̇$ ẻ $\mu \varepsilon i ́ \sigma \eta \sigma \alpha]$ Gött. has $\mathfrak{\varepsilon} \mu i ́ \sigma \eta \sigma \alpha$.
 of עיט in both examples. McKane 1986, 269-373, in his detailed discussion of the verse, is right that $\sigma \pi \dot{\eta} \lambda \alpha \ldots \nu x u ́ x \lambda \omega \alpha v ̉ \tau \tilde{\eta} 5$ "makes poor sense". Thus the English translation also makes poor sense. The rendering $\sigma \pi \eta$ $\lambda \alpha \iota o v$ vaívŋs in the first example is commented upon by Chrysostom:



 it thus. Since, they say, the hyena is an unclean animal. He wanted to say that you have filled my house with uncleanness. The Hebrew has it thus: My heritage has become to me like a bird with parti-coloured feathers". Theodoret comments on $\sigma \pi \eta ं \lambda \alpha \iota v ~ \chi u ́ x \lambda \omega \alpha \cup ̉ \tau \eta ̃ ऽ: ~ T h d t . ~ J e r . ~ 81.581 ~$
 $\tau \grave{\alpha} \tau \alpha u ́ \tau \eta ร ~ x u ́ x \lambda \omega$, $\tau о v \tau \varepsilon ́ \sigma \tau \iota ~ \tau \eta ̀ v ~ ' I o v \delta \alpha i ́ \alpha v ~ " H e r e ~ h e ~ c a l l s ~ n o t ~ o n l y ~ t h e ~$ city a cave of a hyena, but also what is around it, i.e., Judea". Obviously, Theodoret takes $x u ́ x \lambda \omega \alpha v ̉ \tau \eta ̃ ร$ in a local sense together with $x \lambda \eta \varrho о v o \mu i ́ \alpha$.
ovvaүơزعєє] According to both Gött. and Swete the original text of Vaticanus was $\sigma v v \alpha ́ \gamma \varepsilon \tau \varepsilon$, which was changed into $\sigma v v \alpha \gamma \alpha ́ \gamma \varepsilon \tau \varepsilon$ by a later hand, who changed E into $\mathrm{A}, \mathrm{T}$ into $\Gamma$, and added TE at the end. However, though there seem to be traces of a $T$, where there is now a $\Gamma$, the second A in $\sigma v v \alpha \gamma \alpha ́ \gamma \varepsilon \tau \varepsilon$ looks very original, and more important, there is no space for an E , where there now is an A , and there are no traces of an original E either. Hence, there seems to be no reason to believe that the TE at the end is added by a later hand.

 $\left.{ }^{\alpha} \beta \alpha \tau о v\right]$ Cf. 2:6.
11. $\dot{\varepsilon} \tau \varepsilon ่ \vartheta \eta ~ \varepsilon i \varsigma ~ \dot{\alpha} \varphi \alpha \vee \iota \sigma \mu o ́ v]$ For a discussion of the construction and its rendering, see 1:5.
$\alpha \dot{\alpha} \varphi \alpha v \iota \sigma \mu \tilde{\varrho} \eta \not \eta \alpha v i \sigma \vartheta \eta]$ appears to be a literal rendering of שממה נשממה. The figura etymologica of the Hebrew text is preserved in the Greek translation. The rendering "has vanished by vanishment" is an attempt to preserve the figura etymologica of the Greek text in the English translation.
$\left.\delta \iota^{\prime} \varepsilon \notin \varepsilon ́\right]$ is taken together with the following verb $\eta \varphi \varphi \alpha v i \sigma \vartheta \eta$, but could also be taken together with the preceding verb $\dot{\varepsilon} \tau \varepsilon ́ v \eta$. Chrysostom com-
 тòv лৎочи́тŋv "What is 'because of me'? Because of me, the prophet". Chrysostom then quotes John 15:22.
ő או兀 oủx אישׁ שם על-לב. The expression tívŋut ẻv ro@סíą is found a few times in the Septuagint ( 1 Sam 21:13; 29:10; Hag. 2:18) , but appears to be at least very uncommon outside the Septuagint and texts related to the Septuagint. Hence the literal rendering in the English translation. Cf. tívŋut عis ж $\varrho$ @íav (2 Sam. 19:20; Hag. 2:15; Mal. 2:2; Luke 1:66; 21:14;
 Ezek. 14:3; 14:4; 14:7). Theodoret comments on the expression: Thdt Jer.
 do not use pious reasoning".
12. $\tilde{\eta} \lambda \vartheta \vartheta 0 v$ ] Gött. has ${ }^{\eta} \lambda \vartheta o \sigma \alpha v$
$\tau \alpha \lambda \alpha \iota \pi \omega \varrho о \tilde{v} v \tau \varepsilon \varsigma]$ could be both transitive and intransitive. It is a rendering of ששדדים "spoilers", which means that the translator of Jeremiah most likely took it as a transitive verb here. However, the early commentators seemingly took it as an intransitive verb here as in 10:20. Theodoret



 'path'. They filled, it says, the whole desert with paths, suffering and fleeing from the enemies. For it is a characteristic of fleeing to avoid using the common roads". And Olympiodorus, Olymp. fr. Jer. 93.653, has oi $\tau \tilde{\omega} v$ ’Iov $\delta \alpha i \omega v \alpha i \chi \mu \alpha ́ \lambda \omega \tau o \iota ~ " t h e ~ c a p t i v e s ~ o f ~ t h e ~ J e w s " ~ a s ~ s u b j e c t ~ o f ~ \tilde{\eta} \lambda \vartheta o v$ $\tau \alpha \lambda \alpha \iota \pi \omega \varrho \varrho \tilde{v} v \tau \varepsilon$. Thus the translation "suffering" and not the transitive "causing misery".

то $\tilde{\chi v}]$ is a rendering of ליהוה. For the definite article with xúgıs, see Introduction.
 are written in the margin. They are most likely omitted by haplography. The whole expression seems to have its origin in the Septuagint. It is not found outside the Septuagint and texts related to the Septuagint. Hence the literal rendering in the English translation.
 rare outside the Greek Bible and thus the literal translation into English. For a discussion of this and related expressions, see BDR, $\$ 302, \mathrm{CS}, \$ 88$, and Tabachovitz 1956, 87-91.
13. $\vartheta \varepsilon \varrho i \zeta \varepsilon \tau \varepsilon]$ Gött. has $\vartheta \varepsilon \varrho i \sigma \alpha \tau \varepsilon$.
$\dot{\alpha} \pi \dot{o}$ ỏveıסıouõ $\mathfrak{v}$ हैvavıı] For a discussion of the relation to the Hebrew text, see Ziegler 1958, 21 and McKane 1986, 276.
14. A stroke above the line in the MS indicates a new paragraph. Since v. 14 begins at the beginning of the line, there is no space in the text which could confirm the new paragraph.

Iov $\delta \alpha v$ ] Gött. has Iov $\alpha \alpha$. Cf. McLean 1997, 55-56
غ่̇ $\mu$ ย́бov av่̉ $\tilde{\omega} v]$ is a rendering of מתוכם. For a discussion of the rendering $̇$ è $\mu$ н́́oov, see Sollamo 1979, 268.
15. xai हैбтои] Cf. 3:16.
$\mu \varepsilon \tau \grave{\alpha} . .$. غ่льбт@ઘ́ $\psi \omega]$ For the quotation in Acts 15:16, see Introduction.

16. $\mu \alpha \vartheta$ Óvteऽ $\mu \alpha \dot{\gamma} \vartheta \omega \sigma v]$ is a literal rendering of למד ילמדו, i.e., infinitive absolute and finite verb. The figura etymologica of the Hebrew text has been preserved in the Greek translation. The figura etymologica has also been preserved in the English translation "having learnt learn", since the construction of the aorist participle with the finite verb is as strange to Greek as it is to English. For a discussion of the Greek aorist participle as a rendering of the Hebrew infinitive absolute, see 3:1.
$\tau \tilde{\eta} B \alpha \alpha \lambda]$ For the feminine article and its translation, see 2:8.

 in singular), where the Hebrew connective particle $ו$ is rendered by rai.
 But whereas $ו$ is the normal way to introduce the apodosis in Hebrew, uai is very strange. Hence the very stereotyped rendering of 1 by xai here produces a very strange Greek. Cf. 4:2 and 7:7.

However, it is also possible to take $x \alpha i$ as an adverb, also. Though this was most likely not the intention of the translator, it is perhaps the most natural way to take it, since a connective $x \alpha i$ is totally out of place. Thus xaí is rendered by "also" in the present translation. Cf. 4:2.

$\dot{\varepsilon} v \mu \varepsilon ́ \sigma \omega]$ is a rendering of בתוך. For a discussion of this Hebraistic expression, see BDR, $\$ 215.3$.
17. xai $\bar{\varepsilon} \xi \alpha \varrho \tilde{\omega}]$ is a rendering of ונתשתי, where the Hebrew connective
 ducing the apodosis. For the translation "also" of $x \alpha i$, see v. 16.
$\mathfrak{\varepsilon} \xi \alpha \varrho \tilde{\omega}$. . $\boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \dot{\varepsilon} \xi \alpha \dot{\alpha} \varrho \sigma \varepsilon \iota]$ is a rendering of i.e., verb and infinitive absolute of the same root. The figura etymologica of the Hebrew original is preserved in the Greek translation. "remove ... by removal" is an attempt to preserve the figura etymologica in the English translation too. For a discussion of this Hebrew construction and its renderings, see 3:1.

## 22. The Linen Girdle and the Wineskin (13:1-14)

Jeremiah is told to get a linen girdle and hide it in a hole of the rock at the river Euphrates. Next he is told to retrieve the girdle, which by now has been ruined. The ruined girdle is then used as a metaphor for how the Lord will ruin the people because of its idolatry and its refusal to obey him. In addition, he is told to say to the people that every wineskin will be filled with wine. At that statement, which looks like a truism, the people are surprised. What follows is an explanation of the statement, that all of the people, from high to low, will be filled by intoxication, and subsequently they will all be scattered, and the Lord will have no compassion. It should be noted, however, that the intoxication is not found in Vaticanus, where the explanation is even more surprising than the statement itself, since the text is corrupted by a scribal error. Interestingly enough the text is accentuated in the manuscript as if it were correct, though it makes no sense whatsoever.

Further, the truism and the question about it by the surprised people are not only complicated from a linguistic point of view, since it is not clear whether the question is introduced by a negative or by an interrogative particle, but the interpretation of the text is also rather unclear. Origen remarks that wineskins can and are filled not only by wine, but also by oil or some other liquid. Finally, the text contains one
of the rare dittographies in Vaticanus, which this time only consists of one single word.

1. $x \tau \tilde{\eta} \sigma \varepsilon]$ Gött. has $x \tau \tilde{\eta} \sigma \alpha l . \alpha \mathrm{L}$ is written above the line.
$\delta \iota \varepsilon \lambda \varepsilon v \dot{\sigma} \varepsilon \tau \alpha l] \varepsilon \lambda$ appears to have been written after the original letters ( $\alpha \mu$ or $\alpha \beta$ ) had been erased. It is not clear which was the original word or who made the correction.
 course, a dittography.
 jecture by Ziegler. xai દ̇ло@zv́धŋv is missing in Sinaiticus. According to Ziegler 1958, 43, xat-could easily have dropped out after xaí. Thus he supposes an original $\varkappa \alpha \tau \varepsilon ́ \varkappa \varrho v \psi \alpha$. Cf. v. 7.

 equally redundant "there" in the English translation. For a discussion of the construction, see 7:12.

หат $\varrho \varrho v \xi \alpha]$ Gött. has $\chi \alpha \tau \varepsilon ́ \varkappa \varrho v \psi \alpha$, which is a conjecture by Spohn. According to Ziegler 1958, 43, $\chi \alpha \tau \omega \varrho \cup v \xi \alpha$ is a corruption depending on the preceding $\omega$ ढ̈@v $\xi \alpha$. In vv. 4, 5 (after the conjecture by Ziegler), and v . טמן is rendered by ধатах@úлt 6 . Thus Ziegler supposes that טמן was rendered by x $\alpha \boldsymbol{\tau} \propto \varrho \dot{\jmath} \tau \tau \omega$ here too.
ö] seems to have no equivalent in MT. Usually the Greek relative pronouns are renderings of the Hebrew relative particle אשׁר. Perhaps the translator had אשׁר in his text as in v. 10, or ő in v. 7 has been supplied from ö in v. 10 . However, ö in v. 7 does not fit the text very well. ö is taken as a relative pronoun equivalent to a demonstrative pronoun (relativischer Anschluf) here in v. 7, but as an ordinary relative pronoun in v. 10. For relative pronouns used as demonstrative pronouns, see Smyth 1956, \$2490, Schwyzer 1959, 2.644, and BDR, \$293.3c.
8. ov́т $\omega]$ Gött. has oưt $\omega \varsigma$.
 the reading of Sinaiticus.

ỏлí $\sigma \omega$ ] Cf. 2:5.
11. $\tau 0 \tilde{v} \operatorname{I\sigma } \propto \eta \lambda]$ Gött. has I $\sigma \varrho \alpha \eta \lambda$.
$\pi \tilde{\alpha} v$ ] Gött. has $\pi \dot{\alpha} v \tau \alpha$. For a discussion and more examples of the use of the neuter $\pi \tilde{\alpha} v$ with masculine words, see Thackeray 1909, 174-175.
 5:19.
12. $\mu \eta$ خ̀ $\gamma \vee$ óvtєऽ ov̉ $\gamma \nu \omega \sigma o ́ \mu \varepsilon \vartheta \alpha]$ is a literal rendering of הידע לא נדע, i.e., interrogative particle, infinitive absolute, negative, finite verb. The rendering by the translator is what would have been expected: interrogative particle, participle, negative, finite verb, given the fact that he usually renders the interrogative particle $ה$ by the interrogative particles ov and $\mu \dot{\eta}$, and the infinitive absolute+finite verb by participle+finite verb. But how did the ancient reader understand the text? Most likely he understood it in the same way as the translator, i.e., he took $\mu \eta$ as an interrogative particle as, e.g., in 3:1 $\mu \dot{\eta} \dot{\alpha} v \alpha x \alpha ́ \mu \pi \tau o v \sigma \alpha \alpha \dot{\alpha} v \alpha-$ $\chi \alpha ́ \mu \psi \varepsilon \iota ~ \pi \varrho o ̀ s ~ \alpha u ̉ \tau o ̀ v ~ \varepsilon ̌ \tau ı . ~ T h u s ~ t h e ~ r e n d e r i n g ~ " I t ~ c a n n o t ~ b e ~ t h a t ~ . . . ~ c a n ~$ it?" of the interrogative particle $\mu \eta$ in the present translation. Unfortunately only Origen comments on the passage, and there seems to be no other similar passage to compare with: Or. hom. in Jer. 12.1 xai oi



 סоvтаı $\alpha \varrho \alpha \cdot$ ov $\gamma \dot{\alpha} \varrho \pi \tilde{\alpha} \varsigma \dot{\alpha} \sigma \varkappa \grave{\varsigma} \varsigma \pi \lambda \eta \varrho \omega \vartheta \eta \dot{\eta} \sigma \tau \alpha \iota$ o"vov "And if those who answer, as they stand by the literal meaning, speak that, and say that they know that 'every wineskin will be filled with wine', they lie. For not 'every wineskin will be filled with wine'. Apparently, there are wineskins filled with oil or some other liquid, but some also remain empty. Consequently, they lie. For not 'every wineskin will be filled with wine"'

However, it is also possible that the reader of the text understood $\mu \dot{\eta}$ as a negative of the participle $\gamma v o ́ v \tau \varepsilon \varsigma$ and not as an interrogative particle. A possible rendering of the text taking $\mu \dot{\eta}$ as a negative of the participle could be: "Since we have not got to know, we will not know." Though Origen considered the question (apparently taking $\mu \eta$ as an interrogative particle) as a lie, he does not seem to have considered the possibility to take $\mu \eta$ as a negative.

Further, the figura etymologica of the Hebrew text, ידע .. נדע, has been preserved in the Greek translation as well as in the present English
translation by "having got to know we will not know". For a discussion of this Hebrew construction, see 3:1.
13. $\Delta \alpha v \varepsilon เ \delta]$ Gött. has $\Delta \alpha v ı \delta$.

тoṽ $\vartheta \varrho o ́ v o v] ~ G o ̈ t t . ~ h a s ~ \vartheta \varrho o ́ v o v . ~$
Iov $\delta \alpha v$ ] Gött. has Iov $\alpha \alpha$. Cf. McLean, 1997, 57.
$\varepsilon ̇ v$ Ir@ovo $\alpha \lambda \eta \mu$ ] Gött. has Ir@ovo $\alpha \lambda \eta \mu$.
 found in Vaticanus. Gött. and almost all other MSS have $\mu \varepsilon \vartheta \vartheta$ v́бuatı. Interestingly enough, the accents added by the later scribe follow the scribal error of the manuscript. It is hard to see how the scribe who added the accents could have made any sense of this text. If $\mu \varepsilon \vartheta v \dot{v} \sigma \mu \alpha \tau \tau$ is read, it can be rendered by "with an intoxicating drink" or "with intoxication". For the dative $\mu \varepsilon ч ̛ \dot{v} \sigma \mu \alpha \tau \iota$ with $\pi \lambda \eta \varrho o ́ \omega$, see Helbing 1928, 145 , and BDR, §195.2.
 pronoun, see CS, $\$ 68$, who call this use "a sheer Hebraism". Thus the literal rendering in the present translation.
 absolute example given by Muraoka 2002, 219, is the present verse. Thus غ̇лıлоษ่ทั $\sigma \omega$ is rendered by the usually transitive expression "to have affection (for)" in the English translation.
oixtع@ŋ́ $\sigma \omega]$ Gött. has oixtюŋ́ $\sigma \omega$.
 For the Greek rendering Muraoka 2002, 400, gives the translation "to be deterred from destroying them", with the present example as the only reference. This also seems to be the understanding of the text by Origen in his discussion of the passage, Or. hom. in Jer. 12.5. However, the construction with $\dot{\alpha} \pi o ́$ appears to be at least Hebraistic, thus the literal rendering "have compassion from" in the present translation. Brenton has "pity to save them from", where the italics are original and indicate that Brenton has added something to the text. NETS has "have compassion at".

## 23. Humble Yourselves or Get Punished (13:15-27)

Again the Lord exhorts the people to turn around before it becomes dark, although it seems evident that he does not expect that to happen. Instead
he points out that it is as impossible for the people to do good as it is for a living creature to change the colour of its skin. Therefore, the Lord also describes the consequences of their disobedience, which is not only darkness, exile and pain, but the Lord will also reveal the shame of the people.

A few of the literal renderings in this section are problematic, since they produce a Greek which is not only strange, but next to unintelligible. In at least one example the unintelligible Greek seems to be due to an unintelligible Vorlage. From a translation-technical point of view there is an interesting example where the translator of Jeremiah apparently used an ordinary literal translation, but which the reader of the Greek text most likely took in a different way.
16. $\tau \tilde{\varphi} \overline{\chi \emptyset}]$ is a rendering of ליהוה. For the definite article with xúgıos, see Introduction.
$\sigma v \sigma \chi o \tau \alpha \sigma \alpha u]$ For the impersonal use of $\sigma v \sigma \chi o \tau \alpha \dot{\delta} \zeta$, see LSJ and Muraoka 2002, 541. Cf. also бvoچот $\alpha \sigma \mu$ о́s "darkness", a word apparently created by Origen in his commentary to the present verse: Or. hom. in Jer.
 does it become dark, and when does the darkness not come about?"

бжотıv́] Gött. has $\sigma \varkappa о \tau \varepsilon เ v \alpha ́ . ~ \varepsilon ~ i s ~ w r i t t e n ~ a b o v e ~ t h e ~ l i n e . ~$
 with $\varepsilon i \varsigma$ is, according to Helbing 1928, 104, a Hebraism. Thus the literal translation "wait till light". Cf. 14:19.
 rendered "[he] makes it deep darkness" (NRSV), "he made it into gloom" (McKane 1986, 298). Perhaps the translator of Jeremiah had a similar meaning in mind of the construction tíqnut $\varepsilon i \varsigma$, but this is most likely not how the reader interpreted the text. Thus the more literal rendering "they will be put into darkness" in the present translation. For a discussion of ti'i $\eta \mu$ with the double accusative, see 1:5. Cf. also 22:6.
17. $\mathfrak{\varepsilon}$ àv $\delta \dot{\varepsilon}]$ Gött. has $\mathfrak{c} \dot{\alpha} v$.

व̉лò 兀@обஸ́лоv] Cf. 1:8.
$\pi o \prime \mu\langle v\rangle$ เov $] v$ is written above the line.




бuveté̀ $\lambda \varepsilon \sigma \alpha v$... $\left.\tau \varepsilon \lambda \varepsilon \varepsilon^{\prime} \alpha v\right]$ The figura etymologica has no equivalent in the Hebrew text. For a discussion of the complicated Hebrew text,
see McKane 1986, 305. "have completed ... complete" is an attempt to preserve the figura etymologica of the Greek text in the English translation.

бuveté $\lambda \varepsilon \sigma \alpha v]$ Gött. has $\sigma u v \varepsilon \tau \varepsilon ́ \lambda \varepsilon \varepsilon \sigma \varepsilon v . ~$
20. $\varepsilon$ i' $\delta \varepsilon$ ] Gött. has ${ }^{\imath} \delta \delta \varepsilon$. The accent of ${ }^{\text {in }} \delta \varepsilon$ has been preserved, since $\varepsilon i \delta \varepsilon$ is an itacistic spelling error. $\varepsilon$ el $\delta \varepsilon$ is also the accent found in the MS.
 ing to McKane 1986, 308, "Sept., for the most part, is a literal translation of MT with a result less intelligible than MT itself." Chrysostom

 oov "I.e., calling for their help and worshipping idols you have prepared them to rule over you." And Olympiodorus comments: Olymp.
 $\dot{\eta} \mu \tilde{\omega} v$ "For if we do not deliver ourselves to sin, it will not rule over us."
oủx] is a rendering of הלוא, i.e., interrogative particle + negative. For a discussion of the Greek and Hebrew interrogative particles and the translation, see 7:19.
22. $\mathfrak{\varepsilon}$ óv ...] The apodosis to the protasis introduced by $\mathfrak{\varepsilon} \dot{\alpha} v$ is missing.

$\mu \varepsilon \mu \alpha \vartheta \eta \chi o \dot{\tau} \varsigma]$ The participle is here taken in the concessive sense. Cf. Smyth 1956, §2066, and Wallace 1995, 634-635.
24. $\mathfrak{\alpha} \pi$ ó] Gött. has v́лó.
26. ỏлí $\sigma \omega$ ] Cf. 2:5.

óлí $\sigma \omega \mu \mathrm{ov}$ ] is a literal rendering of אחרי, but vocalized differently than MT. McKane 1986, 313, is right that ojлiow $\mu$ ov "makes poor sense". Thus the literal translation "behind me", which also makes poor sense. Cf. 2:5.
24. Drought, Destruction, and a

Promise of Future Salvation (14:1-16:18)
The following section is the fourth longest section of Jeremiah in Vaticanus, and it covers almost three chapters. It is also the second section that starts with an indentation. In this section the first three lines have an indentation.

The beginning of this section discusses the drought that appears to have affected the land. The drought seems to take the punishment one step further, since it is no longer a punishment executed by a foreign power, but by creation itself, i.e., in the eyes of the people it is God himself who punishes them. The drought appears to be an eye-opener for the people, who in the following paragraph confess that they have been sinning. In their desperation they try to remind the Lord that he is among them and that his name has been called upon them. It seems as if they try to convince themselves that the Lord has not abandoned them. The following paragraph will show that their fear is not without reason. The Lord repeats his prohibition for Jeremiah to pray for the people, since the Lord will not listen to the prayers of the people, even when accompanied with fasting and sacrifices, and since the Lord has decided to put an end to them by sword and famine.

Then Jeremiah intervenes and points out that the prophets have been prophesying just the opposite to the people, but the Lord responds that the prophets are false. Therefore, the false prophets as well as the people will be punished by disease, famine, and sword. The Lord tells Jeremiah to exhort the people to cry over their fate, which is described by Jeremiah. Still, Jeremiah cannot believe that the Lord has abandoned the people, and he even prays to the Lord not to destroy them and not to break the covenant. However, the Lord has made up his mind and he would not even change his mind if Moses and Samuel stood before him, because the people have turned away from him. Instead the Lord gives more details about the coming disaster.

Again Jeremiah raises his voice. This time he complains about his own situation. In the lengthy complaint, which is intertwined with a somewhat cryptic answer by the Lord, Jeremiah protests his innocence and asks the Lord to punish the people. In the following answer the Lord promises Jeremiah to protect him. Further, Jeremiah is instructed to take no wife and have no children, because neither parents nor children will survive the coming disaster. Neither shall Jeremiah visit any houses of mourning, for there will be no comfort, because of the idolatry of the people.

Nevertheless, in the last paragraph there is a sign of hope. Once the punishment is finished, the Lord will bring back the people from all the places to which they have been expelled.

This long section has a large number of very literal renderings, but also a number of more rare interesting linguistic features. First there is a unique reading (14:10) for Vaticanus, which totally changes the content of the text. In chapter 15 there are a number of verbs for which it is not clear if they should be taken in first or third person. There is also variation between the manuscripts. The most interesting verse from a linguistic point of view is most likely $15: 10$, in which there are two very curious features. First, the accent of tivo (or $\tau i v \alpha$ ) is unclear. The secondary and late accent of Vaticanus is tiva, but interestingly enough, the early interpreters seem to have taken the text in different ways, either with or without the accent. Second, a very small variation in spelling totally changes the content of the text. One spelling is apparently original and a rendering of the Hebrew Vorlage, while the other spelling is secondary, but actually appears to be the one which was mostly in use in the early church. Both Origen and Theodoret comment upon the textual variation between the Hebrew and Greek versions. Chrysostom and Olympiodorus, on the other hand, each comment upon one of the Greek versions. In verses 11 and 12 of chapter 15 there are similar problems, and the Hebraisms produced by the literal translation were not noticed by the early interpreters, who seem to have taken the text in a different way than it most likely was understood by the translator, although it is not always clear which Greek text the interpreters actually read. In verse 12 the cryptic content also seems to have played a role in the difficulty of interpreting the text. Also in $15: 15$ the interpreters seem to have taken the text in a different way than it was understood by the translator, but here the problem is the meaning of a term which according to the dictionaries seems to have a somewhat unique meaning in this verse, which was not recognized by the interpreters. In 16:6 there is a neologism in the Greek text, which is rendered by a neologism in the English translation as well. Finally, there is variation in spelling of one and the same word in the same verse, $16: 11$, and a probable misspelling in 16:12.

1. The indentation of the left margin in v. 1 and the word-wrapping follows the MS.
àßooхعías] Gött. has ỏßoozías.
2. 'Iov $י$ יהודה י' For a discussion of the renderings of יהודה in Jeremiah, see McLean 1997, 73-74, and 79-80.
3. тoùs vewté@ovऽ] is a rendering of the word צעור (Ketiv) or צעיר (Qere). Cf. 1:6
$\dot{\alpha} \gamma \gamma \tilde{\Lambda} \alpha]$ Gött. has $\dot{\alpha} \gamma \gamma \varepsilon \tilde{\varepsilon} \alpha . \varepsilon$ is written above the line.
4. oi $\gamma \varepsilon \omega \varrho \gamma o i ́]$ Gött. has $\gamma \varepsilon \omega \varrho \gamma o i ́$.
$\tau \dot{\alpha} \varsigma \varkappa \varepsilon \varphi \alpha \lambda \alpha ́ \varsigma]$ Gött. has $\tau \grave{\eta} v x \varepsilon \varphi \alpha \lambda \eta \dot{\nu}$. The plural could be an attempt to improve the Greek, which prefers the plural, but the plural could also have been in the Vorlage of the translator. Cf. BDR, $\S 140$.

5. عí $\lambda x v \sigma \alpha \nu$ ơvequov] is commented on by Chrysostom: Chrys. fr. in
 the heat of the thirst", and by Theodoret: Thdt. Jer. 81.589 toṽto $\delta \dot{\varepsilon}$
 x $\alpha \tau \psi \dot{\chi} \chi \circ v \tau \varepsilon \varsigma$ "This do also people who are thirsty, chilling the heat with the breeze of the wind."
6. $\alpha i]$ Gött. has $\varepsilon i ̉ \alpha i$. For $\varepsilon i ̉ c f$. v. 22.
7. ívo tí] Cf. 2:29.
$\alpha v ̉ \tau o ́ \chi \vartheta \omega v$ ] According to McKane 1986, 320, $\alpha \cup ̉ \tau o ́ \chi \vartheta \omega v$ is rather a rendering of כאזרח "like a native" than of MT כארח "like a traveller". $\alpha u ̛ \tau o ́ \chi \vartheta \omega v$ is commented on by Theodoret: Thdt. Jer. 81.592 tò $\omega \varsigma \alpha v$ -
 interpreted 'as a traveller".'

8. $\mu \eta$ '] seems to be a rendering of למה "why". The rendering of למה by the interrogative particle $\mu \dot{\eta}$, which expects an affirmative answer, changes the content of the verse quite a bit from the content of MT. Cf. v. 19 .
 of ששמך עלינו נקרא. There seems to be no good reason for the change of word order. Thus, perhaps the translator had another word order in his
 7:10.
9. xai oủx $\dot{\varepsilon} \varphi \varepsilon$ íбavto] appears to be a rendering of לא חשכו, which means that raí has no equivalent in MT. In MT רגליהם usually is taken as the object of חשׂכו. In LXX ह̀pqíqavto has no object and лóסas is the object of $x \varepsilon เ v \varepsilon i ̃$. Chrysostom comments on oủx ėpعía人vto: Chrys. fr.
 $\dot{\alpha} \varphi \varepsilon \iota \delta \tilde{\omega} \varsigma$ "I.e., they use this thing greedily, i.e., unsparingly."
xعוvعĩv] Gött. has rıveiv.
$\varepsilon v ̉ o ́ \delta \omega \sigma \varepsilon v]$ Gött. has $\varepsilon v ̉ \delta o ́ \varkappa \eta \sigma \varepsilon v$. $\varepsilon v ̉ o ́ \delta \omega \sigma \varepsilon v$ is only found in Vaticanus. A minuscule $\omega$ is written above o in $\varepsilon$ v̉ó $\delta \omega \sigma \varepsilon v$, which means that someone had an objection against the augmentation, but not against the choice of word. It should also be noticed that, because there is no object, the normally transitive verb $\varepsilon$ vuodó $\omega$ here is taken in an intransitive sense, which is otherwise only attested for the passive.
10. A stroke above the line in the MS indicates a new paragraph. Since v . 11 begins at the beginning of the line, there is no space in the text which could confirm the new paragraph.

عis $\dot{\alpha} \gamma \alpha \vartheta \dot{\alpha}]$ appears to be a literal rendering of לטובה. Thus the literal rendering "for good" in the present translation. All eight examples of the expression $\varepsilon i \varsigma \varsigma ~ \alpha ̉ \gamma \alpha \vartheta ̛ \dot{\alpha} /$ हiऽ $\mathfrak{\alpha} \gamma \alpha \vartheta \neq 0$ óv (14:11; 15:11; 21:10; 24:5, 6bis; 39:39; 46:16) are renderings of לטוב/לטובה.
 translator took the Hebrew in a concessive sense and chose to omit the concessive particle in the first example, since there is no equivalent in the Hebrew, or if he did not take it in the concessive sense, since there are no concessive particles $\alpha$, which are common in concessive clauses (cf. Gesenius $1910, \$ 160$, and JM, $\$ 171$ ). Both examples of éd $v$ are here taken in the concessive sense, though the concessive particle is missing in the first example. The raí in xai éáv was most likely not taken as a concessive particle by the translator, but as the common rendering of the copulative particle $ו$. However, the second xai could be taken as a concessive particle by the reader. For concessive clauses without $\alpha \alpha i$, see Smyth 1956, §2379. Cf. BDR, § 374 .
$\lambda \varepsilon \mu \tilde{\omega}]$ Gött. has $\lambda \mu \mu \tilde{\omega}$. Cf. v. 13.
13. ó $\omega ้ v]$ Cf. 1:6.
14. $̇$ غ̉лi $\tau \tilde{\varrho} 0$ ỏvó $\mu \alpha \tau \iota]$ Cf. 11:21.
15. $\tau \tilde{\omega} v \pi \varrho о \varphi \eta \tau \tilde{\omega} v\{\tau \tilde{\omega} v \pi \varrho о \varphi \eta \tau \tilde{\omega} v\}]$ The second example of $\tau \tilde{\omega} v \pi \varrho о-$ $\varphi \eta \tau \tilde{\omega} v$ is obviously a dittography, thus it is not rendered in the present translation.

غ̇лì $\tau \tilde{\varrho}$ ỏvó $\mu \alpha \tau \iota]$ Cf. 11:21.

 which is a constructio ad sensum. The xoi, which has no equivalent in the MT, before $\varepsilon \neq \sigma \sigma \tau \alpha \iota$ produces an anacoluthon. Thus $x \alpha i \neq \varepsilon \sigma o v \tau \alpha \iota ~ i s$ rendered by "and they will be" to preserve the anacoluthon in the English translation too. For anacoluthon, see BDR, $\$ 466$.
oís ... גv่тoĩร] גv̉兀oĩऽ is redundant in Greek. Hence, the equally redundant expression "to them" in the English translation. For redundant pronouns in Greek translated from Semitic originals, see 2:6.
$\dot{\varepsilon} \varrho \iota \mu \varepsilon \dot{\varepsilon} v o l]$ Gött. has $\dot{\varepsilon} \varrho \varrho \iota \mu \dot{\varepsilon} v o l$. @ is written above the line. For the spelling, see Thackeray 1909, 119.
ódoĩऽ] Gött. has סtódoıs.
व̀лò л@ớ́лоv] Cf. 1:8.
$\mu \alpha \chi \alpha i \varrho \alpha \varsigma]$ Gött. has $\tau \tilde{\eta} \varsigma \mu \alpha \chi \alpha i \varrho \alpha s$.
$\lambda \varepsilon \mu \mathrm{o} \tilde{v}]$ Gött. has $\lambda \mu \mu \mathrm{v}$.
17. бvvт@í $\mu \alpha \tau \iota$ бuvet@í $\beta \eta$ ] is a literal rendering of ששבר ... נשברה. The figura etymologica has been preserved in the Greek translation. "broken with a breach" is an attempt to preserve the figura etymologica in the English translation as well.
 of אם ... והנה ... ואם ... והנה. For a discussion of xai idov́, see Introduction. The literal rendering of והנה by xai íסov́ produces an apodosis introduced by $x \alpha i$. But whereas והנה is a normal way to introduce an apodosis, rai ídov́ is, according to BDR, $\$ 442.5$ a, not found in profane Greek at all. Thus the literal rendering "and see" in the English translation.
$\lambda \varepsilon \mu \mathrm{o} \tilde{v}]$ Gött. has $\lambda \mu \mu \mathrm{v}$.
19. A stroke above the line in the MS indicates a new paragraph. Since v. 19 begins at the beginning of the line, there is no space in the text which could confirm the new paragraph.
$\mu \eta$ '] is a rendering of the interrogative particle $ה$. But whereas the Hebrew interrogative particle $\boldsymbol{\pi}$ has no given answer, "yes" or "no", the

Greek interrogative particle $\mu$ ' has the given answer "no". Thus the rendering "Surely ... not ... not". For questions introduced by interrogative particles, see 5:9.
 infinitive absolute and finite verb. The figura etymologica of the Hebrew text has been preserved in the Greek translation. The figura etymologica has also been preserved in the translation "disapproving disapproved", since the construction of the present participle with the finite verb is as strange to Greek as it is to English. For a discussion of the Greek present participle as a rendering of the Hebrew infinitive absolute, see 3:1.
$\Sigma \varepsilon \iota \omega v]$ Gött. has $\Sigma \iota \omega v$.
ivo tíl Cf. 2:29.
 ing of קוה לنשלום ... לעת מרפא. ن́лouév $\omega$ with हís is, according to Helbing 1928, 104, a Hebraism. Thus the literal translation "waited till peace ... till a time of healing". Cf. 13:16.
20. $\dot{\alpha} \delta ı x \varepsilon i \alpha \kappa]$ Gött. has $\dot{\alpha} \delta ı x i \alpha \varsigma$.
22. $\mu \dot{\eta}$ ] For the interrogative particle $\mu \dot{\prime}$ and the rendering "Surely, ... no one", see v. 19.
$\left.\dot{v} \varepsilon \tau_{i}^{\prime} \zeta \omega v\right]$ is a neologism in the Septuagint.
wai $\varepsilon i]$ is a rendering of . wai $\varepsilon i$ is strange, and Theodoret seems to have had a text without $\varepsilon i$. Further, Theodoret and Olympiodorus appear to have had texts without $\varepsilon$ ĩ in the following clause. The Hebrew text ואם is usually taken to mean "or", but this is not the interpretation of the translator, though he apparently was familiar with the construction (e.g.,


Chrysostom, Theodoret and Olympiodorus all indicate that they interpret the clause introduced by roi as a continuation of the preceding
 £́avtò dúvataí tu "We know that neither an element itself by itself can

 which comes from above. For creation is not without a steersman."

 says, without your permission, can provide anything good." However, it is not totally clear if they really had a text with $\varepsilon$ ei. Thus the literal rendering
"and if" of $x \alpha i$ cỉ in the present English translation. $x \alpha i$ cỉ could also be rendered by "even if", but there is no support for that interpretation in the early commentaries.
ov̉ $\chi_{i}$ ] is a rendering of the Hebrew interrogative particle הלא. For a discussion of the Greek and Hebrew interrogative particles and the translation, see 7:19.

סù عĩ $\alpha v ̉ \tau o ́ \varsigma]$ seems to be a rendering of אתה־הוא. For the use of $\alpha v ่ \tau o ́ s$ as a personal pronoun, see $\mathrm{BDR}, \$ 277 \cdot 3$, and $\mathrm{CS}, \$ 13$.
$\sigma \varepsilon \overline{x \varepsilon}]$ Gött. has $\sigma \varepsilon$.
15:1. દ̉óv] For concessive çóv without a concessive particle, see 14:12.
M $\omega \sigma \tilde{\eta} \varsigma]$ Gött. has M $\omega v \sigma \tilde{\eta} \varsigma$.
$\pi \varrho o ̀ ~ \pi \varrho о \sigma \omega ́ л \sigma \nu]$ is a rendering of לפני. For a discussion of the rendering $\pi \varrho o ̀ ~ \pi \varrho о \sigma \omega ́ л 兀 v, ~ s e e ~ S o l l a m o ~ 1979, ~ 58 . ~$


 ing "commission, order", is a lexical Hebraism. $\dot{\varepsilon} \nsucc \delta \iota x \dot{\varepsilon} \omega$ with $\dot{\varepsilon} \pi i$ is most likely possible in Greek, but Helbing has no examples outside the Sep-


 side the Septuagint and texts related to the Septuagint. Cf. Muraoka 2002, 160. Thus the very literal rendering "I will punish on them" in the English translation.
$\tau \varepsilon ́ \sigma \sigma \alpha \varrho \alpha]$ For the spelling, see, Thackeray 1909, 73 note.

 1928, 161, peíסoual $\grave{\varepsilon} \pi i ́$ is a Hebraism both with dative (15:5; 21:7; 27:14 (MT 50:14)) and with accusative (28:3 (MT 51:3)). Thus the literal rendering "spare on" in the English translation.
$\delta \iota \lambda \iota \alpha \dot{\alpha} \sigma \iota]$ Gött. has $\delta \varepsilon \iota \lambda \iota \alpha ́ \sigma \varepsilon \iota . \varepsilon$ is written above the line. $\delta \varepsilon \iota \lambda \iota \alpha \dot{\alpha} \omega$ with
 Fragmenta in Psalmos 118:161-162 and John of Damascus MPG 95.864.
 figura etymologica has been preserved in the Greek translation. "And

I will scatter ... in a scattering" is an attempt to preserve the figura etymologica in the English translation too.

 see Johannessohn 1910, 45, Johannessohn 1926, 219, and CS, $\$ 94$.

غ̇л $\dot{\gamma} \gamma \gamma \gamma 0$ ] It is not clear if $\dot{\varepsilon} \pi \eta \dot{\eta} \gamma \alpha \gamma o v$ should be taken as a singular or plural. In Vaticanus the following verb, غ̇лモ́œءı $\alpha v$, is plural and there seems to be nothing that indicates a change of subject. Thus $\begin{gathered}\pi \\ \eta\end{gathered} \gamma \alpha \gamma \circ v$ is also taken as plural in the present translation. The early commentators seem to take és $\eta \gamma \alpha \gamma \circ$ as a singular, but they also have the following verb as a singular.
veavíđxovऽ] Gött. has veavíбиov.



 with many children became without children."
 comments on the expression: Chrys. fr. in Jer. 64.904 тovtéбтı, $\tau \varepsilon v \vartheta ิ \circ \tilde{\sigma} \sigma \alpha$ "I.e., mourning."
$\mu \varepsilon \sigma o v ́ \sigma \eta \varsigma ~ \tau \tilde{\varsigma} \varsigma \dot{\eta} \mu \varepsilon ́ \varrho \alpha \varsigma]$ is a rendering of יומם. For a discussion of the genitive absolute in the Septuagint, see Soisalon-Soininen 1987, 175180.
$\grave{\omega} \mathrm{v} \delta \dot{\delta} \dot{\sigma} \vartheta \eta]$ Gött. has $\dot{\omega} v \varepsilon \iota \delta i \sigma \vartheta \eta . \varepsilon$ is written above the line.
тov̀s ... $\tau \tilde{\omega} v ~ \varepsilon ่ \chi \vartheta \varrho \tilde{\omega} v ~ \alpha v ̉ \tau \tilde{\omega} v]$ For a discussion of this rendering and the Vorlage, see Sollamo 1979, 55.
10. tiva] is accented thus in Vaticanus by the scribe who added the accents. The text of Theodoret in MPG has tivó, as well as Brenton, who translates "thou hast born me as some man of strife, and at variance with the whole earth". Chrysostom comments: Chrys. fr. in Jer. 64.904 ô $\delta \dot{\varepsilon}$



 naŋๆ having no strength. So, like whom have you given birth to me, someone
going to stand against the whole world? For the false prophets are saying the opposite, he is being commanded to say the opposite, controversies, and daily fights." And Theodoret comments on the whole verse: Thdt. Jer.


 $\tau \tilde{\omega} v \dot{\alpha} \nsim o v o ́ v \tau \omega v \tau \grave{\alpha} \varsigma \pi \varrho о \varphi \eta \tau \varepsilon i ́ \alpha s$ "Then again according to the Greek interpretation it should be understood the following way: I am worthy of lamentation, in vain brought into this life. For neither have I been of use to anyone, nor have I derived any advantage from other people. I only receive curses from those who hear the prophecies." It seems that the text has been interpreted in various ways. In the present translation the interpretation by Chrysostom and the accentuation in Vaticanus, though secondary, are followed.
èv $\pi \dot{\alpha} \sigma \eta]$ Gött. has $\pi \alpha ́ \sigma \eta \eta$.
$\grave{\omega} \varphi \varepsilon ́ \lambda \eta \sigma \alpha \ldots \dot{\omega} \varphi \varepsilon ́ \lambda \eta \sigma \varepsilon v]$ Gött. has $\omega_{\varphi}^{\varphi \varepsilon i ́ \lambda \eta \sigma \alpha ~ . . . ~} \dot{\omega} \varphi \varepsilon i ́ \lambda \eta \sigma \varepsilon$. It should be noted that there are no tadded above the line in Vaticanus. Only two MSS ( $88^{*}$, X century, and 106, XIV century) have the text followed by Ziegler. However, Ziegler is most likely right that this is the text of the original translation, since נששה could hardly have been rendered by $\dot{\omega} \varphi \varepsilon \lambda \varepsilon ́ \omega$ (cf. Deut. 15:2; Is. 24:2 where נשׁה is rendered by ỏ $\varphi$ שí $\lambda \omega$. Ziegler 1958, 43). Origen comments on the textual differences: Or. hom. in Jer, 14.3 סıббף




 scripture is divided. For most manuscripts have 'I have not been of any use, nor has anyone been of any use to me', but the best manuscripts, those which agree with the Hebrew manuscripts have 'I have not been indebted, nor has anyone been indebted to me'. Therefore, one should interpret what is common and in circulation in the communities and not leave what comes from the Hebrew scriptures uninterpreted." The text interpreted by Chrysostom is obviously the text with ỏ $\varphi \varepsilon^{i} \lambda \omega$ (though the text given by MPG is the text with $\omega \varrho \varepsilon \lambda \varepsilon \dot{\varepsilon} \omega)$ : Chrys. fr. in Jer. 64.904
 have I ever been indebted to anyone, nor have I had a debtor". Theodoret notes that the Syriac text has another interpretation: Thdt. Jer. 81.597 tò
 ov̋t $\delta \varepsilon \delta \alpha \dot{\alpha} v \varepsilon เ \chi \alpha$ "The 'I have been of use' the Syriac interprets 'I have been
indebted'. Therefore it says: neither have I been indebted, nor have I lent". And on the Greek version he comments: Thdt. Jer. 81.597 xa兀ò $\mu \varepsilon ́ v \tau o u$

 interpretation it should be understood the following way: ... For neither have I been of use to anyone, nor have I derived any advantage from other people." Olympiodorus comments on ov่̉є $\dot{\omega} \varphi \varepsilon ́ \lambda \eta \eta \sigma \varepsilon ́ v ~ \mu \varepsilon ~ o u ̉ d \varepsilon i ́ s: ~$

 "For the prophetic word has not released from sin, therefore of necessity there is need for the redemption by Christ." It is quite clear that two different versions of the Greek text were in use in the early church. But it is also clear that the text with $\dot{\omega} \varphi \dot{\varepsilon} \lambda \eta \sigma \alpha \ldots \dot{\omega} \varphi \dot{\varepsilon} \lambda \eta \eta \sigma \varepsilon v$ is not interpreted as $\dot{\omega} \varphi \varepsilon i \lambda \eta \sigma \alpha \ldots \dot{\omega} \ldots \varphi i \lambda \eta \sigma \varepsilon$ by the early interpreters. Thus the rendering "be of use" in the present translation.
$\mu \varepsilon]$ Gött. has $\mu \mathrm{ot}$.
 tal interpretation of the dative, cf. Chrysostom, who comments on the

 insulted by them and being struck by their myriads of abuses." Theodoret
 óvt $\omega v$ т̀̀ऽ л@о甲 $\eta \tau \varepsilon \dot{i} \alpha \varsigma$ "I only receive curses from those who hear the prophecies."
11. $x \alpha \tau \varepsilon v \vartheta \cup v o ́ v \tau \omega v ~ \alpha v ̉ \tau \tilde{\omega} v]$ For a discussion of the genitive absolute in the Septuagint, see Soisalon-Soininen 1987, 175-180.
$\varepsilon i \mu \eta$ i] is a rendering of אם־לוא, which produces the Hebraism discussed in 2:28, only here it introduces an affirmative oath; cf. CS, $\S 102$. However, the early commentators apparently did not recognize the meaning of the Hebrew original in the translation, but rather took it as a conditional clause. Chrys. fr. in Jer. 64.905 عi $\delta \iota x \alpha i \omega s$ ह̇л $\alpha \varrho \tilde{\omega} v \tau \alpha \iota, \chi v ́-$ @ $\omega \sigma 0 v \alpha v ̉ \tau \tilde{\omega} v \tau \dot{\alpha} \varrho \varrho \eta \mu \alpha \tau \alpha$ "if they curse justly, confirm their words", and
 $\tau \omega v$ ко $\alpha \dot{\zeta} \zeta \varepsilon \sigma \vartheta \propto \iota ~ л \alpha \varrho \varepsilon ́ \sigma \tau \eta v ~ " I ~ d o ~ n o t ~ s a y, ~ h e ~ s a y s, ~ i f ~ I ~ h a v e ~ d e n o u n c e d, ~$ but if I did not stand by their side when they were about to be punished". In Theodoret's comment on the expression it is not clear if he had $\varepsilon i \mu \eta$, thus following the interpretation by Chrysostom, or not: Thdt. Jer. 81.597 व̈ß

says, when they curse, [if] I have [not] often offered supplications to you for them." Thus the literal rendering "if ... not" in the English translation.

عís $\left.\alpha \gamma \alpha \vartheta ̛ \alpha{ }_{\alpha}\right]$ Cf. 14:11.
12. The verse is problematic. Origen comments on a text without $\varepsilon i \gamma v \omega$ $\sigma \vartheta \dot{\eta} \sigma \varepsilon \tau \alpha L$ and it is not clear if Chrysostom and Theodoret comment on a

 I will fortify you with my own help, just like an iron or copper cloth-
 $\mu \eta$ бıঠŋ@oĩs "Do not put your trust, he says, either in copper coverings or in iron coverings". Though the text is not totally certain, it appears that
 oov, which would suggest a translation like "iron and a copper covering is your strength".

However, Olympiodorus has a comment on a text with $\varepsilon i$ i $\gamma v \omega \sigma \vartheta \neq \sigma$ -

 to the people. Even if you were as strong, he says, as iron or as copper, I will be superior to you." Also Chrysostom has another comment on this verse, which seems to be on the text with ei $\gamma v \omega \sigma \vartheta \eta \dot{\eta} \sigma \tau \alpha l$ : Chrys. fr. in


 oovtal "I.e., it has walls without iron, even if the garment is of copper, it will not be recognized that just this is of iron. Such things will resist." Though the interpretation of this comment of Chrysostom is quite tenta-
 together. Thus $\sigma i \delta \eta \varrho o \varsigma$ and $\pi \varepsilon \varrho ß \dot{\prime} \lambda \alpha \iota o v$ are taken together with $\mathfrak{\eta}$ í $\sigma \chi{ }^{\prime} \varsigma$ $\sigma o v$, and not with $\varepsilon i \gamma v \omega \sigma \vartheta \eta \dot{\eta} \sigma \tau \alpha \iota$ in the present translation. Cf. Brenton: "Will iron be known? whereas thy strength is a brazen covering."

عi $\gamma v \omega \sigma \vartheta \nmid \dot{\sigma} \sigma \tau \alpha l]$ seems to be a rendering of הירע (MT). Though a rendering of $\pi$, $\varepsilon i$ looks very much like the Hebraism discussed in 2:28 (cf. v. 11), and it is possible that some reader of the Greek text took it that way. However, Chrysostom and Olympiodorus obviously take it as a conjunction in the comments discussed above. Thus the rendering "if" in the English translation.
13. عis $\ldots \delta \dot{\sigma} \sigma \omega]$ For a discussion of this expression, see 6:27 and 9:11.
 Sollamo 1992, 45.
 $\dot{\varepsilon} \varkappa \varkappa \alpha \nu \tau \alpha \iota ~ i s ~ m o s t ~ l i k e l y ~ a ~ s c r i b a l ~ e r r o r . ~$

 of הנקם, which usually is rendered by "avenge". LSJ gives the meaning "avenge" of $\dot{\alpha} \vartheta \varphi \omega^{\circ} \omega$ with a reference to this verse, while LEH gives the meaning "to take revenge on sb's life on someone else". also with a reference to this verse. LSJ and LEH are most likely right that this was the intention of the translator of Jeremiah, but it is not the way it was understood by the early commentators, who took it in the sense "to let
 $\mu \varepsilon$ "save me from those who persecute me", and Thdt. Jer. $81.597 \mu \eta$
 not deliver me to those who persecute me. For the innocent is set free." Thus the rendering "and let me go unharmed from my pursuers" in the translation.
$\mu \grave{\eta}$ вis $\left.\mu \alpha \varliminf^{\prime} \circ \vartheta v \mu i \alpha v\right]$ is commented upon by Origen: Or. hom. in


 patient with the people and with the sins, but do not be patient with those who are bold against me."

 For the expression غ̇лıx
17. ஷ̉лò л@обஸ́лоv] Cf. 1:8.
ö $\langle\tau \iota\rangle$ Gött. has ötı. $\tau \iota$ is written above the line. ö is most likely a scribal error.
18. îvo ti] Cf. 2:29.
$\gamma ı v o \mu \varepsilon ́ v \eta \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \varepsilon v \eta \dot{\vartheta} \eta]$ is a literal rendering of היו תהיה, i.e. infinitive absolute and finite verb. The figura etymologica of the Hebrew text has been preserved in the Greek translation. The figura etymologica has also been preserved in the translation "has becoming become", since the construction of the present participle with the finite verb is as strange to

Greek as it is to English. For a discussion of the Greek present participle as a rendering of the Hebrew infinitive absolute, see 3:1.
oủx $้$ है $\chi o v \pi i ́ \sigma \tau \iota v]$ is a rendering of לא נאמנו. For a discussion of the rendering ov̉x $ย ้ \chi$ ov $\pi i ́ \sigma \tau \iota v$, see Soisalon-Soininen 1987, 187.
19. A stroke above the line in the MS indicates a new paragraph. Since v. 19 begins at the beginning of the line, there is no space in the text which could confirm the new paragraph. However, the preceding line is shorter than the other lines, indicating a new paragraph. Thus the new paragraph in the text.
$\dot{\varepsilon} \dot{\alpha} v . . . x \alpha i$... xaí] for the construction and the rendering "if ... both ... and", see 4:2.

20. xai $\left.\delta \dot{\omega} \sigma \omega \ldots{ }_{\omega} \ldots\right]$ is a literal rendering of ל ונתתי . . Cf. 6:27. ov̉ $\mu \grave{\eta} \delta u ́ v \omega v \tau \alpha \iota \pi \varrho o ̀ \varsigma ~ \sigma \dot{\varepsilon}]$ appears to be a literal rendering of לאשיוכלו לך. Thus the literal rendering "they will not at all be able against you" in the present translation. For the Hebraistic use of $\delta v v^{v} \alpha \mu \alpha \iota \pi \varrho o ́ s ~ \tau ı v \alpha, ~ c f . ~$ 1:19.
21. $\mathfrak{\varepsilon} \xi \varepsilon \varrho \varepsilon \tau ̃ \sigma \vartheta \alpha \iota]$ Gött. has $\mathfrak{\varepsilon} \xi \alpha \iota \varrho \varepsilon \tau ̃ \sigma \vartheta \alpha \iota$. $\alpha \iota$ is written above the line. $\lambda v \tau \varrho \omega ́ \sigma o \mu \alpha i ́ ~ \sigma \varepsilon]$ Not in Gött.

16:1. The space between $\lambda o \mu \tilde{\omega} v$ and $x \alpha i$ is quite small, but a stroke above the line in the MS confirms the new paragraph.
2. $\left.\gamma \varepsilon v v \eta \vartheta \eta{ }^{\prime} \sigma \varepsilon \tau \alpha \iota\right]$ Gött. has $\gamma \varepsilon v \eta \vartheta \eta \neq \varepsilon \tau \alpha \iota$.
4. $\dot{\varepsilon} v \vartheta \alpha v \alpha \dot{\imath} \uparrow \ldots$... $\dot{\alpha} \pi \sigma \vartheta \alpha v o \tilde{v} v \tau \alpha \iota]$ is a rendering of ימתו. ... The figura etymologica of the Hebrew text is preserved in the Greek translation. "They will die from a death" is an attempt to preserve the figura etymologica in the English translation as well.
 3:23.
$\pi \alpha \varrho \alpha ́ \delta \iota \gamma \mu \alpha]$ Gött. has $\pi \alpha \varrho \alpha ́ \delta \varepsilon ı \gamma \mu \alpha . \varepsilon$ is written above the line.
5. Эcíaoov] Gött. has Yíaoov. Chrysostom comments on the word:



course which they have, both in parties and in sorrow, as comfort to the mourner." And Olympiodorus on the same word: Olymp. fr. Jer. 93.664
 row."
6. xóభovtoul Gött. has xó ${ }^{(\omega v \tau \alpha ı .}$
$\dot{\varepsilon} v \tau о \mu i \delta \alpha \varsigma]$ ह̇vтоиí is a neologism. Thus the "neologism" "incutting" in the English translation.

7. uךт@í] Gött. has ह̉лi $\mu \eta \tau \varrho i ́$.

9. غ̇vต́лtov $\tau \tilde{\omega} v$ ỏ $\varphi \vartheta \uparrow \alpha \lambda \mu \tilde{\omega} v]$ is a rendering of לעיני. For a discussion of the rendering $\dot{\varepsilon} v \omega \dot{\sigma} \iota \circ \vee \tau \tilde{\omega} v o \partial \varphi \vartheta ิ \alpha \lambda \mu \tilde{\omega} v$ and the use of the definite article, see Sollamo 1979, 150, and BDR, §214.6.
 5:19. To begin the apodosis xai ह̇@ is a result of the literal translation of ואמרת, which begins the Hebrew apodosis. Thus the literal rendering "and you will say" in the present translation. For Greek apodosis introduced by $\chi \alpha i$, see 4:2.
$\left.\alpha^{\circ} \pi \alpha \nu \tau \alpha\right]$ Gött. has $\pi \alpha ́ v \tau \alpha$.
$\tau \grave{\alpha} \varrho \varrho \eta \dot{\eta} \mu \alpha \tau \alpha]$ is a rendering of הדברים. For a discussion of the rare rendering $\varrho \tilde{\eta} \mu \alpha$ of דבר, see 1:1.
${ }^{11-13 .} \dot{\alpha} v \vartheta^{\prime} \tilde{\omega} v \ldots$... xai $\left.\dot{\alpha} \pi о \varrho i \psi \omega\right]$ As in v. 10 the apodosis is introduced by raí, and again for the same reason, viz., the literal translation, here of והטלתי. Again the result is very poor Greek. However, here in v. 13 it is also possible to take xai as an adverb. Thus the rendering "I will also throw ... away" in the present translation. For a discussion of the construction, see 7:7.
$\dot{\varepsilon} v \varkappa \alpha \tau \dot{\varepsilon} \lambda ı \pi o v]$ is the spelling of the first example, while the second
 $\gamma$ is written above $\varepsilon$ हैvน

ỏлí $\sigma \omega$ ] Cf. 2:5.
 accusative, see Johannessohn 1910, 45, Johannessohn 1926, 219, and CS, $\$ 94$.
$\pi о \varrho \varepsilon v ่ \varepsilon \varepsilon \sigma \vartheta \varepsilon]$ Ло@عv่є is written one line $\varepsilon \sigma \vartheta \varepsilon$ on the following. This is most likely the reason for the dittography of $\varepsilon$. It should be noted, however, that it cannot be excluded that the second $\varepsilon$ in roozv́ $\varepsilon$ is secondary, although it looks original in the manuscript.

ỏлí $\sigma \omega$ ] Cf. 2:5.

$\tau \eta ่ v \gamma \tilde{\eta} v]$ Gött. has $\gamma \tilde{\eta} v$.
14. ó $\alpha \dot{\alpha} \alpha \gamma \alpha \gamma \dot{\omega} v \ldots$... ös $\dot{\alpha} v \eta \dot{\gamma} \gamma \beta \varepsilon v$ ] appear to be renderings of the same Hebrew expression אשׁר העלה 23:7 and 23:8 the same expression is rendered ös $\dot{\alpha} v \eta \gamma \beta \gamma \varepsilon v$ and ös $\sigma v v \eta \gamma \alpha \gamma \varepsilon v)$. The different renderings are most likely not due to a different Hebrew Vorlage, but rather an example of variation in translation. For a discussion of the Hebrew Vorlage, see McKane 1986, 374-375. For variation between relative clause and participle cf., e.g., Matt. 7:24 $\pi \tilde{\alpha} \varsigma ~ . . . ~ o ̈ \sigma \tau ı \varsigma ~ \alpha ̉ \varkappa o v ́ \varepsilon ı, ~ 7: 26 ~ \pi \tilde{\alpha} \varsigma ~ o ́ ~ \alpha ̉ \varkappa o v ́ \omega v ~$ and Heb. 9:2, $ั \tau \iota \varsigma ~ \lambda \varepsilon ́ \gamma \varepsilon \tau \alpha ı ~ 9: 3 ~ \eta ~ \lambda ~ \lambda \varepsilon \gamma о \mu \varepsilon ́ v \eta . ~$

тoùs vioùs Iซ@aŋ $\lambda \ldots$... tòv oĩxov Iб@aŋ $\lambda$ ] The equivalent for these expressions in MT is את־בני ישׂראל in both verses (cf. 23:7 tòv oĩzov
 (בית ישׂראל)). For a discussion of the relation between the Hebrew text and the Greek translation, see McKane 1986, 374.
15. oṽ ... $\dot{\varepsilon} \nsucc \varepsilon \tilde{\imath}] ~ \dot{\varepsilon} \nsim \varepsilon \tilde{\imath}$ is redundant in Greek, and it has been rendered by the equally redundant "there" in the English translation. For a discussion of the construction, see $7: 12$.
16. $\alpha \lambda \lambda \varepsilon \varepsilon \tilde{\iota} \varsigma]$ For the spelling, see Thackeray 1909, 84. тoùs ло $\lambda \lambda \mathrm{oú} \varsigma]$ Gött. has $\pi 0 \lambda \lambda \mathrm{ov́s}$.
17. $\alpha \pi \varepsilon ́ v \alpha \nu \tau \iota \tau \tilde{\omega} v o ̉ \varphi \vartheta \alpha \lambda \mu \tilde{\omega} v]$ is a rendering of מנגד עיני. For a discussion of the rendering $\dot{\alpha} \pi \varepsilon ́ v \alpha v \tau \iota \tau \tilde{\omega} \nu \dot{o} \varphi \vartheta \alpha \lambda \mu \tilde{\omega} \nu$ and the use of the definite article, see Sollamo 1979, 155.
18. ठı̀̀ л $\alpha \sigma \alpha \varsigma ~ \tau \grave{\alpha} \varsigma ~ x \alpha x i ́ \alpha \varsigma] ~ G o ̈ t t . ~ h a s ~ \delta ı л \lambda \tilde{\alpha} \varsigma ~ \tau \grave{\alpha} \varsigma ~ \alpha ̉ \delta ı x i \alpha \varsigma . ~$

ह̉v тоі̃ऽ $\vartheta v \eta \sigma \mu \alpha i ́ o ı \varsigma ~ \tau \tilde{\omega} v ~ \beta \delta \varepsilon \lambda v \gamma \mu \alpha ́ \tau \omega v \alpha u ̉ \tau \tilde{\omega} v]$ Theodoret comments on the expression: Thdt. Jer. 81.601 $\vartheta v \eta \sigma \mu \alpha i \alpha \alpha ~ \delta \dot{\varepsilon} \tau \tilde{\omega} v \beta \delta \varepsilon \lambda v \gamma \mu \alpha \dot{\tau} \omega v$
 л@обє́ ¢عŋоv "Carcasses of the abominations he calls the sacrifices of sons and daughters, which they offer to the idols."

## 25. The Vanity of Idols and the

Blessing of Trusting in the Lord (16:19-17:10)
For this section it should first be noticed that the verses $1-4$ of BHS are missing in most Greek manuscripts as well as in Vaticanus.

The transition from the previous section is harsh. The present section opens with a confession by Jeremiah that he depends upon the Lord, and that idols are vain. This fact is acknowledged and underlined by the Lord, who also points out the vanity in trusting a human and the blessing of trusting the Lord.

The section contains a rare example of a relative clause with three different verb forms: present, future, and subjunctive. Interesting are also the comments of the early interpreters on the characteristics of the plant discussed in verse 6 .
19. ov́] Not in Gött.

20. $\varepsilon i]$ For concessive $\varepsilon$ i without a concessive particle, see 14:12. Chrysos-
 Erós; "For how is the made God?" or "How can the created be God?" And Olympiodorus on the same verse: Olymp. fr. Jer. 93.665 tò $\tau \tilde{\eta} 5$ èv$\alpha v \vartheta \varrho \omega \pi \eta{ }^{\circ} \sigma \varepsilon \omega \varsigma$ "About the incarnation."
 different verb forms is strange. According to BDR, $\$ 378$, the clause is final, but it is hard to see how the relative clause could have been taken in a final sense. It should be noticed that the relative clause is not totally
 and perhaps the clause rai ... avitoṽ should be taken as an independent clause. Anyhow, the subjunctive $\dot{\alpha} \pi$ oot $\tilde{1}$ is strange, unless the particle $\alpha{ }_{\alpha} v$ is understood. Thus the rendering "will depart" (implying $\alpha \circ v$ ) in the present translation.



 $\delta u v \alpha ́ \mu \varepsilon \iota ~ \alpha u ̉ \tau o u ̃ ~ " A n d ~ h e ~ m a y ~ p u t ~ h i s ~ t r u s t ~ i n ~ h i s ~ s t r e n g t h . " ~ '$



 no fruit, and always grows in deserts, not leading to any tillage, nor being loaded with fruit.—This tree is weak." Olymp. fr. Jer 93.665 toṽ̃o

 scorched even when it is living. It is said to die when rain comes upon it."

$\eta ँ \tau \iota \varsigma]$ For the use of the indefinite relative pronoun instead of the relative pronoun $\eta$, see $\mathrm{BDR}, \$ 293$, CS, $\$ 71$.
7. $\tau \tilde{\omega} \overline{\varkappa \omega}]$ is a rendering of ביהוה. For the definite article with $x u ́ \varrho \iota \varsigma s$, see Introduction.
8. @́íhav aủtoṽ ov̉] Gött. has @ீí̧as aủtoṽ xai oủ.
$\dot{\alpha} \beta \varrho о \chi \varepsilon i ́ \alpha \varsigma]$ Gött. has $\dot{\alpha} \beta \varrho о \chi i ́ \alpha \varsigma$.
 likely is not the noun "man", but a verb with the meaning "to be weak, sick, incurable". The same misinterpretation is found in v. 16.

According to McKane 1986, 397, the comment of Chrysostom could contain a text with a double rendering of אנש, first as "hidden" and then
 xai tís $\gamma v \omega ́ \sigma \varepsilon \tau \alpha \iota ~ \alpha v ̉ \tau o ́ v ; ~ " M a n ~ i s ~ a ~ h e a r t, ~ d e e p ~ a n d ~ h i d d e n, ~ a n d ~ w h o ~$ will know him?" (McKane's translation of Chrysostom "Man is deep and hidden and who can know him" is strange.)

## 26. Ieremias Praises the Lord and His <br> Justice. Sabbath Observance (17:11-17:27)

While it is unclear who is the speaker in the first verse, it is clear that Jeremiah is the speaker of the following seven verses, in which he praises the Lord and prays that he will protect him and punish those who have deserted the Lord. The remaining nine verses are devoted to Sabbath observance. The Lord tells Jeremiah to stand in the gates of Jerusalem and remind the people not to bring any burdens into Jerusalem and not
to work on the day of Sabbath. The Lord also points out the blessings of keeping the Sabbath day holy as well as the consequences of violating it.

The text of the first verse is interesting from both a text-critical and a translation-technical point of view. Though the text of Vaticanus might be secondary, it is still this text that is commented upon by the early commentators, i.e., this is the text that was read in the early church. Further, since the early commentators mostly had no access to the Hebrew Vorlage, they interpreted the text as if it was an original Greek text; thus they supplied what is usually supplied in common Greek expressions (see also v. 26). However, a participle a few verses later makes it clear that this, of course, is no guarantee that the interpreters understood all expressions in the same way. Further, there are a few extremely literal renderings as well as one of the rare examples of a dittography in the text of Vaticanus. Finally, the translator for some unknown reason varies his translation of what most likely were similar expressions in the Vorlage, rendering one into a future form and the other into an imperative.
11. $\varepsilon \varphi \propto \dot{v} v \eta \sigma \varepsilon v]$ Not in Gött. According to Ziegler it is secondary. For a discussion of the passage, see Ziegler 1958, 95, and McKane 1986, 399. Though Ziegler might be right that $\grave{\varepsilon} \varphi \dot{\prime} v \eta \sigma \varepsilon v$ is secondary, the text interpreted by the early commentators is the text with $\dot{\varepsilon} \varphi \dot{v} v \eta \sigma \varepsilon v$, e.g.,


 partridge, so they say, being proud, with his voice he calls for other chicks. Later, when they have realized that they do not belong to him, they desert him."

лоเడ̃v] the nominative лоॉ $\tilde{v}$ forms an anacoluthon. Thus the anacoluthon is marked with a dash in the English translation too. For the not very uncommon anacoluthon consisting of a participle in the nominative case, see BDR, $\$ 466.4$.
 ing "with judgement" in the present translation.

غ̇ $\left.\pi^{\prime} \dot{\varepsilon} \sigma \chi \dot{\alpha} \tau \omega v\right]$ sc. $\mathfrak{\eta} \mu \varepsilon \varrho \tilde{\omega} v$. For the ellipsis of $\dot{\eta} \mu \varepsilon \varrho \tilde{\omega} v$, see BDR, $\$ 241.2$ and Smyth 1956, § 1027b. The ellipsis of $\mathfrak{\eta} \mu \varepsilon \varrho \tilde{\omega} v$ was most likely not the intention of the translator of Jeremiah, but it is very likely that this is what the early readers had in mind. This is actually also what Chrysostom has in his commentary: Chrys. fr. in Jer. 63.917 モ̇xeĩvos xa入દ́баs

 he called, it was only heard: 'in his last days he will be a fool'. I.e., he will be reproached, when he gathers, not what belongs to him, but what belongs to others"'
 line.
 mented on by Olympiodorus: Olymp. fr. Jer. 93.665 oi $\Theta \varepsilon o \tilde{v}$ ả $\varphi \varepsilon \sigma \tau \eta \gamma o ́-$
 $\varepsilon i \varsigma \gamma \tilde{\eta} v \dot{\alpha} \pi \varepsilon \lambda \varepsilon v v_{\sigma \eta}$ "Those who have revolted, he says, will be written, not in heaven, but in earth, in accordance with 'you are earth and you will return to earth."
tòv $\overline{x \nu}]$ is a rendering of אתת־יהוה. For the use of the definite article with xúgıos, see Introduction.
15. $\dot{\varepsilon} \lambda \vartheta \dot{\alpha} \tau \omega$ ] For the form (instead of $\dot{\varepsilon} \lambda \vartheta \vartheta \varepsilon ́ \tau \omega)$, see CS, $\S 18$.
 appears to have taken the participle as a concessive participle inserting the concessive particle xai and paraphrasing it: Chrys. fr in Jer. 64.917
 not exhausted, though I was insulted." Origen, on the other hand, takes it in the sense that a man following the Lord (Jesus) does not become weary, referring to Matt. 11:28 $\delta \varepsilon \tilde{v} \tau \varepsilon \pi \varrho o ́ s ~ \mu \varepsilon \pi \alpha ́ v \tau \varepsilon \varsigma ~ o i ~ x о \pi ı \tilde{\omega} v \tau \varepsilon \varsigma ~ x \alpha i$ $\pi \varepsilon \varphi о \varrho \tau \iota \sigma \mu \varepsilon ́ v o \iota, x \alpha ̉ \gamma \dot{\omega} \dot{\alpha} v \alpha \pi \alpha v ́ \sigma \omega \dot{v} \mu \tilde{\alpha} \varsigma$. Obviously, the participle can be taken in both senses, but the concessive meaning is most likely a bit more far-fetched. Thus the participle is not taken as a concessive participle in the present translation.

ỏлí $\sigma \omega$ ] Cf. 2:5.
ท์ $\mu \varepsilon ́ \varrho \alpha v ~ \alpha ̉ v \vartheta \varrho \omega ́ \pi о v] ~ C f . ~ v . ~ 9 ~$
$\dot{\varepsilon} \pi i \sigma \tau \eta]$ For the form (instead of $\dot{\varepsilon} \pi i \sigma \tau \alpha \sigma \alpha \iota)$, see Thackeray 1909, 217, and CS, $\$ 17$.
17. Olympiodorus comments on this verse: Olymp. fr. Jer 93.665, 668 év

 $\mu$ ot $\tau \dot{\alpha} \dot{\alpha} \mu \alpha \varrho \tau \eta \mu \alpha \tau \alpha$ "In the painful and inquisitorial day of judgement, do not oppose me as a stranger and an adversary, Lord, but sparing me forgive me my sins." Though the adversative interpretation of the partici-
ple is possible, the text of Olympiodorus rather has the character of an exposition, even adding another finite verb. Thus no adversative particle is added in the translation. Cf. Brenton, who added "but", and NETS, which takes it in a temporal sense.
 2:14.
 figura etymologica of the Hebrew Vorlage is preserved in the Greek translation. Thus the figura etymologica in the English translation. The construction of ouvt@i $\beta \omega$ with the double accusative is only found here in the Septuagint and seems to be at least very rare outside the Septuagint too. Thus the rendering "break them a ... breach" in the English translation.
19. $\varepsilon$ ह̀v $\tau \alpha i ̃ \varsigma ~ \pi v ́ \lambda \alpha u \varsigma] ~ G o ̈ t t . ~ h a s ~ \varepsilon ̉ v ~ \pi v ́ \lambda \alpha u s . ~$
$\dot{\varepsilon} v$ aís ... $\dot{\varepsilon} v ~ \alpha u ̉ \tau \alpha i ̃ s] ~ B o t h ~ e x a m p l e s ~ o f ~ e ̀ v ~ \alpha u ̀ t \alpha i ̃ s ~(f o r ~ t h e ~ t h i r d, ~$ see below) are redundant in Greek, and are rendered by the equally redundant "by them" in the English translation. For redundant pronouns in Greek translated from Semitic originals, see 2:6.
 example of this is, of course, a dittography.
20. $\left\langle\dot{\alpha} \nsim 0 v v^{\sigma} \alpha \tau \varepsilon\right\rangle$ is written in the margin.
tòv $\lambda o ́ \gamma o v]$ Gött. has $\lambda$ ó ${ }^{\prime}$ ov.
'Iovסגí $\alpha]$ is a rendering of יהודה. For a discussion of the renderings of יהודה in Jeremiah, see McLean 1997, 71-72, and 79-80.

 the confusion between $\dot{\varepsilon} \chi$ - and $\varepsilon i \sigma$ - is due to their similarity, especially
 27.

тoĩs лúخaıs] is a rendering of בשׁערי. The same Hebrew expression with a verb of motion is rendered by $\delta \iota \dot{\alpha} \tau \tilde{\omega} v \pi v \lambda \tilde{\omega} v$ in v. 24 and v. 25,

22. $\pi \tilde{\alpha} v \ldots$... oủ] is a rendering of כל ... לא. According to BDR, $\$ 302.1$ this construction is rare outside Biblical Greek. Thus the literal translation "not $\ldots$ every". Cf. CS, $\S 88$, and Tabachovitz 1956, 87-91.
ov̉ $\pi o เ \eta \dot{\eta \varepsilon \tau \varepsilon] \text { is a rendering of לא תעשו．} 2 \text { ．None of the imperatives in this }}$ verse are translations of Hebrew imperatives，and it is hard to see why the translator chose to translate this imperfect form into a future and the previous imperfect ולא־תוציאו into the imperative xai $\mu \grave{\eta}$ モ̉ $x \varphi \varepsilon ́ \varrho \varepsilon \tau \varepsilon$ ．If he preferred the aorist tense，he could have used the prohibitive subjunctive as he does in 5：10 and 51：4（MT has jussive in these examples）．For the use of future tense instead of imperative，see BDR，$\S 362$ and Thackeray 1909， 194.

عैน入દเvav тò oṽ̃ऽ］Cf．25：4．
 accusative，see Johannessohn 1910，45，Johannessohn 1926，219，and CS， $\$ 94$ ．
 tion，see 5：19．
 construction found in Gött．，see 3：1．
$\delta \iota \grave{\alpha} \tau \tilde{\omega} v \pi v \lambda \tilde{\omega} v]$ Cf．v． 21.
то $\tilde{v} \mu \grave{\eta} \ldots \pi \tilde{\alpha} v]$ is a literal rendering of לבלתי ．．．כל．ל translation＂so that $\ldots$ not $\ldots$ every＂．For the construction $\mu \grave{\eta} \ldots \pi \tilde{\alpha} \varsigma$ ，see v． 22.

25．xai $\varepsilon i \sigma \varepsilon \lambda \varepsilon v ́ \sigma o v \tau \alpha \iota]$ introduces the apodosis corresponding to the protasis introduced by $\varepsilon \dot{\varepsilon} \alpha ̀ v \varepsilon i \sigma \alpha x o v ́ \sigma \eta \tau \varepsilon$ in verse 24 ．For the rendering ＂also＂of the xaí introducing the apodosis，see 7：7．
$\delta \iota \alpha ̀ \tau \tilde{\omega} v \pi v \lambda \tilde{\omega} v]$ Cf．v． 21.
 same phrase is rendered by $\mathfrak{\varepsilon} \varphi \varphi^{\prime} \alpha \varrho \mu \alpha ́ \tau \omega v x \alpha i$ í $1 \pi \tau \omega v$ in 22：4．
ïллоเऽ $\alpha v ๋ \tau \tilde{\omega} v]$ Gött．has ïллоเร．

26．$\chi \cup x \lambda$ óvョv］For the use of $x \cup x \lambda$ óvยv as a preposition，see $\mathrm{CS}, \S 97 \mathrm{~h}$ ．
Bevı $\alpha \mu \varepsilon ı v]$ Gött．has Bevı $\alpha \mu ı v$ ．
$\gamma \tilde{\eta} \varsigma \pi \varepsilon \delta \iota v \tilde{\eta} \varsigma]$ Gött．has $\tau \tilde{\eta} \varsigma \pi \varepsilon \delta \iota v \tilde{\eta} \varsigma$ ．According to Ziegler 1958，44，$\gamma \tilde{\eta} \varsigma$ is a scribal error for $\tau \tilde{\eta} \varsigma$ ．
$\dot{\varepsilon} \chi \tau \tilde{\eta} \varsigma \pi \varrho o ̀ s ~ v o ́ \tau o v]$ For the common ellipsis of $\gamma \tilde{\eta} \varsigma$ ，see $\mathrm{CS}, \S 45, \mathrm{BDR}$ ， $\$ 241.1$ and Smyth 1956，$\$ 1027$ b．Hence the rendering＂the land towards the south＂．

Эvoíav raì $\vartheta v \mu \mu \alpha ́ \mu \alpha \tau \alpha]$ Gött. has $\vartheta v{ }^{\prime} \mu \alpha \tau \alpha$, which is a conjecture by Ziegler. For a discussion of the text, see Ziegler 1958, 103-104, and McKane 1986, 415.
$\mu \alpha v v \alpha]$ Gött has $\mu \alpha v \alpha \alpha$. Cf. 48:5. $\mu \alpha v v \alpha$ appears to be a rendering of the Hebrew word מנחה "offering". It is not possible to know what the translator or the later readers could have understood by $\mu \alpha v v \alpha$ in this context, but it should be noticed that there are no discussions of the expression in the early commentaries and no variant renderings in any manuscripts.
27. $\delta \alpha \dot{\alpha} \tau \tilde{\omega} v \pi \nu \lambda \tilde{\omega} v]$ Cf. v. 21.
 5:19. xai ỏvó $\psi \omega$ introduces the apodosis corresponding to the protasis
 introducing the apodosis, see v . 24 and 7:7.

## 27. Ieremias at the Potter's. Ieremias Accusing the People. Ieremias Crushing a Jar. Ieremias and Paskōr (18:1-20:6)

The frames of the following section are two tasks assigned to Jeremiah by the Lord, and the imprisonment of Jeremiah. Each task has its own significance, the first for Jeremiah and the second for the people. The first task, which is also the first paragraph of the present section, is perhaps one of the most well-known pericopes in the book of Jeremiah. The Lord tells Jeremiah to go to the potter's. The significance of this task is that the Lord can do with the people what the potter can do with his clay, i.e., if he is not satisfied with the vessel he has produced he can use the clay to make another one. The Lord goes on to declare that even if he has decided to do good or evil to a nation, he will change his mind if that nation turns around either from good to bad or from bad to good. Then the Lord tells Jeremiah to say to the people that he has decided evil against the people and he urges them to turn around, but the people declares that they will not do so. Thus the evil consequence of the refusal to turn around is as inevitable as the laws of nature. Instead of turning around, the people decides to silence Jeremiah, who cries to the Lord for help and reminds the Lord that he spoke good on behalf of the people. Jeremiah also asks the Lord not to forget the sins of the people, but to punish them severely.

The Lord assigns the second task to Jeremiah, who is told to get an earthen jar and take some of the elders and some of the priests to the
common burial ground and repeat to the people what he said before, that the Lord has decided evil against them, because of their iniquity, their apostasy, and their idolatry. Therefore, the people will be handed over to their enemies and slaughtered and turned into food for birds and beasts. The city will be broken down and turned into an example for all to see. Now Jeremiah is told to crush the jar before the people and tell them that the Lord will crush the city and people in the same way and turn them into a burial place, because of their idolatry.

Then Jeremiah repeats the prophecy to the people in the court of the house of the Lord.

For the prophecy in the court of the house of the Lord, Jeremiah is arrested by the chief of the house of the Lord, Paskōr, and put in some kind of restraint. When he is released from the restraint, he tells Paskōr that he and his friends will personally be punished in the same way as the rest of the people, and be brought to Babylon. It should be noted that this is the first, but by no means the last, time that Babylon is mentioned in the text.

As expected this quite long section contains a number of interesting linguistic features beside the usual literal renderings of the Hebrew text. In 18:4 there is another example of variation in spelling in one and the same verse. In 18:7, 9 the expression $\pi \varepsilon ́ \varrho \alpha \varsigma$ seems to have a meaning different from what is usually attributed to it. Interestingly enough, Origen has a discussion of the expression in his commentary of the passage. The comment by Origen is also discussed in a note by Nautin in his French translation of Origen. In the present translation the interpretation by Origen is followed. In 18:7-10 the mode of some verbs is unclear and presupposes the supplementation of conjunctions. However, the supplementation is supported by the early interpreters, who presuppose the conjunctions in their commentaries. Another example where an early interpreter is followed is 19:7. There Olympiodoros gives the meaning "council" of ßou $\dot{\eta}$ rather than "counsel". A small detail that still should be noted is the use of a comparative form (18:11), though there are no comparative forms in Hebrew. Given the fact that Jeremiah is a very literal translation, the use of comparative forms can most likely tell us something of the competence of the translator. There are also two unique readings in Vaticanus, 18:20 and 19:5, of which the first adds the relative pronoun $\ddot{\alpha}$, while in the latter the same pronoun is missing. Perhaps this is just a coincidence, but being quite close it could also be possible that someone added the missing pronoun in the wrong place in some earlier manuscript. Interesting is the rendering $\varkappa \alpha \tau \alpha \varrho \alpha ́ \varkappa \tau \eta 5$ of מהפכת, which is
only found in Jeremiah. The word $\varkappa \alpha \tau \alpha \varrho \alpha ́ \varkappa \tau \eta 5$, waterfall, could hardly have made any sense to a reader of the Greek text.

3-4. aủtós] For aủtós used as a personal pronoun, see BDR, \$277.3, and CS, $\$ 13$.

$\dot{\alpha} \gamma \gamma \mathrm{I} 0 v]$ Gött. has $\dot{\alpha} \gamma \gamma \varepsilon \tilde{0} 0 v . \varepsilon$ is written above the line. It should be noted that $\alpha \gamma \gamma \tilde{0} o v$ is the spelling of the first example of this word in this verse in Vaticanus, while in the second example it is spelled $\dot{\alpha} \gamma \gamma \varepsilon \tilde{0} o v$.
 avtiov appears to be at least very rare outside the Septuagint and texts related to the Septuagint. Thus the literal rendering "seemed good before" in the English translation. For a discussion of the rendering $\varepsilon$ हैvóstov of בעיני, see Sollamo 1979, 130, and BDR, §214.6.

лоıŋ̃б人ı] Gött. has тoṽ лoıๆ̃ $\sigma \alpha$.
5. A stroke above the line in the MS indicates a new paragraph. Since v . 5 begins at the beginning of the line, there is no space in the text which could confirm the new paragraph.
6. $\varepsilon$ i] is a rendering of the Hebrew interrogative particle $\boldsymbol{\pi}$. According to $\mathrm{BDR}, \$ 440.3$ the use of $\varepsilon i$ to introduce a direct question is a Hebraism, cf. CS, $\$ 100$. See also 2:28. Thus the literal rendering "if" in the English translation.

лоוŋ̄боı $\cup\{\tilde{\mu} \varsigma]$ For a discussion of лoıź $\omega$ with accusative, see Helbing, 1928, 3-5.

ह̇бтоı] Gött. has $\mathfrak{\varepsilon} \sigma \tau \varepsilon$.
таĩs $\chi$ عœoiv] Gött. has $\chi$ £œбí.
7. лદ́@аऽ] The meaning of $\pi \varepsilon ́ \varrho \alpha \varsigma$ is problematic. лغ́@as seems to be a rendering of רגע, which usually is rendered by "moment". This meaning is not attested for лغ́@as, which usually is rendered by "limit, end, boundary". LEH suggests "at last" for this verse. LSJ gives, among several others, the translation "final decision", which would make good sense here, but the only reference given by LSJ is the orator Dinarchus living in the IV/III century вс.

Chrysostom comments on the verse: Chrys. fr. in Jer. $64.920 \mu \eta \dot{\eta} \gamma \dot{\alpha} \varrho$
 not have to be fulfilled, does it?" Perhaps the $\alpha \ddot{\alpha} \pi \xi$ is an interpretation
of $\pi \dot{\varepsilon} \propto \alpha \varsigma$. Theodoret, on the other hand, comments: Thdt. 81.608 Jer.
 "For even if I will condemn a nation and threat it with a final threat ...". Perhaps $\dot{\varepsilon} \sigma \chi \alpha ́ \tau \eta \nu$ is an interpretation of $\pi \varepsilon ́ \varrho \alpha \varsigma$, but as in the previous example the interpretation might be taken from the context.

Origen discusses the verse and the expression лغ́@as: Or. hom. in





 will announce about a nation or even about a kingdom. tò лદ́@as seems to have been spoken simply, but it has been spoken like this. In the 'I will announce about a nation or about a kingdom' tò лモ́ $\varrho \alpha \varsigma$ is like this: 'I will break' is called the determination to the first nation, to the second nation 'I will rebuild you'. And again 'I will pluck up' it is called to the first ones and 'I will plant' to the second ones. Therefore, since the determination has been spoken, does the determination have to be fulfilled?" Then follows a discussion about the possibility that God changes his mind. Nautin 1977, 194-195, comments on his French translation in a note on лદ́gas: "Si je comprends bien, Origène veut dire ceci: le mot лદ́gas, «enfin», nest pas à prendre comme une expression banale, mais il a un sens fort, il signifie que Dieu assigne comme fin à la première nation la destruction et à la seconde la reconstruction. En somme, dans la phrase $\pi \varepsilon ́ \varrho \alpha \varsigma ~ \lambda \alpha \lambda \eta \dot{\eta} \sigma \omega$... $\tau 0 \tilde{v}$ ह̇ $\xi \tilde{\alpha} \varrho \alpha \iota ~ \alpha u ̉ \tau o u ́ s, ~ O r i g e ̀ n e ~ n o u s ~ i n v i t e ~ a ̀ ~$ considérer $\pi$ ह́oas non comme une expression adverbiale mais comme le complément dobjet du verbe $\lambda \alpha \lambda \eta \dot{\sigma} \sigma \omega:$ «Je parlerai d'une fin ... (qui sera) de les détruire». There seems to be good reason to believe that Origen's interpretation of $\pi \varepsilon \varrho \alpha s$ is the same as the interpretation by the translator of Jeremiah, and anyhow this is a possible interpretation by an early reader of the present text, and thus it is also the interpretation adopted in the present English translation.
 $\lambda \alpha \lambda \eta \dot{\eta} \omega$ can be either future or subjunctive. غ̇л兀бт@a¢ñ and лоюŋ́ $\sigma \omega \sigma \iota$, on the other hand, are subjunctive. However, subjunctive in a main clause is quite out of place here, and a conjunction has to be supplied. Some commentators supply the conjunction દ̇óv, e.g., Chrysostom in

if they change their mind about the evil ...", and Origen in Or. hom. in
 "and if that nation turns back from their evil ...". Other commentators instead supply a conjunction before $\lambda \alpha \lambda \eta \eta \sigma \omega$, taking it either as a future,
 ..." or as a subjunctive, so Olympiodorus: Olymp. fr. Jer. 93.668 घ̇ब̀ $v$ $\dot{\alpha} \pi о р \alpha i v \omega \mu \alpha 1$ "If I appear." For the present translation $\lambda \alpha \lambda \eta \dot{\eta} \sigma \omega$ is twice taken as future, غ̇лाஎт@ $\alpha \varphi \tilde{\eta}$ and лоוŋ́ $\sigma \omega \sigma \iota v$ are taken as subjunctive and $\dot{\varepsilon} \dot{\alpha} v$ is supplied to $\dot{\varepsilon} \pi \iota \sigma \tau \varrho \alpha \varphi \tilde{n}$ and $\pi o เ \eta{ }^{\prime} \sigma \omega \sigma \iota$. Thus "if" is supplied in the English translation, but put within brackets.
 xаi $\mu \varepsilon \tau \alpha v о \eta \sigma \omega$ introduces the apodosis. For $x \alpha i$ introducing the apodosis, see 7:7. x $\alpha$ í is here taken as an adverb. This is also the interpretation by Chrysostom: Chrys. exp. in Ps. $55.470 \mu \varepsilon \tau \alpha v o \eta \sigma \omega \chi \alpha ̉ \gamma \omega$ ' "I too will change my mind".
$\pi \alpha ́ v \tau \omega v \tau \tilde{\omega} v \varkappa \alpha x \tilde{\omega} v]$ Gött. has $\tau \tilde{\omega} v \varkappa \alpha x \tilde{\omega} v$.
9. x $\alpha$ ì $\pi \dot{\varrho} \varrho \alpha \varsigma ~ \lambda \alpha \lambda \eta \dot{\eta} \sigma$ ] Olympiodorus comments on the expression:
 7.
$\beta \alpha \sigma ı \lambda \varepsilon i ́ \alpha v]$ Gött. has $̇$ ह̉лi $\beta \alpha \sigma ı \lambda \varepsilon i ́ \alpha v$.
10. $\varepsilon$ हैvavtiov $\mu \mathrm{ov}$ ] is a rendering of בציני. For a discussion of $\dot{\varepsilon} v \alpha v \tau i o v$, see 7:30.
 figura etymologica in the Hebrew text is preserved in the Greek translation. "I am planning a plan" is an attempt to preserve it in the English translation too.
$\alpha \dot{\alpha} \sigma о \sigma \tau \varrho \varrho \varphi \dot{\eta} \tau \omega \delta \dot{\eta}]$ For a discussion of the construction and the rendering "indeed", see 5:21.
$x \alpha \lambda \lambda$ íova $\pi о ı \eta \sigma \varepsilon \tau \varepsilon]$ is a rather free, but quite idiomatic rendering of היטיבו. This comparative $x \alpha \lambda \lambda$ íova is the only comparative in LXX of $x \alpha \lambda$ ós. Usually the root טוב is rendered by some construction with $\beta \varepsilon \lambda \tau i \omega v$ or $x \varrho \varepsilon i ́ \tau \tau \omega \nu$ (in Jeremiah only $\beta \varepsilon \lambda \tau i \omega \nu$ (33:13 and 42:15)). For the use of comparative forms in the LXX, though there are no comparative forms in Hebrew, see Thackeray 1908, 181.
12. ỏ $\pi i ́ \sigma \omega]$ Cf. 2:5.
13. A stroke above the line in the MS indicates a new paragraph. Since v. 13 begins at the beginning of the line, there is no space within the text which could confirm the new paragraph. However, the preceding line is shorter than the other lines, indicating a new paragraph. Thus the new paragraph in the text.
$\dot{\varepsilon} \varrho \omega \tau \eta \dot{\eta} \sigma \tau \varepsilon \delta \dot{\eta}]$ For a discussion of the construction and the rendering "indeed", see 5:21.
14. $\dot{\varepsilon} \chi \lambda i ́ \psi o v \sigma ı v]$ Gött. has $\dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \lambda \varepsilon$ 'íభovoıv. $\varepsilon$ is written above the line.
$\mu \alpha \sigma \tau o i]$ appears to be a rendering of ששדי שׂדי breast", while MT has "field". The interpretation of $\mu \alpha \sigma \tau o i$ commentators some problem. Chrysostom interprets it as a proper noun:
 "Some mountain height was a rock called Breasts." According to Theo-
 springs, he says, pour forth unceasingly", and Olympiodorus: Olymp. fr.

 the nourishing word ... the breasts are apprehended, both the New and the Old Testament." Though the interpretation varies considerably between the early commentators, the meaning "breast" of $\mu \alpha \sigma \tau o$ appears to be accepted. For a discussion of the problematic Hebrew text, see McKane 1986, 429-432.
$\chi \varepsilon เ \omega ́ v]$ Gött. has $\chi \iota \omega ́ v$.


 $\nu \eta \nu \tau \varrho i \beta o v$ ód $\varepsilon v ́ \varepsilon \iota$ "The water brought by violence follows a worn track", and Olympiodorus: Olymp. fr. Jer. 93.668 ov̉x $\operatorname{\varepsilon i\sigma iv~\varepsilon ̇v~\tau \tilde {\varrho }\delta \varepsilon ~\tau \tilde {\omega }\beta í\omega x\lambda v-~}$
 are tossed about by waves in this life, just like water brought by a violent wind, are they not?" For a discussion of the relation to the Hebrew text, see McKane 1986, 431.
 $\alpha i \omega v i o u s ~ s e e m ~ t o ~ b e ~ t h e ~ o b j e c t ~ o f ~ \alpha \sigma \sigma \vartheta \varepsilon v \eta ं \sigma o v \sigma v, ~ w h i c h ~ c o n s e q u e n t l y ~$ has a transitive meaning here. The transitive meaning of $\dot{\alpha} \sigma \vartheta \varepsilon v \eta \dot{\eta} \sigma v \sigma v$ seems to be restricted the Septuagint and texts related to the Septuagint. For the transitive meaning of $\dot{\alpha} \sigma \vartheta \varepsilon v \varepsilon ́ \omega$, see Muraoka 2002, 71. Many MSS, and the commentaries commenting upon the verse, have o ooivoıs
 common intransitive sense. This also appears to be the meaning of MT. On the meaning of $\sigma$ रoivous Chrysostom comments: Chrys. fr. in Jer. 64.921 тоvт $\varepsilon$ бтı, $\tau \tilde{\eta} \varsigma \gamma \tilde{\eta} \varsigma \tau \eta े v ~ \varkappa \lambda \eta \varrho o v o \mu i \alpha v$ "I.e., the inheritance of the land."

 סלולה, or a rendering of a different Hebrew text. Anyhow the expression ódòv عis ro@ziov seems to have no parallels, and thus the quite literal rendering in the English translation. Chrysostom seems to have another

 "They travelled such a way, impassable and rough". For a discussion of the gender of teíßous, see Ziegler 1958, 44, and Thackeray 1909, 146.
16. dıód is written above the line.
 $\tau \eta \geqslant v x \varepsilon \varphi \alpha \lambda \grave{\eta} v \alpha u ̉ \tau \tilde{\omega} v]$ For the singular $\varkappa \varepsilon \varphi \alpha \lambda \eta \dot{v}, \mathrm{cf}$. 14:4.
18. $\lambda$ оүเб́́ $\mu \varepsilon \vartheta \vartheta \alpha$... $\lambda \sigma \gamma \iota \sigma \mu o ́ v]$ Cf. v. 11.
$\pi \alpha \tau \dot{\alpha} \xi \omega \mu \varepsilon v \alpha u ̉ \tau o ̀ v ~ \varepsilon ُ v \gamma \lambda \lambda \dot{\sigma} \sigma \sigma \emptyset]$ Theodoret comments on the expres-
 trive a sycophancy against him."
20. $\varepsilon$ i] seems to be a rendering of the interrogative particle $n$. For $\varepsilon$ i introducing direct questions, see v. 6 .
 relation to MT and to v. 22, see McKane 1986, 439. For @ $\emptyset \dot{\eta} \mu \tau \alpha$, cf. 1:1.

 prets the sense of the clause "And they have concealed their snares to trap me". Chrysostom comments on the clause: Chrys.fr. in Jer. 64.924 тovté-
 punishment they came [to him] as friends." And Olympiodorus: Olymp.

 tongue, they secretly devised the destruction against me." Thus the literal rendering "punishment" of жó $\lambda \alpha \sigma v$.
xat̀̀ л＠óб由лóv oov］is a literal rendering of לפניך，but since $x \alpha \tau \grave{\alpha}$ $\pi \varrho o ́ \sigma \omega \pi o ́ v ~ \sigma o v ~ i s ~ a l s o ~ a ~ c o m m o n ~ G r e e k ~ e x p r e s s i o n ~ i t ~ i s ~ r e n d e r e d ~ b y ~$ ＂before＂in the English translation．
 in Vaticanus and has no equivalent in MT．The clause might look like a relative clause with an incorporated antecedent，but this could hardly have been the intention of the translator of Jeremiah．Thus it seems that the reading of Vaticanus is secondary．For incorporated antecedent，see BDR，$\$ 294.5$ ．

21．$\lambda \varepsilon \mu o ́ v]$ Gött．has $\lambda \mu$ óv．
عis $\chi \varepsilon ⿺ 𠃊 \varrho \alpha \varsigma \mu \alpha \chi \alpha i \varrho \alpha \varsigma]$ is a rendering of על־ידי־חרב．For a discussion of the rendering $\varepsilon i \varsigma ~ \chi \varepsilon \tau ̃ \varrho \alpha \varsigma ~ \mu \alpha \chi \alpha i \varrho \alpha \varsigma ~ a n d ~ t h e ~ p e r s o n i f i c a t i o n ~ o f ~ \mu \alpha \chi \alpha i \varrho \alpha, ~$ see Sollamo 1979， 208.

22．oixcíaıऽ］Gött．has oixioıs．
 20，see，McKane 1986， 439.

бv́v $\lambda \eta \mu \not\langle i v$ ］Gött．has $\sigma v ́ \lambda \lambda \eta \mu \psi i v . \lambda$ is written above the line．
23．$\alpha \vartheta \sigma \omega \dot{\eta} \varsigma]$ seems to be the original reading and $\alpha \vartheta \omega \omega \dot{\eta} \eta s$ ，which is found in Gött．，seems to be a correction by a later hand．

ஷ̉лò л＠обஸ́лоv］Cf．1：8．
лoí nicht nur für בְּ，sondern auch für eintritt，so dürfte es griechisch möglich sein und bezeichnet die Person，an der die Tätigkeit ausgeübt wird＂．Thus the rendering＂deal with them＂in the English translation．

19：1．A stroke above the line in the MS indicates a new paragraph．Since v． 1 begins at the beginning of the line，there is no space in the text which could confirm the new paragraph．

七ó $\tau \varepsilon$ عĩjev $\overline{x \varsigma}$ ］seems to be a rendering of כה אמר יהוה，which usually is rendered by $\tau \alpha \dot{\alpha} \varepsilon \lambda \varepsilon \dot{\varepsilon} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}$ xúgıos in the first part of Jeremiah and by oút $\tau \varsigma$ عĩлev xúgıos in the second part．19：1 is the only example in Jeremiah where כה in rendered by tóte．BHS suggests אi veferring of to $\tau o ́ \tau \varepsilon$ of the Septuagint．Ziegler 1958，22，notes that $\tau$ ó $\tau \varepsilon$ most likely is not an error for $\tau \alpha \dot{\alpha} \delta \varepsilon$ ，since $\tau \alpha \dot{\alpha} \delta \varepsilon$ always is followed by $\lambda \varepsilon \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \varepsilon \iota$ and not $\varepsilon і ̃ \pi \varepsilon v$ ．See，however，$\tau \alpha ́ \delta \varepsilon \varepsilon \frac{\tilde{\pi}}{\tau \varepsilon v \overline{x \varsigma} \text { in 30：13，where Ziegler has } \tau \alpha ́ \delta \varepsilon \lambda \varepsilon ́ \gamma \varepsilon \iota ~}$ xú＠ıs．For a detailed discussion of the different renderings of כה אמר יהוה in the Septuagint，see Tov 1976，56－58．
$\beta \pi \not \approx o v]$ is a rendering of בקבק "wine-jar". This Semitic loan word $\beta \pi \approx \nsim$ § is found already in Herodotus and Xenophon.
$\tau \tilde{\omega} v \pi \varrho \varepsilon \sigma \beta v \tau \varepsilon ́ \varrho \omega v]$ is a rendering of זקני. Cf. 6:11.
2. ло $\lambda v \alpha v \delta \varrho \varepsilon \tau ̃ \sigma]$ For a discussion of $\pi \sigma \lambda v \alpha v \delta \varrho \varepsilon \tau ̃ v$, see 2:23.
$\tau \tilde{\omega} v \tau \varepsilon ́ \varkappa v \omega v$ ] According to Ziegler 1958, 22, $\tau \tilde{\omega} v \tau \varepsilon ́ \chi v \omega v$ is a rendering of בניהם or בני בניהם, which was in the Vorlage of the translator (a corruption of בן־הנם), while vi$\tilde{\omega} v$ is a later addition causing the doublet.
$\tau \tilde{\omega} v \pi \varrho o \vartheta v ์ \varrho \omega v]$ Cf. 1:15.
$\vartheta \alpha \varrho \sigma \varepsilon \iota \varsigma]$ Gött. has $\chi \propto \varrho \sigma เ \vartheta$. The accent in the MS is $\vartheta \alpha \varrho \sigma \varepsilon \tilde{\imath}$. For the various renderings of חרסית, see the apparatus criticus of Gött.


tòv $\lambda o ́ \gamma o v$ ] Gött. has $\lambda$ ó $\gamma o v$, which is a conjecture by Ziegler.
$\dot{\varepsilon} v]$ is written above the line.
$\pi \alpha v \tau \grave{o} \varsigma ~ \alpha ̉ \varkappa o v ́ o v \tau o \varsigma ~ \alpha u ̉ \tau \alpha ́] ~ i s ~ a ~ l i t e r a l ~ r e n d e r i n g ~ o f ~ כ ל ־ ש מ ע ה . ~ \pi \alpha v \tau o ̀ s ~$
 or perhaps as a misuse of the genitive absolute, though it is probable that neither was the intention of the translator and he only translated literally. Thus the literal rendering in the English translation. For a discussion of the genitive absolute in the Septuagint, see Soisalon-Soininen 1987, 175180 .
5. $\tau \tilde{\eta} \mathrm{B} \alpha \alpha \lambda$ ] Gött. has $\tau \tilde{\eta} \varsigma \mathrm{B} \alpha \alpha \lambda$. For the feminine article and its translation, see 2:8.

лv@í ov̉x] Gött. has $\pi v \varrho i ́, ~ \alpha ٌ ~ o v ̉ x . ~ T h e ~ r e l a t i v e ~ p r o n o u n ~ i s ~ o n l y ~ m i s s i n g ~$ in Vaticanus.
6. $\varepsilon ้ \tau \iota]$ is written above the line.

סıג́лtcoıs] is a rendering of תפת. There are eight examples of תפת in MT of Jeremiah. Seven are rendered in LXX: Tapعখ 7:31, 32 bis; סıáл $\tau \omega-$ бıऽ 19:6; тท̀v ठıалі́лтоvбаv 19:12; ó ठı $\alpha \pi i ́ \pi \tau \omega v ~ 19: 13 ; ~ \tau \tilde{\eta} \varsigma ~ \delta ı \alpha \pi \tau \omega ́ \sigma \varepsilon \omega \varsigma ~$ 19:14. Chrysostom comments on the expression in 19:13: Chrys. fr. in Jer.
 "I.e., where the barbarians fell, when they once came upon the city."
$\pi о \lambda v \alpha v \delta \varrho \varepsilon \tilde{\imath} \circ v]$ For a discussion of $\pi 0 \lambda v \alpha v \delta \varrho \varepsilon \tilde{\varepsilon} \sigma v$, see 2:23.
7. $\tau \eta \geqslant \sim \operatorname{lov} \lambda \eta v$ ] could be either the counsel or the council. MT has ,עצה, which is usually rendered by "counsel". Olympiodorus comments
on the expression: Olymp. Jer. 93.669 ảvti toṽ, toùs ßoùعuбaú̇vovs
 Thus, following Olympiodorus, in the present translation $\tau \eta \geqslant$ ßov $\lambda \eta \eta_{v}$ is rendered by "the council".
$\dot{\varepsilon} v \chi \varepsilon \varrho \sigma i v]$ is a literal rendering of ביד. For the Hebraizing use of $\dot{\varepsilon} v$


$\delta \dot{\omega} \sigma \omega$... $\varepsilon i \varsigma]$ For a discussion of this expression, see 6:27 and 9:11.
8. xató $\xi \omega]$ Gött. has $\varkappa \alpha \tau \alpha \tau \dot{\alpha} \xi \omega$, which is a conjecture by Ziegler, who refers to Job 7:12; 35:10. Rahlfs, following several other manuscripts, has $\tau \alpha \dot{\xi} \omega . \varkappa \alpha \tau \alpha \dot{\xi} \omega$ can be future of both $\varkappa \alpha \tau \alpha ́ \gamma \omega$ and $\varkappa \alpha \tau \alpha \dot{\gamma v v \mu}$. $\varkappa \alpha \tau \alpha \dot{-}$ $\gamma v v \mu$ appears to make better sense and is chosen for the present translation.

10. бuvт@عí $\psi \varepsilon ı \varsigma]$ Gött. has $\sigma u v \tau \varrho i \psi \varepsilon ı \varsigma . ~$
 expression, see Sollamo 1979, 147-149, 331-332, who claims that the expression is no Hebraism. She gives classical parallels but also notices that the expression is not found in her comparative material of early Koine Greek. Cf. Gal. 3:1.
11. $\sigma v v \tau \varrho \varepsilon i \psi \omega]$ Gött. has ouvт@i $\psi \omega$.

őtı] Gött. has êtu.
12. $\tau \grave{\imath} v \delta \iota \alpha \pi i л \tau o v \sigma \alpha v]$ Cf. v. 6.
13. ó סıaлíлt $\omega v$ ] Cf. v. 6.

oixzious] Gött. has oixious.
14. $\tau \tilde{\varsigma} \varsigma \delta ı \alpha \tau \tau \omega \dot{\sigma} \omega \varsigma]$ Cf. v. 6.
 equally redundant "there" in the English translation. For a discussion of the construction, see 7:12.
15. رаì દ̇лì $\pi \alpha ́ \sigma \alpha \varsigma ~ \tau \grave{\alpha} \varsigma ~ \pi o ́ \lambda ı \varsigma ~ \alpha u ̉ \tau \tilde{\eta} \varsigma] ~ i s ~ n o t ~ f o u n d ~ i n ~ G o ̈ t t . ~ A c c o r d i n g ~$ to Ziegler 1958, 99, it is a later insertion as a more precise rendering of ועל־כל־עריה. An $\varepsilon$ is written above $\pi o ́ \lambda ı \varsigma ~ i n ~ t h e ~ M S . ~$
 seems to be at least a very rare construction. Thus the literal rendering in the English translation.
 Iєœءцíov л@орŋтєv́ovtos should most likely not be taken as a genitive
 be taken as a parenthesis. For a discussion of the genitive absolute in the Septuagint, see Soisalon-Soininen 1987, 175-180.
2. $x \alpha \tau \alpha \varrho \alpha ́ x \tau \eta v]$ Gött. has xата@@а́ $x \tau \eta v$. The rendering $x \alpha \tau \alpha \varrho \alpha ́ x \tau \eta \varsigma$ of is only found in Jeremiah. According to LEH, who quote LSJ, $x \alpha \tau \alpha \varrho(\varrho) \alpha ́ x \tau \eta \zeta$ is "some kind of punitive restraint (whether dungeon, stocks, or other means)". Chrysostom, Theodoret and Olympiodorus

 named it after its appearance". Thdt. Jer. 81.613 ข́ло́үєьov $\delta \varepsilon ́ ~ \tau ı v \alpha ~ \tau o ́ л о v ~$
 $\mu \eta \vartheta \varepsilon i \varsigma ~ v \varepsilon \omega \dot{\omega}$ "He talks about an underground place. The temple built by Solomon had many such rooms." Olymp. fr. Jer. 93.669 ẻv idıácovtı tó$\pi \omega$ тov v $\alpha o \tilde{v}$ "In a secluded place of the temple." However, since the comments given by the early commentators are more of an exegesis than an interpretation of the meaning of the word $\varkappa \alpha \tau \alpha \varrho \alpha ́ \varkappa \tau \eta 5$, the common rendering "waterfall" is used in the present translation. Muraoka 2009, 382, has "gate through which a large quantity of water rushes downwards" for $x \alpha \tau \alpha \varrho \varrho \alpha ́ x \tau \eta ร ~ a n d ~ " a ~ s h a f t ~ l e a d i n g ~ t o ~ a n ~ u n d e r g r o u n d ~ w a t e r-~$ channel (?)" for Jer. 20:2 and 36:26.
3. xат $\varrho \alpha ́ x \tau о v] ~ G o ̈ t t . ~ h a s ~ x \alpha \tau \alpha \varrho \varrho \alpha ́ x \tau т v . ~ C f . ~ v . ~ 2 . ~$

غ̇x $\dot{\lambda} \lambda \varepsilon \sigma \varepsilon v . .$. tò ővo $\mu \alpha]$ For this expression and its translation, see 11:16.
4. A stroke above the line in the MS indicates a new paragraph. Since v. 4 begins at the beginning of the line, there is no space within the text which could confirm the new paragraph. However, the preceding line is shorter than the other lines, indicating a new paragraph. Thus the new paragraph in the text.
xataxóభovovv] Gött. has xataxóభovaiv av̉tov́s. Though the pronoun aủtov́s is missing in Vaticanus it is supplied in the English translation, since the pronoun most likely was supplied by the reader.
$\dot{\varepsilon} v$ uaxai@aıs] seems to be a rendering of בחרב. This is the only example in Jeremiah of the plural of $\mu \dot{\alpha} \alpha \iota \varrho \alpha$. Thus the plural in the English translation.
5. $\tau \grave{\eta} v \pi \tilde{\alpha} \sigma \alpha v$ í $\sigma \chi v \gamma]$ The attributive position of $\pi \tilde{\alpha} \varsigma$ is quite rare and "denotes the whole regarded as the sum of all its parts" Smyth 1956, $\$ 1174$. Cf. CS, $\$ 63$. Thus the rendering "all of the strength". Several MSS have another word order, e.g., Alexandrinus: $\pi \tilde{\alpha} \sigma \alpha v \tau \eta ̀ v i \sigma \chi u ́ v$. тоṽ $\beta \alpha \sigma \iota \lambda \varepsilon ́ \omega \varsigma]$ Gött. has $\beta \alpha \sigma \iota \lambda \varepsilon ́ \omega \varsigma$.
6. oĩs ... av̉toĩs] av̉toĩs is redundant in Greek, and is rendered by the equally redundant "to them" in the English translation. For redundant pronouns in Greek translated from Semitic originals, see 2:6.

## 28. Ieremias' Lamentation (20:7-18)

The whole section is one long lamentation by Jeremiah over his troublesome situation. He describes how his mission as a prophet has become a burden to him, because of all the resistance. He tried to leave his mission, but he could not hold out against his calling. Instead he praises the Lord for being his helper, and the one who will avenge him. However, although he has just proclaimed his trust in the Lord, he curses the day of his birth, and wishes that he had been killed and not taken care of when he was born; why was he born to see this disaster?

Beside several very literal renderings, the impact of the early interpreters on the translation of the present section should especially be mentioned. In the first verse it is not clear with what an adverbial expression should be taken. Thus the interpretation by Origen is followed. More important, however, is the interpretation of $\gamma \varepsilon \lambda \alpha \dot{\alpha} \sigma \mu \alpha \iota$ and $\dot{\varepsilon} \pi \iota-$ wa入غ́бouवu. The verbs can be taken in both an active and passive sense (although being middle forms). The active sense was most likely the intention of the translator (though this is called into doubt by a modern translation), while the intepretation by Chrysostom and Theodoret is that at least $\gamma \varepsilon \lambda \alpha \dot{\sigma} \sigma o \mu \alpha l$ should be taken in a passive sense. Origen (who had access to the Hebrew text!) and Olympiodorus, on the other hand, take both in an active sense. In the present translation $\gamma \varepsilon \lambda \alpha \alpha_{\sigma o-}$
$\mu \alpha \iota$ is taken in a passive sense, while $\dot{\varepsilon} \pi \iota \neq \lambda \hat{\varepsilon} \sigma о \mu \alpha \iota$ is taken in an active sense.
 ing "you were able" in the present translation. For the Hebraistic absolute use of $\delta \dot{v} v \alpha \mu \alpha ı$, see 1:19.
 $\gamma \dot{\varepsilon} \lambda(\omega \tau \alpha$ (so Ziegler, MT) and with the following (so Rahlfs). Origen comments: Or. hom. in Jer. 20.5 ... őtı ov̉dè ñ $\mu \varepsilon ́ \varrho \alpha s ~ o ̉ \lambda i \gamma a s ~ \tau ı v \alpha ̀ s ~$
 $\lambda \varepsilon \sigma \varepsilon \mu v \varkappa \tau \eta \varrho \iota \zeta$ о́ияvoऽ "... for neither a few days was the prophet sneered at, but 'every day I have continually been sneered at.' Following Origen $\pi \tilde{\alpha} \sigma \alpha v ~ \eta j \mu \varepsilon ́ \varrho \alpha v$ is taken with the following in the present translation.

$\gamma \varepsilon \lambda \alpha \dot{\sigma} \sigma \mu \alpha \iota . . . \dot{\varepsilon} л เ \varkappa \alpha \lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \sigma о \mu \alpha \iota]$ seem to be renderings of אזעק (or did the translator perhaps read אצחק?) and אקרא. The Hebrew verbal forms are active and these Greek middle verbal forms usually have an active meaning, and this is most likely also the intention of the translator of Jeremiah, though Diamond 1990, 47, renders it by "For by my bitter speech, I will be derided". Nevertheless, $\gamma \varepsilon \lambda \alpha \alpha_{\sigma o \mu} \alpha$ is taken in a passive sense by Chrysostom and Theodoret. They comment on the expression:




 laughed at, as if I were lying. His most important reason for sorrow was what Paschor had caused him. For it is clear that he had put him in prison as slanderous and lying, demanding satisfaction for the abuse."

 "I.e., I will be laughed at. The bitterness, he says, was not enough for me, but there was also laughter. The pain of the soul was not enough,
 oủxi ai $\varepsilon i s ~ \alpha u ̉ \tau o ̀ v ~ \gamma i \gamma v o ́ \mu \varepsilon v \alpha l ~ \tau \alpha \lambda \alpha u \pi \omega @ i ́ \alpha ı ~ \tau \varepsilon ~ x \alpha i ~ \alpha i x i \alpha u, ~ \alpha ̀ ~ \lambda \lambda ’ ~ \alpha i ~$
 sufferings which hit him bite the prophet, but the ridicules which are dared against the divine words." Perhaps also $\dot{\varepsilon} \pi \iota \nsim \lambda \varepsilon \dot{\varepsilon} \sigma o \mu \alpha \iota$ is taken
in a passive sense by Theodoret. By Chrysostom, on the other hand, $\dot{\varepsilon} \pi เ ช \alpha \lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \sigma о \mu \alpha \iota ~ i s ~ t a k e n ~ i n ~ t h e ~ a c t i v e ~ s e n s e: ~ C h r y s . ~ f r . ~ i n ~ J e r . ~ 64.928 ~ \tau о \tilde{\tau т о ~}$
 this he wants to say: I call upon you as being violated by you". For future middle forms with passive meaning, see Smyth 1956, §807, and BDR, $\$ 79$.

Origen takes both $\gamma \varepsilon \lambda \alpha ́ \sigma o \mu \alpha \iota$ and $\dot{\varepsilon} \pi \iota \alpha \alpha \lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \sigma о \mu \alpha \iota$ in an active sense. It should be noted, however, that Origen also had access to the Hebrew text. After a long exegesis of $\pi \iota x \varrho \tilde{\varphi} \lambda o ́ \gamma \omega \mu \circ v \gamma \varepsilon \lambda \alpha \dot{\alpha} \sigma \mu \alpha$, Origen sums up the word of the prophet: Or. hom. in Jer. 20.6 oĩ $\delta \alpha$ ö ö $̇$ ह̇лi $\tau \tilde{\varphi}$


 $\dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \dot{\alpha} \pi \iota \varrho \tilde{\varphi} \lambda o ́ \gamma \omega$ иоv $\gamma \varepsilon \lambda \alpha ́ \sigma o \mu \alpha \iota$ "I know that the result of my bitter word is to laugh, but to laugh the laughter of the blessed. Most likely knowing this the prophet said: 'For by my bitter word I will laugh.' 'Bitter word' now, but not laugh now, but 'by my bitter word I
 ments, e.g., $\dot{\alpha} \vartheta \varepsilon \sigma i ́ \alpha \nu ~ \varkappa \alpha i ̀ ~ \tau \alpha \lambda \alpha \iota \pi \omega \varrho i ́ \alpha \nu ~ \varepsilon ̇ \pi \iota \varkappa \alpha \lambda \varepsilon ́ \sigma o \mu \alpha \iota ~ \omega ́ s ~ \tau o ̀ v ~ \vartheta \varepsilon o ̀ v ~ o u ̈ \tau \omega ~$
 faithlessness and misery.' As God, so faithlessness, and as the Lord, so misery." Olympiodorus, finally, takes $\gamma \varepsilon \lambda \alpha \dot{\alpha} \sigma \mu \alpha$ in an active sense and appears to have $\alpha \mathfrak{\alpha} \vartheta \varepsilon \sigma \dot{\alpha} \alpha$ as the object of $\gamma \varepsilon \lambda \dot{\alpha} \sigma o \mu \alpha l$ : Olymp. fr.


 word I laugh at faithlessness. For bitter laughter exists uttered by an oppressed soul. And by this laughter, he says, I show that I suffer misery by them."

It is hard to decide in which sense $\gamma \varepsilon \lambda \alpha \sigma \sigma \mu \alpha l$ should be taken in the present translation. The passive meaning appears to make better sense in the context, but this meaning is not the common one and it is not apprehended by all readers. On the other hand, the active meaning requires quite a bit of exegesis to make good sense, which is indicated by both Origen and Olympiodorus. Origen could also have been influenced by the Hebrew text. Thus the passive meaning is adopted in the present translation. For $\dot{\varepsilon} \pi \iota x \alpha \lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \sigma о \mu \alpha \iota ~ t h e ~ a c t i v e ~ m e a n i n g ~ i s ~ a d o p t e d, ~ s i n c e ~ t h i s ~$ meaning appears to be adopted by most early readers. Diamond 1990, 48-49 takes $\dot{\varepsilon} \pi \iota x \lambda \hat{\varepsilon} \sigma o \mu \alpha \iota$ in a passive sense and renders the passage: "faithlessness and distress, will I be nicknamed", while NETS take both
verbs in an active sense: "because I will laugh with my bitter speech; I will call upon faithlessness and wretchedness". $\chi \lambda \varepsilon v \alpha \sigma \mu o ́ v]$ Gött. has $\varepsilon i \varsigma ~ \chi \lambda \varepsilon v \alpha \sigma \mu o ́ v$.
9. ỏvo 1 אז' $\mathbf{\alpha} \sigma \omega$ tò ővo $\alpha \alpha$ ] seems to be a rendering of Apparently the translator of Jeremiah has taken the suffix נו to refer to the following שם and not to the preceding דבר־יהוה. For a discussion of the Hebrew text, see McKane 1986, 473. Anyhow, the translation produces a figura etymologica which apparently has no equivalent in MT. The figura etymologica is preserved in the English translation by "name ... name". The text given by Chrysostom, whether considered to be a quotation by Chrysostom or not, can also be mentioned. Here Chrysostom has ỏvouóow instead of $\lambda \alpha \lambda \eta \sigma \omega$, thus producing yet another figura etymologica: Chrys. fr. in Jer.


غ̇兀і $\tau \tilde{a}$ ỏvónatı] Cf. 11:21.
ỏбтoĩ̧] Gött. has ỏøtéoıs.
10. $\{x \cup x \lambda o ́ \vartheta \varepsilon \varepsilon v\}]$ The second $\chi \cup x \lambda$ óvョv is only found in Vaticanus and is apparently a dittography.
 $\alpha u ̉ \tau \tilde{\varphi}$. For a discussion of $\dot{\varepsilon} \pi \iota \sigma u v i \sigma \tau \eta \mu \mathrm{w}$ with dative, with or without $\grave{\varepsilon} \pi i ́$, see Helbing 1928, 285.
 rendering "we will be able against him" in the present translation. For the Hebraistic use of $\delta v v^{v} \alpha \mu \alpha \iota ~ \alpha u ̉ \tau \tilde{1}$, see 1:19.
11. ó סè $\overline{\chi \zeta}]$ is a rendering of ויהוה. Gött. has xai xú@tos. For a discussion of the rare use of the adversative particle $\delta \dot{\varepsilon}$ and the definite article with xúgos, see Introduction.
 $\tau \tilde{\omega} \overline{\chi \varrho}$ is a rendering of ליהוה. For the definite article with $\chi \dot{\varrho} \varrho \circ \rho 5$, see Introduction.
 the equally redundant "on it" in the English translation. For redundant pronouns in Greek translated from Semitic originals, see 2:6.
15. $\varepsilon$ viq@aıvóuєvos] seems to be a rendering of the Hebrew infinitive absolute שׂמח. In MT the infinite absolute is followed by a finite
verb of the same root, thus forming the well-known Hebrew expression discussed in 3:1. Several Greek MSS have rendered the finite form of שממח by a finite form of $\varepsilon \dot{v} \varphi \varrho \alpha i v \omega$.
18. îv ti Cf. 2:29.

## 29. Judgement and Warning (21:1-22:30)

Israel is under attack by Babylon and the king sends his servants to ask Jeremiah what will happen; will the Lord save his people again? Apparently, Jeremiah was acknowledged as a true prophet, despite his being harshly treated by the people. However, the answer given by Jeremiah makes it quite clear why he has been treated thus. It confirms the worstcase scenario; not only will the Lord not save his people, but he will himself fight against them, and destroy them. And those left he will give into the hands of their enemies, i.e., the Babylonians. Nonetheless the Lord offers the people a way to be saved: those who surrender to the Babylonians will be saved, while those who do not will be killed. But handing themselves over to the Babylonians is not enough; they also have to make righteous judgements, and take care of those who suffer. Then Jeremiah is told to go down to the king and personally repeat that they have to amend their way of living, and if they do, there will always be a king of David sitting on the throne. On the other hand, if they do not listen to the word of the Lord, the house of the king will be destroyed. The remaining part of this section, i.e., almost the whole chapter 22, is devoted to the Lord's reproach of the people and what will be the consequences of their iniquity. The people has deserted the covenant of the Lord and been idolatrous; therefore the city will be destroyed and turned into an example. Sellēm, the king, as well as those who have followed him, are pitiable. They will never return to Jereusalem, but they will die in exile, because they have been unrighteous, they have fed themselves at the expense of the poor and needy, i.e., they have not known the Lord, instead they are committed to iniquity. Consequently, they will be totally abandoned and dishonoured. Although Iekonias is precious to the Lord, he will throw him away and deliver him into the hands of the Chaldeans.

This section contains several interesting features, the first of which are the erased letters in 21:8. Apparently, the text originally contained something that cannot be found in any other manuscript. In 21:13 yet
another letter has been erased，and the interpretation of the translator of $21: 13$ is awkward，and produces a text which is quite different from MT．Further，there are a couple of passages $(22: 4,6)$ where the literal rendering of the Vorlage produces a Greek text which most likely was understood in a different way by the early readers than it was intended by the translator．There is also one example（ $22: 6$, à $\propto \chi \dot{\eta}$ ）where the interpretation in the present translation follows the interpretation of Chrysostom．In 22：13 the syntax is not very clear，but most likely the meaning of the Greek text is not the same as the meaning of the Hebrew text．Finally，the text of 22：24 has been quoted in the New Testament， Rom．14：11．

1．Mavaбoбıov］Gött．has Maגбдıov．It has been indicated in the MS by a later hand that the initial letters $\mathrm{M} \alpha$ should be deleted and another M is added before $v$ ，thus producing the name Mvaoб⿱㇒日勺。
rov $\Pi \alpha \sigma \chi \omega \varrho$ ］The definite article could indicate that the translator of Jeremiah regarded $\Pi \alpha \sigma \chi \omega \varrho$ as a known person，thus identifying him with $\Pi \alpha \sigma \chi \omega \varrho$ of chapter 20 ．However，П $\alpha \sigma \chi \omega \varrho$ of chapter 20 is appar－ ently another person（cf．McKane 1986，495）．For the use of the definite article with proper nouns，see BDR，$\$ 260$ ．

2． $\operatorname{tòv} \overline{\chi v}]$ is a rendering of את־יהוה．For the use of the definite article with xú＠เos，see Introduction．

4．A stroke above the line in the MS indicates a new paragraph．Since v． 4 begins at the beginning of the line，there is no space within the text which could confirm the new paragraph．
$\dot{\varepsilon} v$ oĩs ．．．$\dot{\varepsilon} v$ av̉toĩs］ $\mathfrak{\varepsilon} v$ aủtoĩs is redundant in Greek，and is rendered by the equally redundant＂with them＂in the English translation．For redundant pronouns in Greek translated from Semitic originals，see 2：6．
 line．

عís tò $\mu \varepsilon ́ \sigma o v]$ is a rendering of אל־תוך．For a discussion of the render－ ing عis tò $\mu$ ह́бov，see Sollamo 1979， 267.

7．ov゙ $\tau \omega \varsigma \lambda \varepsilon ́ \gamma \varepsilon$ ］Gött．has $\lambda \varepsilon ́ \gamma \varepsilon$ t though all MSS and versions have oú $\tau \omega \varsigma$ $\lambda \varepsilon ́ \gamma \varepsilon$ נאם יהוה is always rendered without oṽ $\tau \omega \varsigma$ ，and oữ $\tau \omega$ h has been added in


лó $\lambda$ ] Gött. has $\pi$ tó $\lambda \varepsilon$. $\varepsilon$ is written above the line in the MS.
$\lambda \varepsilon \mu \circ \tilde{v}]$ Gött. has $\lambda \mu \circ$ ṽ.
 (MT has third person singular without object). For $\varphi \varepsilon$ íठouaı with ह̇лí, see 15:5.

8. $\tau \mathfrak{\eta} v$ ó ò̀v ... $\tau \tilde{\eta} \varsigma \zeta \omega \tilde{\eta} \varsigma]$ Between $\tau \grave{v} v$ ó óòv and $\tau \tilde{\jmath} \varsigma \zeta \omega \tilde{\eta} \varsigma$ approximately about 6-9 letters have been erased in the MS. From the very faint letters which are left in the MS and what could be seen in the first facsimile of the text, the letters might have been TOY . Y ... Y.

סє́ठ $\omega x \alpha$ л@ò л@обо́ллоv] is a rendering of נתן לפני. For a discussion of

9. лó $\left.\lambda_{1}\right]$ Gött. has лó $\lambda \varepsilon$. $\varepsilon$ is written above the line in the MS.
 MS.
$\lambda \varepsilon \mu \mathrm{ov}]$ Gött. has $\lambda \mu \mathrm{ov}$.
бvvนєน line.
 Olympiodorus comments on ह̈øтаı $\mathfrak{\eta} \psi u \chi \grave{\eta} \alpha$ ảtoṽ: Olymp. fr. Jer. 93.672
 soul."



## 12. $\Delta \alpha v \varepsilon เ \delta]$ Gött. has $\Delta \alpha v i \delta$.

犭oivate ... x@íu $\alpha$ ] appears to be a rendering of דשינו ... משפט. The figura etymologica of the Greek is not found in the Vorlage, but is preserved in the English translation by "Judge a judgement".
 Olymp. fr. Jer. 93.672 tò $\tau \varrho \omega \ddot{\text { í, } \alpha v \tau i ̀ ~ \tau о \tilde{v}, ~ \tau \alpha \chi \varepsilon ́ \omega \varsigma ~ \mu \varepsilon \tau \alpha v o \eta ́ \sigma \alpha \tau \varepsilon ~ " T h e ~ ' i n ~}$ the morning' which means, quickly change your mind."
 $\sigma \vartheta \varepsilon$ is a later more exact rendering, while $\nsim \alpha \tau \varepsilon v \vartheta ̛ v$ of the translator of Jeremiah, who took והצילו as a form of צלח and not of נצל.

13. A stroke above the line in the MS indicates a new paragraph. Since v. 13 begins at the beginning of the line, there is no space within the text which could confirm the new paragraph.
 i.e., participle feminine singular and participle masculine plural. The change from feminine singular to masculine plural in Hebrew is a constructio ad sensum. The feminine singular most likely refers to Jerusalem (cf. McKane 1986, 511. See also Chrysostom fr. in Jer. 64.936-937 and Theodoret Jer. 81.617, who both note the divergent interpretation of the Greek), which inhabits "the valley" (העמק), the "rock of the plain" (זור צור המישר as (הור ao,. The translator of Jeremiah took., Tyre, and thus changed the feminine singular into a masculine singular, which produced the change from masculine singular to masculine plural in the Greek text.
$\tau \eta \geqslant \gamma \mathrm{ol} \lambda \alpha \dot{\alpha} \delta \alpha \ldots$. $\mathrm{Lo@}$ ] One letter has been erased in the MS between $x_{0} \lambda \alpha \dot{\alpha} \delta \alpha$ and $\Sigma$ o@. A very faint A can be seen in the MS. Most likely the original reading of the MS was Aбo@. Cf. Josh. 19:37. For the transliteration of צור by $\Sigma$ o@, which is usually translated by Tú@os, see Thackeray 1909, 166-167.
$\pi \varepsilon \delta \varepsilon เ v \eta \dot{\tau}$ ] Gött. has $\pi \varepsilon \delta \iota v \eta \dot{\nu}$.

22:1. $\tau 0 \tilde{v} \beta \alpha \sigma ı \lambda \varepsilon ́ \omega \varsigma]$ Gött. has $\beta \alpha \sigma ı \lambda \varepsilon ́ \varepsilon \varsigma$.
2. $\Delta \alpha v \varepsilon เ \delta]$ Gött. has $\Delta \alpha v i \delta$.
3. $\dot{\varepsilon} \nless \chi \varepsilon \varrho o ́ \varsigma]$ is a rendering of מיד. For a discussion of the rendering $\dot{\varepsilon}$. $\chi \varepsilon$ ¢ós, see Sollamo 1979, 194-195.
$\pi \varrho о \sigma \dot{\eta} \lambda \nu \tau \circ v]$ For the rendering of $\pi \varrho о \sigma \eta \dot{\eta} \lambda \nu \tau \sigma$ 丂 by newcomer, see 7:6.
$\varepsilon \dot{\varepsilon} x \chi \varepsilon ́ \eta \tau \varepsilon]$ For some reason the translator of Jeremiah preferred the present subjunctive here, instead of the preceding present imperatives. Some MSS have present subjunctive here too.
 absolute and finite verb of the same root. The figura etymologica of the Hebrew text has been preserved in the Greek translation. The figura etymologica has also been preserved in the translation "you doing do", since the construction of the present participle with the finite verb is as strange to Greek as it is to English. For a discussion of the Greek present participle as a rendering of the Hebrew infinitive absolute, see 3:1.

 introduce the apodosis. xai is taken as an adverb here, and rendered by "also". Though this was most likely not the intention of the translator, it is most likely the most natural way to take it, since a connective $x \alpha i$ is totally out of place. Thus x $\alpha$ í is rendered by "also" in the present translation. Cf. 7:7.
$\delta \iota \alpha ̀ \tau \tilde{\omega} v \pi v \lambda \tilde{\omega} v]$ Cf. v. 21.
$\Delta \alpha v \varepsilon เ \delta]$ Gött. has $\Delta \alpha v i \delta$.

6. $\dot{\alpha} \varrho \chi \eta \dot{\eta}]$ Chrysostom comments on $\dot{\alpha} \varrho \chi \eta \dot{\eta}:$ Chrys. fr. in Jer. 64.937 ஸ̈ $\sigma \pi \varepsilon \varrho$
 т $\alpha \varsigma ~ \pi \alpha \varrho \alpha ̀ ~ \tau o ̀ v ~ \Lambda i ́ ß \alpha v o v ~ \pi o ́ \lambda \varepsilon ı \varsigma ~ \varkappa \varepsilon ц \mu \varepsilon ́ v a \varsigma . ~ o v ́ \tau \omega ~ x \alpha i ̀ ~ \sigma u ̀ ~ \tau \tilde{\omega} v ~ \lambda o ı л \tilde{\omega} v$ $\pi o ́ \lambda \varepsilon \omega v \stackrel{\alpha}{ } \varrho \chi \varepsilon เ \varsigma$ "Just as Galaad is head of Libanon, for it is more glorious than all the cities situated on Libanon, so you too are head of the other cities."
$\dot{\varepsilon} \alpha \dot{\alpha} \nu \mu \eta$ ] is a rendering of אa־לא. For a discussion of Hebrew oaths introduced by אם, see 2:28 and 15:11.
$\vartheta \tilde{\omega}$ oє $\varepsilon i \varsigma ~ ह ै \varrho \eta \mu \circ v]$ is a rendering of אשיתך מדבר, which is usually rendered by "I will make you a desert" (NRSV), "I will make a wilderness of you" (McKane 1986, 518), "if I do not make you a wilderness" (NETS). Most likely the translator of Jeremiah had something similar in mind, but this was most likely not how it was interpreted by the subsequent reader. Thus the more literal rendering "I will not ... put you into a desert" in the present translation. For a discussion of the construction of ti'ŋŋut with the double accusative, see $1: 5$. Cf. also 13:16.
7. $\alpha ้ v \delta \varrho \alpha$ ỏ ô $\left.\varepsilon \vartheta \varrho \varepsilon v v_{0} v \tau \alpha\right]$ Gött. has ỏ $\lambda \varepsilon \vartheta \varrho \varepsilon v v_{0} v \tau \alpha \varsigma, ~ \alpha ้ v \delta \varrho \alpha$, which is according to MT.
 MS.
8. $\dot{\varepsilon} \varrho \varepsilon \tilde{\varepsilon}]$ Gött. has $\dot{\varepsilon} \varrho o \tilde{v} \sigma \iota v . ~ \dot{\varepsilon} \varrho \varepsilon \tau ̃$
10. $x \lambda \alpha v ́ \sigma \alpha \tau \varepsilon x \lambda \alpha v \vartheta \mu \tilde{\varrho}]$ is a rendering of בכו בכו, i.e., a finite verb with an infinitive absolute of the same root. The figura etymologica of the Hebrew text has been preserved in the Greek translation. The figura etymologica
has also been preserved in the translation "Bewail with a bewailing". For a discussion of this Hebrew construction and its renderings, see 3:1.

11. There might be a new paragraph here, but the space between $\alpha$ vito $\tilde{v}$ and $\delta$ tótı is very small and there is no stroke in the margin indicating a new paragraph. Thus there is no new paragraph in the present edition.

I $\omega \sigma \varepsilon 1 \alpha]$ Gött. has I $\omega \sigma \dot{\prime} \alpha$ in both examples. For the genitive ending $-\alpha$, see $1: 3$.

ย̌tı] Gött. has ouxêtı.
12. $\mu \varepsilon \tau \dot{\varrho} \varkappa \varepsilon เ \sigma \alpha]$ Gött. has $\mu \varepsilon \tau \dot{\varrho} \varkappa \iota \sigma \alpha$.
 equally redundant "there" in the English translation. For a discussion of the construction, see 7:12.
13. The syntax of $v .13$ is not very clear. With no rendering of הוי (in the text of Gött. it is rendered by $\tilde{\omega}$ and Origen Or. fr. 12 in Jer. has ov̉aí) the participle ó oixoסou $\omega v$ can be taken with $\dot{\varepsilon} \varrho \gamma \tilde{\alpha} \tau \alpha l$, which is not the meaning of MT, or it can be taken as a nominativus pendens (cf. CS, $\S 53$, BDR $\S 466.2-4$ ). Further, the rendering of ברעהו יעבד by $\pi \alpha \varrho \alpha ̀$
 the verb עבד with the preposition has the meaning "use someone as slave". Thus the rendering by NRSV "who makes his neighbours work", which is hardly the meaning of the Greek text.

If $\delta$ oixodo $\mu \tilde{\omega} v$ is taken with $\varepsilon \in \gamma \tilde{\alpha} \tau \alpha l$, a possible translation of the Greek text is the one given in the present English translation. If $\delta$ oizo$\delta o \mu \tilde{\omega} v$ is taken as a nominativus pendens $\tau \tilde{\varphi}$ refers to the same person as $\delta$ oixoסou $\tilde{\omega}$, thus producing a text similar to the text given by Theodoret:
 him his neighbour works without wages". Unfortunately, the early commentators give no clues to how they took the text.
It should be noticed that this is the first example of $\dot{\varepsilon} \varrho \gamma \alpha{ }_{j} \zeta o \mu \alpha \iota$ in Jeremiah, and that it is a rendering of עבד .עבד is usually rendered by $\delta o u \lambda \varepsilon v ์ \omega$ in the Septuagint, but from here on the translator of Jeremiah
 translation, see Janzen 1973, 54-57, Tov 1976, 50-51. Cf. McKane 1996, 688-689. It should also be noticed that the use of $\dot{\varepsilon} @ \gamma \dot{\prime} \zeta o \mu \alpha \iota$ as "to serve someone" seems to be peculiar to Jeremiah and Baruch. Thus the literal rendering "work for". The use of $\mathfrak{\varepsilon} \varrho \gamma \gamma \dot{\zeta} \zeta o u \alpha \iota$ with $\pi \propto \varrho \alpha$ is also odd, but
it could have been influenced by the use of $\delta o v \lambda \varepsilon v \dot{\omega} \omega$ with $\pi \alpha \varrho \alpha \dot{1}$ (e.g., Demosthenes 18.129). Only here $\dot{\varepsilon} \varrho \gamma \dot{\alpha} \zeta o \mu \alpha \iota$ is construed with $\pi \alpha \varrho \alpha$, whereas in all other examples (34:5, 7, 9bis, $10 ; 35: 14 ; 37: 8,9 ; 41: 14,18$; 47:9) it is construed with the dative case, but without a preposition. Cf. Tov. 1976, 51.
ó oixodou $\tilde{\omega} v$ ] Gött. has $\tilde{\omega}^{\tilde{c}}$ ó oixoסou $\tilde{\omega} v$.
$\dot{\varepsilon} \varrho \gamma \tilde{\alpha} \tau \alpha l]$ For the Attic future form, see Helbing 1907, 86, CS, $\$ 21$, and Schwyzer 1959, 1.785.

14. @ீعıлıбто́] Gött. has @́ıлı兀то́.
15. It should be noted that there is great variation between the different readings of the Greek text in this verse. Chrysostom, Theodoret and Origen comment upon a text which is closer to MT, but differs quite a bit from the text of Vaticanus.
$\mu \eta$ ] is a rendering of the Hebrew interrogative particle ה. For a discussion of the interrogative particles in Greek and Hebrew and the translation, see 5:9.
$\mu \grave{\eta} . .$. oov] Olympiodorus comments: Olymp. fr. Jer. 93.673 色 $\zeta \dot{\eta} \lambda \omega-$
 $\dot{\alpha} \sigma \varepsilon \beta \varepsilon i \alpha \underline{\alpha}$ "You have been jealous, he says, of your father Ahaz, and you have been eager to beat him in impiety."
$\pi \alpha \varrho \circ \xi v v_{\eta} \eta \dot{\varepsilon} v$ ] According to Helbing 1928, 212, $\pi \alpha \varrho o \xi v \dot{v} \omega \omega$ with $\varepsilon ่ v$ is a Hebraism. Thus the literal rendering of $\varepsilon \in v$ by "in".
 The comparative $\beta \dot{\varepsilon} \lambda \tau \tau o v$ appears to have no equivalent in MT. For a discussion of the relation between the Greek text and MT or another possible Vorlage, see McKane 1986, 529. For a discussion of the Greek construction, cf. 45:20.
16. हैu@eıvav $x \varrho i \sigma \iota v]$ is a rendering of a text similar to דן דין of MT (the Greek has third person plural while MT has third person singular). Anyhow the figura etymologica of the Hebrew text has been preserved in the Greek translation, and the figura etymologica has also been preserved in the English translation by "they did ... judge a judgement".

ov̉] is a rendering of the Hebrew interrogative particle הלוא. For a discussion of the interrogative particles in Greek and Hebrew and the translation, see 7:19.
17. $x \alpha \lambda \eta \dot{\alpha} \dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda^{\circ}$ घis] Gött. has $\dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \lambda^{\top} \eta \geqslant$ हis, which is a conjecture by Katz. According to Ziegler 1958, 99, $x \alpha \lambda \eta$ is a logical addition, which is facilitated by the phonetic and graphic similarity to $\dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda^{\prime} \eta^{\prime}$, and also by the missing complement.
$\dot{\varepsilon} \chi \chi \chi \varepsilon \varepsilon \varepsilon v]$ For a discussion of the uncontracted form, see Thackeray 1909, 243.
18. A stroke above the line in the MS indicates a new paragraph. Since v. 18 begins at the beginning of the line, there is no space within the text which could confirm the new paragraph.
$\mathrm{I} \omega \alpha \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \mu]$ Gött. has $\mathrm{I} \omega \alpha \varkappa \mu$.
I $\omega \sigma \varepsilon \iota \alpha]$ Gött. has I $\omega \sigma$ oi $\alpha$. For the genitive ending - $\alpha$, see 1:3.
rai દ̇лi tòv ơv

 mologica of the Hebrew text has been preserved in the Greek translation, and the figura etymologica has also been preserved in the English translation by "He will be buried with a burial".
$\sigma \nu \mu \psi \eta \sigma \vartheta \varepsilon i \varsigma]$ is a rendering of the infinitive absolute סחוב. This is the only example in Jeremiah of a predicative aorist participle in the nominative case placed before the principle verb, with the exception of the participles forming figurae etymologicae discussed in 3:1, and $\dot{\alpha} v \alpha \lambda \alpha \beta$ óvtes in 4:6, which is used with an imperative. Hence the very common predicative aorist participles in the nominative case rendering Hebrew consecutive forms, found especially in the Pentateuch and in the historical books, are totally absent from Jeremiah. For a discussion of these participles, see Walser 2001.
20. $\varkappa \varrho \tilde{\alpha} \xi \mathrm{ov}]$ Gött. has $\varkappa \varepsilon ́ \chi \varrho \alpha \xi o v$.
 For a discussion of the relation between MT and LXX, se McKane 1986, 535.
 figura etymologica of the Hebrew text has been preserved in the Greek translation and it has also been preserved in the English translation by "will shepherd ... your shepherds".

23. $x \alpha \tau о \iota \sim \tilde{v} \sigma \alpha]$ is a rendering of ישבתי. For a discussion of the participle жатоьо $\tilde{v} \sigma \alpha$ and its rendering, see 10:17.

ỏdv́vas] Gött. has ỏdúvas $\mathfrak{\text { ẇdivvas. }}$
24. $\zeta \tilde{\omega} \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \dot{\omega} \lambda \bar{\varepsilon} \gamma \varepsilon \iota \overline{x \zeta}]$ For the quotation in Rom. 14:11, see Introduction.
ċóv] is explicitly taken as a concessive $\mathfrak{\varepsilon} \alpha \dot{\alpha} v$ by Theodoret: Thdt. Jer.

 on my right hand, I will pull him off from there." Thus $\mathfrak{\varepsilon \alpha \alpha ́ v}$ is taken as a concessive $\mathfrak{\varepsilon \alpha} \dot{\alpha} v$ in the present translation.
$\gamma \varepsilon v o ́ \mu \varepsilon v o s ~ \gamma \varepsilon ́ v \eta \tau \alpha ı] ~ l o o k s ~ l i k e ~ a ~ l i t e r a l ~ r e n d e r i n g ~ o f ~ ה י ה ~ י ה י ה, ~ i . e . ~ . ~$ infinitive absolute and finite verb. Though there is no equivalent of the infinitive absolute היה in MT, it is likely that the translator of Jeremiah had an infinitive absolute in his text (cf. Gen. 18:18; Num. 30:7; 1 Kings 13:32; Jer. 15:18). The figura etymologica of the reconstructed Hebrew text has been preserved in the Greek translation. The figura etymologica has also been preserved in the translation "having become becomes", since the construction of the present participle with the finite verb is as strange to Greek as it is to English. For a discussion of the Greek present participle as a rendering of the Hebrew infinitive absolute, see 3:1.
$\gamma \varepsilon ́ v \eta \tau \alpha \iota \ldots \sigma \varepsilon]$ For the change from third person to second person, see the commentaries, e.g., McKane 1986, 540-541, on the Hebrew text, where the same change occurs.

I $\omega \alpha x \varepsilon \mu]$ Gött. has I $\omega \alpha x \mu$.
25. $\tau \tilde{\omega} v \zeta \eta \tau o v ́ v \tau \omega v]$ Gött. has $\zeta \eta \tau o u ́ v \tau \omega v$.

ஷ̉ло̀ л@обஸ́лоv] Cf. 1:8.
$\tilde{\omega} v \ldots \alpha \dot{v} \tau \tilde{\omega} v$ ] $\alpha v \mathfrak{\tau} \tilde{\omega} v$ is redundant in Greek, and is rendered by the equally redundant "their" in the English translation. For redundant pronouns in Greek translated from Semitic originals, see 2:6.
26. $\left.\dot{\alpha} \pi \operatorname{logí}^{\prime} \psi \omega\right]$ Gött. has $\dot{\alpha} \pi$ о@@í $\psi \omega$. @ is written above the line in the MS.
 equally redundant "there" in the English translation. For a discussion of the construction, see 7:12.
28. ทָ
oṽ $\ldots \alpha \cup \cup \tau o \tilde{v}]] \alpha u ̉ \tau o \tilde{v}$ is redundant in Greek, and is rendered by the
equally redundant "for it" in the English translation. For redundant pronouns in Greek translated from Semitic originals, see 2:6.
ötı] Gött. has ő tı. Theodoret and some Lucianic MSS have doótı, thus explicitly taking the clause as causal, while Gött. apparently takes it as a relative clause. Theodoret is followed in the present translation.

29. A stroke above the line in the MS indicates a new paragraph. Since v. 29 begins at the beginning of the line, there is no space within the text which could confirm the new paragraph.
30. тои̃ $\sigma \pi \dot{\varrho} \varrho \mu \alpha \tau о \varsigma] ~ G o ̈ t t . ~ h a s ~ \sigma \pi \varepsilon ́ \varrho \mu \alpha \tau о \varsigma . ~$
$\Delta \alpha v \varepsilon ı \delta]$ Gött. has $\Delta \alpha v ı \delta$.
30. The False Shepherds Will Be Punished.

A New Shepherd (23:1-6, 9-40, 7-8)
The whole section is concerned with the leaders of the people and especially with the false prophets. It begins with a short reproach of the leaders of the people, who have neglected and misled them. However, the Lord will return those who have been exiled and give them new shepherds so that they can prosper. There is also a promise of a king from the house of David, who will do justice. Then Jeremiah cries out his pain over the iniquity and its consequences. Although it is quite clear that Jeremiah is speaking in verse 9 and equally clear that the Lord is the speaker from verse 11 the transition from Jeremiah to the Lord is all but clear. Anyhow, the Lord describes the wickedness of the priests and prophets, which has become as bad as that of Sodom and Gomorra. Consequently, the prophets will be punished. Then the Lord addresses the people and warns them of the false prophets, who promise peace in spite of the iniquity of the people. Instead of peace the Lord will pour out his fury upon the impious. The Lord points out the fact that nothing can be hidden from him who fills heaven and earth, and he goes on to reprove the false prophets for their false prophecies and their misleading of the people. Again the consequences of false prophecies are repeated; the prophets and the city will be destroyed and turned into an eternal example. At the end of the section, in verses 7 and 8 , the Lord repeats his promise of a future restoration of the people.

Before turning over to the linguistic peculiarities of the section the sequence of the verses should be noted. Verses 7 and 8 have, for some unknown reason, been placed at the end of the chapter in the Greek version of the text. Interesting, but also difficult to translate, are the
 Although the comments by the early interpreters can be of some guidance for the understanding of the latter expressions, there is a wide range of possibilities for translating all three expressions. Therefore, any translation by necessity has to be a compromise. Interesting is also the only example in Jeremiah of a conditional clause with an unreal condition, which can tell something of the translator's knowledge of Greek. In verse $26, \mathrm{E} \Sigma \mathrm{TE}$ of the manuscript could be taken as either $\begin{gathered} \\ \kappa \\ \sigma \\ \varepsilon\end{gathered}(=\stackrel{\varepsilon}{\varepsilon} \sigma \tau \alpha \iota)$, which is most certainly the way it was taken by the translator, or as $\dot{\varepsilon} \sigma \tau \dot{\varepsilon}$, which is the way it was accented by the scribe who added the accents to the text. In the present translation the text and accent of Vaticanus is followed, while alternative translations are given in the commentary. Further, half of v. 30 and the whole v. 31 are missing owing to one of the very few haplographies in Vaticanus. Beside the two unique readings of Vaticanus just mentioned, there are another three unique readings in vv. 29, 32, and 40.

 with $\varepsilon$ ย $\tau$ i, see 15:3.
3. દ̇лi $\pi \alpha ́ \sigma \eta \varsigma ~ \tau \tilde{\eta} \varsigma ~ \gamma \tilde{\eta} \varsigma]$ Gött. has $\dot{\alpha} \pi$ ò $\pi \alpha ́ \sigma \eta \varsigma ~ \tau \tilde{\eta} \varsigma ~ \gamma \tilde{\eta} \varsigma$. For the rendering "on the whole earth", cf. McKane 1986, 558.
 equally redundant "there" in the English translation. For a discussion of the construction, see 7:12.
5. $\Delta \alpha v \varepsilon เ \delta]$ Gött. has $\Delta \alpha v ı \delta$.
$\dot{\alpha} v \alpha \tau 0 \lambda \eta v]$ is a rendering of צמח. The meaning "shoot" is not attested before the Septuagint, but since the verb $\alpha \operatorname{\alpha } \alpha \tau \dot{\varepsilon} \lambda \lambda \omega$ is used of plants springing up as early as Theophrastus ( $4-3$ century вс), the meaning "shoot" is not very far-fetched. Thus the rendering "shoot" in the English translation. For a discussion of the term $\alpha \dot{\alpha} \alpha \tau o \lambda \eta$ as a messianic term, see Lust 2004, 45-46, 52-53. Lust argues on p. 52 that "In the Greekspeaking early Christian communities, no special attention was given
to Jer. 23,5." "On the other hand, one must admit that the early Church frequently used the term $\dot{\alpha} v \alpha \tau o \lambda \eta$ as an image or as a title for Jesus."
xai $\left.\beta \alpha \sigma ı \lambda \varepsilon v \dot{\sigma} \sigma \varepsilon \beta \alpha \sigma ı \lambda \varepsilon v_{\varsigma}\right]$ is a rendering of ומלך מלך. The figura etymologica of the Hebrew text has been preserved in the Greek translation, and thus is also preserved by "a king will be king" in the English translation. $\stackrel{\varepsilon}{\varepsilon} \pi i ̀ \tau \tilde{\eta} \varsigma \gamma \tilde{\eta} \zeta$ ] For the rendering "on the earth", cf. v. 3.

тò ővo $\mu \alpha \ldots$ ö $x \alpha \lambda \varepsilon \dot{\varepsilon} \sigma \varepsilon \iota]$ For this expression and its translation, see 11:16.
oैvo $\alpha \alpha$ 人v̉тoṽ] Gött. has oैvo $\mu \alpha$.
$\overline{\chi \zeta} \mathrm{I} \omega \sigma \varepsilon \delta \varepsilon x] \overline{x \zeta}$ looks like a secondary double rendering. According to Ziegler 1958, 92, the translator had יוצדק or יהוצדק in his text. Cf. McKane 1986, 564, and Lust 2004, 43-45.
$\dot{\varepsilon} v$ тоĩऽ л@офท่таıऽ] Rahlfs, following MT, has these words at the beginning of $v .9$.
9. $\alpha$ đ̉

ठ@uцós] Gött. has ס@ó $\mu$ os.
oṽ $\omega \varsigma$ ] Gött. has ov̉ oṽ $\omega \omega \varsigma$. For a discussion of ov̉ ov̋ $\tau \omega \varsigma$, which, according to McKane, "makes poor sense", see McKane 1986, 571.
13. $\tau \tilde{\eta} \varsigma B \alpha \alpha \lambda]$ For the feminine article and its translation, see 2:8.
14. $\chi \varepsilon \varrho \varrho \tilde{\omega} v \pi \sigma \lambda \lambda \tilde{\omega} v]$ Gött. has $\chi \varepsilon \varrho \varrho \tilde{\omega} v \pi o v \eta \varrho \tilde{\omega} v$.
15. $\psi \omega \mu \tau \tilde{\omega}]$ Gött. has $\psi \omega \mu i \zeta \omega$.

17. $\pi \tilde{\alpha} \sigma \iota v . .$. ra@סías] According to Ziegler 1958, 96, and Janzen 1973,

 about the origin. Cf. McKane 1986, 579.
ov̉ $x$ ] Gött. has ov̉ $\chi$. $\chi$ is written above the line in the MS.
18. نீлобт $\eta \mu \alpha \tau \iota]$ is a rendering of סוד "counsel". In 6:11 it is rendered

meaning "counsel" for $\dot{\text { v }}$ óotqu $\alpha$ is not attested elsewhere, and the only other example of the word in the Septuagint is in 2 Sam. 23:14, where it obviously means "camp". According to LEH ن́лóбтๆua has the meaning "camp" here too, but in a metaphorical sense. Chrysostom and Olympiodorus comment on the expression: Chrys. fr. in Jer. 64.948 тоvт $\varepsilon$ бть,
 غ́gとıav "I.e., who of these who opposed the prophets waited for the divine activity", Olymp. fr. Jer. 93.676 tís $\gamma \dot{\alpha} \varrho \varepsilon ̇ \pi \lambda \eta \sigma i \alpha \sigma \varepsilon \tau \tilde{\omega} \Theta \varepsilon \tilde{\varphi}$, ív $\alpha$ xai $\alpha$ xov́oŋ̣ $\tau \tilde{\omega} v ~ \lambda o ́ \gamma \omega v ~ \alpha u ̉ \tau o \tilde{v} ; ~ " F o r ~ w h o ~ c a m e ~ c l o s e ~ t o ~ G o d, ~ t o ~ l i s-~$ ten to his words?" Obviously, the exact meaning of $\dot{\pi}$ óotqu $\alpha$ is hard to grasp, both how it was intended by the translator of Jeremiah and how it was taken by the readers. Most likely the meaning is not very far from the meaning of $\dot{v} \pi o ́ \sigma \tau \alpha \sigma \iota \varsigma$ in $v .22$, since both examples are renderings of the same Hebrew word, and since the context is approximately the same: someone is standing (íбтпu) listening to the word of Lord (see also the comments of Olympiodorus on vv. 18 and 22). However, it should be noted that the translator most likely was aware of the fact that he used different renderings in v. 18 and v. 22, and that there is a definite article in $\mathrm{v} . \mathbf{2 2}$, but not in v .18 (though there is no article in v .18 nor in v .22 in MT, and there are no variant readings in the MSS either for $\dot{v} \pi \sigma \sigma \tau \eta \mu \alpha \tau \iota$ in v. 18 or for $\tau \tilde{\eta} \dot{v} \pi \sigma \sigma \tau \alpha \sigma \varepsilon \iota$ in v. 22). The renderings
 present translation are tentative and are chosen to correspond to each other.
19. $\sigma v v \sigma \varepsilon เ \sigma \mu o ́ v]$ Gött. has $\sigma v \sigma \sigma \varepsilon เ \sigma \mu o ́ v$.

$\langle\alpha ̈ \nu \sigma \tau \eta \dot{\eta} \eta \alpha \cup ̉ \tau o ̀ ~ \alpha ̇ \alpha o ́\rangle$ is written in the margin.

 8 and 9.

غ̇ $\pi^{\prime}$ ह̇ $\left.\sigma \chi \alpha ́ \tau o v ~ \tau \tilde{\omega} v ~ \eta ं \mu \varepsilon \varrho \tilde{\omega} v\right]$ is rendering of באחרית הימים. For a discussion of this Hebraistic expression, see BDR, $\$ 264.5$.
$\alpha u ̉ \tau o ́] ~ G o ̈ t t . ~ h a s ~ \alpha u ̉ \tau \alpha ́ . ~$
21. x $\alpha i$... x x í] For the adversative use of $x \alpha i$ i, see Blomqvist 1979, 46.


 Jeremiah of the particle ${ }^{\circ} \nu$ with indicative of a historical tense to denote unreality. Though there is only one example of this construction, it still can tell us that the translator of Jeremiah had a quite good knowledge of Greek. Cf. 1:2.
 many meanings. According to LEH the meaning here is the "being of God", which is quite far from the meaning "camp" suggested by the same dictionary for v́лóбтทua in v. 18. Chrysostom and Olympiodorus


 waited for my grace, they would surely have taught the people piety."



 or thus, if they had had the essence of their prophecy from me, i.e., if they had become wise by me, they would have turned my people away from their evil practices." For the rendering "fundament" in the present
 18.
 ,את עמי, rendered by tòv $\lambda \alpha o{ }^{\text {, וישמעו , and } \mu o v \text {, is the object of the Hifil }}$ ישבום is verb and object rendered by öv d̉̇лદ́бt@\&ழov av̉tov́ร. In LXX, on the other hand, tòv $\lambda \alpha o v^{\nu} \mu \circ v$ is the object of $\dot{\alpha} \pi \varepsilon ́ \sigma \tau \varrho \varepsilon \varphi o v$ (the translator of Jeremiah obviously did not take ישמעו as Hifil), which is oddly repeated by av̉tov́s. The misinterpretation of ישמעו has resulted in a double object of $\dot{\alpha} \tau \varepsilon \dot{\varepsilon} \sigma \tau \varrho \varepsilon \varphi o v$. Thus av̉兀ov́s is taken as an apposition of tòv $\lambda \alpha o{ }^{\prime} v \mu$ оv in the present translation and the clause is rendered by "they would ... have turned my people, them".

Further, the apodosis is here introduced by $\kappa \alpha i$, For the rendering "also" of xai introducing the apodosis, see 7:7.
 indicates that $\lambda \varepsilon \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \varepsilon \mathrm{x} \overline{x 5}$, which is written in the margin, should be added to the text.
24. $\left.\varepsilon^{\ell}\right]$ is a rendering of אם, which produces the Hebraism discussed in 2:28 and 14:22 above.
 The figura etymologica of the Hebrew text is preserved in the Greek translation, and is also preserved in the English translation by "will hide in hidden places".
$\mu \eta ̀$ ov̉ $\bar{i}$ ] is a rendering of הלוא. There are two examples of $\mu \dot{\eta}$ oủ $\bar{\chi}$ í in LXX (Job 22:12. There are another four examples of $\mu \dot{\eta}$ ov̉xí in Vaticanus, in the B-text of Judg.: 6:13; 9:38; 10:11; 15:2). In all examples $\mu \dot{\eta}$ ov̉ $\chi i$ is a rendering of הלוא. Questions introduced by $\mu \dot{\eta}$ ov̉ expect an affirmative answer. For such questions, see LSJ, BDR, $\$ 427.2 b$. For the interrogative particle הלוא and its renderings, see 7:19.

દ̇лì $\tau \tilde{\varphi}$ ỏvó $\mu \alpha \tau \iota]$ Cf. 11:21.
 i.e., the repetition of the same verbal form by a verbal form and a corresponding noun. Of course, it is possible that the translator of Jeremiah had another Vorlage, but given the very high frequency of figura etymologica in Jeremiah, it is also possible that he chose to use a more common rendering for the quite unusual repetition of verbs in MT. Cf., however, the rendering $x \alpha \lambda \alpha \mu \tilde{\alpha} \sigma \vartheta \varepsilon x \alpha \lambda \alpha \mu \tilde{\alpha} \sigma \vartheta \varepsilon$ of $\operatorname{yולל~יעוללו~in~6:9.~The~figura~}$ etymologica $\mathfrak{\eta} v ข \pi v \iota \alpha \sigma \alpha ́ \mu \eta v ~ \varepsilon ่ v v ́ \pi v ı o v ~ h a s ~ b e e n ~ p r e s e r v e d ~ i n ~ t h e ~ E n g l i s h ~$ translation by "I have dreamt a dream".
 was misspelt into $\varepsilon \not \sigma \tau \varepsilon$ (for the interchange of $\alpha \iota$ and $\varepsilon$, see Thackeray 1909, 77-78). Since there were no accents in the early MSS, the scribe who added the accents in Vaticanus took $\varepsilon \not \sigma \tau \varepsilon$ as $\dot{\varepsilon} \sigma \tau \varepsilon$ and added the accent on the final $\varepsilon$. It should also be noted that there are no changes in the MS, e.g., adding $\alpha \iota$ above the line, which is quite common in other examples of misspellings. Thus it is likely that the early readers of the MS took E $\Sigma$ TE as $\dot{\varepsilon} \sigma \tau \dot{\varepsilon}$. Thus the rendering "will you be" in the present translation. If $\varepsilon \neq \tau \varepsilon=\stackrel{\varepsilon}{\varepsilon} \sigma \tau \alpha$ is read, the text could be translated: "How long will there be lies in the heart of the prophets who prophesy", or a subject could be supplied as in Brenton's translation: "How long shall these things be in the heart of the prophets that prophesy lies".
$\dot{\varepsilon} v \tau \tilde{\varphi}]$ Gött. has $x \alpha i$ èv $\tau \tilde{\varphi}$.
27. غ̇л
vó $\mu$ ov] Gött. has ỏvó $\mu \alpha \tau 0$, which is a conjecture by Spohn. According to Ziegler 1958, עמי 45 seems to be a doublet. He also refers to exam-
ples in LXX where ővou人 is mixed up with vónos. Cf. McKane 1986, 589.
$\tau \tilde{\eta} B \alpha \alpha \lambda]$ For the feminine article and its translation, see 2:8.
 fore, the equally redundant "to him" in the English translation. For redundant pronouns in Greek translated from Semitic originals, see 2:6.
oṽt $\omega \varsigma$ oi $\lambda o ́ \gamma o l ~ \mu o v ~ \lambda \varepsilon ́ \gamma \varepsilon є ~ \overline{x \varsigma] ~ N o t ~ i n ~ G o ̈ t t . ~ T h e ~ t e x t ~ i s ~ f o u n d ~ i n ~ a l l ~ M S S, ~}$ except $\lambda \varepsilon \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \varepsilon \varepsilon \overline{x \varsigma}$, which is missing in some MSS.
29. oủ ỉov̀ oi $\lambda o ́ \gamma o t]$ Gött. has oủxi oi $\lambda o ́ \gamma o t$. oủ i idoú is only found in Vaticanus. It should be noted that according to Gött. סov́ in íסov́ could have been added by a later hand in Vaticanus, since it is written at the end of the line, and since the $\chi$ of oủ $\chi$ is odd before ioov́. It should also be noted, however, that without doú this is the shortest line in this column. $\chi$ is written above $\chi$ in the MS.
30. л@оч $\dot{\tau} \tau \varsigma$ ] After л@очи́таऽ, owing to a haplography in Vaticanus,



 $\pi \varrho о \varphi \eta ं \tau \alpha \varsigma ~ " s a y s ~ L o r d, ~ G o d, ~ t h o s e ~ w h o ~ s t e a l ~ m y ~ w o r d s, ~ e v e r y o n e ~ f r o m ~$ his neighbour. 31 See, I am against the prophets who receive prophecies from tongue and sleep their sleep 32 See, I am against the prophets) are missing.
32. ov̉ $\delta ı \eta \gamma o \tilde{v} v \tau 0]$ Gött. has $\delta ı \eta \gamma 0 \tilde{v} v \tau 0$. ov̉ is only found in Vaticanus. It is hard to see how ov could have come into the text, but there is nothing in the MS indicating that ov should be deleted.
$\dot{\omega} \varphi \bar{\varphi} \lambda \varepsilon \iota \alpha v$... $\grave{\omega} \varphi \varepsilon \lambda \dot{\eta} \sigma o v \sigma \iota v]$ is a literal rendering of infinitive absolute and finite verb of the same root. The figura etymologica of the Hebrew text has been preserved in the Greek translation. The figura etymologica has also been preserved in the translation "they have not profited any profit". For a discussion of the translation of this Hebrew construction, see 3:1.
33. $\lambda \tilde{\eta} \mu \mu \alpha]$ is a rendering of משׂא משא here as well as in all seven examples in Jeremiah (23:33-38). The play on the Hebrew word, if any,
is apparently not possible in the Greek text, where $\lambda \tilde{\eta} \mu \mu \alpha$ only has its common meaning of "[prophetic] message". For a detailed discussion of the complicated Hebrew text, see McKane 1986, 597-604.
xai $\begin{gathered}\varrho \varepsilon \tau ̃ ऽ] ~ i n t r o d u c e s ~ t h e ~ a p o d o s i s ~ c o r r e s p o n d i n g ~ t o ~ t h e ~ p r o t a s i s ~ i n t r o-~\end{gathered}$
 taken as an adverb, xai is rendered by "and", thus producing an English translation in which "and" is as redundant as $x \alpha i$ is in the Greek translation. For a discussion of the apodosis introduced by $x \alpha i$, see $7: 7$ and 7:25.

oi í í@cĩऽ] Gött. has ó í ic@cús.
 pendens (cf. CS, $\S 53$, BDR $\S 466.2-4$ ) in the present translation. The anacoluthon is preserved in the present translation and marked by a dash.
xai éxठıxท́бю] The anacoluthon at the beginning of this verse is even more accentuated by the odd $x \alpha i$ before $\varepsilon x \chi \delta \varkappa \eta \quad \sigma \omega$. However, it is also possible to take xai as an adverb, also. Though this was most likely not the intention of the translator, it is perhaps the most natural way to take it, since a connective roí is totally out of place. Thus $x \alpha i$ is rendered by "also" in the present translation. For a discussion of the apodosis introduced by $x \alpha i$, see 7:7.
35. A stroke above the line in the MS indicates a new paragraph. Since v. 35 begins at the beginning of the line, there is no space within the text which could confirm the new paragraph. However, the preceding line is shorter than the other lines, indicating a new paragraph. Thus the new paragraph in the text.
 reciprocal pronoun, cf. 13:14.


37. סıà tí] Gött. has tí.
38. © $\overline{\vartheta \varsigma} \mathfrak{\eta} \mu \tilde{\omega} v$ ] Gött. has ó $\vartheta \varepsilon o ́ s . ~ \eta ์ \mu \tilde{\omega} v$ is only found in Vaticanus. According to Gött. it is a doublet from v. 37.
40. $\varepsilon i \varsigma]$ Gött. has $\grave{\varepsilon} \varphi \varphi^{\prime} . \varphi$ is written above the line in the MS. $\varepsilon i \varsigma$ is only found in Vaticanus.
$\left.\alpha \dot{\alpha} \tau \varepsilon \mu i^{\prime} \alpha v\right]$ Gött. has $\dot{\alpha} \tau \mu i{ }^{\alpha} \alpha v$.
$\eta \pi \tau \iota]$ For the use of the indefinite relative pronoun instead of the relative pronoun $\eta$ 亿, see BDR, $\$ 293$, CS, $\$ 71$.
7. öऽ $\alpha ̉ v \eta \gamma \alpha \gamma \varepsilon v]$ as well as ôs $\sigma v v \eta \eta^{\prime} \gamma \alpha \gamma \varepsilon v$ in v. 8 seem to be renderings of the expression אשר העלה. For a discussion of these expressions, see 16:14.
đòv oĩ̌ov Iø@aŋ $\lambda$ ] Cf. 16:14.
8. ös $\sigma u v \eta \gamma^{\prime} \alpha \gamma \varepsilon v$ ] See v. 7.

 equally redundant "there" in the English translation. For a discussion of the construction, see 7:12.
$\dot{\alpha} \pi \varepsilon \chi \alpha \tau \varepsilon ́ \sigma \tau \eta \sigma \varepsilon v]$ For the double augment, see BDR, $\$ 69.3$. There is an o written above the first augment in the MS.

## 31. Two Baskets of Figs (24:1-10)

In the first verse of the present section Naboukodonosor is mentioned for the first time in the Greek version of Jeremiah. Henceforth he will be a central figure in the book of Jeremiah, though he is not mentioned half as often in the Greek version as in the Hebrew one. The theme of this section is the fourth vision of Jeremiah, a vision of two baskets full of figs-the one with very good figs, the other with very bad figs. The interpretation of the vision is that those of the people that have gone into exile are like the good figs, whom the Lord will return into their land, and to whom he will give a heart to know the Lord and be the people of the Lord. Sedekias and those who are left in the city and those in Egypt, on the other hand, are like the bad figs, who will be scattered into foreign countries and die there.

From a linguistic point of view there is not much to be said about the present section, but there are two peculiarities in the text of Vaticanus that should be mentioned. First, the odd dittography of Vaticanus in verse 1 , and second, the unique reading turning Iov $\alpha \alpha$ into 'Iovסaíovs have to be noted.

1. $x \varepsilon \mu \varepsilon ́ v o v \varsigma ~ x \alpha \tau \grave{\alpha} ~ \pi \varrho o ́ \sigma \omega \pi о v] ~ T h e ~ e q u i v a l e n t ~ f o r ~ x \varepsilon ц \mu \varepsilon ́ v o v \varsigma ~ i n ~ M T ~ i s ~$ מועדים. According to Sollamo 1979, 57, "the translator possibly read the verb עמד (Qal.pt.) instead of יעד (ho.pt.pl.)".

I $\omega \alpha x \varepsilon \mu$ ] Gött. has I $\omega \alpha x \mu$.
$\pi \lambda \eta \sigma i o v \varsigma]$ Gött. has $\pi \lambda$ ovoiovऽ. ov is written above the line in the MS.
 which is only found in Vaticanus, is obviously a dittography.
$\alpha ้ \varrho \chi 0 v \tau \alpha \varsigma]$ Here Iov $\delta \alpha$ is added above the line in the MS.
3. $\left.\lambda \varepsilon^{i} \alpha v . . . \lambda \varepsilon i \alpha \alpha v\right]$ Gött. has $\lambda i \alpha v . . . \lambda i \alpha v$.
 For a discussion of the renderings of יהודה in Jeremiah, see McLean 1997. عis $\alpha \gamma \alpha \vartheta \hat{\alpha}]$ Cf. 14:11.

$\varepsilon i \varsigma ~ \dot{\alpha} \gamma \alpha \vartheta \dot{\alpha}]$ Cf. 14:11. The second example of $\varepsilon i \varsigma \alpha \gamma \alpha \vartheta \alpha$ is not found in Gött.
ov̉ $\mu \dot{\eta}$ ] Chrysostom comments on the expression: Chrys. fr in Jer.
 $\alpha$ ט̀toús "Do you see that the 'ov' $\mu \eta$ ' does not mean forever? For he actually tore them down."
$\varkappa \alpha \vartheta \varepsilon \lambda \lambda \tilde{\omega} \alpha v ่ \tau o v ́ \varsigma] ~ G o ̈ t t . ~ h a s ~ \psi \alpha \vartheta \vartheta \varepsilon \lambda \tilde{\omega}$.

 عĩval ... عís, see 3:23.
8. $\beta \varrho \omega \vartheta \eta \dot{\eta} \sigma \varepsilon \tau \alpha \downarrow$ ] $\varepsilon$ is changed to $o$, and $v$ is added above the line in the MS to produce $\beta \varrho \omega \vartheta \eta$ ๆобт兀а.
 line in the MS.
 equally redundant "there" in the English translation. For a discussion of the construction, see 7:12.
10. $\lambda \varepsilon \iota \mu$ óv] Gött has $\lambda \iota \mu o ́ v$.
$\tau \tilde{\eta} \varsigma \gamma \tilde{\eta} \varsigma \tilde{\eta} \varsigma$ है $\delta \omega x \alpha]$ For the attraction of the relative, see BDR, $\S 294.2$, Wallace 1995, 338-339, and Smyth 1956, \$2522. Cf. 42:15 and Sollamo 1992, 45.

> 32. Judgement on Iouda, Ierousalèm, and on the Family from the North (25:1-13)

In the following section Jeremiah delivers another prophecy containing judgements from the Lord on the people of Judah and Jerusalem. The Lord declares that he has warned the people repeatedly for the last twenty-three years, and urged them to turn away from their evil practices and from their idolatry. However, the people have not listened. Therefore the Lord will send an enemy from the north to destroy the people, who will serve the nations for seventy years. After these seventy years the Lord will punish the people whom the people of Judah and Jerusalem have served.

Like the previous section, this section does not offer any linguistic peculiarities except the common literal renderings of Hebrew expressions, a few of which could especially be pointed out. First, in verses 3 and 4 , there are two different renderings of the verb שכם, both of which produce peculiar Greek expressions. Second, the expression л@обغ́ $\chi \omega$ with oṽร in various cases is discussed in some detail.

1-2. The indentation of the left margin in vv. 1 and 2 follows the MS.

1. I $\omega \alpha \varkappa \varepsilon \mu]$ Gött. has I $\omega \alpha x \mu$.

I $\omega \sigma \varepsilon \iota \alpha]$ Gött. has I $\omega \sigma \iota \alpha$. Cf. I $\omega \sigma \iota \alpha$ in v. 3. For the genitive ending - $\alpha$, see 1:3.
3. I $\omega \sigma$ ol $\alpha$ ] For the genitive ending - $\alpha$, see 1:3.
$\dot{\varepsilon} \lambda \lambda \alpha \lambda \eta \sigma \alpha \ldots$.. ỏ $\varrho \vartheta \varrho \prime \dot{\prime} \zeta \omega v$ rai $\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \omega v$ ] for a discussion of this construction, see 7:25. Olympiodorus comments on ỏ@७@í $\omega v$ : Olymp. fr. Jer. 93.677
 'diligently'".
 person singular and the whole construction, see 7:25, and Aejmelaeus 2002, 467-468.
 same Hebrew expression is used eight times in Jeremiah (7:24, 26; 11:8 (not in LXX); 17:23 (LXX 17:22); 25:4; 34:14 (LXX 41:14); 35:15 (LXX 42:15); 44:5 (LXX 51:5)), and another nineteen times in MT without (2 Kings 19:16; Is. 37:17; 55:3; Psa. 17:6; 31:3; 45:11; 49:5; 71:2; 78:1; 86:1; 88:3; 102:3; 116:2; Prov. 2:2; 4:20; 5:1, 13; 22:17; Dan. 9:18). The
expression is rendered by various Greek expressions：л＠oбغ́ $\chi \omega$ with the accusative of oṽऽ（Jer．7：24，26；Dan．9：18），л＠oбモ́ $\chi \omega$ with the dative of oũ̌（Is．55：3（ふ่̉íov）；Jer．25：4）；x $\lambda i v \omega$ with the accusative of oṽऽ （2 Kings 19：16；Psa．passim；Jer．17：23（LXX 17：22）；34：14（LXX 41：14）； 35：15（LXX 42：15）；44：5（LXX 51：5）），ข்лажoú $\omega$ with oṽ 2：2）；$\pi \alpha \varrho \alpha \beta \alpha \dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \omega$ with the accusative of oũ $($ Prov．4：20；5：1，13；22：17）， عi$\sigma \alpha \chi \circ$ v́ $\omega$（Is．37：17）．

Beside the examples mentioned above there are four examples of $\pi \varrho о \sigma \varepsilon ́ \chi \omega$ with oṽ́ in LXX：Neh．1：6，11；Psa．9：38（MT 10：17）；129：2 （MT 130：2）．In all four examples $\pi \varrho o \sigma \varepsilon ́ \chi \omega$ is a rendering of קשׁב，and oũ $\varsigma$ is in the accusative case．
$\pi \varrho о \sigma \varepsilon ́ \chi \omega$ with the instrumental dative is found in a few examples in LXX：Gen．34：3 тñ $\psi v \chi \tilde{\eta} ;$ Ex．9：21 $\tau \tilde{\eta}$ סıavoíá；Deut．32：46 тñ xo＠סíą； Job 1：8 тñ סıavoíą；Sir．16：24 тñ xa＠ঠíą；Is．55：3 тoĩऽ ふ̉тíoıऽ．Cf．Hel－ bing 1928，295．Apparently，the example in Jer．25：4 can also be taken as an instrumental dative．Why the translators of Isaiah and of Jeremiah in one example each use the rendering $\pi \varrho \circ \sigma \varepsilon ́ \chi \omega$ with the instrumental dative is not clear，but it is not totally unlikely that the passages have influ－ enced each other．For the different renderings of the Hebrew expression in Jeremiah，cf．Tov 1976，58．However，Tov does not discuss the instru－ mental dative．
 most MSS，including Vaticanus，read xатоьхŋ́бєєє．Most likely Ziegler is right that $\varkappa \alpha \tau о \varkappa \eta \sigma \varepsilon \tau \alpha 兀 ~ i s ~ a n ~ i t a c i s t i c ~ m i s s p e l l i n g ~ f o r ~ \varkappa \alpha \tau о \iota x \eta \sigma \varepsilon \tau \varepsilon . ~$
 TLG－disc）indicated that $\varkappa \alpha \tau о \iota \eta \quad \sigma \varepsilon \tau \alpha \iota$ should be taken as $x \alpha \tau о \iota \not ŋ \sigma \varepsilon \tau \varepsilon$ ， though nothing in the MS indicates that the readers of the MS took
 is taken as жатоь $\not \dot{\sigma} \sigma \tau \varepsilon$ ．

غ̇лi $\tau \tilde{\eta} \varsigma \gamma \tilde{\eta} \varsigma \tilde{\eta} \varsigma$ है $\delta \omega x \alpha$ ］For the relative attraction，see BDR，$\$ 294$ ，and Sollamo 1992， 45.

6．ỏлí $\sigma \omega$ ］Cf．2：5．

8．A stroke above the line in the MS indicates a new paragraph．Since v． 8 begins at the beginning of the line，there is no space within the text which could confirm the new paragraph．
9. $\delta \dot{\omega} \sigma \omega$... عiऽ ... عis ... عis] For a discussion of this expression, see 6:27 and 9:11.
10. ỏ ouŋ̀v $\mu$ ú@ov] For a discussion of this expression and its relation to the Hebrew text, see Ziegler 1958, 45-46, McKane 1986, 624, and Aejmelaeus 2002, 473-474.

12. $\dot{\varepsilon} v \tau \tilde{\varrho} \tau \lambda \eta \varrho \omega \vartheta \tilde{\eta} v \alpha u]$ For a discussion of this construction as typical of the Septuagint, see Wifstrand 2005, 32.
 MS.
 construction and its rendering, see 1:5.
 in the MS.

## 33. Concerning Ailam (25:14-26:1)

This section contains the first prophecy concerning the nations, which will be the subject of the following eleven sections. It should be noticed that these sections are placed at the end of the Hebrew version of Jeremiah. The first prophecy concerning the nations, which is directed against Ailam, comes quite unexpectedly, since there is nothing in the previous section that indicates that there should come a number of prophecies concerning the nations. The content of the prophecy against Ailam is that the Lord will destroy Ailam, but at the end of time he will restore those of Ailam who have been brought into captivity.

Linguistically interesting is the unique reading in Vaticanus with a missing negative in v .16 , which makes the cryptic content of the verse even more cryptic.
14. The indentation of the left margin in v. 14 follows the MS. т $\dot{\alpha} A t \lambda \alpha \mu]$ According to McKane these words are not original in the Septuagint. For a discussion of v. 14 and its relation to its Vorlage, see McKane 1996, 1108-1109. For a discussion of the oracle against At $\lambda \alpha \mu$, see Peels 2000.
15. бvvet@í $\beta \eta$ ] Gött. has $\sigma v v \tau \varrho \iota \beta \eta \dot{\tau} \tau \omega$.
tò $\tau o ́ \xi o v]$ Gött. has tó $\xi o v$.
 oưx is only missing in Vaticanus. Though the missing oư $x$ is most likely a scribal error, the text with ovix is not unproblematic. The nominative oi $\varepsilon \xi \xi \omega \sigma \mu \varepsilon ́ v o t$ Aı $\lambda \alpha \mu$ is not possible to construe with anything else. LXX (with oủx) seems to be a rendering of a text following MT. MT has the singular יבוא, which is rendered by $\ddot{\eta} \xi \varepsilon$. עילם without construction the same way as its rendering oi $\mathfrak{\varepsilon} \xi \xi \omega \sigma \mu \varepsilon \varepsilon^{2}$ At $\lambda \alpha \mu$ is left without construction. According to BHS, several MSS have the plural יבאו, which makes good sense, as would the plural rendering $\eta$ ŋ̈Fovoıv, provided that אשׁר is rendered by oṽ and not by ö. If Gött. gives the original translation, the translator most likely only made a very literal translation. Anyhow, it is hard to see how this text could have made any sense to the translator of Jeremiah.
17. $\pi \tau \sigma \eta(\sigma \omega \ldots$ évavtiov] For a discussion of the expression and of the literal rendering of $\varepsilon$ évavtiov by "before", see $1: 17$.



ỏлí $\sigma \omega$ ] Cf. 2:5.
$\mathfrak{\varepsilon} \xi \alpha \sim \alpha \lambda \tilde{\omega} \sigma \alpha \iota]$ It is not totally clear if the subject of $\varepsilon \pi \alpha \pi \sigma \sigma \tau \varepsilon \lambda \tilde{\omega}$ should also be taken as subject of $\mathfrak{\varepsilon} \xi \beta v \alpha \lambda \tilde{\omega} \sigma \alpha ı$, or if $\mu \dot{\alpha} \chi \alpha \iota \alpha \nu$ should be taken as the subject. Theodoret comments on $\mu \alpha \dot{\alpha} \alpha \varrho \alpha v$ : Thdt. Jer. 81.737
 xai tov́tovs عíซєл@á $\xi \alpha \tau о$ סíxas "Again he calls the Babylonians his own sword. For by them he also exacted penalty of them." Following Theodoret $\mu \dot{\alpha} \chi \alpha \varrho \alpha \nu$ is taken as subject of $\hat{\varepsilon} \xi \alpha v \alpha \lambda \tilde{\omega} \sigma \alpha \iota$ in the present translation.
 see 5:19. ג̉лобт@́́ $\psi \omega$ is a rendering of אשוב, but xaí seems to have no equivalent in MT. To introduce the apodosis by $x \alpha i$ is poor Greek; hence it is probable that the translator of Jeremiah made a literal translation of a text with וששבת. Thus the literal rendering "and I will return" in the present translation.
 sion of this Hebraistic expression, see BDR, §264.5.
xai $\alpha \pi \sigma \sigma \tau \varrho \varepsilon ́ \psi \omega] ~ G o ̈ t t . ~ h a s ~ \alpha ̉ \pi о \sigma \tau \varrho \varepsilon ́ \psi \omega . ~$

26:1. $\beta \alpha \sigma \iota \lambda \varepsilon$ v́ovto弓 $\left.\sum \varepsilon \delta \varepsilon x i o v ~ \beta \alpha \sigma ı \lambda \varepsilon ́ \omega \varsigma\right]$ For a discussion of the genitive absolute in the Septuagint, see Soisalon-Soininen 1987, 175-180.

## 34. Concerning Egypt (26:2-12)

The second prophecy concerning the nations is directed against Egypt. The Lord urges the Egyptian army to take up its weapons (ironically according to Chrysostom). He also turns to the mercenaries from Ethiopia, Libya and Lydia, with the same request. The reason is that the Lord will take vengeance on his enemies; the sword of the Lord will get drunk with their blood. Ironically (according to Chrysostom and Theodoret) the Lord asks for medicine for the wounded warriors, though there can be no cure.

This section contains a number of examples where the texts differ between the manuscripts. There is also one example where the text can be taken in various ways, and is taken in various ways by the early commentators.
2. The indentation of the left margin in v .2 follows the MS.
 makes poor sense without the superscription in v. 1 , which is missing in the Septuagint. The same dative is found in $29: 8 ; 30: 1,6,12 ; 31: 1$.

X œœиєıऽ] Gött. has X $\propto \varrho \chi \propto \mu \iota$.
I $\omega \alpha \varkappa \varepsilon \mu]$ Gött. has тoṽ I $\omega \alpha \varkappa \mu$.
3-4. According to Chrysostom this passage is ironical: Chrys. fr. in Jer. 64.1020 ท̂too $\varepsilon \mathfrak{l} \varrho \omega v \varepsilon v o ́ \mu \varepsilon v o s ~ \tau o v ̃ \tau o ́ ~ \varphi \eta \sigma \iota ~ " S u r e l y, ~ h e ~ s a y s ~ t h i s ~ i r o n i-~$ cally."
3. л@oo $\alpha \gamma \alpha \dot{\alpha} \gamma \varepsilon \varepsilon]$ could also be taken in the intransitive sense "advance".

 in the MS.
$\pi \varrho о \sigma \beta \dot{\alpha} \lambda \varepsilon \tau \varepsilon]$ Gött. has л@оß $\dot{\alpha} \lambda \varepsilon \tau \varepsilon$. люобß $\dot{\alpha} \lambda \varepsilon \tau \varepsilon$ is only found in Vaticanus.
5. aủroi] For the use of aưtoí as a demonstrative pronoun, see BDR, § 277.3 , and CS, $\$ 13$.
$\pi \tau o \tilde{\omega} v \tau \alpha \iota]$ Gött. has $\pi \tau o o \tilde{v} v \tau \alpha \iota . ~ o v$ is written above the line in the MS. For the confusion of forms in - $\alpha \omega$ and - $\varepsilon \omega$, see Thackeray 1909, 241-242. عiऽ tò ỏ $\pi i ́ \sigma \omega]$ Gött. has ỏ $\pi i ́ \sigma \omega$.
$\varphi v \gamma \tilde{\eta} \varepsilon \notin \varphi \cup \gamma \circ v]$ is a rendering of מנוס נסו. The figura etymologica of the Hebrew text is preserved in the Greek translation, as well as in the present translation, by "they fled a flight".
6. ท̉ $\sigma \vartheta \varepsilon ์ v \eta \sigma \varepsilon v]$ Gött. has $\rceil \sigma \vartheta \varepsilon ์ v \eta \sigma \alpha v$.
xai $\pi \varepsilon \pi \tau \dot{u} x \alpha \sigma ı v]$ Gött. has $\pi \varepsilon \pi \tau \dot{\omega} x \alpha \sigma \iota v$.
$\dot{\varepsilon} \pi i \quad \beta o \varrho \varrho \alpha ̃ v]$ can be taken either with the preceding or with the following. In the present translation Gött. is adopted, and it is taken with the following.
$\tau \dot{\alpha} \pi \alpha \varrho \dot{\alpha}$ тòv Ev̉ $\varphi \varrho \alpha \dot{\tau} \tau \eta v]$ It is not clear to what $\tau \alpha \dot{\text { refers. Brenton, who }}$ takes $\varepsilon$ ह̇лi $\beta$ o@@ $\tilde{\alpha} v$ with the preceding, translates "the forces at Euphrates", thus adding "forces". If $\varepsilon$ ह̇лi ßo@@ $\tilde{\alpha} v$ is taken with the following, $\tau \dot{\alpha} \pi \alpha \varrho \dot{\alpha}$
 to the place/places at Euphrates, hence it is rendered by "by Euphrates" in the present translation. $\pi \alpha \varrho \alpha \dot{1}$ is a rendering of עלדיד. For a discussion of the rendering $\pi \alpha \varrho \alpha$, see Sollamo 1979, 211.
8. $\mathfrak{\omega} \sigma \varepsilon i ́]$ Gött. has $\check{\omega} \varsigma$.

 is no stroke in the margin indicating a new paragraph.
$x \alpha \vartheta \omega \pi \lambda \iota \sigma \mu \varepsilon ́ v o \iota ~ o ̈ \pi \lambda o \iota \varsigma] ~ T h e ~ f i g u r a ~ e t y m o l o g i c a ~ o f ~ t h e ~ G r e e k ~ t e x t ~$ appears to have no equivalent in MT, but it is preserved in the present English translation by the rendering "armed with armour".
$\dot{\alpha} v \dot{\alpha} \beta \eta \tau \varepsilon]$ Gött. has $\dot{\alpha} v \alpha \lambda \dot{\alpha} \beta \varepsilon \tau \varepsilon$, which is a conjecture by Spohn. All MSS and versions have $\dot{\alpha} v \dot{\alpha} \beta \eta \tau \varepsilon$. For a discussion of the passage and its relation to the Hebrew text, see McKane 1996, 1116.
 are three examples of the same Hebrew expression in MT. In LXX the equivalent is $\tau \tilde{\varrho}$ xv@í $\varphi$ below and $\tau \tilde{\omega}$ xv@í $\varphi \in \tilde{\varrho} \tilde{\varphi}$ in 25:27. The same names are used in the expression נאם־אדני יהוה צבאות, for which LXX
 and $\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \varepsilon \iota$ xúgıs in 27:31. Apparently the translator of Jeremiah had a different Vorlage in these examples, but he also seems to have had some problems finding a good rendering.
$\grave{\eta} \mu \alpha ́ \chi \alpha!\alpha \overline{\chi v}]$ Gött. has $\mu \alpha ́ \chi \alpha!\alpha \alpha$ тoṽ $\chi v \varrho i ́ o v . ~$

Эvoia $\tau \tilde{\omega} \overline{\chi \omega}]$ Theodoret comments on the expression: Thdt. Jer.

 $\sigma \varphi \alpha \gamma \eta v^{\prime}$ "Because the Egyptians were punished for the impiety, and when God wanted it, they were killed, therefore he calls the slaughter of them a sacrifice."
$\tau \tilde{\omega} \overline{x \omega}]$ Cf. $\overline{\chi \omega} \tau \tilde{\varphi} \bar{\vartheta} \bar{\omega}$ above. For the definite article with $\chi \dot{\varrho} \varrho \iota o s$, see Introduction.
11. $\Gamma \alpha \lambda \alpha \alpha \delta]$ can be taken both as vocative, so Chrysostom, and as dative, so Theodoret and Olympiodorus: Chrys. fr. in Jer. 64.1020 Г $\alpha \lambda \alpha \dot{\alpha} \delta ~ \gamma \alpha ̀ \varrho ~$
 "For Galaad he calls the Israelites, naming the inhabitants by the place."


 as dative.
$\lambda \alpha \dot{\beta} \beta \varepsilon$... Aì Jeremiah is ironical in this passage: Chrys. fr. in Jer. 64.1020 xai toṽтo
 $\tau \alpha \tilde{\tau} \alpha$ лع@ıто́ "And this he said ironically, for whatever you do and however many allies you call, this is superfluous." Thdt. Jer. 81.709 عौ@ $\eta \gamma \varepsilon$
 this ironically showing the incurability of the suffering."
@ $\eta \tau \varepsilon \dot{i} \nu \eta v]$ Gött. has $\varrho \eta \tau i ́ v \eta \nu$.

Эuरat@i Aiरúлtov] Olympiodorus comments on the expression:
 $\zeta \varepsilon$ "Daughter of Egypt he calls the region around."
tò $\chi \varepsilon v o ́ v]$ Gött. has xevóv.
12. $\mu \alpha \chi \eta \tau \grave{\eta} \varsigma$ л@ò $\varsigma \alpha \alpha \not \eta \tau \eta \dot{ } \quad]$ Chrysostom comments on the expression:
 both ally and leader".
35. Egypt Will Be Punished. A Promise to Iakōb (26:13-28)

The third section concerning the nations is yet another prophecy against Egypt, but also quite unexpectedly a promise of future salvation for Israel. The destruction of Egypt has already begun, and it is executed by the king of Babylon. Most interesting, however, is the reference to the Greek sword, and especially the explanations and interpretations of the Greek sword given by the early commentators. Apparently, the Greek sword is a misinterpretation of the Hebrew text, most likely made by the original translator. Anyhow, the mercenaries of Egypt have fled and Egypt will be destroyed and brought into captivity. The people of Israel, on the other hand, will be saved and returned to its land, but it will not be left unpunished.

Beside the very interesting reference to the Greek sword, and the common literal renderings of the Hebrew text, this section contains a number of very literal renderings that should be mentioned. The first example is the expression $\dot{\varepsilon} v \chi \varepsilon \iota \varrho i ́$ in v. 13 , which is even commented upon by Olympiodorus. Second, there is a very literal absolute use of $x \alpha \tau о \varkappa \varepsilon ์ \omega$ in v. 19, and third, there is a literal but unusual rendering of a Hebrew infinite absolute in v. 28.
13. The indentation of the left margin in v. 13 follows the MS.

ह̇v $\chi \varepsilon \iota \varrho i$ I Iq@quıov] looks like a literal rendering of ביד ירמיהו, but MT has אל-ירמיהו here. Cf., e.g., 44:2, where $̇$ év $\chi \varepsilon \iota \varrho i ̀ I \varepsilon \varrho \varepsilon \mu \iota o v ~ i s ~ a ~$ literal rendering of ביד ירמיהו. For the Hebraizing use of $\varepsilon$ हैv $\chi$ גと@í instead of a preposition, see $\mathrm{BDR}, \S 217.2 \mathrm{C}$. Olympiodorus comments on the expression: Olymp. fr. Jer. $93.704 \lambda \varepsilon ́ \gamma \varepsilon \tau \alpha \iota ~ \Theta \varepsilon o ̀ s ~ \varepsilon ̉ v ~ \chi \varepsilon เ \varrho i ~ \lambda \alpha \lambda \varepsilon \tau ̃ v ~ \tau \tilde{\omega} v$


 hand of the prophets, because everything which the prophets heard that they should do, they did. For the deed is made by the hand. Or, since everything which is revealed by God is brought about by the prophets."
$\gamma \tilde{\eta} v]$ Gött. has $\tau \eta v \gamma \tilde{\eta} v$.
14. $\sigma \mu \varepsilon i \lambda \alpha x \alpha]$ Gött. has $\sigma \mu i \lambda \alpha x \alpha$. Chrysostom comments on $\sigma \mu i ̃ \lambda \alpha \xi$ :




 bindweed [ $\sigma \mu i \pi \lambda \xi]$ ] is a thorn extending widely, used mostly in the fields by those who make walls, because it is very trailing and it makes the walls firm."
15. For a discussion of the text of this verse and its relation to the Hebrew text, see Ziegler 1958, 96, and McKane 1996, 1127.
$\dot{\alpha} \pi o ̀ ~ \sigma o \tilde{u}]$ Not in Gött. $\alpha$ ỏ̀ ooṽ is only found in Vaticanus.
ó $\varepsilon$ モ̀ $\lambda \varepsilon \varkappa \tau o ́ \varsigma]$ is bracketed in Gött.
16. غ̈л

๙̉ло̀ люобஸ́лоv] Cf. 1:8.
$\left.\mu \alpha \chi \alpha i \varrho \alpha \varsigma^{\circ} E \lambda \lambda \eta \nu \iota x \tilde{\eta}_{\varsigma}\right]$ is a rendering of חרב היונה "destroying sword" (NRSV). For a discussion of the complicated Hebrew expression, which according to McKane is "ungrammatical", see McKane 1996, 1129. Cf. Sharp 1997, 494. According to Chrysostom ${ }^{\circ} E \lambda \lambda \eta v 1 \chi \eta{ }^{\circ} 5$ is a rendering
 יון is rendered by ${ }^{\circ}$ E $\lambda \lambda \alpha \dot{s}$ in Is. 66:19 and Ezek. 27:13. Chrys. fr. in Jer.




 has it thus: 'By a sharp and strong sword. The variation of interpretation is also due to the derived name. For 'Iōannan' refers to the Greeks, since 'Ionians' is derived from it. 'Iōna', on the other hand, refers to what is sharp and cutting. Thus, from the likeness, instead of 'a sharp sword' they interpret it as 'a Greek sword.'' The exegesis of Theodoret takes no account of the Hebrew text: Thdt. Jer. 81.712 عixòs $\mu$ ह̀v xaí



 with the Babylonian king. I suppose that he also predicts the power of the Macedonians against him. For when Alexander had put an end to the kingdom of the Egyptians, he forced them to serve the Macedonians." Olympiodorus' comment on the passage seems to combine the comments by Chrysostom and Theodoret: Olymp. fr. Jer. 93.704 oi $\alpha$ ảлò


 who were Greeks, were from of old subjects to tribute to the Babylonians, and they fought together with him in the wars. Hence, 'by a Greek sword' means the allies of the Babylonian." Cf. also 27:16 and 32:24. According to Sollamo 1979, 85, $\mu \alpha \chi \alpha i \varrho \alpha$ here stands "for both the weapon and its user".
17. x $\alpha \lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \sigma \alpha \tau \varepsilon$ tò oैvou $\alpha]$ For this expression and its translation, see 11:16.
$\Sigma \alpha \omega v \varepsilon \sigma \beta \varepsilon \iota \varepsilon \mu \omega \eta \delta]$ The Hebrew words שאון העביר המועד are left untranslated.
$\varepsilon \sigma \beta \varepsilon ⿺]$ Gött. has $\varepsilon \sigma \beta \mathrm{s}$.
18. тò 'It $\alpha \beta$ र́@ıov] scil. ő $\varrho о \varsigma=\Theta \alpha \beta \omega \varrho \varrho$ (Tabor). For mountains expressed adjectivally, see Thackeray 1909, 170.

 dering of יוששבת. The use of жatoнкє́ $\omega$ without a reference to the place inhabited is only found in Jeremiah (further 27:45; 28:1, 24, 35 bis) in the Septuagint and seems to be at least very rare outside the Septuagint. Thus the literal rendering "dwelling". Cf. 31:18 and 19, where participles of ישב are rendered by participles of $\chi \alpha ́ \vartheta \eta \mu \alpha ı$. For a discussion of the participle x $\alpha$ тожо $\tilde{\sigma} \sigma \alpha$ and its rendering, see 10:17.

عis ... हैбтou] For a discussion of the construction हĩval ... $\varepsilon i \zeta$, see 3:23. $\chi \lambda \eta \vartheta \vartheta \mid \sigma \varepsilon \tau \alpha \iota$ ov̉ai] Gött. has $\chi \alpha v \vartheta \vartheta \eta \dot{\sigma} \sigma \tau \alpha l$, which is a conjecture by Wutz. All MSS and versions have $x \lambda \eta \vartheta \neq \eta \varepsilon \varepsilon \tau \alpha \mathrm{L}$.


 by Ziegler and thus deleted. Cf. Ziegler 1958, 47, 100.
22. $\varphi \omega v \grave{\eta} \dot{\omega} \varsigma$ ő $\varphi \varepsilon \omega \varsigma$ бv@í̧ovtoऽ] Olympiodorus comments on the ex-

 that of a snake. Or of men groaning, he says, and fleeing. Because when the snake flees it hisses." For ov@íovtos and its relation to the Hebrew text, see Ziegler 1958, 24, and McKane 1996, 1132-1133.

ло@عv́ovtol] Gött. has ло@عv́бovtol.
$\dot{\alpha} \xi \varepsilon i v \alpha ı \varsigma] ~ G o ̈ t t . ~ h a s ~ \alpha ُ \xi i v o u s . ~$
23. $\pi \lambda \eta \vartheta \vartheta$ vivel] $\pi \lambda \eta \vartheta$ viva is usually the transitive counterpart of the intransitive $\pi \lambda \eta \vartheta \forall \dot{v} \omega$. The two forms seem to have been mixed up already before the time of the Septuagint, and the intransitive use of $\pi \lambda \eta \vartheta v^{v} v \omega$ should most likely not be ascribed to the translator of Jeremiah.
$\dot{v} \pi \dot{\varepsilon} \varrho \dot{\alpha} \varkappa \varrho i \delta \alpha]$ For the comparative use of $\dot{\chi} \pi \varepsilon \varrho \varrho$ with the accusative, see Johannessohn 1910, 45, Johannessohn 1926, 219, and CS, §94.

25. A $\mu \mu \omega v]$ Gött. has $A \mu \omega v$.
27. $\sigma \dot{1} \zeta(\omega v]$ Gött. has $\sigma \dot{\omega} \zeta \omega$.
$\tau \eta ̃ \varsigma \alpha i \chi \mu \alpha \lambda \omega \sigma i \alpha \varsigma]$ Gött. has $\gamma \tilde{\eta} \varsigma$ аi $\chi \mu \alpha \lambda \omega \sigma i \alpha \varsigma$.

[धै่งvะı)] is written above the line.
 absolute and finite verb of the same root. The figura etymologica of the Hebrew text has been preserved in the Greek translation. The figura etymologica has also been preserved in the translation "unpunished I will not leave you unpunished". For a discussion of the translation of this Hebrew construction, see 3:1.

36. Concerning Babylon (27:1-28:58)

The following section is the longest section of Jeremiah in Vaticanus, and it contains the whole of chapter 27 and most of chapter 28. The whole section is directed against Babylon, but nevertheless, after only three verses there is a quite unexpected prophecy concerning Israel. The first three verses draw up the theme of the section; haughty Babylon has been caught by an enemy from the north, and it will be destroyed. The prophecy concerning Israel, on the other hand, is about future salvation. The Lord will bring back his people to Sion, because he will keep his everlasting covenant. Moreover, the blame for the sins of the people is put on their leaders, who have led them astray, and turned them into prey for all their enemies. After this short parenthesis the prophecy again turns against Babylon. The Lord repeats that Babylon will be caught by an enemy from the north, but he also gives a first indication of the reason
for the destruction, viz. that Babylon has been plundering the inheritance of the Lord, and especially the joy and boasting over the plundering. As in the prophecy against Egypt there is a reference to the Greek sword, which again, of course, is the same misinterpretation of the Hebrew text as in the previous section. The first prophecy concerning Israel is followed up by yet another one a little later, but this time it forms a more integral part of the prophecy against Babylon, who is being punished because of its harshness against the people of Israel. Israel will be restored to its inheritance, and more importantly, the iniquity and the sins of Judah and Israel will be taken away, because the Lord will be merciful to them. After the second prophecy the rest of the section is devoted to the prophecy against Babylon, of which the reasons for the destruction form an important part. Beside the previously mentioned reasons, the resistance of Babylon against the Lord is also brought forward, the nature of which is not totally clear, although it is clear that Babylon was some kind of instrument in the hand of the Lord. The prophecy, which covers most of this section and goes on to the end of it, is a mixture of lengthy graphic descriptions of the destruction of Babylon that has already taken place and equally lengthy graphic descriptions of the coming destruction. Babylon will be utterly destroyed, and there is no cure or healing for Babylon. The Lord urges everyone to take part in the destruction, and particularly the king of the Medians is pointed out. At the same time all non-Babylonians are urged to flee in order to avoid the destruction. In the middle of the description of this destruction, there is a section, 28:15-19, presenting the Lord as creator and in addition pointing out the vanity of idolatry. This description is a repetition of 10:12-16.

Being the longest section of Jeremiah in Vaticanus, it has, of course, a large number of those common literal renderings which have been discussed earlier, as well as a number of more unique linguistic peculiarities, which will be noted in the following. The first example (27:7) is a reading where the first word can be taken either in the nominative or in the dative case. Anyhow, the rendering of the translator of the whole expression was most likely taken in a different way by the early readers than by the original translator himself, who most likely had something close to the Hebrew text in mind. Further, the four participles of $x \alpha \tau о \star \varepsilon \varepsilon \omega$ (27:45; $28: 1,24,35$ ) should be mentioned, although they are possible in Greek outside the Septuagint or texts related to the Septuagint. Nevertheless, the use of these participles is the result of very literal renderings of the Hebrew Vorlage. In 28:5 the translator of Jeremiah apparently had a Vor-
lage differing from MT, and the rendering produces a text in which the preposition $\dot{\alpha} \pi$ ó can be taken in an unusual, but not unparalleled, meaning, which seems to be confirmed by one of the early commentators. Very interesting is the section $28: 15-19$, which is a repetition of $10: 12-16$. In the Hebrew version the texts are almost identical, but in the Greek version the text in chapter 28 seems to be a fresh translation and not a copy of the translation found in chapter 10 . Thus the two translations form an excellent example of the variation in translation of the translator. Interesting is also the misinterpretation of a Hebrew place-name in 28:27 into $\alpha$ ơo $\tau \varepsilon$, which however, most likely is a misinterpretation not of the Hebrew text, but of an original transliteration of the original translator. Finally, in the last verse of the section, there are two finite verbs preceded by a negative, which could, and according to one of the early commentators should, be taken with both verbs. The opinion of the commentator is followed in the present translation. Interestingly enough, according to Ziegler the negative is most likely a product of the original translator, and has no equivalent in the Hebrew text.

1. The indentation of the left margin in $v .1$ follows the MS.

$\mathrm{B} \tilde{\eta} \lambda \mathrm{o}$ ] Gött. has [B $\eta \lambda$ ]. According to Ziegler 1958, 96, $\mathrm{B} \eta \lambda$ and the following л $\alpha \varrho \varepsilon \lambda \dot{v} \vartheta \vartheta \eta$ M $\alpha \varrho \omega \delta \alpha \chi$ are doublets of the original $\mathfrak{\eta} \alpha \dot{\alpha} \pi \tau \dot{\prime} \eta \tau o s$

 тои̃тo $x \alpha \lambda$ oṽoı, $\tau \iota v \varepsilon ̇ \varsigma ~ \delta \grave{~ K \varrho o ́ v o v ~ " I t ~ w a s ~ a n ~ i d o l ~ h i g h l y ~ h o n o u r e d ~ b y ~ t h e ~}$ Babylonians. Some call it Zeus and some Cronos."
$\pi \alpha \varrho \delta o ́ v \vartheta \eta ~ M \alpha \iota \omega \delta \alpha \chi]$ Gött. has [ $\pi \alpha \varrho \varepsilon \lambda v ́ \vartheta \vartheta \eta ~ M \alpha \varrho \omega \delta \alpha \chi]$, cf. B $\eta$ 亿os above. $\pi \alpha \varrho \varepsilon \lambda v i \vartheta \eta$ is a conjecture by Spohn. All MSS and versions have л $\varrho \varrho \varepsilon \delta o ́ \vartheta \vartheta \eta$. Cf. Ziegler 1958, 24-25. According to Thackeray 1909, 99$100, \pi \propto \varrho \delta o ́ \vartheta \eta$ in Vaticanus is a syncopated form of $\pi \alpha \varrho \varepsilon \delta o ́ \vartheta \eta . \varepsilon$ is written above the line in the MS. The spelling Matw $\alpha \alpha x$ is only found in Vaticanus. Most MSS have Malwdax. Theodoret comments on Mal-
 Maı@ $\omega \dot{\alpha} \chi$ © $\omega v o ́ \mu \alpha \sigma \alpha v$ "And they called the first king of the Babylonians Mairōdach."
2. हैधvos ... oṽ̃os] is a rendering of גוי ... The masculine pronoun oṽ̃тos corresponds to the neuter noun हैधvos. Either it is a constructio ad sensum or oũंtos is just a literal rendering of the masculine pronoun הוא.
 construction and its rendering, see 1:5.
3. oi vioí] Gött. has vioí.
$\left.\chi \lambda \varepsilon \varepsilon_{0} v \tau \varepsilon \varsigma\right]$ Gött. has $x \lambda \alpha i o v \tau \varepsilon \varsigma . \alpha u$ is written above the line in the MS.
uòv $\overline{x v}$ ] is a rendering of את־יהוה. For the use of the definite article with $x u ́ g \iota o s$, see Introduction.
4. $\Sigma \varepsilon t \omega v]$ Gött. has $\Sigma t \omega v$.
 found in 49:15; 2 Chr. 20:3; Ezek. 15:7; Dan. 9:3; 10:12,15; 11:17, 18, Tob. 3:12, but seems to be at least very rare outside the Septuagint and texts related to the Septuagint. Thus the very literal translation "they will set their face".
5. x $\left.\alpha \tau \alpha v \alpha \lambda_{\iota} \sigma x o v\right]$ For the imperfect without augment, see Thackeray 1909, 260. For the conative sense of the imperfect, see Smyth 1956, $\$ 1895$, and Wallace 1995, 550-552.
 has the dative vouñ instead of the nominative vouท'. ठıxьooúvŋs could be taken as a genitive of quality, and perhaps this was the intention of the translator of Jeremiah, but the early commentators do not seem to have taken it as a genitive of quality. Olympiodorus comments on the expression: Olymp. fr. Jer. $93.713 \mu \varepsilon \tau \grave{\alpha} \tau \eta ̀ v$ íбтo@íav, J@òs toùs лıбтoùs ó $\lambda$ ó

 $\dot{\omega} \sigma \alpha v \varepsilon i x \tau \eta$ то@оs "After the narrative the word is directed to the faithful. For they, he says, are a pasture of God for righteousness, chosen by God. For since God had chosen their fathers and had brought them out of Egypt, naturally he also calls them sheep and pasture of God as if he was an owner."
$\tau \tilde{\omega} \overline{\chi \omega}]$ is a rendering of ליהוה. For the definite article with xúgıos, see Introduction.
 3:23.
$\dot{\varepsilon} v \pi \lambda \eta \sigma \vartheta \eta \dot{\eta} \sigma о \nu \tau \alpha \iota]$ Gött. has $\dot{\varepsilon} \mu \pi \lambda \eta \sigma \vartheta \eta \dot{\eta} \sigma \sigma \tau \tau \alpha \iota . \mu$ is written above the line in the MS.
 MS. For the augment, see BDR, $\S 67.1 \mathrm{c}$.
6. $\left.\mu \eta \prime \tau \eta \varrho \varepsilon \dot{\varepsilon} \pi^{\prime} \dot{\alpha} \gamma \alpha \vartheta \dot{\alpha}\right]$ For a discussion of the relation to the Hebrew text, see Sharp 1997, 498.
$\dot{\varepsilon} \sigma \chi \alpha \dot{\alpha} \tau \eta \dot{\varepsilon} \vartheta v \tilde{\omega} v \varepsilon ้ \varrho \eta \mu \circ \varsigma$ ] is strange. Thus the literal translation. According to McKane 1996, 1264, " $\varepsilon \sigma \chi \alpha ́ \tau \eta ~ \varepsilon ُ \vartheta v \tilde{\omega} v ~ ह ै \varrho \eta \mu o s ~ i s ~ e v e n ~ m o r e ~$ cryptic than MT".

$\pi \tilde{\alpha} \sigma \alpha]$ seems to be a rendering of כלה. The absolute use of $\pi \tilde{\alpha} \sigma \alpha$ and its position are strange. Thus the literal rendering "all of her" at the end of the clause. Alexandrinus and several other manuscripts have $\pi \tilde{\alpha} \sigma \alpha \dot{\eta}$ $\gamma \tilde{\eta}$.
7. $\pi \alpha ́ v \tau \varepsilon \varsigma ~ \tau \varepsilon i ́ v o v \tau \varepsilon \varsigma] ~ \tau \varepsilon i v o v \tau \varepsilon \varsigma ~ i s ~ a ~ p r e d i c a t i v e ~ p a r t i c i p l e, ~ t h o u g h ~ t h e ~$ translator most likely just made a literal translation of the Vorlage, כל. Anyhow, there seems to be no reason to believe that the readers took it as a predicative participle. Thus it is rendered as an attributive participle in the present translation. Cf. Ziegler 1958, 144.

тó $\xi$ ov $\tau 0 \xi \varepsilon v \dot{\sigma} \sigma \tau \varepsilon]$ seems to be a rendering of קששת ידו. Apparently the figura etymologica in the Greek text has no equivalent in the Vorlage, but it is preserved in the English translation by "the bow; shoot with bow and arrow".
甲 $\varepsilon$ íסoual with $\varepsilon$ ėлí, see 15:5.
 by Schleusner. Almost all MSS and versions have $\varkappa \alpha \tau \alpha \varkappa \varrho \alpha \tau \eta ं \sigma \alpha \tau \varepsilon . ~ C f . ~$ McKane 1996, 1266.
$\alpha v ̉ \tau \eta \prime v]$ Gött. has $\begin{gathered} \\ \pi \\ \\ \alpha v ̉ \tau \eta \prime v . ~\end{gathered}$


16. $x \alpha \tau \varepsilon ́ \chi o v \tau \alpha]$ Gött. has xai x $\alpha \tau \varepsilon ́ \chi o v \tau \alpha$. Without $x \alpha i ́ t h e ~ n e u t e r ~ \sigma л \varepsilon ́ \varrho \mu \alpha$ and the masculine $x \alpha \tau \varepsilon ́ \chi o v \tau \alpha$ can be taken as a constructio ad sensum.

а̉лò л@обஸ́лоv] Cf. 1:8.
$\left.\mu \alpha \chi \alpha i \varrho \alpha \varsigma^{\circ} E \lambda \lambda \eta v \iota x \tilde{\eta} \varsigma\right]$ Cf. 26:16. Theodoret comments on the expression: Thdt. Jer. 81.741 л@ò т $\eta$ ऽ B $\alpha \beta \lambda \tilde{\omega} v o \varsigma, ~ \Lambda v \delta o u ̀ \varsigma ~ o ́ ~ K u ̃ \varrho o s ~ x \alpha \tau \varepsilon-~$


 Babylon．He also subjected the Ionians and the Aeolians．These had emigrated from Greece and inhabited Asia．Accordingly，Cyrus brought them too，when he marched against Babylon．＂

甲عúgetal］Gött．has $\varphi \varepsilon u ́ \xi o v \tau \alpha l$.
17．ó л＠थ̃tos ．．．ỏøtã גủtoṽ］Olympiodorus comments on the verse：



 лаvтòs ó́matos＂＇First the king of Assour ate him＇．Being Assyrian Senakēreim first ate the ten tribes，who were flesh，i．e．，he took them captive．＇And afterwards this one his bones＇．Naboukodonosor．He calls Iouda＇bones＇since he is a structure for the whole body．＂
üбtع＠ov］Gött．has ó v̈бtع＠os．
 81.744 ब̉v七і то⿱̃，$\tau \tilde{\omega} v$ л＠ол $\alpha$ то́＠$\omega v \tau \dot{\alpha} \lambda \varepsilon \varepsilon^{\prime} \psi \alpha v \alpha$＂This means the remains of the ancestors．＂

18．A stroke above the line in the MS indicates a new paragraph．Since v． 18 begins at the beginning of the line，there is no space within the text which could confirm the new paragraph．
 For a discussion of $\grave{\varepsilon} \chi \delta \iota x \varepsilon ́ \omega$ with $\varepsilon$ ह̇лí，see 15：3． $\langle\beta \alpha \sigma ı \lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \alpha\rangle]$ is written in the margin in the manuscript．

20．$\dot{\alpha} \delta ı x \varepsilon i ́ \alpha v]$ Gött．has $\alpha$ ỏ $\delta x i \alpha \chi$ ． $\varepsilon i ́ \lambda \varepsilon \omega \varsigma]$ Gött．has íl $\lambda \varepsilon \omega \varsigma$ ．

 second example of $\dot{\varepsilon} \pi \pi^{\prime} \alpha u ̉ \tau \eta \prime v$ in this verse is a plus in LXX，which makes poor sense．Further，ėx $\begin{aligned} & \text { פíx } \\ & \text { ，woov is a rendering of } \\ & \text { which is a place－}\end{aligned}$ name in MT．

23．غ่ $\varkappa \lambda \alpha \dot{\alpha} \sigma \vartheta \eta]$ Gött．has $\sigma v v \varepsilon \mu \lambda \alpha ́ \sigma \vartheta \vartheta \eta$ ．

24．$\dot{\varepsilon} \pi \iota \beta \dot{\eta} \sigma 0 v \tau \alpha \iota]$ Gött．has $\dot{\varepsilon} \pi \iota \vartheta \eta{ }^{\prime} \sigma o v \tau \alpha \iota . \vartheta$ is written above the line．
xai ov̉ $\gamma v \omega ́ \sigma \eta ~ \omega ̣ s ~ B \alpha \beta v \lambda \omega ́ v ~ x \alpha i ~ \alpha ̀ \lambda \omega ́ \sigma \eta!] ~ G o ̈ t t . ~ h a s ~ x \alpha i ~ \alpha ~ \alpha \lambda \omega ́ \sigma \eta!, ~$

$\tau \tilde{\varphi} \overline{\chi \omega}]$ is a rendering of ביהוה．For the definite article with xúgıs，see Introduction．

25．ท้vv $v \varepsilon v$ ］Gött．has ท้vot $\xi \varepsilon v$ ．ot is written above the line．The form $\eta ้ v v \xi \varepsilon v$ is hardly possible（never found on the TLG－disc），and the com－ mentaries have all read $\eta \geqslant o \iota \xi \varepsilon v$ ．Hence $\eta \geqslant v \varepsilon \varepsilon \varepsilon v$ is taken as $\eta \geqslant o \iota \xi \varepsilon v$ ．Cf． $\alpha{ }_{\alpha} v^{\prime} \dot{\xi} \alpha \tau \varepsilon$ in v．26，Thackeray 1909，94，and Introduction．
$\tau \tilde{\omega} \overline{\chi \omega}]$ Cf．26：10．For the definite article with $x \cup ́ \varrho \iota \_\zeta$ ，see Introduction．

26．$\dot{\varepsilon} \varrho \alpha v v \eta \dot{\eta} \sigma \alpha \tau \varepsilon]$ Gött．has $\dot{\varepsilon} \varrho \varepsilon v v \eta \dot{\eta} \sigma \tau \varepsilon$ ．$\varepsilon$ is written above the line in the MS．
$\varkappa \alpha \tau \alpha \dot{\lambda} \mu \mu \mu \alpha$ ］Gött．has $x \alpha \tau \alpha \dot{\lambda} \varepsilon ц \mu \mu . \varepsilon$ is written above the line in the MS．


28．A stroke above the line in the MS indicates a new paragraph．Since v． 18 begins at the beginning of the line，there is no space within the text which could confirm the new paragraph．
$\Sigma \varepsilon \iota \omega v]$ Gött．has $\Sigma t \omega v$ ．
 and t ．

то⿱̃ Iб＠aŋ入］Gött．has Iб＠aŋ入．

31．ن́ $\beta$＠íбт＠$\varepsilon \iota \alpha v]$ Gött．has vißoíot＠ıav．
$\lambda \varepsilon \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \varepsilon \iota \bar{x}]$ Cf．26：10．
ó xaı＠ós］Gött．has xaı＠ós．
 but it is more likely to refer to $\eta \dot{\eta} \dot{\cup} \beta \varrho i \sigma \tau \varrho \varepsilon \iota \alpha$ ，especially since the following $\alpha \cup ̉ \tau \tilde{\eta} \varsigma$ obviously refers to $\dot{\eta} \dot{\cup} \beta \varrho i ́ \sigma \tau \varrho \varepsilon \iota \alpha$ ．

33．oi vioì ．．．oi vioí］Gött．has vioì ．．．vioí．
 the MS．
34. 火@íбเv x@عıvعі̃] is a rendering of ריב יריב, i.e., infinitive absolute with finite verb of the same root. The figura etymologica of the Hebrew text has been preserved in the Greek translation as well as in the present English translation. For a discussion of the translation of this Hebrew construction, see 3:1.
 1934, 501.

๗@عเvยi] Gött. has $\varkappa \varrho เ ข \varepsilon ะ ̃ . ~$
35-38. A verb has to be supplied, preferably a form of $\varepsilon \pi v a l$. For the omission of forms of $\varepsilon \tilde{v} v \alpha$, see Smyth 1956, §944-945, McKay 1994, 66, and BDR, §127-128.
37. $\sigma \dot{\prime} \mu \mu \iota \tau \tau v]$ is a rendering of ערב. Gött. has oú $\mu \mu \varepsilon เ x \tau о v$. There are another four examples of the same Hebrew noun in MT, two in Jeremiah (32:6, 10 (MT 25:20, 24)) rendered by $\sigma \dot{\mu} \mu \iota \nsim \tau \circ \varsigma$, and one each in Ex. 12:38 and Neh. 13:3, both rendered by $\grave{\varepsilon} \pi i ́ \mu ı$ тоз. Hence, if there was a reference in the Hebrew text of Jeremiah to Ex., this reference was most likely not noticed by the reader of the Greek translation. Cf. McKane 1986, 637.
$\dot{\varepsilon} v \mu \varepsilon ́ \sigma \omega]$ Cf. 12:16.
38. oṽ $x \alpha \tau \varepsilon x \alpha v \chi \tilde{\omega} v \tau o]$ Gött. has $x \alpha \tau \varepsilon \chi \alpha v \chi \tilde{\omega} v \tau \tau$. It should be noted that the relative pronoun oṽ also could be accentuated as the negative oư. The scribe who added the accents in Vaticanus wrote o $\tilde{\tilde{v}}$, which is also the text translated in the present translation.
39. iv $\delta \alpha \dot{\lambda} \mu \alpha \tau \alpha]$ Theodoret comments on iv $\delta \alpha \dot{\lambda} \lambda \mu \alpha \tau \alpha$ : Thdt. Jer. 81.745 $i v \delta \alpha ́ \lambda \mu \alpha \tau \alpha \delta \dot{\varepsilon} \tau \grave{\alpha} \varsigma \tau \tilde{\omega} v \delta \alpha ц \mu o ́ v \omega v$ pavtaбias xа入عĩ "'Appearances' he calls the illusions of the demons."

42. $\dot{\varepsilon} v \chi \varepsilon$ ¢@ídıov] Gött. has $\dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \chi \varepsilon \iota \varrho i \delta \iota o v . ~ \gamma$ is written above the line in the

 $\dot{\varepsilon} v \tau \tilde{n} \chi \varepsilon \iota \varrho i \varphi \varepsilon ́ \varrho \varepsilon \sigma \vartheta \alpha \iota$ "I.e., shield. For this interprets the $\dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \chi \varepsilon \iota \varrho i ́ \delta ı o v$ by the 'to carry in the hand'". The term $\varepsilon$ è $\gamma \chi \varepsilon \iota$ @ítov seems to be applicable to any hand-weapon including a shield. The mere fact that Chrysostom comments on such a common term implies that his comment is more
of an exegesis than an interpretation. Hence $\dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \chi \varepsilon$ ¢i $\delta \iota o v$ is rendered by "hand-weapon" in the present translation.
 the figura etymologica in the Greek text has no equivalent in the Vorlage, but it is preserved in the English translation by "on horses they will ride like horsemen".
 line in the MS.
 etymologica of the Hebrew text is preserved in the Greek translation and in the English translation by "heard ... hearsay".
$\alpha u ̉ \tau o v ́ \varsigma] ~ G o ̈ t t . ~ h a s ~ \alpha u ̉ \tau o v ̃ . ~$

44. $\lceil\alpha \imath \vartheta \alpha v]$ Gött. has Aıvov.
 ings of עצת ... יעץ ... מחשבותיו ... חשׁ. The figurae etymologicae of the Hebrew text are preserved in the Greek translation and in the present English translation by "the decision ... he has decided ... plans ... he has planned".
 of יששבי .ישׁבי כשדים is not found in MT, but in several other MSS. The construction of an attributive participle of $\chi \alpha \tau 0 \kappa x \varepsilon \omega$ with a proper noun, but without an object, i.e., without indicating the place being inhabited, is only found in another three examples in Jeremiah ( $28: 1,24,35$ )
 oтuu. The construction seems to be very rare outside the Septuagint and text related to the Septuagint too, though not without parallel: Acts

 the literal rendering "the inhabiting Chaldeans" in the present translation.

On the other hand, the construction of the participle of xatoн $\varepsilon \dot{\varepsilon} \omega$, used as a substantive and followed by a proper noun indicating the place inhabited, is very common in the Septuagint, e.g., 25:2 toù $\varsigma$ xatouxoũv$\tau \alpha \varsigma$ Iع@ovo $\alpha \lambda \eta$ and 28:12 tov̀s xatoнкоũvтаऽ B $\alpha \beta v \lambda \tilde{\omega} v \alpha$. Apparently, the fact that כשׂדים can be used both of Chaldea and of the Chaldeans encouraged the translator of Jeremiah to use the quite rare construction
"the inhabiting Chaldeans" instead of the more common "the inhabitants of Chaldea".
$\dot{\varepsilon} \dot{\alpha} v \mu \dot{\eta} \ldots \dot{\varepsilon} \dot{\alpha} v \mu \eta \dot{\eta}]$ is a rendering of אa-לא .... אם־לא. For a discussion of this well-known Hebraism and its translation, see 15:11.
 most likely not what the translator of Jeremiah had in his Vorlage. Instead it is likely that he had ישבי כשדים, which appears to be the Vorlage of
 in 28:24 and of tov̀ $\varkappa \alpha$ тоוжои̃vтаऽ X $\alpha \lambda$ д $\alpha$ iovऽ in 28:35. For a discussion of the Hebrew text, see McKane 1996, 1295-1296.
2. ن́ß@ıбто́s ... xavงßßoíoovovv] is apparently a rendering of זרים וזרו, but זרים is not taken as plural of זָר "stranger" as in MT, but as a plural participle of זרה, thus forming the figura etymologica which is preserved in the Greek translation and also in the present English translation. For a discussion of the Hebrew text, see McKane 1996, 1296.
3. $\tau \varepsilon เ v \varepsilon ́ \tau \omega$ ó tívตv] seems to be a rendering of ידרך הדרך. MT seems to be corrupt; cf. McKane 1996, 1297. Anyhow, the figura etymologica, which the translator of Jeremiah apparently read in his Vorlage, is preserved in the Greek translation, as well as in the present translation by "Let him who draws ... draw".
$\tau i v \omega v]$ Gött. has $\tau \varepsilon i v \omega v$. A secondary $\varepsilon$ is written between $\tau$ and $\iota$ in the MS.
roi $\left.\mu \eta{ }^{\prime}\right]$ Gött. has $\mu \eta$.
甲عíסouaı with દ̇лí, see 15:5.
$\pi \tilde{\alpha} \sigma \alpha v \tau \eta ̀ v \delta u ́ v \alpha \mu \nu v]$ is a rendering of כל־צבא. For the addition of the article, see 44:10.
5. $\alpha$ ג̇ò $\tau \tilde{\omega} v ~ \dot{\alpha} \gamma i(\omega v]$ MT has מקדושש ישׂראל, i.e., it refers to the Holy One of Israel, while the translator of Jeremiah apparently read מקדושי ישׂראל. ג̇ת מן מן is rendered by "before (NRSV) or "against" (McKane 1996, 1299). However, these meanings most likely were neither the intention of the translator nor what the reader perceived. Cf., however, Brenton and NETS, who both translate "against the holy things". Olympiodorus comments on this verse: Olymp.


fullness, he says, of the iniquity of the Chaldeans was to transfer both the holy vessels and holy men to Babylon." Obviously, Olympiodorus took $\tau \tilde{\omega} v \dot{\alpha} \gamma \dot{\gamma}(\omega v$ as masculine, since he includes both vessels and men in what was transferred to Babylon. Further, it seems that he took $\dot{\alpha} \pi$ ó
 rendering "without" in the present translation.


$\dot{\alpha} \pi о \varrho \iota \varphi \tilde{\eta} \tau \varepsilon]$ Gött. has $\dot{\alpha} \pi о \varrho \varrho \iota \varphi \tilde{\eta} \tau \varepsilon$. $\varrho$ is written above the line in the MS.
 figura etymologica of the Greek text has no equivalent in the text of MT, but is preserved in the English translation by "he ... recompenses ... with a recompense".
«ủtó $\varsigma]$ For the use of $\alpha$ ủtós as a personal pronoun, see $\operatorname{BDR}, \$ 277.3$, and CS, $\$ 13$.

甲о́@иоха "Instead of: bring her healing drugs".
@ $\eta \tau \varepsilon i v \eta v$ ] Gött. has $\varrho \eta \tau i v \eta \nu$.

9. $\dot{\varepsilon} v \chi \alpha \tau \alpha \lambda i \pi \omega \mu \varepsilon v]$ Gött. has $\dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \gamma \alpha \tau \alpha \lambda i \pi \omega \mu \varepsilon v . \gamma$ is written above the line in the MS.
10. $\varepsilon$ ह̉v] Gött. has عis.
$\Sigma \varepsilon \omega \omega v]$ Gött. has $\Sigma \omega \omega v$.
นoṽ ७รоข̃] Gött. has ७รoṽ.
11. т̀̀ऽ ¢а@ह́т@аऽ] seems to be a rendering of השלטים. For a discussion of the Vorlage, see McKane 1996, 1304. Cf. v. 12.
$\overline{\pi v \alpha} \beta \alpha \sigma i \lambda \varepsilon ́ \omega \varsigma ~ M \eta ́ \delta \omega v]$ In Vaticanus $\pi v \varepsilon \tilde{v} \mu \alpha$ is written as a nomen sacrum. For nomina sacra, cf. Introduction.
$\lambda \alpha o \tilde{v}]$ Gött. has vooũ. Cf. Ziegler 1958, 48.

 literal translation "set up quivers". Cf. v. 11.
13. $火 \alpha \tau \alpha \sigma x \eta v o v ̃ v \tau \alpha \varsigma] ~ G o ̈ t t . ~ h a s ~ \varkappa \alpha \tau \alpha \sigma \chi \eta v o \tilde{v} \sigma \alpha$.

عis $\tau \dot{\alpha} \sigma \pi \lambda \alpha \dot{\gamma} \chi \vee \alpha \sigma 0 v]$ is strange. Hence the literal rendering. According to BHS it is a rendering of במעיך. Cf. Sharp 1997, 503.
14. $x \alpha \tau \dot{\alpha}$ тo $\tilde{v} \beta \varrho \alpha \chi \varepsilon$ íovos] Both Theodoret and Olympiodorus give the same comment on this expression: Thdt. Jer. 81.749 and Olymp. fr. Jer.

ß@ $\alpha \chi$ عíovos] Gött. has $\beta \varrho \alpha \chi$ íovos.
$\alpha \dot{\alpha} \not \varrho \varepsilon i ́ \delta \omega v]$ Gött. has $\dot{\alpha} x \varrho i ́ \delta \omega v$.
 Olymp. fr. Jer. 93.717 ảvtì тoṽ, ỏveıठ'ֹ̧ovtés $\sigma \varepsilon$ "Instead of 'reproaching you"'
oi $x \alpha \tau \alpha \beta \alpha i v o v \tau \varepsilon \varsigma]$ makes poor sense. Most likely it is a misinterpretation of הידד, which the translator of Jeremiah read as הירד.

15-19. In MT these verses are almost identical to 10:12-16. For a discussion of the differences in the Greek translation, see 10:12-16.
 1909, 159 n., $\tilde{\eta} \chi \circ \varsigma$ is accusative. Theodoret comments on the passage:
 teaches the peal accomplished by the clouds of thunder." For a discussion of this construction and its rendering, see 1:5.
$\tau \tilde{\omega} v \vartheta \eta \sigma \alpha v \varrho \tilde{\omega} v]$ Gött. has $\vartheta \eta \sigma \alpha v \varrho \tilde{\omega} v$.
17. For the interpretation of this verse, see $10: 14$.
$\overline{\pi v \alpha}$ ] In Vaticanus $\pi v \varepsilon \tilde{v} \mu \alpha$ is written as a nomen sacrum. For nomina sacra, cf. Introduction.
20. סı $\alpha \sigma \chi о \varrho \pi i \zeta \varepsilon ı \varsigma ~ . . . ~ \varepsilon ै \vartheta v \eta] ~ A c c o r d i n g ~ t o ~ M c K a n e ~ 1996, ~ 1310, ~ t h e ~ V o r-~$ lage of $\delta \iota \alpha \sigma$ но@лi弓eıs is a noun מפץ, with the sense "sledgehammer, battleaxe", which was taken as a Hiphil participle of נפץ. Theodoret com-



 used your weapons against my people, you have hurled both arrows and spears against them, I will also scatter nations among you. This is what he says: I too will scatter and disperse the nations who have come to help you ...".
21. غ̉лı $\beta \dot{\alpha} \tau \eta v]$ Gött. has $\alpha, v \alpha \beta \dot{\alpha} \tau \eta v$.
23. ot@atๆүov́s oov] Gött. has ot@atท equivalent in MT.
 its literal rendering, see 27:45.
$\Sigma \varepsilon \iota \omega v]$ Gött. has $\Sigma \iota \omega v$.
$\varkappa \alpha \tau^{\prime}$ ỏ $\left.\varphi \vartheta \alpha \lambda \mu \circ v ́ \varsigma\right]$ Cf. 19:10.
25. દ̉лì $\tau \tilde{\omega} v \pi \varepsilon \tau \varrho \tilde{\omega} v]$ Gött. has $\alpha$ ふ̀ò $\tau \tilde{\omega} v \pi \varepsilon \tau \varrho \tilde{\omega} v$.

ठஸ́б $\omega$.. $\dot{\omega}$ ] Cf. 6:27.

 $\alpha i \delta \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \omega v i \alpha \iota ~ \sigma u v \alpha ́ \pi \tau o v \sigma ı v " F o r ~ t h e ~ f o u n d a t i o n-s t o n e s ~ c a r r y ~ t h e ~ b u i l d i n g ~$ while the corner-stones join it together."
 3:23.
27. $̇ ่ \pi i \quad \tau \tilde{\eta} \varsigma \gamma \tilde{\eta} \varsigma]$ is a rendering of בארץ. According to McKane 1996, 1317, בארץ should be taken as "'throughout the world' rather than 'in the land'". McKane might be right, but since most translations seem to take it as "in the land" rather than "throughout the world", it is reasonable to believe that the ancient readers took it that way too. Thus the rendering "in the land" in the present translation.
$\left.\beta \varepsilon \lambda_{0 \sigma \tau \alpha} \sigma \varepsilon \iota \varsigma\right]$ is, according to McKane 1996, 1318, a misunderstanding of טפסר, which means "military officer of high rank".
 Apparently, the figura etymologica of the Greek text has no equivalent in MT. There seems to be no reason to suppose a different Vorlage, but the figura etymologica is rather created by the translator. It should also be noted that the word order of MT is not followed and that the change of word order is most likely also due to the translator. Perhaps he wanted to soften the figura etymologica. Anyhow, the figura etymologica and the word order of the Greek text are preserved in the English translation.
$\dot{\alpha} \gamma \mathrm{\alpha} \sigma \alpha \tau \varepsilon]$ Origen comments on the expression: Or. fr. 42 in Jer. iò
 "The 'consecrate nations' means to separate. For the consecration is the
service of God". Theodoret and Olympiodorus too, Thdt. Jer. 81.752 and Olymp. fr. Jer. 93.720, interpret $\alpha \mathfrak{\alpha} \iota \alpha ́ \sigma \alpha \tau \varepsilon$ as $\alpha$ ỏpo@í $\sigma \alpha \tau \varepsilon$.
$\beta \alpha \sigma ı \lambda \varepsilon \tau ̃ \varsigma]$ Gött. has $\beta \alpha \sigma ı \lambda \varepsilon i ́ \alpha ı \varsigma$.
 rendering of אררט מני ואשכנז, i.e., three proper nouns "Ararat, Minni, and Ashkenaz" (NRSV). The misinterpretation of מני (for ממני 'Anto J is quite clear and perhaps made by the original translator of Jeremiah. The misinterpretation of אררט into $\alpha \varrho \alpha \tau \varepsilon$ is more difficult. Perhaps it is a secondary misinterpretation of an original transliteration of אררט into A@ $\alpha \varrho \varepsilon \tau$, which was subsequently taken as $\nprec \varrho \alpha \tau \varepsilon$. This misinterpretation could have been facilitated by $\alpha \varrho \alpha \tau \varepsilon$ at the beginning of the verse, and by the previous misinterpretation of מני into $\pi \alpha \varrho^{\prime} \varepsilon \in \mu o \tilde{v}$. The dative रoĩs A $\sigma \chi \alpha v \alpha$ ¢́oıs is most likely due to an original dative $\beta \alpha \sigma \iota \lambda \varepsilon$ íaıs instead of $\beta \alpha \sigma \iota \lambda \varepsilon \tilde{\imath} \varsigma$. It is hard to see how the text in Vaticanus could have made any sense to the reader. Unfortunately, the early commentaries on this verse comment on a different text.

๙้@ $\alpha \tau \varepsilon]$ Gött. has A@ $\varrho \varrho \varepsilon \tau$.
A $\sigma \chi \alpha v \alpha \zeta$ ćoıs] Gött. has A $\sigma \chi \alpha v \alpha \zeta \alpha$ ioıs.
28. $\alpha \sim \alpha \beta \iota \beta \alpha ́ \sigma \alpha \tau \varepsilon]$ Gött. has $\dot{\alpha} \gamma \iota \alpha ́ \sigma \alpha \tau \varepsilon$.

тoùऽ бт@ $\alpha \tau \eta$ оúऽ] Gött. has o七@ $\alpha \tau \eta \gamma$ оús.
 this construction and its rendering, see 1:5.


 besiege her."
$\left.\mu \circ \chi \lambda o^{\prime}\right]$ Gött. has $\mu \mathrm{o} \chi \lambda$ oí. $\chi$ is written above the line.

 The figurae etymologicae of the Hebrew text are preserved in the Greek translation as well as in the English translation. Chrysostom comments on the first example: Chrys. fr. in Jer. 64.1033 тovt $\sigma \tau \tau, ~ \varphi \varepsilon v ́ \gamma \omega v ~ \alpha ̉ \pi \alpha v-$
 will meet an enemy, and that one will pursue, so that he will be caught."
32. oi $\alpha \nsim \delta \delta \varrho \varepsilon \varsigma]$ Gött. has $\alpha \not v \delta \varrho \varepsilon \varsigma$.
 The figura etymologica of the Greek text has no equivalent in MT, but is preserved in the English translation.
34. A stroke above the line in the MS indicates a new paragraph. Since v. 34 begins at the beginning of the line, there is no space within the text which could confirm the new paragraph.

бxóтoऽ] Gött. has $\sigma \chi \varepsilon \tilde{v} o \varsigma$, which is a conjecture by Schleusner. All MSS have бxóтos or $\mathfrak{\text { @́ }}$ бжо́тоร.
$\lambda \varepsilon \pi \tau o ́ v]$ Olympiodorus comments on the term: Olymp. fr. Jer. 93.721
 slight."
 For a discussion of the participle xatorxoṽo人 without a reference to the place inhabited, see 10:17 and 26:19.
 rendering, see 27:45.


 $\tau \tilde{\omega} v$ X $\alpha \lambda \delta \alpha i \omega v$ "Instead of 'The Chaldeans are responsible to me for the slaughter' or thus 'I will avenge my blood on the Chaldeans."
$\Sigma \varepsilon \omega \omega v]$ Gött. has $\Sigma \omega v$.
 it is not clear which accent was added first or if both are from the same hand, which is quite improbable.
 figura etymologica of the Hebrew text is preserved in the Greek translation and in the English translation too.
 3:23.

38. ötı $\alpha \circ \mu \alpha]$ Gött. has $\alpha \not \mu \alpha$.


 logica of the Hebrew text is preserved in the Greek translation as well as in the English translation.
$\dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \varepsilon \varrho \vartheta \tilde{\omega} \sigma \mathrm{l}$ ] Gött. has $\dot{\varepsilon} \xi \varepsilon \gamma \varepsilon \varrho \vartheta \tilde{\omega} \sigma \mathrm{\sigma} . \xi_{\varepsilon}$ is written above the line in the MS.
40. x $\alpha$ i $x \alpha \tau \beta i ́ \beta \alpha \sigma o v]$ Gött. has $x \alpha \tau \alpha \beta \jmath \alpha \dot{\sigma} \sigma \omega$.
41. A stroke above the line in the MS indicates a new paragraph. Since v. 41 begins at the beginning of the line, there is no space within the text which could confirm the new paragraph.

हैv $\tau 0 \tilde{\varsigma} \varsigma$ है $v v \varepsilon \sigma \iota v]$ Theodoret comments on the expression, but it should be noted that he has a slightly different text: Thdt. Jer. $81.753 \ldots \hat{\varepsilon} v \pi \tilde{\alpha} \sigma \iota$
 the nations?' He also points out the multitude of those who marched against them."
43. $\dot{\omega} \varsigma \gamma \tilde{\eta}]$ Gött. has $\gamma \tilde{\eta}$. Vaticanus is the only MS which has $\dot{\omega} \varsigma \gamma \tilde{\eta}$. $\nprec \alpha \tau о \varsigma]$ Cf. 2:6.
ov̉dè عĩc] Gött. has ov̉סcís.
$x \alpha \tau \alpha \lambda v ́ \sigma \varepsilon \iota]$ Gött. has $x \alpha \tau \alpha \lambda v ́ \sigma \eta$.
44. xai દ̉xסıxท́бん ย̇лi] is a literal rendering of ופקדתי על. For a discussion of $\varepsilon$ ع̉x
50. $\tau \tilde{\eta} \varsigma]$ Gött. has $\gamma \tilde{\eta} \varsigma$. Only Vaticanus has $\tau \tilde{\eta} 5$, which is obviously a scribal error for $\gamma \tilde{\eta} s$. On the other hand, $\gamma \tilde{\eta} s$ is easily supplied by the reader. Thus "land" is supplied in the translation, but put in brackets. For the ellipsis of $\gamma \tilde{\eta}$, cf. CS, $\S 45$, BDR, $\$ 241.1$, and Smyth $1956, \S 1027$ b. For a discussion of the relation to the Hebrew text, see Ziegler 1958, 27, and McKane 1996, 1340.

то $\tilde{\chi v}]$ is a rendering of אתביהוה. For the definite article with xúgıos, see Introduction.
$\tau \eta ้ v \mu \varrho \delta i ́ \alpha v]$ Gött. has rooסí $\alpha v$.
52. $\left.\left\langle\varepsilon \varepsilon^{\prime} \varrho \chi \circ v \tau \alpha \iota\right\rangle\right]$ is written in the margin.
xai ह̇xסıxض' $\sigma \omega$ ह̇лí] is a literal rendering of ופקדתי על. For a discussion of $\varepsilon$ ย̇x
$\pi \varepsilon \sigma о \tilde{v} \tau \alpha \iota \tau \varrho \alpha v \mu \alpha \tau i \alpha \iota]$ For a discussion of the relation to the Hebrew text, see Ziegler 1958, 27, and McKane 1996, 1342.
 ő ot is odd, but perhaps the translator just repeated the first quite ordinary rendering ötı દ̉ $\alpha \dot{\alpha} v$ of 5 . For concessive $\varepsilon$ éáv without concessive particle, see 14:12.
 Vaticanus.
55. हैठ $\omega x \varepsilon v \varepsilon$ हis] Cf. 6:27.
 1958, 25. Theodoret comments on the passage: Thdt. Jer. 81.756 rai oủdèv, $\varphi \eta \sigma i v$, ảлढ́vato $\tau \tilde{\omega} v \tau o ́ \xi \omega v$ "She had no use, he says, of the bows". Following Theodoret, $\pi \tau 0 \varepsilon \dot{\omega} \omega$ is rendered by "become useless".
ó $\bar{\vartheta} \varsigma]$ Gött. has $\vartheta$ vés.

 homoioteleuton.

นаi $\mu \varepsilon \vartheta ̛ \dot{v} \sigma \varepsilon \iota ~ \mu \varepsilon ́ \vartheta \vartheta \eta]$ ] seems to be a rendering of והשׁכרתי. However, $\mu \varepsilon \forall ̛ v \dot{\sigma} \varepsilon เ$ indicates that the translator of Jeremiah had more than והשכרתי in his text, perhaps an infinitive absolute of שכר. Cf. 3:1, and Sharp 1997, 505.
58. A stroke above the line in the MS indicates a new paragraph, but there is no space within the text which confirms the new paragraph.
 i.e., infinitive absolute with finite verb of the same root. The figura etymologica of the Hebrew text has been preserved in the Greek translation. The figura etymologica has also been preserved in the translation "being broken down it will be broken down", since the construction of the present participle with the finite verb is as strange to Greek as it is to English. For a discussion of the Greek present participle as a rendering of the Hebrew infinitive absolute, see 3:1.
 line in the MS.
 Olympiodorus comments on this passage: Olymp. fr. Jer 93.724 ov̉ xoлl-

 тv@ovvov́ $\mu \varepsilon v o$ "'They will not labour' for the Babylonian, or serve the
deceit.-'They will not fade away', he says (for the 'not' is used jointly), being tyrannized by the power of the Babylonians". Following Olympi-
 According to Ziegler 1958, 51, the negative is added by the translator; cf. Sharp 1997, 497. $\dot{\varepsilon} v ~ \dot{\alpha} \varrho \chi \tilde{\eta}$ is taken as "by the power", and not in its most common meaning "in the beginning". For $\dot{\alpha} \varrho \chi$ ', cf. LEH.
 MS.

## 37. Ieremias' Instructions to Saraias (28:59-28:64)

After the previous section, which is the longest one in Jeremiah, the following section is a very short one. Again it is hard to see why this short section forms a section of its own, since it is still about Babylon, just like the previous one. Here Jeremiah gives instructions to Seraias to bring a book to Babylon, read it, bind a stone to it, and cast it into the Euphrates. The content of the book is the coming destruction of Babylon, which will be complete.

This very short section still contains a few very literal renderings, of which the apodoses introduced by rai should especially be pointed out. Interesting are also the comments by Chrysostom and Theodoret, which indicate that they had a text with the order of chapters commonly found in the Hebrew version, and not the order of chapters found in the Septuagint.

59-60. The indentation of the left margin in vv. 59 and 60 follows the MS.
59. Nŋociou] Gött. has Nŋ@íou.

દ̌tı] Gött. has ět $\tau \varepsilon$.
ळ̈œ $\chi \omega v \delta \dot{\omega} \varrho \omega v]$ is, according to McKane 1996, 1352, a rendering of "the obscure title שׂר מנוחה", and the Greek rendering could perhaps indicate cultic offerings; cf. BDAG, 267.
60. $\beta \iota \beta \lambda i \varphi]$ Gött. has $\beta \iota \beta \lambda i \varphi$ éví. For this use of $\varepsilon \tilde{i} \varsigma$ as an indefinite pronoun, see CS, $\$ 2$.
 of כבאך ... וראית וקראת ... ואמרת. It is not very clear where the protasis
ends and the apodosis starts. xai ő $\psi \eta$ xai $\dot{\alpha} v \alpha \gamma v \dot{\omega} \sigma \eta$ could be taken either with the protasis or with the apodosis. Either way the apodosis is introduced by raí, which is poor Greek. However, the rai could be taken as an adverb and be rendered by "also". For a discussion of this construction, see $7: 7$ and $4: 2$. Though it would be natural to take all three future forms with the apodosis, since ötav usually requires subjunctive, it is also possible to take the future forms with ö $\tau \alpha v$. For the mixing of subjunctive and future indicative in temporal clauses with $\alpha ้ v$, see BDR, $\$ 382.3-4$. Since it is not clear from the Greek text where the apodosis starts, all three wai are rendered by "and". Thus the reader of the English translation has to choose where to start the apodosis, just as the reader of the Greek text apparently has to make the same choice.

 equivalent in MT. To introduce the apodosis by $x \alpha i$ is poor Greek, thus the literal rendering "and you will bind" in the present translation. Perhaps the translator of Jeremiah made a literal translation of a text with .וקשרת.
64. व̉лò л@обо́лоv] Cf. 1:8.

Chrysostom and Theodoret have comments at the end of this chapter, which might indicate that they had an order of the text in which chapter 28 precedes chapter 52, i.e., the same order as MT: Chrys. fr. in Jer.


 люоч $\eta$ твív "He who put the words of the prophet together indicated this as the end of the book of the prophet. What comes after that, they say, is transferred from the books of Kings, having no continuity with the
 ह̈ $\sigma \chi \varepsilon$ tò $\tau \dot{\text { ć }} \boldsymbol{\lambda}$ os "Therefore, the prophecy has its ending from here."

## 38. Concerning the Foreigners (29:1-7)

The whole of this short section is a prophecy against all the foreigners, who will all be destroyed. Again it is an enemy from the north who will be the instrument of destruction. McKane 1996, 1141, comments on the Greek superscription, which differs considerably from the Hebrew
version: "It is possible that the Philistines are thereby characterized as foreigners living within the borders of Israel and are opposed to nations having their own territories."

Beside a few of the common literal renderings, this section does not have much to discuss from a linguistic point of view. It can be noticed, however, that the Göttingen text, as several times before, is based on a conjecture, this time by Schleusner.

1. The indentation of the left margin in $v .1$ follows the MS.
 stines. For a discussion of the rendering, see McKane 1996, 1141.
 $\dot{\alpha} \lambda \alpha \lambda \alpha \dot{\xi} \xi_{o v \sigma ı v] ~ s e e m s ~ t o ~ b e ~ a ~ r e n d e r i n g ~ o f ~ ו ה י ל ל . ~ C f . ~ 4: 8 . ~}^{\text {4. }}$
2. $\pi 0 \delta \tilde{\omega} v$ ] Gött. has ín $\pi \omega v$, which is a conjecture by Schleusner. Cf. Ziegler 1958, 27-28.
 $\Sigma \varepsilon \iota \delta \tilde{\omega} v \alpha]$ Gött. has $\Sigma \mathrm{t} \delta \tilde{\omega} v \alpha$.
3. $\alpha \pi \varepsilon \varrho i ́ \varphi \eta]$ Gött. has $\alpha{ }_{\alpha} \pi \varepsilon \varrho \varrho i \varphi \eta$. $\varrho$ is written above the line in the MS. Evaxєцц] Gött. has Evaxıu.
4. $\tau 0 \tilde{v} \overline{x v}]$ is a rendering of ליהוה. For the definite article with $x u ́ g t o s, ~ s e e ~$ Introduction.
ov̉ $x$ ] Gött. has ov่ $\chi$. $\chi$ is written above the line in the MS. For a discussion of the unaspirated form oủx before $\eta \dot{\eta} \sigma \chi \alpha \dot{\alpha} \zeta \omega$, see Thackeray 1909, 128.
5. $\tau \dot{\alpha} \varsigma \pi \alpha \varrho \alpha \vartheta \alpha \lambda \alpha \sigma \sigma$ iov $\quad . . . \tau \grave{\alpha} \varsigma \gamma \alpha \tau \alpha \lambda$ oínovऽ] For the common ellipsis of $\chi \omega \varrho \alpha$, see CS, $\S 46$, BDR, $\S 241.1$, and Smyth 1956, $\$ 1027$ b. Hence the rendering "the regions on the seacoast ... the remaining regions".
6. Concerning Idoumaia (29:8-23)

This prophecy against Idoumaia, the land of Esau, does not differ very much from the other prophecies against the nations. There is a mixture of past and future tense; finally, the land will be completely and forever
destroyed, although this time the enemy from the north is not mentioned. Instead it is the Lord himself, by the hand of Esau's brother and the surrounding nations, who is destroying the nation, which, of course, cannot resist the Lord. Again the reason for the destruction is the pride of the nation.

This section concerning Idoumaia contains a number of interesting linguistic features, the first of which is the negative in the first verse. Being the first word in the clause, it can be taken either as an interrogative particle or as a negative. In verse 9 an obscure expression is commented upon by Theodoret, whose interpretation is followed in the present translation. In both verses 9 and 10 objects are missing. In verse 9 it is added following Theodoret, while it is left out in verse 10 , thus producing a translation as obscure as the Greek text. In verse 21 there are two fine examples of the skill of the translator, viz., the superlative $\dot{\varepsilon} \lambda \dot{\alpha} \chi \iota \sigma \tau \alpha$ and the neologism $\dot{\alpha} \beta \alpha$ tóoual.
8. The indentation of the left margin in v. 8 follows the MS.
$\tau \tilde{n}$ Iסovuaía] is a literal rendering of לאדום. For the dative, see 26:2.
oủx हैotıv हैtı] is a rendering of האין עוד. Perhaps oủx is rather a rendering of the interrogative particle $n$, than oủ $\begin{gathered}\text { हैotıv being a rendering }\end{gathered}$ of $\boldsymbol{x}$, since oủx taken as an interrogative particle is closer to the meaning of the Hebrew text than if ouv is taken as a negative, and thus the translator perhaps intended oủx to be taken as an interrogative particle as well. However, it is not very likely that the reader without access to the Hebrew text should have interpreted oủx as an interrogative particle. Thus oủ is taken as a negative in the present translation. Cf. McKane 1996, 1214.
$\left.{ }_{\varphi}^{\omega} \chi \varepsilon \tau \sigma\right]$ The imperfect is taken in a perfect sense. Thus the rendering "is gone", in the present translation. For the special usages of the imperfect of o'zo ${ }^{\prime}$,
9. $\mathfrak{\eta} \pi \alpha \tau \mathfrak{\eta} \vartheta \eta$ ] Olympiodorus comments on the expression: Olymp. fr. Jer.
 which they had put their trust as safe, will prove to be useless."
ó tóлоऽ] Gött. has tò л@óoø๓ov, which is a conjecture by Wutz. ó то́лоऽ as subject of $\mathfrak{\eta} \pi \alpha \tau \eta \vartheta \eta$ is strange. Sinaiticus has tò л@òs av̉tóv, which is not very suitable either. Cf. Ziegler 1958, 28, and McKane 1996, 1215.
$\beta \alpha \vartheta ̛ v ์ v \alpha \tau \varepsilon$ عís xóv̊เซv] Theodoret comments on this passage: Thdt.

$\dot{\varepsilon} \sigma \tau \iota, \beta \alpha \vartheta v ́ v \alpha \tau \varepsilon \varepsilon i \varsigma \varkappa \alpha ́ \vartheta \imath \sigma \iota v$. "He urges them to hide under ground. For this is what 'Dig deep for a place of refuge' means." Olympiodorus has

 which means, 'Expect and learn what will happen.'"
$\Delta \alpha \iota \delta \alpha \mu]$ Gött. has $\Delta \varepsilon \delta \alpha v$.
$\eta \geqslant \gamma \gamma \circ v]$ The object of $\eta \gamma \alpha \gamma o v$ is missing. $\eta^{\eta} \gamma \alpha \gamma o v$ could be taken as an intransitive verb and be rendered "I went against him", but an object could also be supplied from the context. Theodoret seems to supply an
 $\tau \mu \omega \mathrm{i} \alpha v$ "And he teaches that he brings punishment upon them". Hence the object "it" is supplied in the present translation.
10. $x \alpha \tau \alpha \lambda i \notin o v \sigma ı v \ldots x \alpha \tau \alpha \lambda i ́ \mu \mu \alpha]$ seems, in one way or the other, to be a rendering of יששארו עוללות, cf. below on $x \alpha \tau \alpha \lambda i ́ \mu \mu \alpha$. Apparently the figura etymologica has no equivalent in MT. The figura etymologica of the Greek text is preserved in the English translation by "will leave ... left-over".
$x \alpha \tau \alpha \lambda i \neq \frac{1}{}$ the MS.
$x_{\alpha \tau \alpha \lambda i ́ \mu \mu \alpha] ~ G o ̈ t t . ~ h a s ~}^{\sim \alpha \lambda \alpha \mu \eta ́ \mu \alpha \tau \alpha . ~ C f . ~ Z i e g l e r ~ 1958, ~} 48$.
 been added in some MSS—perhaps because the translator read ישׁיתו ידם instead of MT, הששחיתו דים; cf. BHS. However, it is doubtful whether the translator had a text with יששיתו ידם, since this expression usually also has an indirect object. Rather it is a misinterpretation of a text similar to that of MT. According to Theodoret the point of the passage is to indicate the time of the event: Thdt. Jer. 81.729 סı $\delta \alpha \dot{\alpha} \sigma \varepsilon$ б $\delta \dot{\varepsilon}$ xai tòv $\tau \tilde{\eta} \varsigma$ ह̇ழódov xaı@óv "He also teaches about the time of the approach".
11. $\tau \dot{\alpha} x \varrho v \pi \tau \dot{\alpha} \alpha u ̉ \tau \tilde{\omega} v]$ The rendering "hidden places" is based on the following context. Theodoret comments on the passage: Thdt. Jer. 81.729

 are big and high mountains in Idumea. Now, they had dug hide-outs in them and taken refuge in the hide-outs when the enemies approached."

ठı $\alpha \chi \varepsilon \tau \varrho \alpha]$ Gött. has ėлí $\chi \varepsilon \iota \varrho \alpha$, which is a conjecture by Rudolph. Cf. Ziegler 1958, 28-29, where Ziegler also discusses the translation of סı $\chi \varepsilon \llbracket \varrho \alpha$. Ziegler refers to Große-Brauckmann, who argues that סı̀ $\chi \varepsilon \tau \varrho \alpha$ should mean "because of the hand" and not "by the hand". It is correct
that סıó with accusative usually has the meaning "because of", while סıó with genitive has the meaning "by". However, the different meanings with the different cases are not necessarily as clear-cut as Große-Brauckmann seems to argue, cf. BDAG. Thus it is possible to render סıó by "by" here. Cf. McKane 1996, 1219.
$\alpha u ̉ \tau o \tilde{v} \gamma$ عítovós $\mu \mathrm{ov}]$ Gött. has $\alpha u ̉ \tau o \tilde{v}$ x $\alpha i$ үعítovos $\alpha v ̉ \tau o v ̃ . ~$
 the MS.
$\zeta \eta ं \sigma \varepsilon \tau \alpha \iota]$ Gött. has $\zeta \eta \dot{\eta} \tau \alpha \iota . \varepsilon$ is written above the line in the MS.
 1973, 28, and McKane 1996, 1220.

 $\varepsilon \xi \tilde{\eta} \varsigma$ "And this he put ironically, as the following shows", while Olympiodorus gives the following comment: Olymp. fr. Jer. $93.712 \alpha i \alpha \operatorname{d} \pi \sigma \tau \tilde{\alpha}-$
 nations."
13. عĩлєv] Gött. has $\lambda \varepsilon ́ \gamma \varepsilon$ כה אמר יהוה, see Thackeray 1909, 11, and Tov 1976, 56-58.
 words o is corrected into $\omega$ in the MS. $\alpha \vartheta$ o $\omega \mu \varepsilon ́ v \eta \ldots$. $\alpha \vartheta$ o $\omega \vartheta \tilde{\eta} s$ is a rendering of נקקה ... תנקה, i.e. infinitive absolute and finite verb of the same root. It should be noted that the Vorlage of the Greek Jeremiah most likely was not identical with MT, which has a longer text with another תנקה. The figura etymologica of the Hebrew text has been preserved in the Greek translation. The figura etymologica has also been preserved in the translation "being unpunished, you will ... remain unpunished", since the construction of the present participle with the finite verb is as strange to Greek as it is to English. For a discussion of the Greek present participle as a rendering of the Hebrew infinitive absolute, see 3:1.
 عis, see 3:23.
$\left.{ }^{\alpha} \beta \alpha \tau 0 v\right]$ Cf. 2:6.
$\dot{\varepsilon} v \mu \varepsilon ́ \sigma \omega \alpha \cup ̉ \tau \tilde{\eta} \varsigma$ ] According to McKane 1996, 1221, the expression is inexplicable. $\dot{\varepsilon} v \mu \varepsilon ́ \sigma \omega$ is usually a rendering of בתוך, but here MT has בצרה. Cf. 12:16.
15. $\left.\dot{\alpha} \nsim O \eta \geqslant \sim \eta_{\eta}^{\eta} \nsim v \sigma \alpha\right]$ is a literal rendering of שמועה שמעתי. The figura etymologica of the Hebrew text has been preserved in the Greek translation, as well as in the English translation by "I heard a hearsay".
 Hebraistic construction of $\delta i \delta \omega \mu \mathrm{u}$ with the double accusative and its literal translation, see 6:27.
17. $\dot{\eta} \pi \alpha \iota \gamma v_{i} \alpha$ бov] Olympiodorus comments on the expression: Olymp. fr. Jer. 93.712 đò $\sigma \tau \varrho \eta ̃ v o ́ s ~ \sigma o v, ~ \grave{\eta} \alpha ̉ v \alpha \xi i ́ \alpha ~ \sigma o v ~ " Y o u r ~ l u x u r y, ~ y o u r ~ u n w o r-~$ thiness."
vooocıóv] Gött. has voooıóv.
 3:23.
$\alpha \not \beta \alpha \tau o v]$ Cf. 2:6.
19. $\alpha i$ ла́@otxol $\alpha v ̉ \tau \tilde{\eta} \varsigma]$ Theodoret comments on the expression: Thdt.
 "The other cities which shared the suffering with them".
20. $\sigma \tau \eta \dot{\sigma} \alpha \iota \mathrm{l}$ ] Gött. has $\sigma \tau \eta \dot{\sigma} \sigma \tau \alpha \mathrm{l} . \varepsilon$ is added between $\sigma$ and $\tau$ in the MS.
 of this construction and its literal rendering, see 15:11.
$\sigma v \mu \psi \eta \vartheta \tilde{\omega} \sigma \iota v$ ] Gött. has $\sigma v \mu \psi \eta \sigma \vartheta \tilde{\omega} \sigma \iota v$. Cf. Thackeray 1909, 221.
đ̀̀ $̇ \lambda \lambda \alpha ́ \chi \iota \sigma \tau \alpha]$ appears to be a rendering of צעירי, the Hebrew adjective is rendered by a Greek adjective in the superlative form. According to Thackeray 1909, 185, the superlative is a true superlative here.
$\dot{\alpha} \beta \alpha \tau \omega \vartheta \tilde{\eta}] \dot{\alpha} \beta \alpha \tau o ́ o \mu \alpha$ is most likely a neologism of the translator of Jeremiah. Cf. Tov 1976, 47.
 $\Sigma \operatorname{sov\varphi ~\eta } \varkappa о \cup ́ \sigma \vartheta \eta$, which is a conjecture by Wutz.
23. ஸ̉סعıvoúoŋऽ] Gött. has ஸ̉סıvoúoŋร.
40. Concerning the Sons of Ammōn (30:1-5)

The following three short sections, which cover chapter 30, are directed against three of Israel's less powerful enemies: Ammon, Kedar and Damascus. The first one, directed against Ammon, starts with a question with the expected answer "no": is it really true that there is no Israelite who can inherit the land of the Ammonites? Given the answer "no", the Ammomites will be driven out and the land will be given to the Israelites.

Being one of the shorter sections in Jeremiah, it still contains a few very interesting linguistic features. First (v. 2), there is yet another probable neologism, underlining the skill of the translator. In verses 3 and 4 there are two very small variations in the text, the first of which is most likely a scribal error and the second an itacistic error. However, the small variations totally change the content of the text, and it is hardly likely that the variations were noticed as such by the reader of the manuscript.

1. The indentation of the left margin in v. 1 follows the MS.

тoĩs vioĩs $A \mu \mu \omega v]$ is a literal rendering of לבני עמון. For the dative, see 26:2.
 . . . . For a discussion of this construction and its translation, see 5:9.
$\left.\pi \alpha \varrho \alpha \lambda \eta \mu \psi \dot{\mu} \mu \varepsilon v_{0}\right]$ Theodoret comments on the expression: Thdt. Jer.

 instead of 'he who will take' and 'one who helps."' Olympiodorus com-
 $\varphi \eta \sigma$ iv "'One who will inherit', he says, instead of 'heir".'
2. $\varphi \eta \sigma i v \overline{x \varsigma]}$ is a rendering of נאם־יהוה. For a discussion of the expression and its rendering, see 2:3.
 3:23.
«̈ß $\alpha \tau o v]$ Cf. 2:6.
 Perhaps this is the first example of $\dot{\alpha} \nsim o v \tau i \zeta \omega$ with $\varepsilon \pi \pi i$. Cf. Helbing 1958, 49. Theodoret comments on $\dot{\alpha} \nsim o v \tau ı \omega ̃: ~ T h d t . ~ J e r . ~ 81.728 ~ \dot{\alpha} \chi o v \sigma \vartheta \tilde{\eta} v a \iota ~$ лоıŋ́бш "I will make it heard."
3. $\left.{ }^{\circ} \lambda \lambda \alpha \xi \mathrm{ov}\right]$ Gött. has $\dot{\alpha} \lambda \alpha \dot{\alpha} \lambda \alpha \xi \mathrm{ov}$. Only Vaticanus has $\alpha \not \lambda \lambda \alpha \xi \mathrm{ov}$. Perhaps it is only a scribal error, since the difference in the MS between A $\wedge$ A$\Lambda A \Xi O N$ and $A \Lambda \Lambda A \Xi O N$ is very small, especially since the horizontal stroke in $A$ is often very faint. It is hard to see how $\alpha \not \lambda \lambda \alpha \xi$ ov could make any sense here, but there is no indication that any reader has made any attempt to correct the MS. Thus the literal rendering "change" of ${ }^{\circ} \lambda \lambda \alpha-$ $\xi o v$. A possible rendering of $\dot{\alpha} \lambda \dot{\alpha} \lambda \alpha \xi$ ov could be "wail". It is, of course, also hard to tell if the reader took Eoc $\beta \omega v$ as a vocative or perhaps rather as an object of $\alpha \lambda \lambda \alpha \xi$ ov in the accusative case. Cf. 32:20 $\dot{\alpha} \lambda \alpha \lambda \dot{\alpha} \xi \alpha \tau \varepsilon \pi o t-$ $\mu \varepsilon ́ v \varepsilon \varsigma . ~ C f . ~ 4: 8 . ~$
$\omega ̈ \lambda \varepsilon \tau \tau]$ Gött. has $\stackrel{\omega}{\omega} \lambda \varepsilon \tau \tau$ Г $\alpha \mathrm{l}, \mathrm{cf}$. McKane 1996, 1206.
$\operatorname{M\varepsilon \lambda \chi o\mu ]~Gött.~has~M\varepsilon \lambda \chi o\lambda ,~which~is~also~the~text~which~the~scribe~}$ who filled in the text produced. However, the original M of Vaticanus is quite clear.
4. $\dot{\alpha} \gamma \alpha \lambda \lambda \iota \tilde{\alpha} \sigma \vartheta \varepsilon]$ Gött. has $\dot{\alpha} \gamma \alpha \lambda \lambda \iota \alpha \dot{\sigma} \eta$.
$\pi \alpha \iota \delta i ́ o \iota \zeta]$ is a rendering of עמקים. Gött. has $\pi \varepsilon \delta i ́ o \iota \varsigma . ~ \varepsilon$ is written above the line in the MS. Obviously, $\pi \alpha \delta_{i o u s ~ i s ~ a n ~ i t a c i s t i c ~ e r r o r ~ f o r ~}^{\pi \varepsilon \delta i o l s . ~}$ However, it is doubtful if the reader of the text with $\pi \alpha$ oiols noticed the error, since $\pi \alpha \iota \delta i o ı s ~ " y o u n g ~ c h i l d r e n " ~ f i t s ~ t h e ~ c o n t e x t ~ a s ~ g o o d ~ a s ~$ $\pi \varepsilon \delta i o t s$ "plains". Thus the rendering "young children" in the translation. The reading $\pi \alpha \iota \delta i o s s$ is also indicated by some MSS to Theodoret and by a marginal note in the MS "codex Marchalianus" (Vaticanus graecus 2125), cf. Gött. 311, and Introduction.
iт $\alpha \mu i ́ \alpha \varsigma]$ Gött. has ỏ $\tau \mu i \alpha \varsigma$. Cf. Ziegler 1958, 48-49, and McKane 1996, 1210.

Evaxeцu] Not in Gött. According to Ziegler 1958, 99, Evaxц is a scribal error of $\varepsilon v \varepsilon \mu \alpha x \mu$, , which is a double rendering of בעמקים, cf. $\pi \alpha ı$ íoss above. $^{\text {a }}$
5. घĩл $\frac{x \zeta}{}$ ] Cf. 26:10.
 rendering of ונדחתם איש לפניו. Thus the literal rendering of the Greek text in the present translation. For a discussion of the equally obscure Hebrew text, see McKane 1996, 1211-1212. For a discussion of the expression عis л@óб $\omega \pi$ ov and its translation, see Sollamo, 1979, 32. Theodoret comments on the passage: Thdt. Jer. 81.728 ov̉ $\gamma \dot{\alpha} \varrho$ ж $\alpha \tau \dot{\alpha} \tau \alpha u ̉ \tau o ̀ v ~ \varphi \varepsilon v ́ \xi \varepsilon \tau \varepsilon, ~$
 flee jointly, but because of the overwhelming fear you will be scattered."

41. Concerning $\operatorname{Ke} d a r(30: 6-11)$

In the following section it is somewhat unclear who is really addressed by Kedar, but it is quite clear from the description that it is some kind of nomadic people. Anyhow, this people will also be destroyed, and this time by the king of Babylon.

From a linguistic point of view this short section does not contain much more than a number of the common literal renderings. However, there is an interesting comment by Olympiodorus on the various readings of the manuscripts of verse 10 , which indicate something of the work of the early interpreters.
6. The indentation of the left margin in v. 6 follows the MS.
$\tau \tilde{\eta} \mathrm{K} \eta \delta \alpha \varrho]$ is a literal rendering of לקדר. For the dative, see 26:2.
$\beta \alpha \sigma \iota \lambda i ́ \sigma \sigma \eta]$ Gött. has $\tau \tilde{\eta} \beta \alpha \sigma \iota \lambda i ́ \sigma \sigma \eta$. For the form $\beta \alpha \sigma i \lambda \iota \sigma \sigma \alpha$ instead of $\beta \alpha \sigma_{i} \lambda \varepsilon \iota \alpha$, see SC, $\$ 7$.
$\left.\tau \tilde{\eta} \varsigma \alpha v i \lambda \eta \tilde{\eta}_{\varsigma}\right]$ MT has חצור, which is usually interpreted as a proper noun. For a discussion of the possible Vorlage of $\tau \tilde{\eta} \varsigma \alpha \dot{\lambda} \lambda \tilde{\eta} 5$, see McKane 1996, 1238. Theodoret comments on the expression: Thdt. Jer. 81.736

 the Saracens. Since they are nomads, they live in tents."
$\pi \lambda \eta \dot{\eta} \sigma \tau \varepsilon]$ Gött. has $\pi \lambda \dot{\eta} \xi \alpha \tau \varepsilon$. Cf. Ziegler 1958, 49.
8. $\grave{\varepsilon} \beta \alpha \vartheta v ́ v \alpha \tau \varepsilon]$ Gött. has $\beta \alpha \vartheta v ์ v \alpha \tau \varepsilon$.
$\chi \alpha \vartheta \eta \dot{\eta} \mu \varepsilon v o t]$ is a literal rendering of ישׁבי. For a discussion of the participle $\chi \alpha \vartheta \dot{\eta} \mu \varepsilon v o l$ and its rendering, see 10:17.

тที $\alpha v ̉ \lambda \tilde{n}]$ Cf. v. 6.
 of יעץ ... ועצה ... חשׁב ... מחשבה. The figurae etymologicae of the Hebrew text are preserved in the Greek translation as well as in the English translation.
 discussion of $\mathfrak{\varepsilon} \varphi \varphi^{\prime} \dot{v} \mu \tilde{\alpha} \varsigma$ in the text of Ziegler, see McKane 1996, 1240.
9. $\varepsilon^{*} \vartheta v$ vos $\ldots$ oís] For the constructio ad sensum, see BDR, $\S 282.3$. ov̉ $\mu$ o $\chi \lambda$ oí] is bracketed as secondary in Gött. Cf. Ziegler 1958, 101, and McKane 1996, 1241.
 عis, see 3:23.
$\pi v \varepsilon v ́ \mu \alpha \tau \iota]$ In Jeremiah of Vaticanus this is the only example, out of six, where $\pi v \varepsilon \tilde{v} \mu \alpha$ is not written as a nomen sacrum. For nomina sacra, cf. Introduction.
 changed into xєxa@uévovs. Olympiodorus comments on the various

 $\chi \cup \mu \varepsilon ́ v o v \varsigma$ "'Shorn' instead of 'bereft of dignity'. Other manuscripts have 'mixed', instead of 'confused"' Cf. Thackeray 1909, 220, and McKane 1996, 1243-1244.
11. $\alpha \beta \alpha \tau o \varsigma]$ Cf. 2:6.

$\alpha \mathfrak{\alpha} v \varrho \omega ́ \pi \sigma v]$ Gött. has $\gamma \eta \gamma \varepsilon v o v ̃ \varsigma$.

## 42. Concerning Damaskos (30:12-16)

The prophecy against Damascus is again a mixture of what has taken place and what will come-the destruction of Damascus.

Being the third shortest section of Jeremiah in Vaticanus, there is not much to attract special interest from a linguistic point of view.
12. The indentation of the left margin in v .12 follows the MS.
$\tau \tilde{\eta} \Delta \alpha \mu \alpha \sigma \mathcal{\omega}]$ is a literal rendering of לדמשׂ. For the dative, see 26:2. $\Theta \eta \mu \alpha \varrho]$ Gött. has H $\mu \alpha \vartheta$.
 logica of the Hebrew text is preserved in the Greek translation as well as in the English translation. It can be noticed that the word order of the Greek text is not the same as in MT. There seems to be no good reason to change the word order of MT, and several MSS and versions have the same word order as MT. Since the Greek text usually follows the Vorlage the translator perhaps had a Vorlage with a different word order than MT.
 be a doublet of $\mathfrak{\varepsilon} \xi \dot{\varepsilon} \varepsilon \tau \eta \sigma \alpha v$. Cf. Ziegler 1958, 49.
14. $\dot{\varepsilon} v \varkappa \alpha \tau \dot{\varepsilon} \lambda ı \pi \varepsilon v]$ Gött. has $\dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \mu \alpha \tau \dot{\varepsilon} \lambda ı\lrcorner \varepsilon v$. $\gamma$ is written above the line in the MS.
 $\eta ̉ \gamma \alpha ́ \pi \eta \sigma \alpha ; ~ \alpha i v \varepsilon \tau \eta \prime v$ is a conjecture by Schleusner.
15. $\varphi \eta \sigma i v \overline{x \zeta}]$ is a rendering of נאם־יהוה. For a discussion of the expression and its rendering, see 2:3.
16. $\tau i \chi \varepsilon ı]$ Gött. has $\tau \varepsilon i \chi \varepsilon ı$. An $\varepsilon$ is added between $\tau$ and t in the MS.
viov Ade@] According to Theodoret: Thdt. Jer. 81.733 "Yiòs "Aסع@" ह̇otiv ővou人 "'Son of Ader' is a name."

## 43. Concerning Mōab (31:1-32:24)

This section is the last prophecy against a nation, and it is directed against Moab. It covers both chapters 31 and 32, and is the second longest of the prophecies against the nations-the longest being the prophecy against Babylon, which is almost twice as long as the present one. As in previous prophecies against nations, the present prophecy is a mixture of what has happened to Moab and what will come, and just as in the previous prophecies the addressee will be utterly destroyed, and there will be no healing. Again the reason for destruction seems to be the haughtiness of the nation, which explicitly has been directed against the Lord, although the idolatry of Moab is also mentioned. Anyhow, the haughtiness will be turned into humiliation. However, there are also more unique features in the prophecy against Moab. One is the abundance of place names, which could have been useful only to someone with a rather good knowledge of the geography of Moab.

Although Moab is explicitly the addressee of this prophecy, Moab is never mentioned in chapter 32, but instead the second part of the prophecy is directed against all the nations of the world. Therefore, the second part of the prophecy is rather a finish to all the prophecies against the nations than a finish of the prophecy against Moab. It should be noted that in the Hebrew version, chapter 32 of the Septuagint is the second part of chapter 25 . Jeremiah, it seems, is addressed, and he is asked to take a cup of wine from the hand of the Lord. The wine will make those who drink it sick, and it will be given to a large number of nations, which are mentioned by name. After the list of nations the Lord declares that the cup is a metaphor of the coming destruction, which will in fact fall upon all people, not only those mentioned by name. Because of the great fury of the Lord, the wounded
will be too many to bury, and will become dung on the face of the earth.

Linguistically interesting is the change of gender of Moab who, e.g., is referred to as "her" in verse 9 , but as "him" in verse 11 , and then again as "her" in verse 39 . The text of the present section is complicated and contains a lot of variation between the manuscripts, uncertain readings, and accordingly a number of conjectures in the Göttingen edition, e.g., 31:39. There are also a number of very literal renderings, which most likely were interpreted differently by the early readers than by the translator of the text, e.g., $31: 2,25,31,32,42$. Interesting is also the comment of Origen and his explanation for the origin of the different readings in the manuscripts of $31: 12$, of which one reading can be found in Vaticanus and the other in the text of the Göttingen edition. In 31:36 the lack of grammatical logic in the Greek text is preserved in the translation, and a logical translation is given in the commentary. In 32:12 a verb has to be supplied, and in the present translation the interpretation by Theodoret is followed. His interpretation is also followed for the verb x@ivouat in verse 17 in the same chapter.

1. The indentation of the left margin in $v .1$ follows the MS. $\tau \tilde{\eta} \mathrm{M} \omega \alpha \beta]$ is a literal rendering of למואב. For the dative see, 26:2.
$\mathrm{A} \mu \alpha \vartheta$... A $\gamma \alpha \vartheta$ ] Gött. has $\mathrm{A} \mu \alpha \sigma \alpha \gamma \alpha \beta$... A $\tau \alpha \vartheta$, which are conjectures by Wutz and Katz, following MT. Cf. McKane 1996, 1156.
2. ỉ $\alpha \varrho \varepsilon \varepsilon^{\prime} \alpha \mathrm{M} \omega \alpha \beta$ ả $\left.\gamma \alpha v \varrho i \alpha \mu \mu \alpha\right]$ Gött. has ỉ $\alpha \tau \varrho \varepsilon$ í $\alpha \mathrm{M} \omega \alpha \beta$. For a discussion of the complicated relation to the Hebrew text, see McKane 1996, 1157.

 being a nation" (NRSV). Perhaps this was also the interpretation of the translator of Jeremiah, but it is hard to see how the reader, without access to the Hebrew, could have interpreted $\alpha$ ब̀o $\varepsilon$ évvous the same way. Thus the literal rendering "from a nation". Cf. also 31:42 $\alpha$ ג̇o ö ô $\lambda$ ou. Cf. McKane 1996, 1157, who notices that the Septuagint produces a different sense (though the translation given by McKane is hardly possible).

лаṽбıv $\pi \alpha v ́ \sigma \varepsilon \tau \alpha \iota]$ MT has מדמן תדמי, and the figura etymologica of the Greek text obviously has no equivalent in MT. However, it is likely that the translator read a figura etymologica in his Vorlage, though it is not clear what the translator had in his Hebrew text. For a discussion of
the Hebrew text, see McKane 1996, 1157-1158. Anyhow, the figura etymologica of the Greek text has been preserved in the English translation by "cease a ceasing".
 out construction in the Greek text. Hence their equivalents are also left without construction in the English translation.
5. $\varepsilon ่ \pi \lambda \eta \dot{\eta} \vartheta \eta \ldots$... $\varepsilon v \gamma \lambda \alpha v \vartheta \mu \tilde{\omega}]$ ] For $\pi i \mu \pi \lambda \eta \mu \iota$ with dative, see Helbing 1928, 145-146, and BDR, § 195.2.
$\mathrm{A} \lambda \omega \vartheta]$ Gött. has $\mathrm{A} \lambda \alpha \omega \vartheta$.
6. av̉tธั] Gött. has $\mathfrak{\cup} \mu \tilde{\omega} v$. Only Vaticanus has $\alpha u ̉ \tau \tilde{\varrho}$. The text of Vaticanus today reads AYM $\Omega$. The A is not filled in and a N is added above the line after $\Omega$ (thus forming $\dot{v} \mu \tilde{\omega} v$ ). According to Gött. the original text of Vaticanus was $\alpha u ̉ \tau \tilde{\omega} v$, but it is hard to see why the $v$ of aủt $\tilde{v} v$ was added above the line. According to Swete the original text of Vaticanus was $\alpha$ v̉tụ.
$\vartheta \varepsilon ่ \sigma \vartheta \varepsilon]$ Gött. has $\begin{gathered} \\ \sigma \varepsilon \sigma \vartheta \varepsilon \text {. An object has to be supplied for } \vartheta \varepsilon ์ \sigma \vartheta \varepsilon, ~\end{gathered}$ and in the present translation "them" has been supplied. Theodoret

 urges them both to flee and to live just the same way as the wild asses in the desert."
7. غ̇лєлоîधıร غ̇v ỏ
 of $\mathbf{I}$ ), see Helbing 1928, 199-200. According to Helbing the dative in this verse is local, while the personal dative with $\dot{\varepsilon} v$ is a Hebraism: "Wo es sich aber um persönliche Dative bei $\dot{\varepsilon} v$ handelt, fügt sich die Struktur dem griechischen Sprachgeist nicht. ... In die spätere christliche Literatur ist es nicht übergegangen, woraus sich wiederum ergibt, daß es nicht verständlich war." However, it is doubtful if $\dot{\varepsilon} v$ should be taken in a local sense here. Theodoret comments: Thdt. Jer. 81.720 каi $\delta \iota \delta \alpha ́ \sigma \chi \omega v ~ \mu \grave{~}$
 them not to have confidence in surrounding walls, but in the immortal Creator and Master". Cf., e.g., v. 9.
$\sigma \cup \lambda \lambda \eta \varphi \vartheta \vartheta \dot{\eta} \sigma]$ Gött. has $\sigma \cup \lambda \lambda \eta \mu \varphi \vartheta \vartheta \eta \dot{\square} \neq \mu$ is added above the line in the MS.


9. $\left.\dot{\alpha} \varphi \tilde{\eta} \dot{\alpha} \varphi \vartheta \eta{ }^{\prime} \sigma \varepsilon \tau \alpha \iota\right]$ MT has נצא תצא, i.e., an infinite absolute and a finite verb, but not of the same root. Most likely the translator of Jeremiah had a Vorlage with two verb forms of the same root forming a figura etymologica. For a discussion of the Hebrew text, see McKane 1996, 1163-1165. The figura etymologica of the Greek text has been preserved in the English translation by "she will be plagued by a plague". Cf. 3:1.

 3:23.
$\left.{ }^{\alpha} \beta \alpha \tau о v\right]$ Cf. 2:6.

10. $\dot{\varepsilon} \pi \iota \varkappa \alpha \tau \alpha ́ \varrho \alpha \tau о \varsigma ~ o ́ ~ \pi o t \tilde{\omega} v]$ For the omission of forms of $\varepsilon \tilde{v} v \alpha l$, see Smyth 1956, §944-945, and BDR, § 127-128.
12. ทீ $\mu \varepsilon ́ \varrho \alpha \iota ~ \alpha u ̉ \tau o \tilde{v}] ~ G o ̈ t t . ~ h a s ~ \eta i \mu \varepsilon ́ \varrho \alpha ı . ~$

р $\eta \sigma i v \overline{\chi \zeta}]$ is a rendering of נאם־יהוה. For a discussion of the expression and its rendering, see 2:3.
$\lambda \varepsilon \pi \tau o v v o \tilde{v} \sigma \iota v]$ Gött. has $\lambda \varepsilon \pi \tau v v o \tilde{v} \sigma \iota v$. For a discussion of the interchange of $v$ and ov, see Thackeray 1909, 92.
$x \varepsilon ́ \varrho \alpha \tau \alpha]$ Gött. has $x \varepsilon \varrho \alpha ́ \sigma \mu \alpha \tau \alpha$. For a discussion of the text, see Ziegler 1958, 47-48. Origen, who has $x \varepsilon \varrho \alpha \dot{\sigma} \mu \alpha \tau \alpha$ in his text, says that Aquila had xé@ata in his text. Ziegler, on the other hand, doubts that Aquila could have had $x \varepsilon ́ \varrho \alpha \tau \alpha$ in his text. Or. fr. 45 in Jer. $\tau \dot{\alpha} \delta \varepsilon ̇ ~ x \varepsilon \varrho \alpha ́ \sigma \mu \alpha \tau \alpha ~ \omega ́ \varsigma ~$

 лん@éneıvev "The 'mixtures' are said as of wine. Aquila rendered it by 'horns', which happened to be the ancient form of a cup (for they drank with horns), from which it also has survived to say 'mix.'
 augment, see Thackeray 1909, 199.

а̉лò X $\alpha \mu \omega \varsigma \ldots$... $\alpha \pi o ̀ ~ B \alpha \iota \vartheta \eta \lambda]$ is a rendering of מכמוש ... מבית אל. For the preposition $\dot{\alpha} \pi{ }^{\prime}$ denoting the agent, cf. 10:14. Theodoret comments



 prophetic word teaches that just as Israel received no help from the idols, so Moab had no use of Chamos." Hence the rendering "by Chamōs ... by Baithēl" in the present translation.
$\left.\dot{\varepsilon} \lambda \pi \tau_{i} \delta o s ~ \alpha u ̉ \tau \tilde{\omega} v\right]$ Not in Gött. The deletion is a conjecture by Ziegler, who argues that $\dot{\varepsilon} \lambda \pi i \delta o s ~ \alpha u ̉ \tau \tilde{v} v$ is a secondary doublet of מבטחם. Cf. Ziegler 1958, 100, and McKane 1996, 1168.
14. A stroke above the line in the MS indicates a new paragraph. Since v. 14 begins at the beginning of the line, there is no space within the text which could confirm the new paragraph. However, the preceding line is shorter than the other lines, indicating a new paragraph. Thus the new paragraph in the text.
 problematic Hebrew text, see McKane 1996, 1172-1173. The Greek text is also problematic. It is not clear why the translator of Jeremiah did not render the ועריה in, why the plural ערי is rendered by the singular лó $\lambda_{15}$, why the translator rendered the feminine suffix $ה$ by av่тoṽ or to whom aủtoṽ refers. Most likely aủtoṽ corresponds to the following avitoṽ, which appears to be a rendering of the masculine suffix $ו$, and apparently refers to $\mathrm{M} \omega \alpha \beta$ (which is both masculine (e.g., 31:35 tòv $M \omega \alpha \beta$ ) and feminine (e.g., 31:9 тñ $M \omega \alpha \beta$ )). But if both aùtoũ refer to $\mathrm{M} \omega \alpha \beta$, why did the translator not render ו by xai and ערי by лó $\lambda \varepsilon ı 5$, which would have made good sense? In the present Greek text лó $\lambda ı \varsigma ~ \alpha u ̉ t o v ̃ ~ l o o k s ~ l i k e ~ a n ~ a p p o s i t i o n ~ t o ~ M \omega \alpha \beta$, though this makes poor sense. Unfortunately, none of the early commentators discusses the passage. Thus the literal rendering "Möab, his city".
17. $x \varepsilon เ v \eta \dot{\sigma} \sigma \tau \varepsilon]$ Gött. has $\chi เ v \eta \dot{\sigma \alpha \tau \varepsilon . ~}$


$\mu \varepsilon \gamma \alpha \lambda \omega \tau о \varsigma]$ Gött. has $\mu \varepsilon \gamma \alpha \lambda \omega \mu \alpha \tau \sigma \varsigma . \mu \alpha$ is written above the line in the MS. Only Vaticanus has $\mu \varepsilon \gamma \alpha \lambda \omega \tau 0 \varsigma$, which is apparently a slip of the pen. "might" is a rendering of $\mu \varepsilon \gamma \alpha \lambda \omega \dot{\mu} \alpha \tau \sigma$, which could be taken as a genitive of quality. Given the low frequency of genitive of quality in contemporary Greek literature, a more literal translation is chosen. For genitive of quality, see BDR, $\$ 165$.
18. $\dot{\varepsilon} v \dot{v} \gamma \varrho \alpha \sigma \dot{\prime} \alpha \underline{\alpha}]$ appears to be a rendering of בצמא. For a discussion of the various renderings of the Hebrew text and its interpretation, see McKane 1996, 1175.
x It could be taken either with $x \dot{\alpha} \vartheta เ \sigma o v$ and the passage be rendered by "sit sitting in moisture", or with $\Delta \alpha \iota \beta \omega v$ and the passage be rendered by "sitting Daibōn is being destroyed". For a discussion of the participle $\chi \alpha \vartheta \eta \mu \varepsilon ́ v \eta$ and its rendering, see 10:17. Cf. also vv. 19 and 43. According to McKane 1996, 1174, $\dot{\varepsilon} x \tau$ тєi $\beta \varepsilon \tau \alpha \iota$ is a doublet of $x \alpha \vartheta \eta$ $\mu \varepsilon ́ v \eta$.
$\Delta \alpha \iota \beta \omega v]$ Not in Gött. The deletion of $\Delta \alpha \iota \beta \omega v$ is a conjecture by
 Hebrew text, see McKane 1996, 1174.

19. x $\alpha \vartheta \eta \mu \varepsilon ́ v \eta ~ \dot{\varepsilon} v$ A@oŋ@] is a rendering of יושבת ערוער. It should be noted that $\dot{\varepsilon} v$ has no equivalent in MT. For a discussion of the participle $\chi \alpha \vartheta \eta \mu \varepsilon ́ v \eta$ and its rendering, see 10:17, cf. also vv. 18 and 43.
21. Mعı $\sigma \omega \varrho \ldots$... X $\alpha \iota \lambda \omega v .$. M $\omega \varphi \alpha \varsigma]$ Gött. has Mı $\sigma \omega \varrho \ldots$... X $\lambda \lambda \omega v .$. Мюр $\alpha \boldsymbol{\gamma}$.
22. $\Delta \alpha \iota \beta \lambda \alpha \vartheta \alpha \iota \mu]$ Gött. has $\Delta \varepsilon \beta \lambda \alpha \vartheta \alpha \iota \mu$.
23. $\Gamma \alpha \mu \omega \lambda]$ Gött. has $\Gamma \alpha \mu \omega \lambda$.
25. xatદ $\alpha \chi \vartheta \eta]$ For a discussion of the form, see Thackeray 1909, 202.
tò $\dot{\varepsilon} \pi i \chi \varepsilon \iota \varrho o v]$ The rare term $\dot{\varepsilon} \pi i \chi \varepsilon \iota \varrho o v$ is discussed by Pietersma 2002, 101-108, who comes to the conclusion that ėлíxعıov should not be rendered by "arm", but by "undertaking/endeavour/effort/attempt". Pietersma is right that the term caused the readers some trouble, and that the intention of the translator was most likely something like "undertaking/endeavour/effort/attempt", but this is not the way it was understood by Chrysostom. Chrysostom comments on the expression: Chrys. fr. in
 in his hands." Perhaps Chrysostom interpreted the term just as $\dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \chi \varepsilon$ ¿@íסıov. Anyhow, following Chrysostom, $̇$ ėfíxeı@ov is rendered by "handweapon" in this passage.
26. $\varepsilon \mu \varepsilon \gamma \alpha \lambda u v^{\prime} \vartheta \eta$ ] is taken in a reflexive sense. Thus the rendering "he has magnified himself". For passive forms with reflexive force, see Smyth 1956, §1733, and McKay 1994, 24.

 dancing and rejoicing."
 McKane 1996, 1180.

27. $\varepsilon i \not \mu \eta \dot{\eta}]$ is a rendering of אם לוא. For a discussion of this well-known Hebraism, see 15:11. Theodoret and Olympiodorus along with several MSS have $\mu \eta \eta$. Olympiodorus comments on his text: Olymp. fr. Jer.


घis ... $\tilde{\eta} v$ ] For a discussion of the construction عivval ... $\varepsilon$ घis, see 3:23.

28. $\check{\omega} \sigma \pi \varepsilon \varrho$. Gött. has $\oplus \varsigma$.

бтóuatı ßơ̛v́vov] Olympiodorus comments on the expression: Olymp. fr. Jer. $93.708 \dot{\varepsilon} v \sigma \chi \dot{\eta} \mu \alpha \tau \iota ~ \varphi \alpha ́ \varrho \alpha \gamma \gamma 0 \varsigma$ "with the form of a valley".
29. xai ท̈ $\nsim 0 v \sigma \alpha]$ Gött. has ท̈ $\nsim o v \sigma \alpha$.
üßot literal rendering of another Vorlage. Anyhow, the figura etymologica of the Greek text is preserved in the English translation.
$\lambda \varepsilon i ́ \alpha v]$ Gött. has $\lambda i \alpha v$.
30. $\delta \dot{\varepsilon}]$ For the rare use of the particle $\delta \dot{\varepsilon}$, see Introduction.
 tion of this text (and the texts commented upon by the early commentators below) to MT, see McKane 1996, 1183. The early commentators give the following comments, but it should be noted that Chrysostom and Theodoret comment on a text which differs from Vaticanus: Chrys. fr. in


 to what is enough for him.' I.e., they did not act up worthily to their reputation. For it was considered to be strong, but it turned out to be weak in the wars against Moab." Thdt. Jer. 81.721, 724 oủxi zat $\dot{\alpha}$ tò iz ix $\alpha$ òv $\alpha v$ -

 "'Surely, his arms are according to what is enough for him, are they not? He did not act thus. For before the war he was bragging and promised to be bravest, but when he saw the enemies he ran away." Olymp. fr. Jer.

 $x \alpha \tau$ ' $\varepsilon \mu о \tilde{v}$ ü $\beta \varrho \iota v$; "'Surely, this is enough, is it not?' Surely, expecting that it is a repayment, he acted thus, or thus, did he not? Surely, he applied his whole power to his arrogance against me, did he not?" According to MPG, Theodoret and Olympiodorus took ov̉ $\chi i$ and ov̉ $\chi$ as interrogative particles introducing questions expecting affirmative answers, while Chrysostom took them as negatives. Both Rahlfs and Ziegler, as well as the present translation, take ov̉ $\chi i$ and ov̉ $\chi$ as negatives.
$\alpha u ̉ \tau \tilde{0}]$ Gött. has $\alpha v ̉ \tau o v ̃$.
31. หe๒@ $\delta \alpha \varsigma$ ] Gött. has Kı@ A $\delta \alpha \varsigma$, Swete has Kعi@ "A $\delta \alpha \varsigma$, Rahlfs has Kı@ $\alpha \delta \alpha$, MT has קיר־חרש. The accent added to the text of Vaticanus is $x \varepsilon \iota \varrho \alpha \dot{\alpha} \alpha \varsigma$, and obviously the scribe who added the accents took $x \varepsilon \iota \varrho \alpha \dot{\alpha} \alpha \varsigma$ as one word. All early commentators comment on a text with $\varkappa \varepsilon เ \varrho \alpha ́ \delta \alpha \varsigma . ~$ Thus $\varkappa \varepsilon \iota \varrho \alpha ́ \delta \alpha \varsigma ~ i s ~ a d o p t e d ~ i n ~ t h e ~ p r e s e n t ~ e d i t i o n, ~ t h o u g h ~ \chi \varepsilon ı \varrho \alpha ́ \delta \alpha \varsigma ~ w a s ~$ most likely not what the translator of Jeremiah had in mind. Cf. Thackeray 1909, 14 and 38. It should be noted, however, that Chrysostom and Theodoret have a text with teíxovs xe๒@ó $\delta \alpha \varsigma$. For a discussion of the relation to the Hebrew text, see McKane 1996, 1184-1185. Chrysostom comments on $\varkappa \varepsilon เ \varrho \alpha ́ \delta \alpha \varsigma ~ \alpha u ̉ \chi \mu о v ̃: ~ C h r y s . ~ f r . ~ i n ~ J e r . ~ 64.1028 ~ \tau о v \tau \varepsilon ́ \sigma \tau ı, ~$


 $\tau \alpha u$ "I.e., harp of dirge. That he may say: cut her down, having made her worthy of lamentation. Hence he goes on: She will utter a dirge. For he interpreted the 'shorn of drought', i.e., just as with the harp, so she will mourn harmoniously and weep aloud." Olympiodorus comments on the



 "For the men with the beautified faces of the Moabitess. For the land is dry. Or thus, for those who have to be dry, and mourn because of their sins. Cutting their hair short and living for pleasure because of contempt." The comments of Chrysostom and Olympiodorus are quite free, but still
seem to be comments on a literal interpretation of the text. Thus the literal rendering "men shorn of drought".
32. The syntax of this verse is complicated. It is hard to see how the reader took the nominative singular лó $\lambda \iota \varsigma$. If лó $\lambda \iota \varsigma$ is taken as лó $\lambda \varepsilon ı \varsigma ~ w i t h ~ G o ̈ t t ., ~$ it can be interpreted as accusative, and as the object of $\eta \psi \alpha v \tau 0$, but that is problematic since the subject is $x \lambda \eta \mu \alpha \tau \alpha$, which is neuter plural, and takes the predicate $\delta(\tilde{\eta} \lambda \vartheta \varepsilon \varepsilon v$ in singular. If $\pi o ́ \lambda \varepsilon เ \varsigma$ is nominative, $\eta \not \psi \alpha v \tau o$ can be the predicate, but that is also problematic, since örtoual cannot
 usually takes the object in genitive but sometimes in accusative; see Helbing 1928, 123-124. It should also be noted that there is no indication in the MS that someone should have taken лó $\lambda 15$ as $\pi$ ó $\lambda \varepsilon เ \varsigma$, and that the early commentators comment upon a different text. It is hard to see how лó 1 Is I $\alpha \zeta \eta \varrho \eta \eta \psi \alpha v \tau 0$ could have made any sense to the reader. Thus the very literal rendering "city of Iazēr they reached" in the present translation.

ло́خıs] Gött. has лó̀عıऽ.
33. M $\omega \alpha \beta \varepsilon$ ítıסos] Gött. has M $\omega \alpha \beta$ ítıסos. For a discussion of the use of the adjective $\mathrm{M} \omega \alpha \beta(\varepsilon) \tilde{i} \tau \iota$ instead of the proper noun $\mathrm{M} \omega \alpha \beta$, see Thackeray 1909, 170.
 $\alpha ı \delta \varepsilon \delta$. Cf. 32:16. Swete has $\alpha i \delta \varepsilon$, and Rahlfs has $\alpha u \delta \alpha \delta$ for $\alpha \iota \delta \varepsilon$. Apparently the translator of Jeremiah had some problem with the text and thus transliterated some part of it. For a discussion of the Hebrew text and the Vorlage of the Septuagint, see McKane 1996, 1186-1187, and Thackeray 1909, 14 and 37.
34. Aıt $\alpha \mu]$ Gött. has $E \lambda \varepsilon \alpha \lambda \eta$. $\alpha i \operatorname{\pi ó\lambda \varepsilon ı\varsigma ~\alpha ủ\tau \tilde {\omega }v]~For~a~discussion~of~the~text~and~its~relation~to~the~}$ Hebrew text, see Ziegler 1958, 104, and McKane 1996, 1187-1188.
 Nєßоци.

35. $\varphi \eta \sigma i v \overline{x \varsigma}]$ is a rendering of נאם־יהוה. For a discussion of the expression and its rendering, see 2:3.
tòv $\beta \omega \mu o ́ v]$ Gött. has $\beta \omega \mu o ́ v$.
 oovouv logically is the predicate of x $\alpha$ odi $\alpha$ ，it cannot be the syntactical predicate of $x \alpha \varrho \delta i \alpha$ ．Thus the very literal rendering＂heart of Mōab is just like flutes will boom＂in the present translation．A logical rendering could be：＂The heart of Moab will boom just like flutes＂．

то⿱ $\mathrm{M} \omega \alpha \beta$ ］Gött．has $\mu$ ov 七o $\tilde{\mathrm{v}} \mathrm{M} \omega \alpha \beta$ ．
xє七＠่́ $\alpha \varsigma$ ］Gött．has Kı＠Aסац．For a discussion of the expression xєเ＠ád $\alpha$ ऽ，cf．v． 31.
 missing in Vaticanus，but is written above the line in the MS．The text without the relative pronoun $\ddot{\alpha}$ makes poor sense．The $\alpha$ added above the line is very similar to the other $\alpha$ in the text，and could thus have been added by the first hand．Hence $\langle\ddot{\alpha}\rangle$ is added in the text，and［what］ is added in the translation．
$\dot{\alpha} \pi$ ò $\dot{\alpha} v \vartheta \varrho \varrho \dot{\varrho} \pi \sigma v]$ is bracketed in Gött．as a corrupt doublet；cf．Ziegler 1958， 96.

37．$\pi \tilde{\alpha} \sigma \alpha \iota ~ \chi \varepsilon \tau \varrho \varepsilon \varsigma \varsigma$ нó $\psi o v \tau \alpha \iota]$ For the passive sense of the middle form xó $\%$ ovtal，see Thackeray 1909，273n．

38．$\varphi \eta \sigma i v \overline{x \varsigma}$ ］is a rendering of נאם יהוה．For a discussion of the expression and its rendering，see 2：3．
oṽ oủx हैotıv $\chi \varrho \varepsilon$ אía aủtoṽ］seems to be a rendering of אין－חפץ בו． Perhaps the translator also had אשׁר in his text．Anyhow the $\alpha u \mathfrak{\tau}$ кou is as redundant in the Greek text as the＂for it＂in the English translation．For a discussion of redundant pronouns after relative pronouns，see 2：6．

39．$x \alpha \tau \eta \dot{\lambda} \lambda \lambda \alpha \varepsilon \varepsilon v]$ Gött．has A $\tau \alpha \tau \mathfrak{\eta} \lambda \alpha \dot{\alpha} \lambda \alpha \xi \varepsilon$ ，which is a conjecture by Katz and Ziegler，cf．Ziegler 1958， 30.
$\dot{\varepsilon} v x o ́ \tau \eta \mu \alpha]$ Gött．has $\dot{\varepsilon} \gamma x$ ótๆuа．$\gamma$ is written above the line in the MS．
41．Axx $\propto \varrho \omega v$ ］Gött．has Axx
42．$\dot{\alpha} \pi$ ò ő $\chi \lambda \mathrm{ov}$ ］is a literal rendering of מעם．According to McKane 1996，1195，the＂מעם מעם（v．42）is privative＂．McKane translates＂and a nation no more＂，NRSV translates＂as a people＂．Perhaps the translator of Jeremiah had something similar in mind，or he just produced a literal rendering of the Hebrew expression．Anyhow，the text he produced is likely to be interpreted otherwise．$\dot{\alpha} \pi$ ó with $\alpha \partial \alpha^{\prime} \lambda \lambda \nu \mu \iota$ usually has a local or separative sense，which fits badly in the present context．However，
there are at least two examples in the Septuagint where $\dot{\alpha} \pi \delta^{\prime}$ is taken in an instrumental sense, or is used to denote the agent: Job 4:9 $\dot{\alpha} \pi{ }^{\prime}$

 denote the agent, and rendered by "by". Cf. Johannessohn 1926, 281, and the discussion of áлó in 10:14.
$\varepsilon ̇ \mu \varepsilon \gamma \alpha \lambda u ́ v \vartheta \eta]$ Cf. v. 26.
tòv $\overline{\chi v}]$ is a rendering of על־יהוה. For the use of the definite article with xúgos, see Introduction. Cf. v. 26.
43. $x \alpha \vartheta \uparrow$ ท́цєvos $\mathrm{M} \omega \alpha \beta$ ] is a literal rendering of יושב מואב. For a discussion of the participle $\chi \alpha \vartheta \vartheta \dot{\eta} \mu \varepsilon$ vos and its rendering, see 10:17; cf. also vv. 18 and 19 .
44. ஷ̉ло̀ л@обஸ́лоv] Cf. 1:8.

32:1. đג̀ אליהם. J@òs גv̇toús is as redundant in the Greek translation as "to them" in the English translation. Hence the literal rendering. For a discussion of redundant pronouns, see $2: 6$. The change of gender should also be noted. The change could be due either to a constructio ad sensum or to a very literal translation, indicating that the translator translated very small units at the time. Cf. Soisalon-Soininen 1987, 29-30. It should also be noted that in an identical expression in v. 3 there is no change of gender.

$\tau \tilde{\eta} \varsigma \mu \alpha \chi \alpha i \varrho \alpha \varsigma \tilde{\eta} \varsigma \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \dot{\omega} \dot{\alpha} \pi \sigma \sigma \tau \dot{\varepsilon} \lambda \lambda \omega]$ For the relative attraction, see BDR, \$294, and Sollamo 1992, 45.

4. Iov $\alpha \alpha]$ Gött. has $\alpha$ vitoũ.
 a discussion of this construction and its rendering, see 1:5.
ößotov] Cf. 2:6.
6. бv $\mu$ íxтоvऽ] Gött. has $\sigma v \mu \mu \varepsilon$ íx
7. M $\omega \alpha \beta \varepsilon \tilde{\tau} \tau v]$ Gött. has M $\omega \alpha \beta \tilde{\tau} \tau \iota v$. Cf. 31:33.
8. $\Sigma \varepsilon \iota \delta \tilde{\omega} v \circ \varsigma]$ Gött. has $\Sigma \mathrm{t} \delta \tilde{\omega} v \circ \varsigma$.
9. $\Delta \alpha ı \delta \alpha v]$ Gött. has $\Delta \varepsilon \delta \alpha v$.
$\pi \tilde{\alpha} v]$ Gött. has $\pi \alpha ́ v \tau \alpha$. For a discussion of the confusion of $\pi \tilde{\alpha} v$ and $\pi \alpha ́ v \tau \alpha$, see Thackeray 1909, 174. $\pi \tilde{\alpha} v$ is taken as if it was masculine here, and thus rendered by "everyone". If $\pi \tilde{\alpha} v$ is taken as a true neuter, a noun should most likely be supplied, e.g., eैvoos.
10. $\sigma v \mu \mu i x \tau о v \varsigma]$ Gött. has $\sigma v \mu \mu \varepsilon i x \tau \operatorname{cov} . ~ C f . ~ v . ~ 6 ~ a n d ~ 27: 37 . ~$
11. ло́vт $\alpha \varsigma \beta \alpha \sigma \iota \lambda \varepsilon i ̃ \varsigma ~ П \varepsilon \varrho \sigma \tilde{\omega} v]$ MT has כל-מלכי מדי. For a discussion of the reference to Persia, see McKane 1986, 645.
12. हैz $\left.\alpha \sigma \tau \frac{}{} \pi \varrho o ̀ s ~ \tau o ̀ v ~ \alpha ̉ \delta \varepsilon \lambda \varphi o ̀ v ~ \alpha u ̉ \tau o \tilde{v}\right] ~ a p p e a r s ~ t o ~ b e ~ a ~ l i t e r a l ~ r e n d e r-~$ ing of איש אל-אחיו, of which there are 13 examples in MT. Since there is no verb in the enumeration to which the expression can be connected, it has to be taken from the context. Theodoret comments on the
 $\tau \tilde{\eta} \varsigma \alpha \sigma \varepsilon \beta \varepsilon \varepsilon^{\prime} \alpha \varsigma$ "I.e., that they suffer punishment for the impiety by each other." Apparently Theodoret interpreted it as hostile. Thus the rendering "against" in the present translation. For $\varkappa \varrho i ́ v \omega$ with $\pi \varrho o ́ s$, see Mayser 1934, 501. Cf. v. 17. For the use of $\alpha \dot{\alpha} \delta \lambda \varphi o ́ s ~ a s ~ a ~ r e c i p r o c a l ~ p r o n o u n, ~ c f . ~$ 13:14.
$\beta \alpha \sigma \lambda \lambda \varepsilon i \alpha \varsigma]$ Gött. has $\tau \dot{\alpha} \varsigma \beta \alpha \sigma ı \lambda \varepsilon i ́ \alpha \varsigma$.
13. $\pi i \in \tau \varepsilon]$ Gött. has $\pi i \varepsilon \tau \varepsilon$ x人í.
$\mathfrak{\varepsilon} \xi \varepsilon \mu \varepsilon ́ \sigma \varepsilon \tau \varepsilon]$ Gött. has $\grave{\varepsilon} \xi \varepsilon \mu \varepsilon ́ \sigma \alpha \tau \varepsilon$.
ф่ло̀ л@об'́л兀оv] Cf. 1:8.
$\tau \tilde{\eta} \varsigma \mu \alpha \alpha i \varrho \alpha \varsigma \tilde{\eta} \varsigma \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \dot{\omega} \alpha{ }_{\alpha} \pi \sigma \sigma \tau \dot{\varepsilon} \lambda \lambda \omega$ ] For the relative attraction, see BDR, $\$ 294$, and Sollamo 1992, 45.
 $\pi ו o ́ v \tau \varepsilon \varsigma \pi i ́ \varepsilon \sigma \vartheta \varepsilon]$ is a literal rendering of שׂתו תשתו, i.e. infinitive absolute and finite verb of the same root. The figura etymologica of the Hebrew text has been preserved in the Greek translation. The figura etymologica has also been preserved in the translation "Having drunk drink", since the construction of the aorist participle with the finite verb is as strange to Greek as it is to English. For a discussion of the Greek aorist participle as a rendering of the Hebrew infinitive absolute, see 3:1.
 av̉tív is redundant in Greek. Thus the equally redundant "upon it" in the present translation.

лó $\lambda$ l] Gött. has лó $\lambda \varepsilon$. $\varepsilon$ is written above the line in the MS.
הנקה לא ,תנקו, i.e., infinitive absolute and finite verb of the same root, while the text of MT has הנקה תנקו לא תנקו. Anyhow, the figura etymologica of the Greek text has been preserved in the present translation by "will ... be cleansed with a cleansing". For a discussion of the translation of this Hebrew construction, see 3:1.
16. $\chi \varrho \eta \mu \alpha \tau เ \varepsilon \tilde{\varepsilon}]$ For a discussion of the rendering $\chi \varrho \eta \mu \alpha \tau i \zeta \omega$ of $\bar{ג}$, and , דבר, see Tov 1976, 71, who notes that $\chi \varrho \eta \mu \alpha \tau i \zeta \omega$ is only used with God or prophet as subject, and that eight ( $32: 16 \mathrm{bis} ; 33: 2 \mathrm{bis} ; 36: 23 ; 37: 2 ; 43: 2$, 4 (43:2,4 not Vaticanus)) out of ten examples of the verb are found in the second half of Jeremiah.
$\lambda o ́ \gamma o v ~ \chi \varrho \eta \mu \alpha \tau เ \varepsilon \tilde{]}]$ seems to be a rendering of שאג ישאג, i.e., infinitive absolute with a finite form of the same root. The rendering of this Hebrew expression by a noun in the accusative case of a similar meaning as the following verb, but of another root, is quite rare. For a discussion of the translation of this Hebrew expression, see 3:1.
oíd $\varepsilon$ ] Gött. has $\alpha \iota \delta \varepsilon \delta$, cf. v. 31:33. The accent added in Vaticanus is oil $\delta \varepsilon$ and not oi $\delta \dot{\delta}$. Thus the accentuation oí $\delta \varepsilon$ in the present edition.
17. $\tau \tilde{\omega} \overline{\chi 凶 \omega}]$ is a rendering of ליהוה. For the definite article with xú@ıos, see Introduction.
 For Ł@ivoual with J@ós, see Helbing 1928, 237, and Mayser 1934, 501. Cf. 2:9 and 35. Theodoret comments on the passage: Thdt. Jer. 81.640


 "Again he shows his inexpressible goodness. For he did not say 'He will judge all flesh', but 'He contests a legal case against all flesh'. For he shows the proper services, and reproves the ungratefulness of those who receive it." Though it is obvious that Theodoret did not interpret roivetal n@òs
 interpreted it. Most likely he took x@ivetaı in the middle sense and л@ós in the sense "against". Thus 犭oivetal is rendered by "contest a legal case" in the present translation. Cf. Muraoka 2002, 330.
 3:23.
20. $\alpha \lambda \alpha \lambda \dot{\alpha} \xi \alpha \tau \varepsilon]$ is a rendering of or Cf. 4:8.
 as well as $\varkappa \varrho \varepsilon เ \tilde{\omega} v$ (v. 21) and $\varkappa \varrho \iota \tilde{\omega} v$ (v. 22), are renderings of אדירי. For a discussion of this rendering, the Vorlage of the second $\varkappa \varrho \varepsilon เ o i=1$ and the Hebrew text of v. 20, see McKane 1986, 651-652.
21. $\chi \varrho \varepsilon เ \tilde{\omega} v]$ Gött. has $\chi \varrho เ \tilde{\omega} v . ~ C f . ~ v . ~ 22 . ~$
22. $\dot{\alpha} \lambda \alpha \lambda \alpha \gamma \mu o ́ \zeta]$ is a rendering of יללה. Cf. v. 20 and 4:8.
$\tau \tilde{\omega} v \pi \varrho \circ \beta \alpha \dot{\alpha} \tau \omega v \nsim \alpha i ̀ \tau \tilde{\omega} v \varkappa \varrho \iota \tilde{\omega} v]$ Gött. has $\tau \tilde{\omega} v \varkappa \varrho เ \tilde{\omega} v \tau \tilde{\omega} v \pi \varrho \circ \beta \alpha ́ \tau \omega v$. Cf. vv. 20, 21.
23. $x \alpha \tau \alpha ́ \lambda o \iota \tau \alpha]$ Gött. has $x \alpha \tau \alpha \lambda u ́ \mu \alpha \tau \alpha$.

ब̀лò л@обف́лоv] Cf. 1:8.
24. $\alpha$ ß $\beta \alpha \tau o v]$ Cf. 2:6.

а̉ло̀ л@обஸ́лоv] Cf. 1:8.
$\tau \tilde{\eta} \varsigma \mu \alpha \chi \alpha i \varrho \alpha \varsigma ~ \tau \tilde{\eta} \varsigma \mu \varepsilon \gamma \alpha \dot{ } \lambda \eta \varsigma]$ MT has חרון היונה. Apparently the translator of Jeremiah had חרב instead of חרון in his text, since some Hebrew MSS have חרב. For a discussion of the complicated Hebrew text, see McKane 1986, 654-655. It should be noted that the translator of Jeremiah rendered היונה by ${ }^{\text {c }}$ E $\lambda \lambda \eta v i x \eta ̃ ร$ in 26:16.

## 44. Ieremias' Prophecy Against the House of Lord. <br> Serve the King of Babylon and Live (33:1-34:18)

After having finished the prophecies against the nations, the following section, which covers the chapters 33 and 34, is directed against the house of the Lord. The prophecy opens with an urgent request to Jeremiah to declare to the people all the words that the Lord has commanded him to declare to them-to turn away from their evil deeds, and to listen to the words of the prophets. If they do so the Lord will turn away from his evil plans against the people; if, on the other hand, they do not listen to Jeremiah, the Lord will destroy the house of the Lord. When the priests, the false prophets, and all the people heard the prophecy of Jeremiah, they captured him and wanted to kill him. However, their intention
was prevented by the rulers of Judah, who believed Jeremiah when he assured them that he was sent by the Lord. Then some elders reminded of earlier prophets, who warned about coming destruction and urged the people to turn from their evil deeds. When the people listened to these earlier prophets, the Lord also ceased from his evil plans. There is also an example of a prophet who was killed by the king. Jeremiah, on the other hand, is rescued by a man called Acheikam. In chapter 34 Jeremiah is told to make bonds and collars and send them to some of the nations and also to Sedekias, king of Iouda. The interpretation of this action is that the Lord urges all the people to serve the king of Babylon. Those who serve him will live, but those who do not serve him will be visited by sword and famine. Jeremiah is also told to warn the people about the false prophets, who tell them not to serve the king of Babylon.

Linguistically interesting is the rendering $\chi \varrho \eta \mu \alpha \tau i \zeta \omega$ (33:2) of the very common verb דבר, which is an indication of the fine sense of the translator (cf. also the use of the comparative form $\beta \dot{\varepsilon} \lambda \tau \tau o v$ in 33:14), since this rendering is only used with God or a prophet as subject. On the other hand, in the same verse, there is a very rare literal rendering of דבר into $\varrho \tilde{\eta} \mu \alpha$, which might indicate how inclined the translator was not to change the structure of the Hebrew text. It should also be noticed that five out of nine examples of $\psi \varepsilon v \delta о \pi \varrho о \varphi \eta$ ๆ̆ $\boldsymbol{\eta}$ can be found in the present section, where the $\psi \varepsilon v \delta o$-element is always an interpretation of the translator, who had נביא in his Vorlage. In 33:19 there is also an example where the text most likely was understood in a different way by the reader of the text than it was intended by the original translator. In 34:6, 9 there are two examples of the same kind of anacoluthon in the Greek text, which are preserved by anacolutha in the English translation. The reason for the anacoluthon is in both cases a nominativus pendens.

1. The indentation of the left margin in v. 1 follows the MS.
$\mathrm{I} \omega \alpha \varkappa \varepsilon \mu$... $\mathrm{I} \omega \sigma \varepsilon i \alpha]$ Gött. has $\mathrm{I} \omega \alpha \varkappa \mu$... I $\omega \sigma \dot{\alpha} \alpha$. For the genitive ending - $\alpha$ of I $\omega \sigma \varepsilon i \alpha$, see 1:3.
 Usually דבר is rendered by $\lambda \alpha \lambda \varepsilon \dot{\varepsilon} \omega$, but in a few examples (33:2bis; 36:23; 37:2 (and 43:2, 4; 47:2 in Gött.)) it is rendered by $\chi \varrho \eta \mu \alpha \tau i \zeta \omega$. In these examples the subject is always the Lord or a prophet. It should also be noted that there are only another four examples of $\chi \varrho \eta \mu \alpha \tau_{i}^{\prime} \omega \omega$ in the whole Septuagint (Jer. 32:16bis; 1 Kings 18:27; Job 40:8). Cf. 32:16, and Tov 1976, 71.

тоĩ ऽ 'Iovסגioıऽ] seems to be a rendering of ערי יהודה. For a discussion of the rendering, see McLean1997, 75-77, and 80.

$\mu \grave{\eta} \ldots \varrho \tilde{\eta} \mu \alpha]$ is a very literal rendering of אל .... דבר. For a discussion of this Hebraistic construction instead of $\mu \eta \delta \varepsilon ́ v$, see BDR, $\S 302, \mathrm{CS}, \S 88$, and Tabachovitz 1956, 87-91. This Hebraistic construction with $\varrho \tilde{\eta} \mu \alpha$ could also be one reason for the rare rendering $\varrho(\eta \eta \mu \alpha$, instead of $\lambda o ́ \gamma \circ \varsigma$, of דבר. For a discussion of the rare rendering $\varrho \tilde{\eta} \mu \alpha$, see 1:1.
3. $\tau \tilde{\eta} \varsigma$ óסow̃] Gött. has óסoṽ.
$\left.\dot{\alpha} \pi \sigma \sigma \tau \varrho \alpha \varphi \eta{ }^{\prime} \sigma о v \tau \alpha l\right]$ The passive form is taken in an active sense as in 3:19. Cf. McKay 1994, 24.
4. тoĩs vouíuoıs $\mu \mathrm{ov}$ oĩs $\mathfrak{\varepsilon} \delta \omega x \alpha$ ] For the attraction of the relative, see BDR, § 294, Wallace 1995, 338-339, and Smyth 1956, §2522. Cf. v. 5 T $\tilde{\omega} v$ $\pi \varrho о \varphi \eta \tau \tilde{\omega} v$ oú $\dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \dot{\omega}$ वंлобт $\dot{\varepsilon} \lambda \lambda \omega$, where there is no attraction. Cf. also Sollamo 1992, 45.
 struction, see 7:25.
6. $\delta \omega \dot{\omega} \omega \omega \ldots \check{\omega}^{\circ} \sigma \pi \varepsilon \varrho \ldots \delta \dot{\omega} \sigma \omega$ عiऽ] For a discussion of these expressions, see 6:27 and 9:11.
7. $\psi \varepsilon v \delta о \pi \varrho о \varphi \tilde{\eta} \tau \alpha \iota]$ is a rendering of נבאים. Cf. 6:13.
 1:3.

Iغ@яцiov лаvбацє́vov $\lambda \alpha \lambda$ ככלות ירמיהו לדבר. For a discussion of the genitive absolute in the Septuagint, see Soisalon-Soininen 1987, 175-180. For a discussion of the vocabulary, see Introduction. Cf. 50:1.

бuvع $\lambda \dot{\alpha} \beta o \sigma \alpha v]$ For the form, see BDR, $\S 84$.
$\psi \varepsilon v \delta о \pi \varrho о \varphi \tilde{\eta} \tau \alpha \iota]$ is a rendering of נבאים. Cf. 6:13.
$\vartheta \alpha v \alpha \dot{\tau} \omega \dot{\alpha} \pi \sigma \vartheta \alpha v \tilde{\eta}]$ is a literal rendering of מות תמות, i.e. infinitive absolute and finite verb of the same root. The figura etymologica of the Hebrew text has been preserved in the Greek translation. The figura etymologica has also been preserved in the translation "You will die a death". For a discussion of the translation of this Hebrew construction, see 3:1.
9. ötı] Gött. has ő $\tau \iota$.
$\tau \tilde{\varrho}$ ỏvó $\mu \alpha \tau \iota$ ] Cf. 11:21.
$\alpha v ̉ \tau \tilde{\eta} \varsigma]$ Gött. has $\alpha \cup ̛ \tau \eta . ~ O n l y ~ V a t i c a n u s ~ h a s ~ \alpha u ̉ \tau \tilde{\eta} s$, and the text of Vaticanus is corrected into $\alpha$ むัтๆ.
 10:17 $\varkappa \alpha \tau о \iota о \tilde{v} \sigma \alpha$, and 41:22.
$\mathfrak{\varepsilon} \xi \varepsilon \varepsilon x \lambda \lambda \tau \iota \alpha ́ \sigma \vartheta \eta]$ Gött. has $\mathfrak{\varepsilon} \xi \varepsilon \varepsilon \kappa \lambda \eta \sigma \iota \alpha ́ \sigma \vartheta \eta$. For the spelling, see LSJ.
10. oí $\prec \varrho \varrho \chi o v \tau \varepsilon \varsigma]$ Gött. has $\alpha \prec \varrho \chi o v \tau \varepsilon \varsigma$.

л@ơv́goıs] Cf. 1:15.
 discussion of oírov, which is only found in a few late MSS and in some versions, and its Vorlage, see McKane 1996, 661.
11. $\psi \varepsilon v \delta о \pi \varrho о \varphi \tilde{\eta} \tau \alpha \iota]$ is a rendering of נבאים. Cf. 6:13.
12. A stroke above the line in the MS indicates a new paragraph. Since v. 12 begins at the beginning of the line, there is no space within the text which could confirm the new paragraph.
13. $\beta \varepsilon \lambda \tau$ íovs лоıŋ́ $\sigma \alpha \tau]$ Cf. 33:13.
14. $\mathfrak{\omega} \varsigma \beta \varepsilon ́ \lambda \tau \iota o v$ บ์ $\mu \tau ̃ v]$ appears to be a very free, but quite idiomatic rendering of כישר בעיניכם. For a discussion of the Greek construction, cf. 45:20.
15. $\gamma \nu o ́ v \tau \varepsilon \varsigma ~ \gamma \nu \omega ́ \sigma \varepsilon \sigma \vartheta \varepsilon]$ is a literal rendering of ידע תדעו, i.e. infinitive absolute and finite verb of the same root. The figura etymologica of the Hebrew text has been preserved in the Greek translation. The figura etymologica has also been preserved in the translation "having got to know you will know", since the construction of the aorist participle with the finite verb is as strange to Greek as it is to English. For a discussion of the Greek aorist participle as a rendering of the Hebrew infinitive absolute, see 3:1.

$\langle\tilde{\omega} \tau \alpha\rangle$ ] has been added by a later hand. $\tilde{\omega} \tau \alpha$ is only missing in Vaticanus.
16. $\psi \varepsilon v \delta о \pi \varrho о \varphi \eta ं \tau \alpha \varsigma]$ is a rendering of נביאים. Cf. 6:13.

غ̉лì $\tau \tilde{0}$ ỏvó $\mu \alpha \tau \iota]$ Cf. 11:21.
тoṽ $\vartheta \varepsilon o \tilde{v}]$ Gött. has $\vartheta \varepsilon o v ̃$.
17. A stroke above the line in the MS indicates a new paragraph. Since v. 17 begins at the beginning of the line, there is no space within the text which could confirm the new paragraph. However, the preceding line is shorter than the other lines, indicating a new paragraph. Thus the new paragraph in the text.
$\tau \tilde{\omega} v \pi \varrho \varepsilon \sigma \beta v \tau \varepsilon ́ \varrho \omega v]$ is a rendering of זקני. Cf. 6:11.
 $\tau \eta \zeta \ldots . \Sigma \iota \omega v$.
$\sum \varepsilon \iota \omega v \ldots$... $\left.\varrho \varrho \nu \mu \mathrm{v}\right]$ is a quotation from Mic. 3:12, which reads $\dot{\omega} \varsigma$ ỏл $\omega \varrho о \varphi \cup \lambda \alpha ́ x เ \frac{}{}$ for $\varepsilon i s ~ \alpha ̈ \beta \alpha \tau о v . ~$
 see 3:23.
$\left.{ }^{\alpha} \beta \alpha \tau о v\right]$ Cf. 2:6.
$\left.\alpha{ }^{\alpha} \lambda \sigma o \varsigma \delta \varrho v \mu о \tilde{v}\right]$ For a discussion of the relation to the Vorlage, see McKane 1996, 663.
19. $\mu \eta$ ] is a rendering of the Hebrew interrogative particle $\boldsymbol{\pi}$. For questions introduced by $\mu \eta$, see 5:9.
$\alpha \dot{\alpha} v \varepsilon \lambda \grave{\omega} v \dot{\alpha} v \varepsilon \tilde{\sim} \lambda \varepsilon v]$ is a literal rendering of המת המת, i.e. infinitive absolute and finite verb of the same root. The figura etymologica of the Hebrew text has been preserved in the Greek translation. The figura etymologica has also been preserved in the translation "did ... having killed kill", since the construction of the aorist participle with the finite verb is as strange to Greek as it is to English. For a discussion of the Greek aorist participle as a rendering of the Hebrew infinitive absolute, see 3:1.
ov̉ $\chi$ ] Gött. has ov̉ $\chi$ i. Only Vaticanus has ov̉ $\chi$. ov̉ $\chi$ is a rendering of the Hebrew interrogative particle הלא. For questions introduced by oủ /ov̉xí, see 7:19.
 preted as a causal conjunction introducing two causal clauses. It should
 preted as the main verb. However, to introduce the main clause, following subordinate clauses, by $x \alpha i$ is strange Greek, if it is not interpreted as an adverb. Thus $x \alpha i$ is interpreted as an adverb, and rendered by "also", though this perhaps was not the intention of the translator of Jeremiah, who most likely only rendered the Hebrew particle i by the most common rendering $x \alpha i$. Cf. 7:7.
$\operatorname{to} v \overline{x \nu}]$ is a rendering of אתת־יהוה. For the use of the definite article with $x u ́ \varrho \iota \circ \varsigma$, see Introduction.
$\dot{\varepsilon} \delta \varepsilon \eta \dot{\eta} \eta \sigma \alpha \nu$ то $\tilde{v} \pi \varrho о \sigma \omega ́ \pi \sigma v \overline{\chi v}]$ is a very literal rendering of the expression ויחל את־פני יהוה. According to Helbing 1928, 171-172, the Greek rendering is Hebraizing, but understandable. Thus the literal rendering in the present translation. Cf. BDR, $\S 217$.
20. $\tau \underset{\varrho}{\tilde{Q}}$ ỏvó $\mu \alpha \tau \iota]$ Cf. 11:21.

21. I $\omega \alpha x \varepsilon \iota \mu$... Ov@cías] Gött. has I $\omega \alpha x ı \mu$... Ov@ías.
23. $\mathfrak{\varepsilon} \xi \eta \gamma \alpha \dot{\alpha} \gamma \sigma \alpha \alpha v \ldots$... $\varepsilon$ í $\eta \gamma \dot{\alpha} \gamma o \sigma \alpha v]$ For the forms, see $\mathrm{BDR}, \S 84$.
 ع้@@เ $\downarrow \varepsilon$.
24. $\pi \lambda \eta v$ ] For the use of the particle $\pi \lambda \eta v$ in the Septuagint and in texts related to the Septuagint, see Blomqvist 2011.
$\chi \varepsilon i \varrho \ldots$... $\varepsilon i \varsigma \chi \varepsilon \tau \varrho \alpha \varsigma]$ Cf. BDR, §217.2.
A $\chi \varepsilon เ x \alpha \mu]$ Gött. has $\mathrm{A} \chi ı x \alpha \mu$.
$\mu \grave{\eta} \alpha \dot{\alpha} \varepsilon \lambda \varepsilon \tilde{\varepsilon} v]$ Gött. has $\tau 0 \tilde{v} \mu \eta \dot{\eta} \alpha v \varepsilon \lambda \varepsilon \tilde{\imath} v$.

34:1-18. For a discussion of this chapter and its relation to the Hebrew text, see, e.g., Aejmelaeus 2005.


 yoke, collar he calls that tree which is tightly bound around the yoke, that which holds the neck of the oxen together."
2. $\left.\sum \varepsilon \iota \delta \tilde{\omega} v o \varsigma\right]$ Gött. has $\sum ı \delta \tilde{\omega} v o \varsigma$.

हैv $\chi \varepsilon \varrho \sigma i v]$ is a literal rendering of ביד. For the Hebraizing use of $\varepsilon$ हैv $\chi \varepsilon \iota(1$ instead of a preposition, see $\mathrm{BDR}, \$ 217.2 \mathrm{c}$.
$\varepsilon i \varsigma ~ \alpha \dot{\alpha} \alpha \dot{v} \tau \eta \sigma v \alpha \cup \jmath \tau \tilde{\omega} v]$ is strange, and does not fit the context. It has no equivalent in MT, and the whole expression, or parts of it, is missing in some MSS. For a discussion of the text, see McKane 1996, 686.
4. $\tau \tilde{\eta}$ ỉ $\sigma \chi$ úı] Gött. has í $\sigma \chi$ úv.

see 31:25. Pietersma 2002, 107, suggests the rendering "by my lofty endeavour", which is followed in this passage.
5. ठov to the Hebrew text, see McKane 1996, 688-689. Cf. 22:13.
 CS, $\$ 53$, BDR $\$ 466.2-4$ ). The anacoluthon is preserved in the present translation and marked by a dash. Cf. v. 9. The incongruity between tò हैधvos rai i $\mathfrak{\eta} \beta \alpha \sigma i \lambda \varepsilon i \alpha$ and the relative pronoun öбot should also be noted. It could most likely be regarded as a constructio ad sensum. Anyhow, the incongruity is preserved in the translation by the rendering "who" of the relative pronoun.
tòv Ђuүóv] Gött. has ૬uүóv.
$\lambda \varepsilon \mu \tilde{\omega}]$ Gött. has $\lambda \mu \tilde{\omega}$.
 instead of a preposition, see BDR, $\$ 217.2 \mathrm{c}$.
7. $\psi \varepsilon v \delta о л \varrho о \varphi \eta \tau \tilde{\omega} v]$ is a rendering of נביאים. Cf. 6:13.

9. tò $\left.\begin{array}{c} \\ \ddots\end{array} \mathrm{vos}\right]$ is apparently a nominativus pendens (cf. CS, $\$ 53, \mathrm{BDR}$ $\$ 466.2-4$ ). The anacoluthon is preserved in the present translation and marked by a dash. Cf. v. 6.
 עבד. For a discussion of the rendering घ̇@үá̧oual of עבד, and for the rendering "work for" of $\dot{\varepsilon} 0 \gamma \alpha \dot{\zeta}$ oucı with dative, see 22:13. For the Attic future form $\mathfrak{\varepsilon} \varrho \gamma \tilde{\alpha} \tau \alpha \mathrm{l}$, see Helbing 1907, 86, and Schwyzer 1959, 1.785.
10. $\mathfrak{\varepsilon} \varrho \gamma \alpha \dot{\sigma} \sigma \alpha \sigma \vartheta \varepsilon \varepsilon \tau \tilde{\omega} \beta \alpha \sigma เ \lambda \varepsilon \check{\jmath}]$ Cf. v. 9.
12. $\varphi \eta \sigma i v \overline{x \varsigma}]$ is a rendering of נאם־יהוה. For a discussion of the expression and its rendering, see 2:3.
$\tau \tilde{\omega}$ ỏvó $\mu \alpha \tau 1]$ Cf. 11:21.
غ̀ $\left.\boldsymbol{\prime}^{\prime} \dot{\alpha} \delta^{\prime} \chi^{\prime} \omega\right]$ is bracketed in Gött., and according to Ziegler 1958, 96, it is a double rendering of שׂקר, while $\psi \varepsilon v \delta \tilde{\eta}$ is the original rendering of ששקר, which is missing in MT. Cf. Tov 1999.1, 328.

15. $\dot{\alpha} \pi \alpha v \tau \eta \sigma \alpha \dot{\alpha} \tau \omega \sigma \alpha \dot{\alpha} \mu \mathrm{ol}$ ] Chrysostom comments on the passage, but it should be noticed that he seems to comment upon a longer text, which is similar to MT (cf. Gött.): Chrys. fr. in Jer. 64.964 عư $\xi \alpha \dot{\alpha} \vartheta \omega \sigma \alpha v ~ \pi \varrho o ̀ s ~ \tau o ̀ v ~$

 "They shall pray to God that what is left shall not be taken away, which is quite easy, or that those who have been sent into exile come back. By that we will know that also the other things will happen."
17. ő ǒ ] Gött. has ő of $\varepsilon$ is written above the line in the MS.

## 45. Ieremias and Ananias (35:1-17)

In the following section Jeremiah twice meets with the false prophet Ananias, who claims to be speaking on behalf of the Lord. The first meeting takes place in the sight of the priests and the people in the house of the Lord, and the message of Ananias is diametrically opposed to the message of Jeremiah. Ananias declares that the Lord will break the power of the king of Babylon and bring back the exile from Babylon to Israel. Jeremiah points out that former prophets have prophesied about war and not peace. Thus what will come will show if Ananias is telling the truth. Then Jeremiah leaves, but meets with Ananias again to tell him a word of the Lord-that all the nations will serve the king of Babylon, that he has made the people trust in injustice, and that he will die within a year. Two months later Ananias dies.

This relatively short section contains a number of the very literal renderings discussed in previous sections. There is also one anacoluthon due to a nominativus pendens, and at least one example where the text was most likely understood differently by the reader than by the original translator. Beside that, there is not much of special linguistic interest in this section.

1. $x \alpha i$ è $\gamma \varepsilon ́ v \varepsilon \tau 0]$ For this Hebraistic construction, cf. 1:3.
$\Sigma \varepsilon \delta \varepsilon x \iota \alpha]$ For the genitive ending $-\alpha$ instead of the common ending -ov, see Thackeray 1909, 161-162. The ending -ov is found in I $\omega \sigma \varepsilon \iota o v$ in 3:6 and in $\Sigma \varepsilon \delta \varepsilon x ו o v$ in 26:1, 28:59, 52:1, 10, 11.

Avavías] Gött. has also Avovías without breathing, but the breathing added in Vaticanus is a spiritus lenis. Thus the rendering Ananias in the present translation.
$\psi \varepsilon v \delta о \pi \varrho о \varphi \eta \dot{\eta} \tau \varsigma]$ seems to be a rendering of נביא. Cf. 6:13. See also McKane 1996, 720, who claims that הנביא was not in the Vorlage of the Septuagint.
$\alpha$ 人̇ò $\Gamma \alpha \beta \alpha \omega v$ ] Gött. has ó $\alpha$ д̀̀ $\Gamma \alpha \beta \alpha \omega v$.
$\chi \alpha \tau^{\prime}$ ỏ $\left.\varphi \vartheta \alpha \lambda \mu \circ \cup ́ \varsigma\right]$ Cf. 19:10.
2. $\tau 0 \tilde{v} \beta \alpha \sigma \mathrm{\lambda} \lambda \varepsilon ́ \omega \varsigma]$ Gött. has $\beta \alpha \sigma \mathrm{\lambda} \lambda \varepsilon \varepsilon^{\omega} \omega \varsigma$.
 literal rendering "two years of days" in the present translation.
 of the people living in exile, while $\dot{\alpha} \pi о$ тxi $\alpha$ usually seems to be used of the settlement/colony and not of the people living there. Cf. LSJ. Thus the literal rendering "exile" in the present translation.


6. Most likely a new paragraph starts here. There is a small space between $\overline{x v}$ and $x \alpha i$, but there is no stroke in the margin which could confirm the new paragraph.

Chrysostom paraphrases this verse: Chrys. fr. in Jer. 64.964 iva $\mu \dot{\eta}$
 عĩval, xai $\varepsilon$ épavtòv $\psi \varepsilon v ́ \delta \varepsilon \sigma \vartheta \alpha \iota ~ " T h a t ~ y o u ~ n o t ~ t h i n k ~ t h a t ~ I ~ a m ~ f i g h t i n g ~$ against you: I wish and I pray that this may happen, and that I am lying."
oṽ $\tau \omega]$ Gött. has oű $\tau \omega \varsigma$.
$\pi \tilde{\alpha} \sigma \alpha v \tau \eta \nu \dot{\alpha} \pi \sigma \nsim i \alpha v]$ Cf. v. 4.
7. $\pi \lambda \eta \dot{\eta}$ ] For the use of the particle $\pi \lambda \eta \dot{\eta} v$ in the Septuagint and in texts related to the Septuagint, see Blomqvist 2011.
uòv $\lambda o ́ \gamma o v$ ] Gött. has $\lambda$ ó $\gamma o v$, which is a conjecture by Ziegler, who refers to 2:31 and 19:3. All MSS and versions have tòv $\lambda$ ó $\gamma o v$.
8. жаi દ̇л@орท́тєvбаv] is a literal rendering of וינבאו. The use of the particle $x \alpha i$ between the subject and the predicate is strange, unless $x \alpha i$ is taken as an adverb. Thus $x \alpha i$ is taken as an adverb here and rendered by "also", though this was most likely not the intention of the translator of Jeremiah. Cf. 7:7 and 33:19.

عis лó̀єuov] Chrysostom comments on this verse: Chrys. fr. in Jer.
 $\dot{\alpha} \lambda \eta \vartheta \varepsilon i \tilde{I}_{5}$ "All the prophets who were talking about painful things were the most truthful."

غ̇лі $\gamma \eta ̃ \varsigma ~ л о \lambda \lambda \tilde{\eta} \varsigma]$ is a rendering of אל־ארצות רבות. For a discussion of the singular of $\gamma \tilde{\eta}$ for the plural ארצות, see Thackeray 1909, 143.
 CS, $\$ 53$, BDR $\$ 466.2-4$ ). The anacoluthon is preserved in the present translation and marked by a dash. Cf. 34:6, 9 .
 a discussion of the genitive absolute in the Septuagint, see SoisalonSoininen 1987, 175-180.
 miah's answer to Ananias: Chrys. fr. in Jer. 64.964 тò лદ́@as $\delta \varepsilon^{\prime} \xi \varepsilon \varepsilon \tau \tau ̃ v$ $\lambda o ́ \gamma \omega v \tau \eta े v \alpha \dot{\alpha} \lambda \dot{\eta} \vartheta \varepsilon \iota \alpha v$ "The ending will show the truth of the words." av̉toĩc] Gött. has aủtóv.
10. Most likely a new paragraph starts here. There is a small space between rioteı and roí, and there is a stroke in the margin, which confirms the new paragraph. The small space between лíवt\& and $x \alpha i$ is also marked by a dot.
11. бuvт@zí $\psi \omega]$ Gött. has бuvт@i $\psi \omega$.
$\chi^{\prime} \tau^{\prime}$ ỏ $\varphi \vartheta \alpha \lambda \mu$ оv́ $\left.\varsigma\right]$ Cf. 19:10.
$\pi \alpha v \tau o ̀ \varsigma ~ \tau o v ̃ ~ \lambda \alpha o v ̃] ~ G o ̈ t t . ~ h a s ~ \tau o \tilde{v} \lambda \alpha o v ̃$.
12. бuvt@ะі̃ $\alpha u]$ Gött. has ouvt@ĩభવı.

$\quad \alpha$ i] For a discussion of the use of $x \alpha i$ in an adversative sense, see Blomqvist 1979.

16. Most likely a new paragraph starts here. There is a small space between $\dot{\alpha} \delta \dot{\delta} x \varphi$ and $\delta \iota \alpha$, but there is no stroke in the margin which could confirm the new paragraph.

ảло̀ люобஸ́лоv] Cf. 1:8.

## 46. Ieremias' Letter to the Exile (36:1-32)

The scene of this section appears to confirm the words of Jeremiah in the previous section, while it also confirms that Ananias was a false prophet. Most of the people have been exiled to Babylon, and Jeremiah sends a letter to them with the following content: the exile will last for seventy years. Thus, build houses, plant gardens, and do what is good for the land where you live, for this will be good for you, but do not listen to the false prophets among you. And after these seventy years the Lord will bring the people back again to their own land. The rest of the section contains another two prophecies, the first concerning Achiab and Sedekias (and Sophonia), and the second concerning Samaias, the relation of which with the first part of this section is not totally clear. It should also be noticed that verses 16-20 are missing in the Greek version. Achiab and Sedekias are accused of adultery and false prophecy, and they will be made into an example for the exile. Sophonia appears to have abused his position as priest in Jerusalem, while Samaias is accused of false prophecy. As for Samaias, his punishment will not only fall upon Samaias himself, but upon his whole family.

The skill of the translator, although well disguised in a very literal translation, is still observable in yet another innovative use of the genitive absolute (v. 2). The skill is also observable in the variation between the renderings of vv. 5 and 28 , which are almost identical in MT, and there seems to be no good reason to believe that the translator had a Vorlage in which vv. 5 and 28 differed from each other. Instead the variation is most likely due to the translator himself. In verse 10 the word order (if original) of Vaticanus, and in verse 23 the incorporated antecedent, are further examples of the translator's command of Greek. However, there are also a number of very literal renderings, e.g., vv. 7 and 8.

```
1. \(\left.\psi \varepsilon v \delta о \pi \varrho о \varphi \eta \eta^{\tau} \alpha \varsigma\right]\) is a rendering of נביאים. Cf. 6:13.
```




```
    व̈л \(\alpha v \tau \alpha]\) Gött. has \(\pi \alpha ́ v \tau \alpha\).
```

2. v̈бtع@ov] used with a participial construction (genitive absolute) seems to be an innovation by the translator of Jeremiah. Cf. Tov 1976, 49, and Muraoka 2002, 577.

ह́ $\xi \varepsilon \lambda \vartheta$ ソ́vtos Iexoviov ...] is a rendering of ... צאת יכניה. For a discussion of the genitive absolute in the Septuagint, see Soisalon-Soininen 1987, 175-180.
$\left.\tau \tilde{\eta} \varsigma \beta \alpha \sigma \iota \lambda_{i} \sigma \sigma \eta_{\varsigma}\right]$ For the form $\beta \alpha \sigma^{\prime} \lambda_{\iota} \sigma \sigma \alpha$ instead of $\beta \alpha \sigma^{\prime} \lambda \varepsilon ı \alpha$, see SC, $\$ 7$.
 instead of a preposition, see BDR, $\$ 217.2 \mathrm{c}$.

E $\lambda \varepsilon \alpha \sigma \alpha v]$ Gött. has E $\lambda \varepsilon \alpha \sigma \alpha$. E $\lambda \varepsilon \alpha \zeta \alpha \varrho$ is written in the margin.
vioũ Xeえxıov] vioũ is divided at the end of the line, and ioṽ is written on the second line. On the first line $v$ is followed by a $t$, which appears to be written by another hand, but it cannot be excluded that the original text had viloṽ.

5. In MT v. 5 and v. 28 are almost identical; only the pronominal suffix in the last word is different: פריהן/פרין. In the text of the Septuagint, on the other hand, the differences are considerable: oixovs/oixias, ла@ $\alpha-$
 oĩxos (ca. 110 examples) and oixía (ca. 30 examples) are mostly renderings of בית in Jeremiah. Some expressions have only oĩzos, e.g., oĩ̌os
 xos Iovo $\alpha$ (together ca. 70 examples). In the remaining examples oĩ $\boldsymbol{x}$,

 $\gamma \varepsilon \sigma \vartheta \varepsilon$ should most likely be taken as aorist imperatives (though $\varphi \alpha ́ \gamma \varepsilon \sigma \vartheta \varepsilon$ could be future indicative). The imperative אכלו is rendered interchangeably by active and middle in the Septuagint. тoùs жа@лои́ऽ/tòv жа@ло́v are mostly renderings of פרי in the Septuagint. Since פרי is always used in the singular, the Greek plural is always an interpretation of the translator. For $\pi \alpha \varrho \alpha \delta \varepsilon i \sigma o v \varsigma / \varkappa \eta \dot{\jmath} \pi \mathbf{\sigma} \varsigma$ there are too few examples in the Septuagint to draw any conclusions or to see any tendencies. Thus it seems that there are no reasons for variation within the text itself, and the variation is only due to the translator. Anyhow, given the small distance between v . 5 and v. 28 it seems very likely that the translator was aware of the variation.
 rather a rendering of דרשׁו לשׂלום. Cf. 38:4 דרש לשלום, which, however, is
 the preposition $\varepsilon i \varsigma$ before the object. Thus the literal rendering "Seek for peace" in the present translation.
 and thus the equally redundant adverb "there" in the English translation. For a discussion of this construction, see 7:12.
 $\dot{v} \mu \tilde{\imath} v . \mathrm{t}$ is written above $\omega$ in $\dot{v} \mu \tilde{\omega} v$ in the MS.
8. $\psi \varepsilon v \delta о л \varrho о \varphi \tilde{\eta} \tau \alpha \iota]$ seems to be a rendering of נביאים. Cf. 6:13.
$\dot{\alpha} v \alpha \pi \iota \vartheta \varepsilon \dot{\varepsilon} \tau \omega \sigma \alpha v]$ Gött. has $\alpha, v \alpha \pi \varepsilon เ \vartheta \dot{\varepsilon} \tau \omega \sigma \alpha v . \varepsilon$ is written above the line in the MS.

 Thus the rendering "listen into".
9. غ̇лì tヘ̃ ỏvó $\mu \alpha \tau 1]$ Cf. 11:21.

 $\grave{\alpha} \lambda \eta \dot{\eta} \vartheta \varepsilon \alpha v^{~ " I . e ., ~ ' y o u ~ w i l l ~ s e e ~ t h e ~ t r u t h ~ o f ~ m y ~ w o r d s . ' " ~}$
 $\dot{v} \mu \tilde{\omega} v$, which is the word order of MT. To place the object of an infinitive between the article of an infinitive and the infinitive itself is unusual in this kind of Greek, since it is hard to see how a literal rendering of a Hebrew text could produce such a word order. Perhaps the word order of Vaticanus is more original than the word order of Gött., since it is not unlikely that someone changed the text of Vaticanus according to MT, but quite unlikely that someone changed the word order of Gött. into the word order of Vaticanus. There seems to be no good reason to change the word order of Gött. except to improve the style, and such changes seem to be very rare in Jeremiah.
11. $\lambda$ о $\left.\gamma \iota \frac{v}{\mu} \mu \iota \stackrel{\xi}{\varepsilon} \varphi^{\prime} \dot{v} \mu \tilde{\alpha} \varsigma \lambda \sigma \gamma \iota \sigma \mu o ́ v\right]$ seems to be a rendering of a text like אנכי חשב עליכם מחשבות, i.e., a text like MT, but without נאם discussion of the longer Hebrew text, see McKane 1996, 728-729. The figura etymologica of the Hebrew text has been preserved in the Greek translation as well as in the present English translation, where the Greek is rendered by "I will plan a plan".
21. $\mathrm{A} \chi\llcorner\alpha \beta]$ There is a space between $\mathrm{A} \chi$, and $\alpha \beta$, but there is no trace of any other letter in the MS. It should be noted, however, that several MSS have $\alpha \chi \alpha \alpha \beta$. Cf. Gött.
$\chi_{\alpha} \tau^{\prime}$ ỏ $\left.\varphi \vartheta \alpha \lambda \mu o v ́ \varsigma\right]$ Cf. 19:10.

 אשׁר occurs six times in Jeremiah (five examples are rendered in the Greek translation), and there can hardly be any doubt that the translator recognized the causal meaning of the expression. The translator rendered it by $\dot{\alpha} v \vartheta^{\circ} \tilde{\omega} v(19: 4)$, $\dot{\pi} \tau \varepsilon \delta \delta \dot{\eta}(25: 8 ; 36: 31 ; 42: 18)$. Here he apparently chose to let the relative pronoun agree with the following $\dot{\alpha}$ vouíav, thus creating an incorporated antecedent. For incorporated antecedent, see 1:2 and the text of Gött. in 38:22.
$\dot{\varepsilon} \mu$ оих $\tilde{\omega} v \tau o]$ For the form, see Thackeray 1909, 276.

 with a change of word order. For a discussion of this term, see 32:16 and 33:2.
$\varphi \eta \sigma i v \bar{x}]$ is a rendering of נאם־יהוה. For a discussion of the expression and its rendering, see 2:3.
24. A stroke above the line in the MS indicates a new paragraph. Since v. 24 begins at the beginning of the line, there is no space within the text which could confirm the new paragraph.

Aì $\alpha \mu \mu \varepsilon i \tau \eta v]$ Gött. has $N \varepsilon \lambda \alpha \mu i \tau \eta v$.
25. According to Ziegler 1958, 50-51, the translator modified his text (which most likely was in disorder) and added the negative.
$\tau \tilde{̣}$ ỏvó $\mu \alpha \tau 1]$ Cf. 11:21.

26. $\varepsilon$ है $\delta \omega x \varepsilon v . . . \varepsilon$ عis] For a discussion of this expression, see 6:27 and 9:11.

$\mu \varepsilon v o \mu \varepsilon ́ v \omega]$ Gött. has $\mu \alpha \iota v o \mu \varepsilon ́ v \varphi$. $\alpha \mathrm{l}$ is written above the line in the MS. Most likely the reader took $\mu \varepsilon v o \mu \varepsilon ́ v \varrho$ as $\mu \alpha \iota v \circ \mu \varepsilon ́ v \varphi$, since middle forms of $\mu \dot{v} v \omega$ are extremely rare. Thus the rendering "who is mad" in the present translation.
$\varkappa \alpha \tau \propto \varrho \alpha ́ \varkappa \tau \eta v]$ Gött. has $\varkappa \alpha \tau \alpha \varrho \varrho \alpha ́ \varkappa \tau \eta v$. For the meaning of the expression, see 20:2.
27. бvve入ot $\delta$ o@ท́б人tє] Gött. has oủx $\mathfrak{\varepsilon} \lambda$ ot $\delta o \varrho \eta ́ \sigma \alpha \tau \varepsilon$, which is a conjecture by Spohn. Only Vaticanus and Alexandrinus have ovvع $\lambda_{\text {ot }}$ о@ท́ $\sigma \alpha \tau \varepsilon$. For other readings, see Gött.
28. oủ] is a rendering of כי. For questions introduced by interrogative particles, see BDR, $\$ 427.2$. Cf. 7:19.
ú $\mu \tilde{\alpha} \varsigma]$ Gött. has $\eta \mu \tilde{\alpha} s$.
For a discussion of the second half of this verse, which is almost identical with verse 5 , see the comment on verse 5 .
31. тท̀v $\dot{\alpha}$ лоıxí $\alpha v$ ] Cf. 35:4.

Aì $\alpha \mu \varepsilon i ́ \tau \eta v$ Gött. has $\mathrm{N} \varepsilon \lambda \alpha \mu i \tau \eta v$.
$x \alpha i \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \dot{\omega}$ oủx $\dot{\alpha} \pi \dot{\varepsilon} \sigma \tau \varepsilon ı \lambda \alpha \alpha u ̛ \tau o ́ v]$ is taken as an parenthesis, and marked with a dash in the present translation. For parenthesis, see BDR, $\$ 465$.

32. $\alpha \cup ̉ \tau \tilde{\omega} v]$ Gött. has $\alpha v ̉ \tau \tilde{\varrho}$.

ย̇v $\mu \varepsilon ́ \sigma \omega]$ Cf. 12:16.
oủx ő$\psi o v \tau \alpha ı] ~ N o t ~ i n ~ G o ̈ t t . ~$

## 47. A Promise of Return for the Exile (37:1-3)

The following four sections all contain prophecies about the future salvation of the people. This first one, which is the second shortest, starts with an urgent request from the Lord to Jeremiah to write down the words of the Lord in a book. Then the Lord declares that he will return the exile and settle them in their land.

Beside a rare rendering of the very common expression לאמר, this short section does not have much of special linguistic interest.

1. The indentation of the left margin in $v .1$ follows the MS. عiлєĩv] is apparently a rendering of לאמר, which is usually rendered by a present participle of $\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \omega$. Of 114 examples of לאמר in MT, slightly more than 80 are rendered in the Septuagint, and most of these are rendered by present participles of $\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \omega$. This example in $37: 1$ is rendered by $\varepsilon i \pi \varepsilon i ̃ v$ and one in $45: 8$ by xai عĩлev. It is hard to see the reason for using the infinitive here, especially since the participle is used in almost identical expressions elsewhere, e.g., 11:1; 18:1. It should also be noted that the Lucianic recension has $\lambda \varepsilon \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \omega \nu$ here.
2. ह̇ $\chi \varrho \eta \mu \dot{\alpha} \tau \iota \sigma \alpha]$ is a rendering of דברתי. For a discussion of this term, see 32:16 and 33:2.
3. $\varphi \eta \sigma i v \overline{x \varsigma}]$ is a rendering of נאם-יהוה. For a discussion of the expression $\varphi \eta \sigma i v \overline{x \varsigma}$ and its rendering, see 2:3.


## 48. Deliverance, Restoration, and Rebuilding of Israel (37:4-38:9)

After the very short previous section, it is hard to see the reason for a new section here, since the previous section only appears to be an introduction to this and the following two sections. Here the Lord will turn the fear of the people into joy; instead of serving aliens they will serve him, the Lord, and he will even raise up their king David for them. However, before that there will be a time of pain and correction, because of all the iniquities of the people. After that the Lord will heal the people, and those who have oppressed the people will be visited by the Lord. That will be a time of praise and rejoicing, when the Lord will gather the people from the nations and rebuild Israel, which will prosper. Then the Lord will be their God and the descendants of Israel will be his people.

This section does not offer very much from a linguistic point of view, but there are still a few features that should be pointed out. At the beginning of this section (v. 6) there seems to be some kind of corruption in the text, for which no plausible emendation has been suggested. In verse 13 there is another unique word order of Vaticanus. In verses 14 and 16 the early commentators give clues how to understand the texts. And, finally, in $38: 2$ the cryptic $\vartheta$ धouóv is commented upon by the early commentators, from both a Hebrew and a Greek point of view.
4. The indentation of the left margin in v. 4 follows the MS.

 taken it as an ordinary question with $\alpha \varrho \sigma \varepsilon v$ as the object of eैtexev:

 'the Scriptures and learn from them, if a male has been born for you, i.e., the Lord."
 and it is hard to see how it could be possible to make any sense of the present text．Especially owt $\varrho i \alpha \alpha$ seems to be beyond remedy．Several suggestions have been given of the origin of the corruptions，but few suggestions of how to understand the present text．Cf．Ziegler 1958， 97，Janzen 1973，29，and McKane 1996，758．Olympiodorus comments on the first part of this passage：Olymp．fr．Jer． 93.684 рvoıx $\tilde{\omega} s$ oi
 usually take hold of the waist．＂

סıótı］Gött．has סı̀̀ tí．Cf．the discussion of סıótı in Thackeray 1909， 139.

סı̀̀ tí ．．．ỏ𧰨qúos $\alpha$ v̉тoũ］is bracketed in Gött．According to Ziegler 1958，97，the text is secondary．

 $\vee \eta \vartheta \eta$＂All the faces have turned into jaundice．＂For $\varepsilon i \varsigma \ldots$ ．．$\dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \varepsilon v \eta \not \geqslant \eta$ ，cf． 2：14．
 тoı $\alpha$ úтๆ］Scil．ทீ $\mu \varepsilon ́ \varrho \alpha$.

8．The space between $\sigma \omega \vartheta \eta{ }^{\prime} \sigma \varepsilon \tau \alpha$ and $\hat{\varepsilon} v$ ，indicating a new paragraph，is very small，but a stroke in the margin confirms the new paragraph．

бvvт＠cí $\psi \omega$ ］Gött．has ovvт＠í $\psi \omega$ ．
đòv $\zeta v \gamma o ̀ v . . . ~ \tau o \tilde{v} \tau \varrho \alpha \chi \eta \dot{\eta} \lambda o v]$ Gött has $\zeta v \gamma o ̀ v ~ . . . ~ \tau \varrho \alpha \chi \dot{\eta} \lambda o v$.
$\dot{\varepsilon} \varrho \gamma \tilde{\omega} v \tau \alpha \iota \ldots \dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda$ о $\ldots$＠íoıऽ］Cf．22：13．
$\langle\varepsilon ้ \tau \iota\rangle]$ is written above the line．

9．$\dot{\varepsilon} \varrho \gamma \tilde{\omega} v \tau \alpha \iota \tau \tilde{\omega} \overline{\chi \varrho}]$ Cf．22：13．
$\tau \tilde{\omega} \overline{\chi \omega}]$ is a rendering of את יהוה．For the definite article with $\chi ט ́ \varrho เ ๐ \varsigma$, see Introduction．
$\Delta \alpha v \varepsilon เ \delta]$ Gött．has $\Delta \alpha v i \delta$ ．

13．$\varkappa \varrho \varepsilon i v \omega v$ x＠íवıv］is a rendering of דן דין．The figura etymologica of the Hebrew text is preserved in the Greek translation，as well as in the English rendering of the Greek text．
$\chi \varrho \varepsilon i ́ v \omega v]$ Gött．has roív $\omega v$ ．
$\grave{\omega} \varphi \varepsilon ́ \lambda \iota \alpha]$ Gött．has $\grave{\omega} \varphi \varepsilon ́ \lambda \varepsilon \iota \alpha . \varepsilon$ is written above the line in the MS．The accent in Vaticanus is $\omega$ ぶ甲 $\varepsilon \lambda \iota \alpha$, but the spelling without $\varepsilon$ should have the accent $\omega ̉ \varphi \varepsilon \lambda i ́ \alpha$. Cf．LSJ．
 order. The word order looks like an attempt to improve the word order of the Greek text, though another word order could have been possible in the Vorlage too. However, since this word order is only found in Vaticanus, it is most likely not original.
14. $\dot{\varepsilon} \pi \varepsilon \varrho \omega \tau \eta \dot{\eta} \sigma 0 v \sigma \iota\langle v\rangle] v$ is written above the line in the MS. For the future tense, see BDR, $\$ 365$. The verb has no object in the Greek text and thus the object is missing in the translation too.
 in a comparative sense: "above all thine iniquity", as well as the similar expression in v. $16 \dot{\varepsilon} \pi i ̀ \pi \lambda \tilde{\eta} \vartheta \circ \varsigma ~ \dot{\alpha} \delta \iota x \varepsilon เ \tilde{\omega} v$ бov "beyond the multitude of thine iniquities". However, there seems to be good reason to take the expressions in a causal sense instead. A comparative sense of $̇$ ė $i t$ with $\pi \lambda \eta \vartheta v ์ v \omega$ is never found elsewhere in the Septuagint. Instead $\pi \lambda \eta \vartheta \vartheta v v \omega$ with $\dot{v} \pi \varepsilon ́ \varrho$ is used, e.g., Jer. $15: 8 ; 26: 23$. víć $\varrho$ is a rendering of the comparative particle מן, while $̇$ ėرí in vv. 14 and 16 is a rendering of על. Theodoret seems to have taken the expression in a causal sense: Thdt.
 $\sigma \alpha v$ 人i $\alpha \mu \alpha \varrho \tau i \alpha \iota ~ \sigma o v$ "A severe correction because of all your iniquity, because your sins have increased." The סıótı of Theodoret is found in several MSS of Jeremiah too. Thus the causal rendering in the present translation.
 $\pi \lambda \eta \vartheta ̛ ์ v \omega$, see Helbing 1927, 149.
16. $\varkappa \varrho \varepsilon ์ \alpha \varsigma ~ \alpha u ̉ \tau \tilde{\omega} v \pi \tilde{\alpha} v$ है $\delta o v \tau \alpha ı] ~ \alpha u ̉ \tau \tilde{\omega} v$ should most likely be taken in a reflexive sense as $\varepsilon \alpha \alpha v \tau \tilde{\omega} v$. Chrysostom and Olympiodorus comment on the passage: Chrys. fr. in Jer. 64.969 тoĩऽ oix $\vartheta \varrho \varepsilon ́ \psi \varepsilon \iota ~ \sigma \omega ́ \mu \alpha \sigma \iota ~ " E v e r y o n e ~ f e e d s ~ h i s ~ n e i g h b o u r ~ w i t h ~ t h e ~ b o d i e s ~ o f ~ h i s ~$
 $\dot{\varepsilon} \alpha v \tau \tilde{\omega} v \varkappa \alpha \tau \varepsilon ́ \delta o v \tau \alpha ı ~ \sigma \alpha ́ \varrho \nless \alpha \varsigma ~ " ' Y o u r ~ e n e m i e s ', ~ h e ~ s a y s, ~ ' a r e ~ d e m o n s, ~ t h e y ~$ devour their own flesh." Thus the rendering of $\alpha v ่ \tau \tilde{\omega} v$ by "their own" in the present translation.
 $\tau \alpha \tilde{\tau} \tau \alpha ́ ~ \sigma o t] ~ N o t ~ i n ~ G o ̈ t t . ~$
$\dot{\varepsilon} \pi i$ л $\left.\lambda \tilde{\eta} \vartheta \bigcirc \bigcirc \varsigma \alpha^{\alpha} \delta ı x \varepsilon เ \tilde{\omega} v ~ \sigma o v\right] ~ C f . ~ v . ~ 14 . ~$
हैбovtaı ... $\varepsilon i \varsigma]$ For a discussion of the construction $\varepsilon \tilde{\pi} v \alpha \iota . .$. عis, see 3:23.
$\delta \dot{\omega} \sigma \omega$ عiऽ] For a discussion of this expression, see 6:27 and 9:11.

17．$\varphi \eta \sigma i v \overline{x \varsigma}]$ is a rendering of נאם יהוה．For a discussion of the expression and its rendering，see 2：3．
ö ó $\begin{gathered}\varepsilon \\ \sigma \pi \alpha \varrho \mu \varepsilon ́ v \eta ~ . . . ~ \\ v ่ \tau \eta ं v] ~ F o r ~ a ~ d i s c u s s i o n ~ o f ~ t h e ~ r e l a t i o n ~ t o ~ M T, ~ s e e ~\end{gathered}$ Becking 1994，154－155．
$\dot{v} \mu \tilde{\omega} v]$ Gött．has $\mathfrak{\eta} \mu \tilde{\omega} v$ ，which is a conjecture by Cornill．All MSS and versions have $\tilde{v} \mu \tilde{\omega} v$ ．Cf．McKane 1996，769－770．
$\alpha$ ủtท่v］Gött．has $\alpha \cup ๋ \tau ท ี . ~$
18．тท̀v $\alpha$ лоぃ
 on the passage：Olymp．fr．Jer． 93.685 x $\alpha \tau \dot{\alpha}$ т $\alpha$ vó $\mu \mu \alpha$ ло лıтєv́бєт $\alpha$＂It will live according to the statutes．＂
$\lambda \alpha o ́ s]$ Gött．has vaós．
 relation to the Hebrew text，see McKane 1996，775－776，who also adds： ＂It may be，however，that $\varepsilon i \sigma \varepsilon \lambda \varepsilon v ́ \sigma o v \tau \alpha ı$ has the sense＇come on the scene＇， since it is used of a chorus or actors coming on stage（cf．Plato，Republic 580 b ； $\mathfrak{\varepsilon} \xi \varepsilon \dot{\varepsilon} \varrho \chi o \mu \alpha \mathrm{l}$ is so used by Aristophanes，Ach． 240 and Birds 512）： ＇Their children will arrive on the scene as in the old days．＇＂
$\varphi \omega v \eta ́]$ Gött．has xai $\varphi \omega v \eta$ ．
$\vartheta \lambda \varepsilon i ́ \beta o v \tau \alpha \varsigma ~ G o ̈ t t . ~ h a s ~ \vartheta ~ \vartheta \lambda i ́ \beta o v \tau \alpha \varsigma$.

 The genitive $\alpha$ ủ兀oṽ with the comparative form í $\sigma \chi$ ソótع＠ot can be taken as an comparative genitive，or io $\sigma$ ט＠óтع＠ou can be taken as an inten－ sive comparative form，and the genitive $\alpha \cup \mathfrak{v} \tau 0 \tilde{v}$ as a possessive genitive． For the intensive use of comparative forms，see Smyth $1956, \S 1067$ ，and Wallace 1995，300－301．For the use of comparative forms in the LXX， though there are no comparative forms in Hebrew，see Thackeray 1908， 181．Theodoret，who is the only one of the early commentators who com－ ments upon the text，takes io $\sigma$ v＠óte＠ol as a comparative form with com－ parative force，but he has $\alpha v ̉ \tau \tilde{\omega} v$ instead of $\alpha u ̉ \tau o \tilde{v} . \alpha v ̉ \tau \tilde{\omega} v$ is the reading of most MSS，cf．Gött．，354．Given the parallelism between io $\sigma$ v＠óte＠ot
 least probable that the early reader of the text took ioxv＠ótc＠ot as an intensive comparative form．Thus ioxv＠ótع＠ot is taken as an intensive comparative form here，and rendered by＂its mighty ones＂in the present translation．
$\varphi \eta \sigma i v \overline{x \varsigma] ~ i s ~ a ~ r e n d e r i n g ~ o f ~ נ א ם ־ י ה ו ה . ~ F o r ~ a ~ d i s c u s s i o n ~ o f ~ t h e ~ e x p r e s s i o n ~}$ and its rendering, see 2:3.
 عĩval ... દis, see 3:23.
2. ทย@uóv] Both Chrysostom and Theodoret take $\vartheta \varepsilon \varrho \mu o ́ v$ as a misinterpretation of the Hebrew $\boldsymbol{j}$. Chrysostom and Olympiodorus comment on

 many corpses would find a living person, still breathing ..." Olymp. fr.
 $\zeta \omega \tilde{\jmath} \varsigma^{\text {"... 'a warm one', i.e., half dead, having hope of change of mind and }}$ life."
3. $\alpha \gamma \alpha \dot{\pi} \eta \sigma \iota v$... $\eta \gamma \alpha \dot{\pi} \eta \eta \sigma \alpha]$ is a rendering of אהבת ... אהבתי. The figura etymologica of the Hebrew text is preserved in the Greek translation and the figura etymologica of the Greek text is preserved in the present translation by "I have loved ... love". oixtгị $\mu \alpha]$ Gött. has oixtí@ $\mu \alpha$.
4. ötı] Gött. has E̋tı.

غ̇лı $\lambda \dot{\eta} \mu \psi \varepsilon$ ] Gött. has हैँı $\lambda \eta \dot{\mu} \mu \eta$. Most likely the reader of Vaticanus
 $\beta \alpha ́ v \omega$ does not exist.

6. $\Sigma \varepsilon \omega v \mathrm{v}]$ Gött. has $\Sigma \iota \omega v$.
 ments on the passage: Chrys. fr. in Jer. 64.973 тovté $\sigma \tau \iota v, ~ غ ̇ v ต ́ л ı o v ~ \tau \tilde{v} v$
 shout before the nations, do not fear for them, because of my alliance."

то⿱̃ Iøœaŋ $\lambda$ ] Gött. has Iøœaŋ $\lambda$.
8. $\dot{\text { env }} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}$ ко@tñ $\varphi \alpha \sigma \varepsilon \chi]$ MT has בם עור ופסח "among them the blind and the lame" (NRSV). It has been suggested that the translator of Jeremiah read במועד הפסח, cf. McKane 1996, 790. Anyhow, paбe is a transliteration
of פסחח, and is only used here and six times in 2 Chr. 30 as a rendering of פֶסַח (Passover). Cf. Thackeray 1909, 32. Thus the transliteration phasek in the present translation. $\dot{\varepsilon} v$ is taken in a temporal sense, cf. BDR, § 200 .

тєนขолоเท்ๆŋ] Chrysostom comments on the expression: Chrys. fr. in
 $\pi \alpha \tau \varepsilon ́ \varrho \varepsilon \varsigma ~ ह ै \sigma o v \tau \alpha \iota ~ " T h u s ~ e v e n ~ t h e ~ c r i p p l e d, ~ o n c e ~ t h e y ~ h a v e ~ h a d ~ t h e i r ~$ bodies healed, will become fathers."
9. $\left.\alpha u \lambda_{i} \zeta \omega v\right]$ The active form is only found here and in Dion Chrysostom 35.16 ( $\alpha u ̉ \lambda i \zeta \varepsilon \iota v$ ). According to LEH, $\alpha u ̉ \lambda i \zeta \omega v$ is a Hebraism. According to LSJ the active form has the causative meaning. Thus, since the form is found in a text which is not very much later than the translation of Jeremiah, it is at least possible that the active form was used at the time of the translation as well. Anyhow, it is reasonable to believe that the active form was not recognized as strange by the subsequent readers of the Greek text of Jeremiah. Olympiodorus comments on the passage: Olymp. fr. Jer. 93.688 દ̇лì 兀̀̀ 兀oṽ $\beta \alpha \pi \tau i ́ \sigma \mu \alpha \tau \circ \varsigma ~ v \alpha ́ \mu \alpha \tau \alpha ~ \varkappa \alpha \tau \alpha \sigma \chi \eta v \tilde{\omega} v$ $\alpha u ̉ \tau o v ́ s ~ " C a u s i n g ~ t h e m ~ t o ~ d w e l l ~ b y ~ t h e ~ s t r e a m s ~ o f ~ b a p t i s m . " ~$

 see Helbing 1928, 64-65. According to Helbing this construction is here a Hebraism. Thus it is translated "become ... into".

## 49. Lord Will Gather Iakōb, Have Mercy on Ephraim, and Restore Israel and Iouda (38:10-38:30)

The following section continues where the previous one finished; with the Lord restoring Israel. But it is more than a restoration. There will be joy and gladness, and the land will prosper in every way. Then, quite unexpectedly, there is a voice of lamentation in Rama, where Rakel laments her children, who are gone. This short passage is quoted in the New Testament, Matt. 2:18 (cf. Introduction). However, the sadness of Rakel will also be turned into gladness, since the children will be returned from the land of the enemies. Also Ephraim is lamenting, but over his own iniquity, from which he has turned back to the Lord. The Lord praises Ephraim as his own beloved son. Then follows an exhortation to Israel to turn around, for the Lord will bring back the people from its captivity. All of a sudden Jeremiah wakes up
from his pleasant dream. However, the Lord repeats that he will bring back the people from their exile, and in those days there will be justice.

The section starts with yet another indication of the skill of the translator of Jeremiah (v. 11). In verses 19 and 20-24 the text is very complicated and most likely corrupt and/or revised in one way or the other. The early commentators give several different interpretations on different versions of the text, and it is not very clear how the text of Vaticanus should be understood. Most likely, there is at least one textual corruption in the text of Vaticanus, where one original transliteration has been misunderstood by subsequent scribes, v. 21. Therefore, the translation is mostly very literal. Interestingly enough, in v . 22 the text of Gött. has subsequently been abandoned by Ziegler himself, but it is still the text commented upon by the early commentators.
10. $\lambda o ́ \gamma o v \varsigma]$ Gött. has $\lambda o ́ \gamma o v$.
 rendering of מיד חוק ממנו. The rendering, though just a small detail, is yet another indication of the fine linguistic instinct of the translator of Jeremiah. Cf. 1:2 and 4:13.
12. $\Sigma \varepsilon \iota \omega v$... бвítov] Gött. has $\Sigma i \omega v . .$. oítov.

हैvг letter on the line and it is not very clear.
14. $\mu \varepsilon \gamma \alpha \lambda \nu v \tilde{\omega}]$ For a discussion of the relation of $\mu \varepsilon \gamma \alpha \lambda \nu v \tilde{\omega}$ to the Hebrew text, see Ziegler 1958, 51, and McKane 1996, 795.s

 "Instead of, I will fill [them] with every kind of good things."
\evel] Gött. has $\Lambda \varepsilon v$.
15. A stroke above the line in the MS indicates a new paragraph. Since v. 15 begins at the beginning of the line, there is no space within the text which could confirm the new paragraph. However, the preceding line is shorter than the other lines, indicating a new paragraph. Thus the new paragraph in the text.
$\varphi \omega v \grave{\eta}$... oủx cioiv] For the quotation in Matt. 2:18, see Introduction.
$\pi \alpha \dot{v} \sigma \alpha \sigma \vartheta \alpha \mathrm{l}$ ] In the quotation in Matt. 2:18 $\pi \alpha$ v́ $\sigma \alpha \sigma \vartheta \alpha \iota$ is replaced by $\pi \alpha \varrho \alpha \kappa \lambda \eta \vartheta \uparrow \tilde{\eta} v \alpha$, which is also written in the left margin in Vaticanus.
oủx عioiv] Gött. has oưx عiov. oủx cioiv is the accent added in the text of Vaticanus. This is also the accent of NA27.
16. $\delta \alpha \varkappa \varrho u ́ \omega v ~ \sigma o v] ~ G o ̈ t t . ~ h a s ~ \delta \alpha x \varrho u ́ \omega v . ~$
17. $\mu$ óvцроv] seems to be a rendering of תקוה "hope". The Greek term $\mu$ óv $\mu$ ov is only found here, and the adjective $\mu$ óv $\mu \mu$ os once in Gen. 49:26 (where it is a rendering of צולם). $\mu$ óv $\mu$ ov refers to something stable, hence the rendering "fixed abode".
 absolute with finite verb of the same root. The figura etymologica of the Hebrew text has been preserved in the Greek translation, as well as in the present translation by "I have heard a hearsay". For a discussion of the translation of this Hebrew construction, see 3:1.
$\left.\alpha \dot{\alpha} \varkappa o \eta v^{\prime}\right]$ Gött. has $\alpha \nsim o v ́ \omega v$. Cf. above.
 ment on a text with viлcî\} (cf. Gött.), while Origen and Olympiodorus have the following comments on the present text (or perhaps a text with
 סعíkai oع "I have changed my mind so much that you can show it for others [or "... that I can show you for others"]." Olymp. 93.688 toṽto

 $\sigma \omega \vartheta \tilde{\omega} \sigma \omega$ "This is a parenthesis. 'Just as the people saved by God'. Which means, I will make you a good example, that others may be saved by your example." It seems that the text has caused some trouble to its interpreters, and it is not clear if they took Ephraim or Lord as the subject of $\dot{v} \pi \varepsilon \dot{\delta} \delta \varepsilon$ ı $\dot{\alpha}$. Since it is not totally clear how the early interpreters took the text, a very literal rendering is given in the present translation. However, the following öt is taken in a causal sense "because", since it seems that the interpreters took $\not \alpha i$ ú $\tau \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \delta \varepsilon \iota \xi \dot{\xi}$ oot as referring to the preceding text. Brenton took őtı as a marker of explanatory text "that".

 זכר אזכר ... רחם ארחם i.e., infinitive absolute and finite verb of the same
root. But while the first infinitive absolute has been rendered by a noun in the dative case, the second infinitive absolute has been rendered by a present participle. The figurae etymologicae of the Hebrew text have been preserved in the Greek translation. The figurae etymologicae have also been preserved in the translation "I will remember him with a remembrance ... Having mercy I will have mercy". For a discussion of the translation of this Hebrew construction, see 3:1.
$\mu v i ́ \alpha]$ ] Gött. has $\mu v \varepsilon$ cía. $\varepsilon$ is written above the line in the MS.
$\varphi \eta \sigma i v \overline{\chi \varsigma]}$ is a rendering of נאם־יהוה. For a discussion of the expression and its rendering, see 2:3.
21. $\sigma \varepsilon \alpha v \tau \eta ์ v ~ \Sigma \varepsilon เ \omega v ~ . . . ~ \tau ц \mu \omega \varrho i ́ \alpha v] ~ G o ̈ t t . ~ h a s ~ \sigma \varepsilon \alpha v \tau ท ี ̃ ~ \sigma \omega v v \mu ~ . . . ~ \tau \mu \varrho \omega \varrho \mu . ~$ $\tau \mu \varrho \omega \varrho \mu$ is a conjecture by Spohn. All MSS and versions have $\tau \mu \omega \varrho i \alpha v$. MT has צינים ... תמרורים. Most likely the text of MT was transliterated into $\sigma \omega v \mu \mu$... $\tau \mu \varrho \omega \varrho \mu$ and subsequently mistakenly read as $\Sigma \varepsilon \epsilon \omega v$ ... $\tau \mu \omega \varrho i \alpha v$, cf. Thackeray $1909,14,38$. If this is correct, it is also reasonable to assume that $\sigma \varepsilon \alpha v \tau \tilde{\eta}$ is original. Given the complicated Greek textual history, it is no surprise that the text is not very clear. The early interpreters give several different interpretations/exegeses each, both on the Greek and on the Hebrew text, e.g., Theodoret: Thdt. Jer.

 oĩ $\tau \varrho о \varepsilon i \lambda$ ov x $\alpha \lambda \tilde{\omega} \varsigma$ "'Make yourself a help, give your heart to your shoulders.' Instead of, put upon yourself the burden of changing your mind. Continue with what you appropriately have chosen." Thus the literal rendering in the present translation.

ที่] Gött. has $\mathfrak{\eta}$ v.
22. ७ัvүótท@] For some unknown reason the translator used indicative instead of vocative here, though he uses the vocative form in all other examples where it is possible: 6:2, 23, 26; 26:19; 27:42; 30:4. A few MSS have $\vartheta$ v̛́ ${ }^{\prime} \alpha \tau \varepsilon$ here too.
$\eta$ ท่ $\varepsilon ย \mu \omega \mu \varepsilon ́ v \eta] ~ G o ̈ t t . ~ h a s ~ \eta ̉ \tau \mu \mu \mu \varepsilon ́ v \eta . ~$
 a note: "Gr.-Br. [Große-Bruckmann E., Schriftliche Mitteilungen, Göttingen 1956] hat m . E. die richtige Lösung gefunden (Korrekturnachtrag vom 10. 1. 1958): 38(31) 22 geht $\sigma \omega \tau \eta \varrho i \alpha v(\bar{\sigma}(\bar{\sigma} v)$ auf urspr. $\sigma \tau \varepsilon \tilde{\varrho} \varrho \alpha v$ = צקרה statt $\mathfrak{M}$ נקבה zurück; owtŋ@ío im Relativsatz ist als sekundär


that this note by Ziegler is later than the edition and the notes on the edition, and that McKane 1996, 807, is not "impressed by Ziegler's proposal". Great variation is found in the MSS, in the versions, and in the early commentators, cf. Gött. However, it appears that the commentators took $\sigma \omega \tau \eta \varrho i \alpha$ as "salvation" rather than "security", though this was perhaps not the intention of the translator of Jeremiah. Cf. Becking 1994, 153-154.
 ration of the antecedent, cf. 1:2 and 36:23.
23. ötı oṽt
 comment on the expression: Or. fr. 58 in Jer. aủtòs dè xaì tò dixaıov xai ö ${ }^{\prime}$ гov ő@os "He himself is the righteous and holy mountain", Chrys. fr. in Jer. 64.980 סíxaıov dè ỏ@os xaì ơ $\gamma \ldots$ ov "Righteous mountain and holy." Thus the rendering "on a righteous mountain, on his holy one" in the present translation. It could also be rendered "on a holy mountain is his sanctuary".
24. غ่voıxoṽvteऽ] A verb has to be supplied. Normally a form of عĩvaı should be supplied. Since the preceding and the following verbs are in the future tense, हैoovtou is supplied, which could be rendered "they will be living" or "there will be inhabitants". The former rendering is chosen in the present translation. Cf. BDR, $\S 128$.

 had some problem with the Hebrew expression, and perhaps there were other Hebrew texts as well; cf. McKane 1996, 809-810. The meaning of $\dot{\alpha} \varrho \vartheta \eta \dot{\eta} \sigma \varepsilon \tau \alpha \mathrm{c} \varepsilon \dot{\varepsilon}^{2}$ лоциví in this context is not very clear. Chrysostom and Olympiodorus comment on the passage: Chrys. fr. in Jer. 64.980


 high up in the flocks of the Congregation." However, the comments by Chrysostom and Olympiodorus seem to be quite free interpretations of the present text. Thus the literal rendering in the present translation.
25. $\pi เ v \tilde{\omega} \sigma \alpha v]$ Göt. has $\pi \varepsilon เ v \tilde{\omega} \sigma \alpha v . \varepsilon$ is written above the line in the MS.
 Jer. 64. 980 т $\alpha \tilde{v} \tau \alpha, ~ \varphi \eta \sigma i v, ~ \grave{\alpha} \pi \varepsilon \alpha \alpha \lambda v ́ \varphi \vartheta \eta ~ \mu о \iota ~ \varkappa \alpha \vartheta \varepsilon v ́ \delta o v \tau ı, ~ x \alpha i ̀ ~ \eta ீ \delta u ̀ v ~ \tau o ̀ v ~$ ǘvov हैخovtı "'This', he said, 'was revealed to me while I was sleeping and having a pleasant dream.'"
úOov] Gött. has عĩסov.
v̈лvos $\mu \mathrm{ol}]$ Gött. has vívvos $\mu \mathrm{ov}$.
27. $\varphi \eta \sigma i v \overline{x \zeta}]$ is a rendering of נאם יהוה. For a discussion of the expression and its rendering, see 2:3.
28. xai हैбт
$\dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \varrho \eta \gamma o ́ \varrho o v v . . . \gamma \varrho \eta \gamma о \varrho \eta \dot{\varrho} \sigma \omega]$ For the forms, see CS, $\S 27 \mathrm{~b}$.
$\varphi \eta \sigma i v \overline{\chi \zeta}]$ is a rendering of נאם־יהוה. For a discussion of the expression and its rendering, see 2:3.


## 50. A New Covenant. Ierousalēm Besieged (38:31-39:5)

First of all it should be noted that the following section contains the text of the longest quotation in the New Testament, vv. 31-34 in Heb. $8: 8-12$. It should also be noted that the order of verses is not the same in the Greek version as in the Hebrew, though the significance of the difference is not clear. The first four verses which are quoted in Hebrews, contain a prophecy about a new covenant. The Greek version differs considerably from the Hebrew, and by most scholars it is considered to be more original than the Hebrew version found in MT, i.e., it is thought to be a rendering of a different and more original Hebrew Vorlage than the version found in MT. In the Greek version the Lord neglects the people, while in the Hebrew he is still their Lord. More important, however, is the fact that in the Greek version the "laws", which can hardly refer to the Torah, will be given into the mind of the people, while in the Hebrew version it is the Torah which will be given into the mind of the people. Common for both versions, however, is the notion of a new covenant, different from the one which the Lord covenanted on Mount Sinai, and which the people broke. In the new covenant the laws (or the Torah according to the Hebrew version) will be put into the minds/hearts of the people. There will be no need for teaching these laws, since they are put into the mind of everyone, so
that they know the Lord, who will be merciful and forgive all the sins of the people. This new covenant will be as unbreakable as the laws of nature. There will also be a new city built to the Lord, which will never ever be torn down. Then, quite abruptly, there is a new word from the Lord. Again the king of Babylon is besieging Jerusalem, and Jeremiah is imprisoned, because he has prophesied against the city and against the king.

The content of this section is most likely the most discussed in the whole book of Jeremiah, but from a linguistic point of view it has very little to offer, beside the important differences between the Greek and Hebrew versions discussed above. Anyhow, the genitive absolute in v. 32, dependent on a prepositional phrase, is quite rare. In v. 35 there is a negative which has no equivalent in the Hebrew version, and Origen has a discussion about the different texts.

31-34. For the quotation in Heb. 8:8-12, see Introduction.
31. $\varphi \eta \sigma i v \varnothing 〕$ is a rendering of נאם־יהוה. For a discussion of the expression and its rendering, see 2:3.

жаì $\delta \iota \alpha \vartheta \dot{\eta} \sigma о \mu \alpha \iota ~ . . . ~ \delta \iota \alpha \vartheta \dot{\eta} \nsim \eta v]$ is a rendering of וכרתי ... ברית. Apparently, the figura etymologica of the Greek text has no equivalent in the Vorlage. The figura etymologica of the Greek text has been preserved in the present translation by the rendering "covenant a ... covenant". Cf. 41:8.

غ̇лıえ $\alpha \beta$ ouévov $\mu \mathrm{ov}$ ] is a rendering of החזיקי. According to BDR, $\$ 423.4$, the genitive absolute here is strange, since it is dependent on $\dot{\varepsilon} v$ $\eta \mu \varepsilon ́ \varrho \alpha$. For a discussion of the genitive absolute in the Septuagint, see Soisalon-Soininen 1987, 175-180.
$\dot{\varepsilon} v \varepsilon ́ \mu i v a v]$ Gött. has $\dot{\varepsilon} v \varepsilon ́ \mu \varepsilon ı v a v . ~ \varepsilon$ is written above the line.
$\varphi \eta \sigma i v \overline{x \varsigma}]$ is a rendering of נאם־יהוה. For a discussion of the expression and its rendering, see 2:3.


$\varphi \eta \sigma i v \overline{x \varsigma}]$ is a rendering of נאם־יהוה. For a discussion of the expression and its rendering, see 2:3.

סıסoùs $\delta \omega \dot{\sigma} \omega$ ] MT has נתתי, while some MSS have ונתתי. סıסov́ looks like a rendering of the infinitive absolute נתמתן, but no MSS have here;
cf. Deut. 15:10 and Judg. 8:25. Given the literal translation of Jeremiah, there seems to be good reason to believe that the translator had a Vorlage with נתון, cf. Schenker 2006, 32-33. For a discussion of this Hebrew construction and its rendering in Jeremiah, see 3:1.

عैбouaı ... عiऽ ... हैбovtaı ... عiऽ] For a discussion of the construction عĩval ... عís, see 3:23.
34. ov̉] Gött. has ov̉ $\mu \eta$ ๆ. $\mu \dot{\eta}$ is only missing in Vaticanus. ov̉ corresponds with the following future form $\delta \iota \delta \alpha \dot{\beta} \xi o v o v$. Thus the missing $\mu \eta$ in Vaticanus is apparently not a scribal error. However, it is not clear which text is original, the text with ov $\delta \iota \delta \dot{\alpha} \xi o v \sigma \iota v$, or the text with ov $\mu \dot{\eta}$ $\delta \iota \delta \dot{\alpha} \xi \omega \sigma \iota v$. The latter reading is found in Heb. 8:11, for which there are no variant readings.
$\delta ı \delta \alpha ́ \xi o v \sigma ı v]$ Gött. has $\delta \iota \delta \alpha ́ \xi \omega \sigma \iota v$.
人̉ठıxíaıs.
 pronoun, cf. 13:14.
tò $\overline{\chi v}$ ] is a rendering of אתת־יהוה. For the use of the definite article with $x u ́ \varrho \iota \varsigma \varsigma$, see Introduction.
 ing of מקטנם ועד־גדולם. For a discussion of the Greek positive forms, being rendered into English positive forms in the present translation, see 6:13.
$\langle x \alpha i\rangle]$ is missing in the MS. However, there is just enough space on the line for rai. It is very clear that something has happened to the MS here, and most likely $x \alpha i$, which originally was the last word on the line, has been erased.
 to be a rendering of אם־ימדו ... ויחקרו ... גם־אני אמאס. To introduce the apodosis by $x \alpha i$ is strange, if $x \alpha i$ is not taken as an adverb. Thus $\varkappa \alpha i$ is rendered by "yet" here. Cf. 7:7 and BDR, $\S 442.1$ b. For the negative oủ , which seems to have no equivalent in MT, see Ziegler 1958, 51. According to Ziegler, the negative is added by the translator of Jeremiah. Origen comments on the passage and on the negative: Or. fr. 59 in Jer.




 of Israel' seems to be in disagreement with what is said to them in 'the family of Israel will also cease. Perhaps the one is said about the physical, the other about the spiritual Israel. However, the negative written before 'disapprove' is not found with the other interpreters, but with the Seventy [i.e., in the Septuagint]." Chrysostom, Theodoret and Olympiodorus comment on a text without oủx concluding that since it is impossible for the sky to be higher and the earth to be lower, it is also impossible for the Lord to disapprove of Israel.
$\varphi \eta \sigma i v \overline{x \varsigma} \ldots \varphi \eta \sigma i v \overline{\chi \varsigma}]$ are renderings of נאם־יהוה. For a discussion of the expression and its rendering, see 2:3.
36. xגì $\dot{\varepsilon} \beta$ ó $\mu \beta \eta \sigma \varepsilon \nu \tau \grave{\alpha} x u ́ \mu \alpha \tau \alpha \alpha v ̉ \tau \eta \tilde{\zeta}]$ is a literal rendering of גליו. The Greek rendering could be taken as a parenthesis, or else the $x \alpha i$ could be taken as a xaí consecutivum; cf. BDR, $\S 442.2$ a. Given the paratactic character of Jeremiah, the former interpretation is preferred in the present translation.
37. $\dot{\varepsilon} \dot{\alpha} v ~ \pi \alpha u ́ \sigma \omega v \tau \alpha \iota ~ . . . ~ x \alpha i ̀ ~ . . . ~ \pi \alpha u ́ \sigma \varepsilon \tau \alpha ı] ~ s e e m s ~ t o ~ b e ~ a ~ r e n d e r i n g ~ o f ~$ . xaí is taken as an adverb here, and rendered by "also". Cf. v. 35.
xai tò $\gamma \varepsilon ́ v o s ~ I \sigma \varrho \alpha \eta \lambda \pi \alpha v ́ \sigma \varepsilon \tau \alpha u]$ For a comment of Origen on this passage, see v. 35.

а̉ло̀ л@обढ́лоv] Cf. 1:8.
$\varphi \eta \sigma i v \overline{\chi \zeta}]$ is a rendering of נאם $נ$ נ. For a discussion of the expression and its rendering, see 2:3.
38. $\varphi \eta \sigma i v \overline{x \varsigma}]$ is a rendering of נאם-יהוה. For a discussion of the expression and its rendering, see 2:3.
$\tau \tilde{\varphi} \overline{\chi \varrho}]$ is a rendering of ליהוה. For the definite article with xú@ıs, see Introduction.

 "I.e., the gate of the horses, the one to the East."
$\tau \tilde{\varphi} \overline{\chi \omega}]$ is a rendering of ליהוה. For the definite article with $x u ́ \varrho \iota o s$, see Introduction.

39:1. $\delta \varepsilon x \alpha ́ \tau \omega]$ Gött. has $\tau \tilde{\omega} \delta \varepsilon x \alpha \dot{\omega} \tau \omega$. $\tau \tilde{\omega} \delta \omega$ is written in the margin of the MS by a later hand. $\delta \omega \delta \varepsilon x \alpha \sigma \omega$ is found in a few late MSS.
$\left.\beta \alpha \sigma i \lambda \varepsilon \tau ̃ ~ \sum \varepsilon \delta \varepsilon x i ́ \alpha\right]$ Gött. has $\tau \tilde{\omega} \beta \alpha \sigma \iota \lambda \varepsilon \tau ̃ ~ \sum \varepsilon \delta \varepsilon x i \alpha \alpha$.


3. $\pi \varrho \circ \varphi \eta \tau \varepsilon v \in \varepsilon\langle\varsigma\rangle] \varsigma$ is written above the line.
4. $\pi \propto \varrho \alpha \delta o ́ \sigma \varepsilon \iota ~ \pi \alpha \varrho \alpha \delta$ oษท $\overline{\sigma \varepsilon \tau \alpha \iota] ~ i s ~ a ~ l i t e r a l ~ r e n d e r i n g ~ o f ~ ה נ ת ן ~ י נ ת ן, ~ i . e ., ~}$ infinitive absolute and finite verb of the same root, cf. 39:28 and 41:2. The figura etymologica of the Hebrew text has been preserved in the Greek translation. The figura etymologica has also been preserved in the translation "he will be delivered by a delivery". For a discussion of the translation of this Hebrew construction, see 3:1.
oi ỏ ỏvध $\alpha \lambda \mu \mathrm{oi}]$ Gött. has ỏ $\varphi \vartheta \alpha \lambda \mu \mathrm{oí}$.
 ing to Thackeray $1909,272, x \alpha \vartheta i \varepsilon \tau \alpha l$ is a rare future form of $x \alpha \vartheta i \zeta \omega$, i.e., identical with $火 \alpha \vartheta เ \varepsilon$ ย̃兀 $\alpha$.
> 51. Ieremias Buys a Field and Prays. Ierousalēm Will Be Captured for the Sins of Israel and Iouda.

> Restoration and a New Covenant (39:6-44)

The Lord tells Jeremiah that his uncle will come to him to sell him a field. So, when this happens, Jeremiah buys the field, according to all the customs of the time. Then he gives the book of property to Barouch to put it in a vessel to preserve it for the future. The reason for this is to proclaim that there will come a time when fields and properties are sold and bought again in the land. However, even Jeremiah seems to have doubts about the realization of this prophecy, so he turns to the Lord in prayer. First he reminds the Lord of his earlier mighty deeds, and then he points out the fact that the city is being threatened by the Chaldeans. The Lord answers that the city will be captured, destroyed, and delivered into the hands of the king of Babylon, because of the idolatry of the people, and because of all their wickedness, which is crowned by their offering of their own sons and daughters. After that, however, the people will be returned again to their land from all the places to which they have been scattered, and the Lord will be their God and they will be his people. They will also be given another heart to fear the Lord, and the Lord will
covenant an everlasting covenant with the people, who will not turn away from the Lord again. Then the Lord will do good to the people and fields will be bought and sold again in the land.

From a linguistic point of view there is an extremely literal rendering in v. 24, and a Hebraistic use of the preposition, due to the literal translation, in v. 27. In v. 29 there is an odd reading, which only occurs in Vaticanus. In v. 30 there is a very interesting use of $\mu$ ovo 5 , which most likely was intended in another way by the translator than how it was understood by the early reader of the text with no access to the Hebrew text. This use does not fit the context very well, but is confirmed by the comment on the passage by Olympiodorus. In v. 31 the text is interpreted with the help of the comments by Theodoret and Olympiodorus, though their interpretation is most likely not the same as the intention of the translator. In v. 35 too, the interpretation given in the present English translation is not the same as the interpretation most likely intended by the translator, since this meaning could most likely not have been grasped by the reader of the text without access to the Hebrew text. Perhaps this is also underlined by the comment of Olympiodorus.
7. $\chi \tau \tilde{\eta} \sigma \varepsilon]$ Gött. has $\chi \tau \tilde{\eta} \sigma \alpha \mathrm{l} . \alpha \iota$ is written above the line in the MS. Cf. v. 8.

ảز@óv $\mu \mathrm{ov}]$ Gött. has ảز@óv.
ötı ooì x@íбıऽ $\pi \alpha \varrho \alpha \lambda \alpha \beta \varepsilon \tilde{v} v$ عiऽ $x \tau \eta \tilde{\eta} \sigma เ v]$ Theodoret comments on the
 $\pi \varepsilon \lambda \alpha ́ \zeta o v \tau \iota \not \alpha \alpha \tau \dot{\alpha} \tau \eta\rangle v \sigma \gamma \gamma \varepsilon ́ v \varepsilon \iota \alpha v$ "'Because', he says, 'the purchase is your right, since you are closest according to kinship."

к@íoıs] Gött. has r@íua. Cf. v. 8.
8. $\Sigma \alpha \mu \omega \lambda]$ Gött. has $\Sigma \alpha \lambda \omega \mu$. $\lambda$ and $\mu$ are added above $\mu$ and $\lambda$ in the MS.

Bevıaueıv] Gött. has Beviauıv.
 $\pi \varrho \varepsilon \sigma \beta$ útє@ог] has no equivalent in MT. Cf. 6:11, and McKane 1996, 839.
 the imperfect "was", since present tense here is idiomatic Greek, while present tense would not be idiomatic English. Cf. Smyth 1956, $\$ \$ 1850$, 1888, and Wallace 1995, 457-458, 537-539.
10. $\beta \mathrm{\imath} \beta \lambda \varepsilon$ íov] Gött. has $\beta \iota \beta \lambda i ́ o v$.

жаі ठเє $\mu \propto \varrho \tau v \varrho \alpha ́ \mu \eta \nu \mu \alpha ́ \varrho \tau v \varrho \alpha \varsigma]$ is a rendering of ואעד עדים．The figura etymologica of the Hebrew text is preserved in the Greek translation，as well as in the English translation by＂I called witnesses to witness＂．
 14，and Ziegler 1958， 52.

12．vi＠̣ Maגo人íov］Gött．has vioṽ Maגo人iov．
$\varkappa \alpha \tau$ ỏ ỏ $\wp \alpha \lambda \mu \circ v ́ \varsigma]$ Cf．19：10．
$\tau \tilde{\omega} v \alpha ̉ v \delta \varrho \tilde{\omega} v \tau \tilde{\omega} v \pi \alpha \varrho \varepsilon \sigma \tau \eta \gamma o ́ \tau \omega v$ ］Gött．has $\tau \tilde{\omega} v \varepsilon \varepsilon \varepsilon \tau \eta \gamma o ́ \tau \omega v$ ．

13．$x \alpha \tau^{\prime}$ ỏ $\left.\varphi \vartheta \alpha \lambda \mu \sigma \dot{\prime} \varsigma\right]$ Cf．19：10．
14．$\dot{\alpha} v \varepsilon \gamma v \omega \sigma \mu \varepsilon ́ v o v]$ Gött．has $\dot{\alpha} v \varepsilon \omega \gamma \mu \varepsilon ́ v o v$. Cf．v．11，and Ziegler 1958， 52.

$\pi \lambda \varepsilon i ́ o v \varsigma]$ The comparative is taken as an intensive comparative form． Thus the rendering＂many＂in the present translation．For the intensive use of comparative forms，see Smyth 1956，§1067，and Wallace 1995， 300－301．Cf．43：32．

15．$x \tau \iota \sigma \vartheta \mathfrak{\eta} \sigma о v \tau \alpha ı]$ Gött．has $x \tau \eta \vartheta \eta \dot{\eta} \sigma о v \tau \alpha \iota$ ．The text in Vaticanus has been changed into $x \tau \eta \vartheta \eta \quad \sigma o v \tau \alpha \mathrm{l}$ ．For a discussion of the forms，see Thackeray 1909，274．Cf．v． 43.
oixعĩı］Gött．has oixíaı．It should be noted that oixعĩal has the accent of the adjective and is rendered as the adjective，while Gött．has the substantive．

17．ó $\omega ้$ ］Cf．1：6．
$\beta \varrho \alpha \chi \varepsilon$ íovl］Gött．has $\beta \varrho \alpha \chi$ íovı．
xаі $\tau \tilde{\varrho} \mu \varepsilon \tau \varepsilon \omega \dot{\varrho} \omega]$ Not in Gött．
18．$\chi \varepsilon เ \lambda\lrcorner \alpha ́ \delta \alpha \varsigma]$ Gött．has $\chi เ \lambda เ \alpha ́ \delta \alpha \varsigma$.

 day，but it is not clear if $\alpha \cup ゙ \tau \eta$ refers to＂this＂or＂that＂day．Olympiodorus comments on the expression：Olymp．fr．Jer． 93.692 ع̈ $\omega \varsigma$ б $\eta \mu \varepsilon \varrho о v, ~ \varphi \eta \sigma i v$ ，

are] famous, or concerning the wonders long ago." Apparently, $\alpha u ̛ \tau \eta$ can refer to "this" and "that" day. Given the context, the latter could be chosen, but the expression $\mathfrak{\eta} \dot{\eta} \mu \varepsilon ́ \varrho \alpha \alpha u ̛ ́ \tau \eta$ seems to refer to "the present day" in all other examples in Jeremiah (3:25; 7:25; 11:5; 25:3; 39:31; 43:2; $51: 6,10,22$ ). Thus the rendering "this day" is chosen in the present translation.
21. $\mathfrak{\varepsilon} v \chi \varepsilon \iota \varrho i ́] ~ G o ̈ t t . ~ h a s ~ x \alpha i ̀ ~ \varepsilon ̉ v ~ \chi \varepsilon ı \varrho i ́ . ~ . ~$
$\beta \varrho \alpha \chi \varepsilon i ́ o v t]$ Gött. has $\beta \varrho \alpha \chi i ́ o v t$.
23. $\dot{\varepsilon} \lambda \alpha \dot{\alpha} \beta o v]$ Gött. has $\dot{\varepsilon} \lambda \dot{\alpha} \beta o \sigma \alpha v$. For the form, see BDR, $\S 84$.
ėvetcí $\lambda \mathrm{ov}$ ] Gött. has $\dot{\varepsilon} v \varepsilon \tau \varepsilon i ́ \lambda \omega$. $\omega$ is written above the line in the MS. Only Vaticanus has $\varepsilon$ हैveteí $\lambda \mathrm{ov}$.

व̈л $\alpha v \tau \alpha \ldots$. oủx $\dot{\varepsilon} \pi$. the literal rendering in the present translation. Cf. BDR, $\S 302, \mathrm{CS}, \S 88$, and Tabachovitz 1956, 87-91.
$\langle$ หаi દ̇лоí $\quad \sigma \alpha \varsigma\rangle$ ] is written in the margin. xai દ̇лоí $\eta \sigma \alpha \varsigma$ is also missing in Sinaiticus.
24. The space between $\tau \alpha \tilde{v} \tau \alpha$ and ioov́, indicating a new paragraph, is quite small, and there is no stroke in the margin confirming a new paragraph.

ब̉ло̀ л@об'́лоv $\mu \alpha \chi \alpha i \varrho \alpha s ~ ж \alpha i ̀ ~ \tau о \tilde{v} \lambda \varepsilon ц \mu о \tilde{v}]$ appears to be a rendering of מפני החרב והרעב, which according to McKane 1996, 845 "is stereotyped language unskilfully attached to what precedes". Apparently, the translator made no attempt to improve the text. Thus the literal rendering in the present translation. For the expression $\dot{\alpha} \pi \dot{o} \pi \varrho о \sigma \omega ́ \pi \sigma v, ~ c f . ~$ 1:8.
$\mu \alpha \chi \alpha i \varrho \alpha \varsigma]$ Gött. has $\langle\tau \tilde{\eta} \varsigma\rangle \mu \alpha \chi \alpha i \varrho \alpha \varsigma . \tau \tilde{\eta} \varsigma$ is added by Ziegler, who refers to 14:16. No MSS have $\tau \tilde{\eta} 5$.
$\lambda \varepsilon \mu \mu o \tilde{v}]$ Gött. has $\lambda \mu \mu o \tilde{v}$.
25. xаі є̇лє $\mu \alpha \varrho \tau v \varrho \alpha ́ \mu \eta \nu \mu \alpha ́ \varrho \tau v \varrho \alpha \varsigma] ~ M T ~ h a s ~ ו ה צ ד ~ ע ד י ם, ~ w h i l e ~ t h e ~ G r e e k ~$ text seems to be a rendering of ואעד עדים. Cf. v. 10.
27. $\left.\mu \eta^{\prime}\right]$ is a rendering of $\pi$. For questions introduced by the interrogative particle $\mu \eta$ and its rendering, see 5:9.
 $\$ 155.5$.
28. The space between $\tau \iota$ and $\delta \iota \alpha$, indicating a new paragraph, is quite small, and there is no stroke in the margin confirming the new paragraph.
$\delta \overline{\vartheta \zeta} \bar{I}$ I $\varrho \alpha \eta \lambda]$ Not in Gött.
 to be a rendering of הנתן תנתן, i.e., infinitive absolute and finite verb of the root נתן. Cf. McKane 1996, 847, and the Commentary on 39:4 and 41:2. For a discussion of the Hebrew construction הנתן תנתן, see 3:1. The figura etymologica of the Greek text has been preserved in the present translation by "Having been given ... will be given up".
29. $\pi 0 \lambda \varepsilon \mu о \tilde{v} v \tau \varepsilon \varsigma]$ Gött. has oi $\pi 0 \lambda \varepsilon \mu \circ \tilde{v} v \tau \varepsilon \varsigma$.
 in Greek. Thus the equally redundant pronoun "their" in the present translation. For a discussion of redundant pronouns in relative clauses, see 2:6.
ötı $\tau \tilde{n} B \alpha \alpha \lambda]$ Gött. has $\tau \tilde{n} B \alpha \alpha \lambda$. öтı, which is odd, is only found in Vaticanus. ötı is rendered as a causal conjunction in the present translation. For the feminine article with $\mathrm{B} \alpha \alpha \lambda$ and its translation, see 2:8.
xaì हैблєvסov блоvסג́s] is a rendering of והסכו נסכים. The figura etymologica of the Hebrew text has been preserved in the Greek text, and an attempt to preserve something of a figura etymologica in the English translation has been made by the rendering "they offered drinkofferings".
30. oi vioi ... oi vioí] Gött. has vioi ... vioí.
$\mu$ óvol] is apparently a rendering of א $\mathbf{~}$. According to Muraoka 2002, 381, the use of $\mu$ óvos is here "exceptionally (and erroneously?) for a postpositive $\mu$ óvov (so one MS): $\mathfrak{\eta} \sigma \alpha v$ oi vioi Iの@aŋ $\lambda$.. $\mu$ óvol лoooũvtes tò лovŋ@òv .. '.. were practising only wicked things ...". Muraoka is right that $\mu$ óvov would have been a better rendering of $ך$ א here, and that the translator of Jeremiah most likely had this in mind, though he rendered $7^{\text {א }}$ by $\mu$ óvol. However, with no access to the Hebrew text or to the mind of the translator, the reader could hardly have taken $\mu$ óvo to be an equivalent of a postpositive $\mu$ óvov. Olympiodorus comments on the


 was godless at that time, but only these are said to sin, since they were
sinning consciously, having been educated in the divine laws". Thus the rendering "the sons of Israel and the sons of Iouda alone were doing the evil" in the present translation. For the use of $\mu$ óvos, cf. Smyth 1956, § 1173 .
$\varkappa \alpha \tau$ ' ỏ $\varphi \vartheta \alpha \lambda \mu о$ б́s] Cf. 19:10.
31. Theodoret and Olympiodorus comment on this verse: Thdt. Jer.

 аủtท̀v d̉лò л@обஸ́лоv $\mu$ оv "For always they have constantly been provoking me, from the day I built it and until this very day. For just this reason I decided to remove it from my face." Olymp. fr. Jer. 93.692 ต́s દ̇лі

 he says, 'this city was founded, to provoke me to anger, that I, because of this, might vanish it, since I did not want to see it.'" At least Olympiodorus seems to take $̇$ ह̇í in a causal sense. Thus the rendering "for". Further, both Theodoret and Olympiodorus take the Lord as the subject of $\dot{\alpha} \pi \alpha \lambda \lambda \alpha \dot{\alpha} \xi \alpha$. Thus the rendering "that I might remove" in the present translation.

ảло̀ люобஸ́лои] Cf. 1:8.
32. $\tau \tilde{\omega} v$ vĩ̃v] Gött. has ví̃v. Vaticanus has $\tau \tilde{\omega} v$ viõv. лıx@ãval] Gött. has ла@алıx@ãvaı. $\varepsilon ̇ v$ Irœovo $\alpha \lambda \eta \mu$ ] Gött. has I $£ \varrho o v \sigma \alpha \lambda \eta \mu$.
33. $\dot{\varepsilon} \delta i \delta \alpha \xi \alpha$... ő@ $\varrho o v ~ \gamma \alpha i i ~ \varepsilon ̇ \delta i ́ \delta \alpha \xi \alpha] ~ F o r ~ a ~ d i s c u s s i o n ~ o f ~ t h e ~ c o n s t r u c-~$ tion, see 7:25.

34. oṽ ... $\left.\dot{\varepsilon} \pi^{\prime} \alpha \cup \mathfrak{v} \tau \tilde{\omega}\right]$ is a rendering of אשׁר ... עליו. גủt $\tilde{\omega} v$ is redundant in Greek. Thus the equally redundant pronoun "their" in the present translation. For a discussion of redundant pronouns in relative clauses, see 2:6.


35. $\tau \tilde{\eta} ~ B \alpha \alpha \lambda]$ Gött. has $\tau \tilde{\eta} s B \alpha \alpha \lambda$. For the feminine article and its translation, see 2:8.

Mo八oх $\beta \alpha \sigma เ \lambda \varepsilon \tilde{\imath}]$ Gött. has only $\beta \alpha \sigma t \lambda \varepsilon \tilde{\text { In }}$, which is a conjecture by Ziegler. All MSS have either Mo

$\dot{\varepsilon} \varphi \propto \mu \propto \varrho \tau \varepsilon \tilde{\varepsilon} v]$ is a rendering of החטי, i.e., Hifil infinitive construct of
 there is only one comment in the early commentaries on the text, but it seems quite unlikely that the reader could really grasp the causal meaning of $\grave{\varepsilon} \varphi \alpha \mu \alpha \varrho \tau \alpha \dot{\alpha} \omega \omega$ without any access to the Hebrew text, though this was most likely the intention of the translator. Olympiodorus comments on the passage, though not directly on the term $\dot{\varepsilon} \varphi \alpha \mu \propto \varrho \tau \varepsilon \tilde{v}:$ Olymp. fr.

 عũoov tò ò $\tau o ́ \pi \eta \mu \alpha$ "Nor did I judge it pious that human beings were sacrificed to myself. Hence, as they were not content with the previous sins, he says, they devised this absurdity besides." Perhaps غ̇л $\varepsilon \xi \varepsilon \check{\varrho} \varrho o v$ could be an interpretation of $\grave{\varepsilon} \varphi \alpha \mu \propto \varrho \tau \alpha \dot{v} \omega$. Anyhow, $\dot{\varepsilon} \varphi \alpha \mu \propto \varrho \tau \alpha ́ v \omega$ is not rendered as a causal verb in this passage in the present translation, but in its usual sense by "that Iouda may sin again". A causal rendering of the $\dot{\varepsilon} \varphi \alpha \mu \propto \varrho \alpha \dot{\alpha} v \omega$ in this passage could be "to cause/seduce Iouda to sin". Cf. CS, $\S 84 \mathrm{C}$, who discusses the causative sense of $\mathfrak{\varepsilon} \xi \alpha \mu \alpha \varrho \tau \alpha \dot{v} \omega$, which according to CS is strange to classical Greek.

## 36. $\lambda \varepsilon \mu \tilde{\omega}]$ Gött. has $\lambda \mu \tilde{\varrho}$.

$\dot{\varepsilon} v$ d̉лобто $\lambda \tilde{\eta}]$ MT has בדבר. It is not clear what could have been the Vorlage of $\dot{\alpha} л о \sigma \tau о \lambda \tilde{\eta} . \dot{\alpha} л о \sigma \tau о \lambda \eta$ is only found here in Jeremiah, and always a rendering of the root שלׁח, when translated in the Septuagint.


 the equally redundant "there" in the English translation. For a discussion of the construction, see 7:12.

$\pi \alpha \varrho о \xi v \sigma \mu \tilde{\varphi}]$ Gött. has $\varepsilon$ हैv $\pi \alpha \varrho o \xi v \sigma \mu \tilde{\varrho}$.
 tion عĩval ... غiऽ, see 3:23.
39. غ́té $\varrho \alpha v]$ MT has אחד, while the translator of Jeremiah apparently read אחר. Cf. McKane 1996, 850.

عís $\alpha \gamma \alpha \vartheta ̛ o ́ v]$ Cf. 14:11.
 ently, the figura etymologica of the Greek text has no equivalent in the Vorlage. The figura etymologica of the Greek text has been preserved in the present translation by the rendering "covenant a ... covenant".
$\alpha i \omega v i \alpha v]$ Gött. has $\alpha i \omega v i o v$. Only Vaticanus has $\alpha i \omega v i \alpha v$. For a discussion of the forms, see Thackeray 1909, 172note. Cf. 38:3.

 Several MSS follow MT with $\mu$ ov after $\varkappa \alpha \varrho \delta i ́ \alpha$ and $\psi v \chi \tilde{n}$.
43. $\dot{\varepsilon} v \tau \tilde{\eta} \gamma \tilde{\eta} \tilde{\eta} \sigma \dot{v} \lambda \varepsilon ́ \gamma \varepsilon \iota \varsigma]$ For the relative attraction, see BDR, $\$ 294$, and Sollamo 1992, 45.
$\left.{ }^{\alpha} \beta \alpha \tau о \varsigma\right]$ Cf. 2:6.
हैбт $\alpha ı]$ Gött. has $\mathfrak{\varepsilon} \sigma \tau \iota v$.


44. $\beta \iota \beta \lambda i ́ o v]$ Gött. has $\varepsilon i \varsigma \rho ı \beta \lambda i ́ o v$

ठı $\mu \alpha \varrho \tau v \varrho \tilde{\eta} \mu \alpha ́ \varrho \tau v \varrho \alpha \varsigma] ~ C f . ~ v . ~ 10 . ~$
Bevıaرعıv] Gött. has Bevıauıv.
$\chi \cup x \lambda$ óvะv] For the use of $x \cup ์ x \lambda \omega$ in the same way as a preposition, see CS, §97h.
$\tau \eta ̃ \varsigma ~ I \varepsilon \varrho o v \sigma \alpha \lambda \eta \mu]$ Gött. has Iع@ov $\sigma \alpha \lambda \eta \mu$.
ő@ovऽ $\tau \tilde{\eta} \varsigma \Sigma \varepsilon \varphi \eta \lambda \alpha]$ Gött. has ő@ovऽ x $\alpha i$ èv ло́ $\lambda \varepsilon \sigma \iota v \tau \tilde{\eta} \varsigma \Sigma \varepsilon \varphi \eta \lambda \alpha$.
$\tau \grave{\alpha} \varsigma \dot{\alpha} \pi о$ кías $\alpha v ๋ \tau \tilde{\omega} v]$ seems to be a literal rendering of
 in the Septuagint. Hence it should be noticed that the rendering "their exiles" in the present translation is a plural of the rendering "exile" of $\dot{\alpha} \boldsymbol{\alpha} о \boldsymbol{x i \alpha}$.

## 52. Restoration, Healing, and Joy (40:1-13)

This section, which covers the whole of chapter 41 , is another prophecy from the Lord directed to Jeremiah. The Lord of creation, who by the hand of the Chaldeans has torn down the city and filled it with corpses in his anger, will heal and restore it, and return the exile of Judah and Israel. He will clean them from their iniquities and will not remember their sins. The city will be filled with the sound of joy and gladness, which
will be heard in the whole land of Israel. And the whole land will be filled again with shepherds tending their sheep.

In vv. 4-5 the text makes poor sense, which is indicated by Olympiodorus, who still tries to make some sense of it. In v. 6 words have to be supplied to make sense of the text. In the first example a pronoun is easily supplied, but in the latter example the text is left without any supplement, since the text without the verb could hardly have been taken as complete by the reader. In v . 10 there is another example of an expression typical of Jeremiah, which most likely was taken in another way by the reader than by the translator. Finally, in v. 11, there is a confusion of cases.

1. av̉tós] For the use of aủtós as a personal pronoun, see BDR, $\$ 277.3$, and CS, $\$ 13$.

 לא Most likely the translator of Jeremiah had in his Vorlage, since it is very unlikely that he would have added the relative pronoun, thus making the pronoun av̉tó redundant. Anyhow, av̉tó is redundant in the present text and is thus rendered by an equally redundant pronoun "them" in the present translation.

4-5. For a discussion of the relation between the Greek text and the complicated Hebrew text in MT, see McKane 1996, 855-857.
 ( ${ }^{\circ} \nsim \omega \omega v$ ) are most likely torn down ( $\chi \alpha \vartheta \eta \varrho \varrho \eta \mu \dot{\varepsilon} v \omega v$ ) for palisades and

 with corpses ( $\tau \tilde{\omega} v v \varepsilon \chi \varrho \tilde{\omega} v)$ ). Olympiodorus comments on the passage:



 low to Babylon, because this was the judgement of God. When they had torn down their houses, they made palisades and battlements to fight against the Chaldeans. Therefore, they were condemned to death."
$\alpha u ๋ \tau \eta \dot{v}$ ] clearly refers to $\tau \eta ั \varsigma ~ \pi o ́ \lambda \varepsilon \omega \varsigma ~ \tau \alpha v ่ \tau \eta \varsigma ~ i n ~ v . ~ 4 . ~ T h u s ~ t h e ~ r e n d e r i n g ~$ "the city" in the present translation, since a rendering "it" or "her" would not be very clear.
$\tau \tilde{\omega} v$ vยณ@ $\tilde{v}]$ Gött. has vยห@̃̃v.
6. pave@ $\dot{\omega} \sigma \omega]$ is normally transitive in the active voice. Thus an object has to be supplied. Most MSS have عioaxov́cıv. Brenton has supplied "myself". In the present translation "it" has been supplied referring to
 (NRSV supplying "it") and 2 Cor. 11:6 $\dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda$ ’ $\dot{\varepsilon} v ~ \pi \alpha v \tau i ̀ ~ p a v \varepsilon @ \omega ́ \sigma \alpha v \tau \varepsilon \varsigma ~ ह ̉ v ~$ $\pi \tilde{\alpha} \sigma \iota v$ عiऽ $\dot{u} \mu \tilde{\alpha} \varsigma$ (NRSV supplying "this").

 Greek text. Thus the literal rendering "and peace and faithfulness" in the present translation.
> 7. $\tau \grave{v} v$ व̉лоぃí $\alpha v$ ] Cf. 35:4. rai tó] Gött. has tó.
8. ov̉ $\mu \grave{\eta} \mu \nu \eta \sigma \vartheta \eta \dot{\eta} \sigma \mu \alpha l]$ For the future tense, see BDR, $\$ 365$.
$\left.\eta \eta_{\mu} \propto \varrho \tau \sigma v\right]$ Gött. has $\eta \mu \alpha ́ \varrho \tau о \sigma \alpha v$.
 see 3:23.

oítives] For the use of the indefinite relative pronoun instead of the relative pronoun oí, see BDR, $\$ 293, \mathrm{CS}, \S 71$.
 and Sollamo 1992, 45.
10. $\lambda \varepsilon ́ \gamma \varepsilon \tau \alpha l]$ Gött. has $\lambda \varepsilon ́ \gamma \varepsilon \tau \varepsilon$.
 מאין אדם ומאין בהמה ... מאין אדם מוֹף ומאין בהמה been rendered totally different. Cf. 2:15 л $\alpha \varrho \dot{\alpha}$ тò $\mu \grave{\eta} x \alpha \tau о \iota \tilde{\tau} \sigma \vartheta \alpha$. The expression $\varepsilon$ ع̌@ $\eta \mu \mathrm{o} \varsigma+\alpha \dot{\alpha}$ ó is only found in Jeremiah ( $40: 10 ; 41: 22 ; 51: 2$ ) in the Septuagint, and seems to be unparalleled in texts with no relation to the Septuagint. Thus the literal rendering "desert from".
$\chi \tau \eta \dot{\eta} \boldsymbol{\eta}$ ] Gött. has $x \tau \tilde{\eta} v o s$.
 $\varphi \omega v \grave{\eta} v \dot{\mu} \mu \varphi \eta \varsigma$. The change of case between nominative and accusative is very strange, and looks like a scribal error. The nominatives of $\varphi \omega v \eta$ are


 MSS, e.g., Alexandrinus. Since it is not possible to change the cases in an English translation of $\varphi \omega v \dot{\eta}$, the change of cases in the Greek text is rendered by "-to the voice of ..." in the present translation.

عíбovoıv] Gött. has عiooíoovoıv. The text in Vaticanus has been changed into oíoovorv. It is hard to know what a reader could have made of the form عíбovoıv, since the form does not exist in Greek. However, the context suggests a verb of bringing, and thus the rendering "bring" in the present translation.
 line.
$\pi \tilde{\alpha} \sigma \alpha v \tau \eta ̀ v \alpha ̉ \pi o \iota x i \alpha v]$ Gött. has $\tau \eta ̀ v \dot{\alpha} \pi o 九 x i \alpha v$. For the expression $\tau \eta ̀ v$

12. $\tau \tilde{\omega} v \delta v v \alpha ́ \mu \varepsilon \omega v]$ Not in Gött.
$\pi \alpha \varrho \alpha ̀ ~ \tau o ̀ ~ \mu \grave{\eta} \varepsilon i ̃ v \alpha \iota ~ \alpha ै v \vartheta \varrho \omega \pi o v ~ r \alpha i ~ x \tau \tilde{\eta} v o \varsigma]$ Not in Gött. For a discussion



$\tau \alpha \tilde{\imath} \varsigma]$ has been rendered into "the [cities]", since it is quite clear that the feminine definite article refers to "cities". For the ellipsis of $\pi o ́ \lambda ı \varsigma, ~ c f . ~$ CS, $\S 46$, BDR, $\$ 241$, and Smyth $1956, \$ 1027$ b.


 $\dot{\alpha} \pi \omega ́ \lambda \lambda \varepsilon \tau о$ "One who looks after and does not neglect, but who counts and wishes that none of the spiritual sheep were perishing."

> 53. Ieremias' Message to Sedekias (41:1-7)

Jeremiah receives a message from the Lord to king Sedekias: the city will be given into the hands of the king of Babylon and the king himself will be captured and brought to Babylon. However, Sedekias will die in peace, and be bewailed just like his fathers.

This short section contains quite a number of interesting linguistic features. Already in the first verse there is both one of very few parentheses, and a unique reading of Vaticanus, which makes no sense whatsoever. In v. 5 there is a mention of Hades, for which several explanations have been
given. If the text with Hades is original, it is most likely a transliteration of the Vorlage.

1. Ie@c $\langle\mu i\rangle \alpha v$ ] Gött. has Ir@cuiav. $\mu \mathrm{t}$ is written above the line in the MS.
uаi $\mathrm{N} \alpha \beta$ ov $\chi$ oסovooo@ ... Iov $\delta \alpha$ ] is taken as a parenthesis and thus marked with dashes in the translation. For parenthesis, see BDR, $\$ 465$.

غ̇ло $\lambda \varepsilon ́ \mu o v v ~ \alpha u ̉ \tau o ́ v] ~ G o ̈ t t . ~ h a s ~ \varepsilon ̇ \pi o \lambda \varepsilon ́ \mu o u v . ~ O n l y ~ V a t i c a n u s ~ h a s ~ \alpha u ̉ \tau o ́ v, ~$ which is very odd. It is hard to see how avitóv could have come into the text, except by mistake. It is also hard to see how aủ兀óv could make any sense in the present context.
2. $\pi \alpha \varrho \alpha \delta o ́ \sigma \varepsilon \iota ~ \pi \alpha \varrho \alpha \delta о \vartheta \eta \dot{\eta} \sigma \tau \alpha \iota]$ MT has הנני נתן, while $\pi \alpha \varrho \alpha \delta o ́ \sigma \varepsilon ı ~ \pi \alpha \varrho \alpha-$ סоখท́бধтаı looks like a rendering of הנתן ינתן, cf. 39:4, where л $\alpha \varrho \alpha \delta o ́-$ бєı $\pi \alpha \varrho \alpha \delta о \vartheta \eta \dot{\eta} \sigma \tau \tau \iota$ apparently is a rendering of הנתן ינתן, and 39:28 where бо७єı̃ $\sigma \alpha$ л $\pi \varrho \alpha \delta о \vartheta \eta$ הנתן תנתן while MT has הנני נתן. McKane 1996, 867, comments on the present verse: "This may be no more than a matter of literary style, but it could be theologically motivated: the avoidance of the statement that Yahweh had given the city into the power of the king of Babylon." Given the literal translation of Jeremiah and the examples in 39:4 and 39:28, mentioned above, the possibility that the translator of Jeremiah had a Vorlage with הנתן תנתן should at least be taken into consideration. Anyhow, the figura etymologica of the Greek text has been preserved in the translation by "By a delivery ... will be delivered". For a discussion of the translation of the Hebrew construction הנתן ינתן, see 3:1.
3. $\sigma v \lambda \lambda \eta \dot{\eta} \mu \varepsilon \iota \sigma v \lambda \lambda \eta \mu \varphi \vartheta \eta \dot{\eta} \sigma \varepsilon \iota]$ is a literal rendering of תפש תתפש, i.e. infinitive absolute and finite verb of the same root. The figura etymologica of the Hebrew text has been preserved in the Greek translation. The figura etymologica has also been preserved in the translation "by a capture you will be captured". For a discussion of the translation of this Hebrew construction, see 3:1.


 enhanced the manuscript.
4. $\operatorname{\tau ò} \mathrm{v} \lambda$ ó $\gamma \mathrm{ov}]$ Gött. has $\lambda o ́ \gamma o v$.
 conjecture by Grabe adopted by Rahlfs. According to Thackeray 1909, 14, 37, the translator of Jeremiah transliterated הוי אדון into words of similar
 rendered the same expression הוי אדון by oóциоь xv́gıє at 22:18.
$\tilde{\tilde{\omega}}$ रह $x$ ó $\psi$ ovtai $\sigma \varepsilon$ is written in the margin of Vaticanus.
$\dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \dot{\omega} \dot{\varepsilon} \lambda \lambda \dot{\alpha} \lambda \eta \sigma \alpha]$ Gött. has $\dot{\varepsilon} \lambda \alpha \dot{\lambda} \lambda \eta \sigma \alpha$.
 Thackeray 1909, 81.
tòv $\beta \alpha \sigma \iota \lambda \varepsilon ́ \alpha]$ Gött. has $\beta \alpha \sigma \tau \lambda \varepsilon ́ \alpha$.

жаі દ̇лі $\Lambda \alpha \chi \varepsilon ı \varsigma] ~ G o ̈ t t . ~ h a s ~ દ ̇ л i ~ \Lambda a \chi ı \varsigma . ~$
$\varkappa \alpha \tau \varepsilon \lambda i \varphi \vartheta \vartheta \eta \sigma \alpha v]$ Gött. has $\varkappa \alpha \tau \varepsilon \lambda \varepsilon i \varphi \vartheta \eta \sigma \alpha v$.

## 54. The Broken Covenant (41:8-22)

The section begins with a short background for the word from the Lord which will come. King Sedekias had completed a covenant with the people that they should each release their Hebrew slaves according to the law. However, shortly they had broken the covenant and taken back their slaves. Thus a word from the Lord came to Jeremiah pointing out what was prescribed in the law, and that the people had done wrong in each taking back his Hebrew slaves. Therefore, the Lord will deliver those who have broken the covenant to sword, death, and famine, and they will be given into the hands of their enemies, i.e., the king of Babylon, who will kill them and burn the city with fire.

For some unknown reason there are alternative translations in this chapter of the expression כרת ... ברית, which are not found elsewhere in the book of Jeremiah. In v. 10 the verb $\varepsilon$ é $\omega \sigma \alpha v$ can be taken as a form of either $\dot{\varepsilon} \alpha \dot{\alpha} \omega$ or of $\dot{\omega} \vartheta \dot{\varepsilon}(\omega$, and the early commentators seem to have taken them in different ways. In v. 15 there is a rare idiomatic rendering of בעינ, which might be another indication of the ability of the translator.
 ples of כרת + ברית in MT, 64 are rendered by סıatiधๆ Septuagint. In Jeremiah all examples of כרת + ברית (11:10; 38:31,32,33
(MT 31:31, 32, 33); 39:40 (MT 32:40) except the examples in chapter 41
 Gött. to 41:13).
$\sigma v \nu \tau \varepsilon \lambda \alpha i ́ \sigma \varepsilon]$ Gött. has $\sigma v v \tau \varepsilon \lambda \varepsilon \dot{\varepsilon} \sigma \alpha ı . \varepsilon$ and $\alpha \iota$ are written above the line in the MS.
9. $\pi \varrho o ̀ s ~ \tau o ̀ ~ \mu \eta ̀ ~ \delta o v \lambda \varepsilon u ́ \varepsilon ı v ~ \alpha ै v \delta \varrho \alpha ~ ह ै \xi ~ I o v \delta \alpha] ~ l o o k s ~ l i k e ~ a ~ l i t e r a l ~ r e n d e r i n g ~$ of לבלתי עבד איש מיהודי, while MT has לבלתי עבד־בם ביהודי אחיהו אישׁ. For a discussion of the relation of the Greek text to the Hebrew text, see McKane 1996, 870-871. For the Hebraistic construction $\mu \eta{ }_{\eta} . . . \alpha \nsim v \delta \varrho \alpha$, cf. BDR, $\S 302, \mathrm{CS}, \S 88$, and Tabachovitz 1956, 87-91.

10-11. For a discussion of the relation between the longer Hebrew text and the Greek text, see McKane 1996, 871. According to McKane the shorter Greek text should be preferred.

$\varepsilon ้ \omega \sigma \alpha v]$ can be taken either as imperfect of $\varepsilon$ źá $\omega$ or as aorist of $\omega ̉ \vartheta \varepsilon ์ \omega$. According to Thackeray 1909, 200, 214, it is imperfect of $\mathfrak{\varepsilon} \dot{\alpha} \omega$ with loss of augment and with the ending $-\sigma \alpha v$, while McKane apparently takes $\varepsilon \neq \sigma \alpha \nu$ as aorist of $\omega \mathfrak{\vartheta} \vartheta \varepsilon, \omega$, rendering it by "forced". Brenton has "gave them over to", apparently taking $\tilde{\varepsilon} \omega \sigma \alpha \nu$ as a form of $\dot{\varepsilon} \alpha \dot{\alpha} \omega$. The early commentators seem to have taken $\begin{gathered} \\ \omega\end{gathered} \sigma \alpha v$ in both ways, Chrysostom as imperfect of $\varepsilon \dot{\alpha} \omega$ (however, it should be noted that he changes the verb into aorist tense): Chrys. fr. in Jer. 64.992 عĩт $\alpha \mu \tau \varepsilon v o ́ \eta \sigma \alpha v$ ẻлi тоĩs $\gamma \downarrow \gamma v \circ \mu \varepsilon ́ v o ı s$,
 mind because of what happened, and they let them become servants and girl-servants." Theodoret and Olympiodorus seem to take $\stackrel{\varepsilon}{\varepsilon} \omega \sigma \alpha \nu$ as aorist of $\dot{\omega} \vartheta \dot{\varepsilon} \omega$, since both use the term $\varepsilon$ 片 $\lambda \omega$, when they comment

 $\lambda \varepsilon \varepsilon^{\prime} \alpha v$ "Having all obeyed, and having imparted freedom to them, they dragged them again into the former slavery", Olymp. fr. Jer. 93.696 oi
 "Those who had granted them freedom, dragged them again into slavery." Though Thackeray may be right that $\stackrel{\varepsilon}{ } \omega \sigma \alpha v$ should be taken as imperfect of $\dot{\varepsilon} \alpha \dot{\alpha} \omega$, it is probably more likely that the reader took it as aorist of $\omega \mathfrak{\vartheta} \dot{\varepsilon} \omega$, since both the meaning of $\dot{\omega} \vartheta \varepsilon \dot{\varepsilon} \omega$ and the aorist tense makes better sense in the present context. This is also partly confirmed by the early commentators. Thus the rendering "forced" in the present translation.
 is a rendering of כרתי ברית. Cf. v. 8.
14. $\varepsilon$ ह̉@ $\gamma \tilde{\alpha} \tau \alpha i ́ \sigma o l]$ Cf. 22:13.

ย̈ $\lambda \lambda \varepsilon เ v \alpha v]$ Gött. has ë $\lambda \lambda ı v \alpha v$.
15. л@ò ỏ $\varphi \vartheta \alpha \lambda \mu \tilde{\omega} v]$ is a rendering of בעיני. This is the only example in the Septuagint where בעיני is rendered by л@ò ò $\varphi \vartheta ๙ \lambda \mu \tilde{\omega} v$. According to Sollamo 1979, 330-331, the expression "л@ò ỏ $\varphi \vartheta \alpha \lambda \mu \tilde{\omega} v$ is usual in the early Koine." Thus the idiomatic expression "in my eyes" in the present translation.
ouveté̀ $\ell \sigma \alpha \alpha v \delta \iota \alpha \vartheta \vartheta \dot{\eta} \not \eta v]$ is a rendering of תכרתו ברית. Cf. v. 8.
 in Greek. Thus the equally redundant pronoun "their" in the present translation. For a discussion of redundant pronouns in relative clauses, see 2:6.


16. $\varepsilon$ है $\xi \alpha \pi о \sigma \tau \varepsilon i \lambda \alpha \alpha \varepsilon]$ Gött. has $\bar{\varepsilon} \xi \alpha \pi \varepsilon \sigma \tau \varepsilon i \lambda \alpha \tau \varepsilon . \varepsilon$ is written above the line in the MS. According to Thackeray 1909, 197, $\bar{\varepsilon} \xi \alpha \pi \sigma о \sigma \tau \varepsilon i \lambda \alpha \tau \varepsilon$ is aorist indicative with the loss of augment. Thus the rendering "sent" in the present translation.
$\tau \tilde{\eta} \psi \cup \chi \tilde{n}$ av่ $\tau \tilde{\omega} v]$ is a literal rendering of לנפשם. Olympiodorus has a comment on the passage, which most likely is a comment on $\tau \tilde{\eta} \psi \cup \chi \tilde{n}$

 According to the choice, according to the will. For this is characteristic of freedom." Following Olympiodorus $\tau \tilde{n} \eta v \chi \tilde{n}$ aủ̃ $\tilde{\omega} v$ is rendered by "according to their desire". For the singular $\psi v \chi \tilde{n}$, cf. BDR, $\S 140$.
17. $x \alpha \lambda \tilde{\omega}]$ could be taken either as present or future tense. Given the fact that the following verbs are in the future tense, the future tense is chosen in the present translation.
$\mu \alpha ́ \chi \alpha \iota \varrho \alpha v]$ Gött. has $\tau \grave{v} v \mu \alpha ́ \chi \alpha \iota \varrho v$.
$\lambda \varepsilon \mu o ́ v]$ Gött. has $\lambda \mu \mu_{0} v$.
 and Is. 28:15.

21. 'Iovסגías] is a rendering of יהודה. For a discussion of the renderings of יהודה in Jeremiah, see McLean 1997, 74-75, and 79-80.
xai $\delta u ́ v \alpha \mu \iota \varsigma ~ . . . ~ \dot{\alpha} \pi ’ ~ \alpha v ̉ \tau \tilde{\omega} v$ ] A verb has to be supplied, naturally a form of cĩvou. Given the three future forms $\delta \dot{\omega} \sigma \omega$ immediately preceding, the
 1996, 874, the Greek text is the result of a minus of ביד before חיל, and "the resulting Greek translation is defective in sense".
22. $\varphi \eta \sigma i v \overline{x \varsigma}]$ is a rendering of נאם-יהוה. For a discussion of the expression and its rendering, see 2:3.



## 55. Ieremias and the Archabein (42:1-19)

The whole of the following section is devoted to Jeremiah's encounter with the Archabein, and the interpretation given by the Lord of that encounter. Jeremiah is told by the Lord to bring the Archabein to the house of the Lord and give them wine to drink. Jeremiah did so, but the Archabein did not drink wine, since their father had commanded them not to drink wine. They add that he had also commanded them never to build houses, never sow seed, never have any vineyards, and live in tents forever, and that they had done as they had been commanded, except that they had come to Jerusalem to escape the Babylonians and Assyrians. Then the Lord tells Jeremiah to go to the people and tell them that they have not been faithful to the Lord and his commands as the Archabein have been faithful to the command of their father. Therefore, all the evil that the Lord has spoken against the people will come, while the Archabein will always have a man who stands before the Lord.

The indentation at the beginning of this section is quite strange, but the edition follows the manuscript. In v. 4 the masculine relative pronoun is strange, since there seems to be no suitable antecedent. In v . 11 Vaticanus has a unique reading, while Gött. follows Sinaiticus, which also has a unique reading. The majority of texts have a reading which looks like an attempt to improve the text. In v. 13 there is a very literal and rare rendering of a Hebrew expression, which usually is rendered more idiomatically elsewhere in Jeremiah.

1. The indentation of the left margin in v. 1 and the word-wrapping between $\mathrm{I} \omega \alpha \varkappa \varepsilon \mu$ and $\beta \alpha \sigma 1 \lambda \varepsilon \dot{\varepsilon} \omega$ s follows the MS. It is not clear why the scribe wrote $\beta \alpha \sigma \tau \lambda \varepsilon ́ \omega \varsigma$ on a new line, leaving a space of approximately 6-8 letters on the previous line.
$\mathrm{I} \omega \alpha \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \mu]$ Gött. has $\mathrm{I} \omega \alpha \boldsymbol{\mu} \mu$.
2. A@ $\propto \alpha \varepsilon \varepsilon v]$ Gött. has A@ $\alpha \beta$ ıv.
$\varepsilon i \varsigma \mu i \alpha v \tau \tilde{\omega} v \alpha u \lambda \lambda \tilde{\omega} v]$ For this use of $\varepsilon \tilde{i} \varsigma$ as an indefinite pronoun, see CS, $\$ 2$.
 ... А@ $\alpha \beta$.v.
3. $\pi \alpha \sigma \tau 0 \varphi \rho_{\rho}$ เov] Chrysostom and Olympiodorus comment on the term:
 $\tau \tilde{\varrho}$ 'Avvóv "I.e., into the alcove with seating, assigned to Annan." Olymp.
 treasury."
ös દ̇бтıv] Gött. has ő غ̇otıv. The antecedent of the neuter relative pronoun ö in Gött. is apparently tò лабто甲ógьov. The antecedent of the masculine relative pronoun is either oinov $\overline{\chi v}$, which is quite a bit away from the relative, or Гобо入iov $\dot{\alpha} v \vartheta \varrho \omega ́ \pi$ лоv тоṽ $\overline{\vartheta v}$, which is most natural, since it immediately precedes the relative, but makes poor sense with
 and Brenton renders $\mathfrak{\varepsilon} \sigma \tau \iota v$ by "dwells". Thus the rendering "who" of the relative ös.
víãv I $\omega$ vav vioũ Avavíou] Gött. has víãv Avaviou.

4. $\varepsilon$ ¿ $\delta \omega x \alpha]$ is a very literal rendering of אתן. Symmachus has $\pi \alpha \varrho \varepsilon ́ \vartheta \eta \varkappa \alpha$. Thus the literal rendering "gave".
5. $\pi \varepsilon i \eta \tau \varepsilon]$ Gött. has $\pi i \eta \tau \varepsilon$.
 is redundant in Greek. Thus the equally redundant "in it " in the present translation. For redundant pronouns in relative clauses being translated from Hebrew originals, see 2:6.
6. oixદías ... xatoıxĩv] Gött. has oixías ... xатоเxعĩv. $\varepsilon$ is added between $x$ and $t$ in the MS.
$\dot{\alpha} \mu \pi \varepsilon \lambda \omega \dot{\omega} v]$ Gött. has $x \alpha i \stackrel{\alpha}{\alpha} \mu \pi \varepsilon \lambda \omega \dot{\omega} v$.

 Vaticanus has $\varepsilon i \sigma \varepsilon \lambda \vartheta \varepsilon i ̃ v . . . ~ \varepsilon i \sigma \dot{\eta} \lambda \vartheta \vartheta \mu \varepsilon v$. The text of Gött. is only found in one Greek MS, Sinaiticus. The majority of MSS have $\alpha, \alpha \beta \alpha \dot{\sigma} \tau \varepsilon \varsigma ~ \varepsilon i \sigma \varepsilon \lambda \varepsilon v-$ $\sigma o ́ \mu \varepsilon \vartheta \alpha$, which looks like an attempt to improve the text. For a discussion of aorist participles qualifying hortatory principal verbs, see Walser 2001, 39-54, 152-153.

д̉ло̀ л@оба́лоv] Cf. 1:8.
13. $\dot{\alpha} v \vartheta \varrho \omega \dot{\sigma} \pi \omega$ Iovס $\alpha$ ] is a very literal rendering of לאישׁ יהודה. There are eleven examples of אישׁ יהודה in Jeremiah. In seven of these אישׁ is rendered by the plural ${ }^{\alpha} v \delta \varrho \varepsilon \varsigma(4: 3,4 ; 11: 2,9 ; 17: 25 ; 18: 11 ; 39: 32$ (MT 32:32)); in one it is rendered by $\gamma \tilde{\eta} v$ (43:31 (MT 36:31)). Here it is rendered by the singular $\dot{\alpha} v \vartheta \varrho \omega \prime \pi \omega$. The singular of $\alpha v \vartheta \varrho \omega \pi \sigma$, is also used in Is. 5:3, 7, the singular of $\alpha v \eta \varrho$ in 2 Sam., and the plural of $\alpha ้ v \vartheta \varrho \omega \pi \sigma$ ऽ in Dan. 9:7. In the remaining examples it is rendered by the plural $\alpha ้ v \delta \varrho \varepsilon \varsigma$ ( 1 Sam. 11:8; 2 Chr. 13:15bis) or by a collective: $\pi \tilde{\alpha} \varsigma ~ \alpha ̉ v \grave{\jmath} \varrho$ Iov $\delta \alpha$ Judg. 15:10, tòv Iov יהודה most likely made poor sense to the reader of the Greek text. Thus the literal rendering "to a man of Iouda". For the collective use of אישׁ, see KB, 44 .
xatoıxoṽol] Gött. has xatoıxoṽoıv. $v$ is added above the line in the MS.
ov̉ $\mu \grave{\eta} \lambda \dot{\alpha} \beta \eta \tau \varepsilon$ ] For questions with ov̉ $\mu \dot{\eta}+$ subjunctive expecting an affirmative answer, see BDR, $\$ 365.4$.
$\pi \alpha ı \delta i \alpha v]$ Gött. has $\pi \alpha \iota \delta \varepsilon i \alpha v . \varepsilon$ is added above the line in the MS.
14. $\varrho \tilde{\eta} \mu \alpha]$ is a rendering of דברי. For a discussion of the rare rendering @ $\tilde{\eta} \mu \alpha$, see 1:1.
 construction, see 7:25.
15. $\tau \tilde{\eta} \varsigma$ ódo $\tilde{v}]$ Gött. has ódoũ.
$\beta \varepsilon \lambda \tau i ́ \omega v$ лоוך́ $\sigma \alpha \tau \varepsilon]$ Gött. has $\beta \varepsilon \lambda \tau i ́ \omega$ лoוך́ $\sigma \alpha \tau \varepsilon$. Cf. 18:11.
 ỏлí $\sigma \omega$ ] Cf. 2:5.
$\tau \tilde{\eta} \varsigma \gamma \tilde{\eta} \varsigma \tilde{\eta} \varsigma \varepsilon$ है $\delta \omega x \alpha]$ For the attraction of the relative, see BDR, §294.2, Wallace 1995, 338-339, and Smyth 1956, $\$ 2522$. Cf. 24:10, and Sollamo 1995, 45.


 BDR, $\S 134.1$.
17. Iov $\delta \alpha v$ ] Gött. has Iov $\alpha \alpha$, which is a conjecture by Ziegler. All MSS have Iov $\delta \alpha v$. Cf. McLean 1997, 60-61, 78.

## 56. Ieremias, Barouch and the Papyrus (43:1-8)

In the following short section Jeremiah is told by the Lord to put all the words which he has spoken to Jeremiah, in writing in a small book of papyrus. The reason is that this action might make the people turn away from their evil deeds. Consequently, Jeremiah asks Barouch to write down all these words in a papyrus book. Then Jeremiah asks Barouch to read the words to the people in the house of the Lord, since Jeremiah is imprisoned and cannot do it himself. And Barouch did so.

From a linguistic point of view there is only one expression that is of special interest in this short section: in v .7 there is a very literal rendering of the Hebrew text, and it is not clear how it was intended by the translator. Thus the present translation follows the interpretation by Olympiodorus.

1. The indentation of the left margin in v. 1 follows the MS.
$\mathrm{I} \omega \alpha \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \mu$ vioũ $\mathrm{I} \omega \sigma \varepsilon i \alpha]$ Gött. has $\mathrm{I} \omega \alpha \varkappa \mu \operatorname{vi} \tilde{\varphi}_{\mathrm{I}} \mathrm{I} \omega \sigma$ oía. For the genitive ending - $\alpha$, see 1:3.

л@ós $\mu \varepsilon$ ] According to McKane 1996, 900, " „œós $\mu \varepsilon$ clashes with the subsequent third person narrative and is explained by Duhm as the misreading of an abbreviation ('אל־ירמיהו = אלי, taken as אלי)."
2. $\grave{\varepsilon} \lambda \alpha \dot{\alpha} \lambda \eta \sigma \alpha]$ Gött. has $\varepsilon$ è $\varrho \eta \mu \alpha ́ \tau ı \sigma \alpha$. Cf. 32:16 and 33:2.
$\lambda \alpha \lambda \dot{\prime} \sigma \alpha v \tau o ́ s ~ \mu o v]$ seems to be a rendering of דברתי. For a discussion of the genitive absolute in the Septuagint, see Soisalon-Soininen 1987, 175-180. $\lambda \alpha \lambda \eta{ }^{\prime} \sigma \alpha v \tau o s$ is taken as an ingressive aorist, and rendered by
"began to speak". For ingressive aorist, see Smyth 1956, $\$ \$ 1924,1925$, and Wallace 1995, 558-559.
$\left.\mathrm{I} \omega \sigma \varepsilon^{\prime} \alpha\right]$ Gött. has I $\omega \sigma^{\prime} \alpha$ For the genitive ending $-\alpha$, see 1:3.

4. $\dot{\alpha} \pi$ ò $\sigma \tau o ́ \mu \alpha \tau o \varsigma]$ is a literal rendering of מפי. Thus the literal rendering "from mouth" in the present translation.

 between MT and the Greek text, see McKane 1996, 902.
7. $\pi \varepsilon \sigma \varepsilon i ̃ \tau \alpha \iota ~ ع ै ่ \lambda \varepsilon o \varsigma ~ \alpha u ̉ \tau \tilde{\omega} v$ ] is a rendering of תפל תחנתם. There are 25 examples of תחנה in MT with the meaning "compassion" or "pleading for compassion". The latter meaning, mostly rendered by $\delta \varepsilon ́ \eta \sigma \iota \varsigma$, is found in 13 examples referring to the prayer of Salomon ( 1 Kings 8,9 and ${ }_{2}$ Chr. 6), one example referring to the prayer of Manasseh (2 Chr. 33:13), one example in Daniel (9:20), and three examples in Psalms (6:10; 55:2; 119:170). The meaning "compassion" is found in Josh. 11:20, rendered by $\varepsilon ้ \lambda \varepsilon о \varsigma$, and in Ezra 9:8, with a free rendering $\dot{\varepsilon} \pi \iota \varepsilon เ x \varepsilon v ́ \sigma \alpha \tau o . ~ F o r ~ t h e ~ m e a n-~$ ing of תחנה, see KB, 1718. The combination תחנה + נפל is only found in
 examples with Qal: 43:7 (MT 36:7); 44:20 (MT 37:20); 49:2 (MT 42:2)) and @́íл $\tau \omega+$ है入 $\ell \varepsilon \sigma \varsigma$ (the examples with Hifil: 45:26 (MT 38:26); 49:9 (MT 42:9) (not in Vaticanus and Gött.); Dan. (Theodotion) 9:20). For the meaning of תחנה + נפל, see KB, 710, and McKane 1996, 902-903, 930-931. The meaning "pleading for compassion" does not seem to be attested in any lexicon for $\varepsilon$ है $\lambda \varepsilon \sigma$, and the meaning "compassion" makes poor sense in this context. Perhaps $\alpha v \jmath \tau \tilde{\omega} v$ could be taken as an objective genitive, and $\not ้ \lambda \varepsilon \sigma \sigma \alpha u ̛ \tau \tilde{\omega} v$ be rendered by "compassion for them". This interpretation is indicated by Olympiodorus, who is the only one who comments on any of the passages in Jeremiah. Olympiodorus comments

 in these passages are taken as objective genitives.
8. A stroke above the line in the MS indicates a new paragraph. Since v. 8 begins at the beginning of the line, there is no space within the text which could confirm the new paragraph.

## 57. Iōakeim has the Papyrus Burnt, Barouch Writes a New One. Ieremias Imprisoned (43:9-45:28)

This section is the second longest section of Jeremiah in Vaticanus. It covers most of chapter 43 and both chapters 44 and 45 , and it continues where the previous ends. Therefore, it is very hard to find a reason for the very short previous section followed by this very long section. One reason could be the introductory phrase in verse 9 of $\gamma$ ivoual and the time given by year and month, which usually introduces a new section (cf. $35: 1 ; 46: 1 ; 52: 4$ ). Anyhow, the section is introduced by the information of a fast, which for some unknown reason is proclaimed by the people. During the fast Barouch reads the words of Jeremiah in the house of the Lord for all the people, and one of the listeners, Meichaias, goes to the rulers who are sitting in the house of the king, and tells them about all that Barouch has read. The rulers send for Barouch, who reads the words for them in the house of the king. Then the rulers decide to tell the king, but first they tell Barouch to hide together with Jeremiah. The king orders the book to be read, and each time three or four columns are read he orders them to be cut off and thrown into the fire until the book is wholly consumed by the fire. Only a couple of the rulers seem to disagree with the king about this. After this the king orders Barouch and Jeremiah, who are hiding, to be captured. However, the Lord tells Jeremiah to take another book and write down again what was written in the first book, and tell the king that the king of Babylon will destroy the land, and that the king himself and his family will be visited with all the evil that has been spoken by the Lord. So Barouch takes a new book and writes down all the words again and other similar words. Then Sedekias becomes king after Iōakeim, and he sends to Jeremiah, who at this time has not yet been imprisoned, to ask him to pray for the people. Thereupon the Chaldeans go away because of the force from Egypt, which has come to help the people against the Chaldeans. Then Jeremiah is sent to the king to tell him that the Chaldeans will be back when the force from Egypt has returned to Egypt, and that the Chaldeans will destroy Jerusalem. Next Jeremiah intends to go to Benjamin, but is caught by Sarouias, with whom he used to lodge, who accuses him of fleeing to the Chaldeans. Thus Jeremiah is put in prison. But still the king asks him if God has spoken to him, and Jeremiah's answer is yes; the Lord has told Jeremiah that the king will be delivered into the hands of the king of Babylon. Then Jeremiah questions that he has been put in prison, but the king lets him stay in prison, and instead of letting
him go, he is accused of weakening the hands of the people, and he is thrown into a cistern. However, there is no water in the cistern, but just mud. After that, Abdemelech goes to the king and tells him that it is not right to put Jeremiah in the cistern, and the king commands him to bring Jeremiah up from the cistern again. Consequently, Abdemelech does so. Then the king sends to Jeremiah again to ask for a word of the Lord. And Jeremiah answers that if you surrender yourself to the Babylonians you will live and the city will be saved, but if you do not surrender yourself you will be delivered into the hands of the Chaldeans and they will burn the city with fire. However, the king fears for the Jews who have fled to the Chaldeans, and Jeremiah repeats that he should surrender himself to the Babylonians to be saved. But the king says to Jeremiah to tell no one what he has told the king, even if the rulers would ask him. And when the rulers ask him he answers according to the commandment of the king.

As expected, this long section contains a large number of literal ren-
 (43:9, 16, 23; 44:11, 13), and five examples of a figura etymologica of a participle and finite verb of the same root $(43: 16,29 ; 44: 9 ; 45: 3,17)$. There are a number of unique readings of Vaticanus (one of which (44:17) is quite odd), and also a dittography and a transliteration. More interesting, however, than the numerous usual linguistic features are the pronoun in 44:8 presumably added by the translator of Jeremiah, the two transitive verbs in 43:24 and 44:12 without objects (and thus translated without objects), the nomen sacrum in 44:20 not referring to the Lord, and the very rare rendering xai $\varepsilon \tilde{\pi} \pi \varepsilon v$ in 45:8 of the very common Hebrew expression לאמר, which is assumed to be the Vorlage. Especially interesting is the expression $\lambda$ ó $\gamma$ ov $\varepsilon^{\prime \prime} \chi \omega$ in $45: 19$, which is commented upon by all the early commentators. Apparently, the expression caused the commentators some trouble and they appear to take it very differently. Thus a very literal rendering in the present translation.

$\beta \alpha \sigma \iota \lambda \varepsilon \tilde{\imath}]$ Gött. has $\tau \tilde{\varrho} \beta \alpha \sigma \iota \lambda \varepsilon \tilde{1} . \tau \tilde{\varrho}$ is written above the line in the MS.
I $\omega \alpha x \varepsilon ц \mu]$ Gött. has I $\omega \alpha x \iota \mu$.
$\tau \tilde{\varrho} \mu \eta v i ́]$ Gött. has $\varepsilon$ ẻv $\tau \tilde{\varrho} \mu \eta v i ́$.
$\mathfrak{\varepsilon} \xi \varepsilon \varkappa \kappa \lambda \eta \sigma i \alpha \sigma \alpha v]$ Gött. has $\mathfrak{\varepsilon} \xi \varepsilon \varepsilon \lambda \lambda \sigma^{\prime} \alpha \sigma \alpha v$.
 ơ'ฉov ... тoṽ $\lambda \alpha 0$ ṽ.

л@ơv́ós] Cf. 1:15.
$\tau \tilde{\eta} \varsigma$ 犭aıvñऽ] refers to $\tau \dot{\lambda} \lambda \eta s$. To avoid misunderstanding, "gate" has been added in the translation, and the word order has been preserved.
 $\alpha \ddot{\alpha} \pi \alpha v \tau \alpha \varsigma]$ Gött. has $\pi \alpha ́ v \tau \alpha \varsigma$.
12. oixiov] Gött. has oĩxov. Only Vaticanus has oixiov.

Eגعוбоца] Gött. has E $\lambda \iota \sigma \alpha \mu \alpha$.
 tos.

ảvoүєıvต́oxovtos Ba@ovх] is a rendering of בקרא ברוך. For a discussion of the genitive absolute in the Septuagint, see Soisalon-Soininen 1987, 175-180.

 Greek. Thus the equally redundant "in it" in the present translation. For redundant pronouns in relative clauses, see 2:6.

A stroke above the line over xaí in $x \alpha i$ è $\lambda \alpha \beta \varepsilon v$ in the MS indicates a
 of the line, there is no space within the text which could confirm the new paragraph.
16. xai $\left.\varepsilon \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \varepsilon \eta_{\eta}^{\prime} \vartheta \eta\right]$ For this Hebraistic construction, cf. 1:3.
$\dot{\alpha} v a \gamma \gamma \varepsilon \dot{\lambda} \lambda \lambda \frac{1}{}$, הגיד נגיד i.e infinitive absolute and finite verb of the same root. The figura etymologica of the Hebrew text has been preserved in the Greek translation. The figura etymologica has also been preserved in the translation "Reporting let us report", since the construction of the present participle with the finite verb is as strange to Greek as it is to English. For a discussion of the Greek present participle as a rendering of the Hebrew infinitive absolute, see 3:1.
$\alpha \ddot{\alpha} \pi \alpha v \tau \alpha \varsigma]$ Gött. has $\pi \alpha ́ v \tau \alpha \varsigma$.
17. лои̃] Gött. has лóvॄとv. Only Vaticanus has лoũ, Sinaiticus has лoũ лóvev, which is only found in Sinaiticus. All other MSS have Jóvev.
19. $\alpha ้ v \vartheta \varrho \omega \pi о \varsigma \mu \grave{\eta} \gamma \nu \dot{\prime} \tau \omega]$ is a very literal rendering of איש אל-ידע. Thus the literal rendering "Let not a man know" in the present translation. For the Hebraistic expression $\alpha \not v \vartheta \varrho \omega \omega \pi \sigma \varsigma \mu \eta$ instead of $\mu \eta \delta \varepsilon i ́ s$, see BDR, $\$ 302$, CS, $\S 88$, and Tabachovitz 1956, 87-91.
20. $๕ ٌ \delta \omega \varkappa \alpha v ~ \varphi v \lambda \alpha ́ \sigma \sigma \varepsilon \iota v]$ appears to be a rendering of הפקדו. Apparently the translator rendered the causative action of the Hifil by $\varepsilon \frac{\varepsilon}{\varepsilon} \delta \omega x \alpha v$.

E $\lambda \varepsilon \iota \sigma \alpha]$ Gött. has E $\lambda \iota \sigma \alpha \mu \alpha$.
21. Iov Iovסıv.
23. xai $\varepsilon \in \gamma \varepsilon v \eta \vartheta \vartheta \eta$ ] For this Hebraistic construction, cf. 1:3.
 sion of the genitive absolute in the Septuagint, see Soisalon-Soininen 1987, 175-180. For the rendering "when Ioudein had read", cf. Smyth 1956, $\S \$ 1850,1888$, and Wallace 1995, 517-518.

Iov
 $\pi \tau \varepsilon v$, which is not filled in, and $v$ is written above the line after $\varepsilon$ éoct$\pi \tau \varepsilon \nu$.
 second example of this passage is, of course, a dittography in Vaticanus. The dittography is marked and not filled in by the scribe who filled in the MS.
24. $\mathfrak{\varepsilon} \zeta \eta \dot{\eta} \tau \eta \sigma \alpha v]$ Gött. has $\hat{\varepsilon} \xi \varepsilon \dot{\varepsilon} \sigma \tau \eta \sigma \alpha v$, which is a reading only found in the Bibbia Poliglotta. $\begin{gathered} \\ \eta \\ \eta \\ \eta\end{gathered}$ without an object. Several MSS have added tòv xúgıov.
25. Гобо入ías] Gött. has Гобо入ías rai Г $\alpha \mu \alpha \varrho i ́ \alpha s . ~$
tò $x \alpha \tau \alpha x \alpha \tilde{v} \sigma \alpha \iota]$ Gött. has tò $\mu \grave{\eta} x \alpha \tau \alpha x \alpha \tilde{v} \sigma \alpha \iota$. Cf. McKane 1996, 908.
26. $\Sigma \alpha \varrho \varepsilon ́ \alpha \underset{\alpha}{ }]$ Gött has $\Sigma \alpha \varrho \alpha i \underline{q}$.
28. $\lambda \alpha ́ \beta \varepsilon \sigma u ́]$ Gött. has $\lambda \alpha ́ \beta \varepsilon$.
ov́ऽ] The antecedent of the relative pronoun is, of course, noù ऽ $\lambda$ ó $\gamma 0 \cup \varsigma$. Thus "the words" is added in the translation. Several MSS have oṽ. Cf. vv. 27 and 32.

I $\omega \alpha x \varepsilon \mu]$ Gött. has I $\omega \alpha x \mu$.
 infinitive absolute and finite verb of the same root. The figura etymologica of the Hebrew text has been preserved in the Greek translation. The figura etymologica has also been preserved in the translation "Entering ... will enter", since the construction of the present participle with the finite verb is as strange to Greek as it is to English. For a discussion of the Greek present participle as a rendering of the Hebrew infinitive absolute, see 3:1.
ó $\beta \alpha \sigma 1 \lambda \varepsilon u ́ \varsigma] ~ G o ̈ t t . ~ h a s ~ \beta \alpha \sigma i \lambda \varepsilon u ́ \varsigma . ~$
 غ$\varrho \varrho \mu \mu \varepsilon ́ v o v . ~ \varrho ~ i s ~ w r i t t e n ~ a b o v e ~ t h e ~ l i n e ~ o v e r ~ \varepsilon ُ \varrho \mu \mu \varepsilon ́ v o v ~ i n ~ t h e ~ M S . ~$
31. غ่̇ ${ }^{\prime}$ aủtóv] Gött. has $\varepsilon$ ह̉л’ aủtov́s.
$\gamma \eta \sim$ Iov $\alpha \alpha$ ] Cf. 42:13.
32. $\left.{ }^{\circ} \pi \alpha v \tau \alpha \varsigma\right]$ Gött. has $\pi \alpha ́ v \tau \alpha \varsigma$.
 Thus "the words" is added in the translation. Several MSS have oũ. Cf. vv. 27 and 28.
$\mathrm{I} \omega \alpha \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \mu]$ Gött. has $\mathrm{I} \omega \alpha \varkappa \mu$.
$\left.\pi \lambda \varepsilon \varepsilon^{\prime} v \varepsilon \varsigma\right]$ is taken as a comparative form with comparative force, since nothing in the context seems to suggest otherwise. Cf. 39:14.

44:1. I $\omega \sigma \varepsilon i \alpha$... $\mathrm{I} \omega \alpha \varkappa \varepsilon \mu]$ Gött. has I $\omega \sigma$ ' $\alpha$... $\mathrm{I} \omega \alpha \varkappa \mu$. For the genitive ending - $\alpha$ in I $\omega \sigma \varepsilon i ́ \alpha$, see 1:3.

غ́ß $\beta \sigma$ íג $\varepsilon v \sigma \varepsilon v$... $\beta \alpha \sigma i \lambda \varepsilon u ́ \varepsilon v v]$ MT has המליך ... מלך־בבל. Apparently the translator of Jeremiah took מלך מלך מלֶךך מלךְ while MT takes it as For a discussion of MT, see McKane 1996, 923. Anyhow, the figura etymologica has no equivalent in MT, but is preserved in the present translation by "made king to be king".


$\dot{\varepsilon} v \chi \varepsilon \varrho(\bar{i}]$ is a literal rendering of . For the Hebraizing use of $\dot{\varepsilon} v \chi \varepsilon \varrho \varrho($ instead of a preposition, see BDR, $\$ 217.2 \mathrm{c}$.
3. tòv Soqoviav viòv Maooaiov tòv íq@éa] The word order has been changed in the translation into "Sophonias, the priest, son of Massaias", to preserve the meaning of the Greek text.
 struction and the rendering "indeed", see 5:21.
4. xai oủx है $\delta \omega x \alpha v$ ] is a literal rendering of ולא־־תנו, which is usually rendered by the pluperfect "they had not put". Theodoret comments on

 in a prison, but without fear he spent his life in the city." Apparently, Theodoret interpreted $\varepsilon \ell \delta \omega \varkappa \alpha v$ the same way as נתנו is usually interpreted. Thus the rendering "they had not delivered" in the present translation.
5. A stroke above the line in the MS indicates a new paragraph. Since v. 5 begins at the beginning of the line, there is no space within the text which could confirm the new paragraph. However, the preceding line is shorter than the other lines, indicating a new paragraph. Thus the new paragraph in the text.
 figura etymologica of the Hebrew text has been preserved in the Greek translation, and in the present English translation as well by "heard ... hearsay".

غ̇лі Iと@ovo $\lambda \eta \mu$ ] Gött. has $\alpha$ ब̉лò Ie@ovo $\alpha \lambda \eta \mu$. Cf. v. 11.
6. A stroke above the line in the MS indicates a new paragraph. Since v. 6 begins at the beginning of the line, there is no space within the text which could confirm the new paragraph.
 with $\beta$ o $\mathfrak{\vartheta \varepsilon} \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \alpha \mathrm{v}$, since this is the most natural way to take the Greek text, though this was most likely not the intention of the translator of Jeremiah. Thus the rendering "to help you".
 several MSS. It appears to be an addition by the translator of Jeremiah. $\pi v \varrho i]$ Gött. has $\varepsilon$ ẻv $\pi v \varrho i ́$.
 infinitive absolute and finite verb of the same root. The figura etymologica of the Hebrew text has not been preserved in the Greek translation. वंлo-
 "Departing ... will go away". For a discussion of the Greek present par-
ticiple as a rendering of the Hebrew infinitive absolute, see 3:1. According to Thackeray 1909, 287, $\dot{\alpha} \pi о \tau \varrho \varepsilon ́ \chi \omega$ replaces $\ddot{\alpha} \pi \varepsilon \mu \mathrm{l}$. Thus the rendering "departing" in the present translation.
10. xaì $\mathcal{\varepsilon} \alpha \dot{\alpha} v$ ] is taken in a concessive sense. For concessive clauses, see $14: 12$, and BDR, $\$ 374$.
$\pi \tilde{\alpha} \sigma \alpha v \delta \dot{v} \alpha \mu \iota v]$ is a literal rendering of כל־חיל. Many MSS have $\pi \tilde{\alpha} \sigma \alpha v$ т $\eta v$ 向vauıv, which is closer to the meaning of MT, viz., "the whole force". $\pi \tilde{\alpha} \sigma \alpha v \delta u ́ v \alpha \mu \nu v$, on the other hand, means "every force". Cf. Smyth 1956, §1174.c, and Wallace 1995, 253. Cf. 28:3; 46:1; 52:4 where the translator has added the definite article. See also Ziegler 1958, 144, and CS, $\$ 63$.
11. xaì $̇ \gamma \varepsilon$ '́veto] For this Hebraistic construction, cf. 1:3.

ஷ่лò л@обஸ́лоv] Cf. 1:8.
12. Bevtauciv] Gött. has Bevıauiv.
 the passage: Thdt. Jer. 81.685 גv̉tòs $\mu \varepsilon ̀ v ~ \pi \varrho o ̀ s ~ \tau o u ̀ s ~ o i x \varepsilon i ́ o v s ~ \alpha v i \tau o u ̃ ~$
 relatives, because he wanted to buy bread." For $\alpha \varrho \tau 0 v \varsigma$, which is found



 live, or 'buy' means to buy and to prophesy in the market place, or to take a risk there too, and with the personal dangers buy the salvation of those who are being saved." Apparently, $\dot{\alpha} \gamma o \varrho \alpha ́ \sigma \alpha \iota ~ s h o u l d ~ b e ~ t a k e n ~ i n ~ a ~$ transitive sense, though the object is missing. Thus the literal rendering "to buy from there" in the present translation. For a discussion of the Vorlage of the passage, which appears to make as poor sense as the Greek text, see McKane 1996, 926-928.
$\dot{\varepsilon} v \mu \varepsilon ́ \sigma \omega]$ Cf. 12:16.
13. xai $̇$ है $\varepsilon$ v́veto] For this Hebraistic construction, cf. 1:3.

Bevıluevv] Gött. has Beviauıv.
 For a discussion of the Vorlage of this passage, see McKane 1996, 928. The imperfect is taken in the iterative sense. Thus the rendering "he used to lodge". For the iterative sense of the imperfect, see BDR, $\S 325$.

 accustomed to lodge when he was travelling.""
14. $\varepsilon$ íбท่
15. oixદí $\alpha v \mathrm{I} \omega v \alpha \vartheta \alpha \mu \ldots$ oixcí $\alpha v$ ] Gött. has oixí $\alpha v \mathrm{I} \omega v \alpha \vartheta \uparrow \alpha v \ldots$... oixí $\alpha v$. Cf. v. 20 and 45:26. Only Vaticanus has I $\omega v \alpha \vartheta \alpha \mu$.
$\varphi v \lambda \alpha x \tilde{\eta} \varsigma]$ Gött. has $\tau \tilde{\eta} \varsigma \varphi v \lambda \alpha x \tilde{\eta} \varsigma$.
16. $\chi \varepsilon \varrho \varepsilon \vartheta]$ MT has החניות. For a discussion of the relation of $\chi \varepsilon \varrho \varepsilon \vartheta$ to the Vorlage, see Ziegler 1958, 78, and McKane 1996, 929-930. Chrysostom and Theodoret comment on the word: Chrys. fr. in Jer. 64.1001 tò


 of retention. For thus has the Hebrew 'Phethklō', which is interpreted as 'house of retention'. Instead of 'prison' it has the habit to say 'retention."'
 cistern."
17. $\varepsilon i]$ For $\varepsilon \imath$ introducing direct speech, see BDR, $\$ 440.3$.
ó $\lambda o ́ \gamma o \varsigma]$ Gött. has $\lambda o ́ \gamma \circ \varsigma$. Only Vaticanus has ó $\lambda$ ó $\gamma \mathrm{o}$. The definite article makes poor sense in this context, and it is hard to see how it could have come into the text of Vaticanus. A translation of a text without o could be: "Is there any word from Lord?", which makes better sense, and is also the sense of MT.
18. $\varphi v \lambda \alpha x \tilde{\eta} \varsigma]$ Gött. has $\tau \tilde{\eta} \varsigma \varphi v \lambda \alpha x \tilde{\eta} \varsigma$.
19. $\lambda \varepsilon$ 自 rendering consisting of a present participle of $\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \omega+$ ötı is quite rare ( 23 examples in the Septuagint), and seems mostly to be a free rendering of לאמר, though there are also a few examples of לאמר כי, which are rendered by a present participle of $\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \omega+$ ö ötı, e.g., 1 Kings $1: 13,30$.
20. $\overline{x \varepsilon}]$ is a rendering of אדני. This is the only example where a nomen sacrum in the singular refers to someone else than the Lord. However, it is still abbreviated as a nomen sacrum, hence the rendering "LORD" in the present translation.
$\pi \varepsilon \sigma \varepsilon ́ \tau \omega$ đò $\not ้ \lambda \varepsilon o ́ \varsigma ~ \mu \mathrm{ov}]$ is a rendering of תפל-נא תחנתי. For a discussion of the expression and its rendering, see 43:7.
$\mathrm{I} \omega v \alpha \vartheta \alpha \mu]$ Gött. has I $\omega v \alpha \vartheta \alpha v$. Cf. v. 15 and 45:26. Only Vaticanus has I $\omega \nu \alpha \vartheta \alpha \mu$.
21. oixí $\alpha v$ ] Gött. has $\alpha u ̉ \lambda \eta \dot{v}$, which is a conjecture by Spohn and Orlinsky. MT has חצר, which is usually rendered by $\alpha v ̉ \lambda \eta$, even in this verse. Of the 15 occurrences in MT 14 are rendered by $\alpha \cup ̉ \lambda \eta$. Thus, according to Ziegler 1958, 35, the translator of Jeremiah most likely rendered חצר by $\alpha v ̉ \lambda \eta$ here too. Cf. McKane 1996, 931.
$\varepsilon$ غ́ठíסoo $\alpha v$ ] For the imperfect form, cf. CS, $\S 30$.
 Vorlage and the bread, see McKane 1996, 931.

45:1. $\Sigma \alpha \varphi \alpha v i ́ \alpha s ~ . . . ~ N \alpha \vartheta \alpha v ~ . . . ~ \Omega \alpha \chi \alpha \lambda] ~ G o ̈ t t . ~ h a s ~ \Sigma \alpha \varphi \alpha \tau i ́ \alpha s ~ . . . ~ M \alpha \tau \vartheta \alpha v ~$ $\ldots$.. I $\omega \alpha \chi \alpha \lambda$. Only Vaticanus has $\Omega \alpha \chi \alpha \lambda$. t is written above the line in the MS.

$\lambda \alpha o ́ v]$ Gött. has ő $\chi \lambda \mathrm{ov}$.
2. $\lambda \varepsilon \iota \mu \tilde{\varphi}]$ Gött. has $\lambda ı \mu \tilde{\varphi}$.

3. $\left.\pi \alpha \varrho \alpha \delta \iota \delta o \mu \varepsilon ́ v \eta \pi \alpha \varrho \alpha \delta о \vartheta \eta{ }^{\eta} \sigma \varepsilon \tau \alpha \iota\right]$ is a literal rendering of הנתן תנתן, i.e. infinitive absolute and finite verb of the same root. The figura etymologica of the Hebrew text has been preserved in the Greek translation. The figura etymologica has also been preserved in the translation "Being delivered ... will be delivered", since the construction of the present participle with the finite verb is as strange to Greek as it is to English. For a discussion of the Greek present participle as a rendering of the Hebrew infinitive absolute, see 3:1.
$\sigma v \lambda \lambda \eta \dot{\mu} \psi \varepsilon \tau \alpha \iota]$ The subject is either the king (he) or the force of the king (it). The former is chosen in the present translation.
4. $\dot{\alpha} v \varepsilon \varrho \varepsilon \vartheta \eta \dot{\eta} \tau \omega \delta \dot{\eta}]$ Gött. has $\alpha \dot{\alpha} \alpha \propto \varrho \varepsilon \vartheta \eta \dot{\eta} \tau \omega \delta \dot{\eta}$. For a discussion of the construction and the rendering "indeed", see 5:21.
$\lambda \alpha \lambda \tilde{\omega} v]$ is a rendering of לדבר. Though $\lambda \alpha \lambda \varepsilon \dot{\varepsilon} \omega$ is the standard rendering of דבר, it should also be noted that the present participle here, $\lambda \alpha \lambda \tilde{\omega} v$, does not introduce direct speech as does the present participle of $\lambda \varepsilon \boldsymbol{\varepsilon} \gamma \omega$. Cf. Walser 2001, 83-84, 100-101, and Introduction.
 $\gamma \varepsilon$ I, which is rendered by "speaking for edification". 久@ๆбцолоүعi could be rendered by "uttering oracles".
 rendering of אין המלך יוכל אתכם דבר. Nevertheless, סúvauaı л@ós tıva is Hebraistic. Thus the literal rendering "the king was not able against
 discussion of the relation to MT, see McKane 1996, 949-950.
 $\pi \tau \varepsilon v$, which is not filled in.
xaì $\tilde{\eta} v]$ For a discussion of the Vorlage, see Ziegler 1958, 35, and McKane 1996, 950.
$\beta o \varrho\langle\beta o ́\rangle \varrho \omega]$ Gött. has $\beta$ ooßó@ $\omega$. $\beta$ ó is written above the line. $\beta$ o@o $\omega$, which is the text of Vaticanus, is apparently just a scribal error.
7. xai av̉tòs $\mathfrak{\varepsilon} v$ oixiọ to $\tilde{v} \beta \alpha \sigma i \lambda \varepsilon ́ \omega \varsigma]$ is taken as a parenthesis. Thus the dashes in the translation.
$\varepsilon ँ \delta \omega x \alpha v$ ] is a literal rendering of נתנו. Thus the rendering "had delivered" of $\check{\varepsilon} \delta \omega \alpha \alpha v$. Cf. 44:4.

Bevıouevv] Gött.has Bevıouıv.
8. $x \alpha i$ عĩлcv] is apparently a rendering of לאמר, which is usually rendered by a present participle of $\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \omega$. It is hard to see the reason to render לאמר by $x \alpha i$ हiँл $\varepsilon v$ here. The usual rendering $\lambda \varepsilon \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \omega v$ would have made as good sense here as anywhere else, while xai $\varepsilon i ̃ \pi \varepsilon v$ makes poor sense here. Cf. 37:1.
9. ஷ̉ло̀ люобө́лоv] Cf. 1:8.
$\lambda \varepsilon \mu \circ$ ṽ] Gött. has $\lambda \mu \circ \frac{\tilde{v}}{}$.
 dering "into your hands" in the present translation. For the Hebraizing use of $\varepsilon i \varsigma ~ \tau \grave{\alpha} \varsigma \chi \varepsilon \tau \varrho \alpha \varsigma$ instead of a preposition, see BDR, $\$ 217.2 \mathrm{a}$.

 which is not filled in.
13. $\tau \tilde{\eta} \alpha \cup \cup \lambda \tilde{n}]$ Gött. has $\alpha u ̉ \lambda \tilde{n}$.
14. $\alpha \sigma \varepsilon \lambda \varepsilon เ \sigma \eta \lambda]$ Gött. has $\alpha \sigma \varepsilon \lambda ı \sigma \eta \lambda$. $\alpha \sigma \varepsilon \lambda \varepsilon เ \sigma \eta \lambda$ is a transliteration of הששלישי, which means "the third".
$\mu \grave{\eta} \delta \grave{\eta}$ к@ú $\eta \eta$ ] Gött. has $\mu \eta \dot{\mu \varrho v ́ \psi \eta s . ~ M T ~ h a s ~ א ל ־ ת כ ח ד . ~ \delta \eta ́ ~ i s ~ u s u a l l y ~}$ a rendering of נא, which is mostly placed after the verb. There are also, however, many examples of נא, rendered by $\delta \dot{\eta}$, placed before the verb, even of אל־נא תכחד rendered by $\mu \grave{\eta} \delta \grave{\eta}$ x@ú $\ddagger \eta$ (1 Sam. 3:17; 2 Sam. 14:18). Thus it is very likely that the translator of Jeremiah had נא in his Vorlage. For a discussion of the construction and the rendering "indeed" of $\delta \dot{\eta}$, see 5:21.
$\mu \dot{\eta} \ldots \varrho \tilde{\eta} \mu \alpha]$ is a very literal rendering of אל ... דבר. For a discussion of this Hebraistic construction instead of $\mu \eta \delta \varepsilon \varepsilon v$, see BDR, $\S 302, \mathrm{CS}, \S 88$, and Tabachovitz 1956, 87-91. This Hebraistic construction with $\varrho \tilde{\eta} \mu \alpha$ could also be one reason for the rare rendering $\varrho \preceq \eta \eta \mu \alpha$, instead of $\lambda o ́ \gamma o \varsigma$, of דבר. For a discussion of the rare rendering $\varrho \tilde{\eta} \mu \alpha$, see 1:1.

15. $\vartheta \alpha v \alpha \dot{\tau} \omega \ldots$... $\vartheta \alpha v \alpha \tau \omega ́ \sigma \varepsilon \iota \varsigma]$ is a literal rendering of המת תמית, i.e. infinitive absolute and finite verb of the same root. The figura etymologica of the Hebrew text has been preserved in the Greek translation. The figura etymologica has also been preserved in the translation by "with death you will put ... to death". For a discussion of the translation of this Hebrew construction, see 3:1.
ov̉ $\chi i]$ is a rendering of הלוא. For a discussion of questions introduced by interrogative particles and the renderings of the particles, see 7:19.
16. $\varepsilon i \ldots \varepsilon i]$ in a rendering of ... אם ... אם. The rendering $\varepsilon i$ produces the well-known Hebraism introducing an oath (CS, §101, BDR, §454.5). Thus the rendering "if" in the present translation. Cf. 2:28 and 14:22. Chrysostom comments on the passage: Chrys. fr. in Jer. 64.1004 tov-
 лоvŋ@óv "I.e., the Lord of life himself is witness that I will do you no harm."
 absolute and finite verb of the same root. The figura etymologica of the Hebrew text has been preserved in the Greek translation. The figura etymologica has also been preserved in the translation "having gone out you will go out", since the construction of the aorist participle with the
finite verb is as strange to Greek as it is to English. For a discussion of the Greek aorist participle as a rendering of the Hebrew infinitive absolute, see 3:1.
 machus has $\dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \dot{\omega} \dot{\alpha} \gamma \omega v / \tilde{\omega}$. In the Septuagint, the expression $\lambda$ ó $\gamma o v{ }^{\varepsilon} \chi \chi \omega$ is only found here, in 49:16 (where it is a rendering of דאגים (Aquila has $\mu \varepsilon \varrho \mu \nu \alpha \dot{\alpha} \tau \varepsilon)$ ), and in Tobit $5: 21 ; 6: 16,10: 6$. Here and in Tobit 6:16 it has an object in the genitive case, in 49:16 it has $\dot{\alpha} \pi o ̀ ~ \pi \varrho о \sigma \omega ́ л о v ~ \alpha u ̉-~$ $\tau \tilde{\eta} 5$ as object, and in Tobit 5:21 and 10:6 it is used without an object. There is another example of the verb in Jer. 17:8, which is rendered by $\varphi o \beta \eta \vartheta \eta \dot{\eta} \varepsilon \tau \alpha \mathrm{c}$. According to Chrysostom the text of LXX is obscure:


 тòv, av̉тoi oi $\tau \tilde{\eta} \varsigma ~ \pi o ́ \lambda \varepsilon \omega \varsigma ~ \varphi \vartheta ̛ ́ v o v o ı v ~ \alpha ̉ v \varepsilon \lambda \varepsilon \tilde{v} \mu \varepsilon$ "It is obscure in the Greek text. What he wants to say is this: 'I am king and I cannot go out alone. If I want to go out and give myself to the Chaldeans, they, those of the city, come before and kill me.'" Origen comments on the

 says, that I might become laughed down by the deserters. For that I give thought and concern." The comment dédıa ... $\gamma \dot{\varepsilon} v \omega \mu \alpha \iota$ of Origen is repeated by Theodoret. Olympiodorus comments on the same pas-



 to the Chaldeans promised Sedekias not to allow the enemies to capture him. And he trusted them more than God, who said that he had to follow to Babylon." Apparently, the passage was taken quite differently by the early commentators. The fact that the translator of Jeremiah apparently knew the meaning of the rare verb דאג (rendering it by $\varphi о ß \eta \vartheta \vartheta \eta \dot{\sigma} \sigma \tau \alpha \iota$ at
 indicates that the construction and its meaning (fear for/worry/be concerned) were known at the time of the translation. However, the passage apparently caused the early commentators some trouble and was taken quite differently. Thus the quite literal rendering "I have concerns" in the present translation.
$\delta \omega ́ \sigma \varepsilon เ v]$ Gött. has $\delta \tilde{\omega} \sigma t$.
20. tòv $\lambda o ́ \gamma o v]$ Gött. has $\lambda o ́ \gamma o v$.
 rendered by some verbal construction with an adverb ( $\varepsilon \tilde{v}, \chi \alpha \lambda \tilde{\omega} \varsigma$ or ỏ@খ $\mathfrak{\omega} \varsigma$ ) in the positive degree as, e.g., in Jer. 1:12 and 7:23. Only here and in 47:9 and 49:6 the adverb is in the comparative degree. Given the fact that there is no comparative degree in Hebrew, the use of the comparative degree might be an indication of the ability of the translator of Jeremiah. Cf. 1:2.
22. $\left.\alpha \tilde{\tilde{v}} \tau \alpha_{l}\right]$ The feminine demonstrative pronoun is rendered by "these women" for the sake of clarity.
 dering of ויכלו לך אנשי ששלמך. Thus the literal rendering "and your peaceful men will be able against you". For the Hebraistic construction $\delta u ́ v \alpha \mu \alpha i ́$
 fr. Jer. 93.700 ỏvtì 兀oũ, oi pí $\lambda$ oı $\sigma 0 v^{\prime \prime}$ Instead of 'your friends".'
x $\alpha \tau \alpha \lambda$ ú $\sigma o v \sigma \iota v]$ Gött. has $x \alpha \tau \alpha \delta u ́ \sigma o v \sigma ı v$, which is a conjecture by Bos. Cf. Ziegler 1958, 35.
 instead of a preposition, see BDR, $\$ 217.2 \mathrm{C}$.

24. $\alpha ้ v \vartheta \varrho \omega \pi \sigma \varsigma \mu \eta$ ] $k$ is a literal rendering of איש אל. For $\alpha ้ v \vartheta \varrho \omega \pi \sigma \varsigma \mu \eta$

25. $\tau$ í $̇ \lambda \lambda \alpha ́ \lambda \eta \sigma \varepsilon v \pi \varrho o ̀ \varsigma ~ \sigma \varepsilon ̀ ~ o ́ ~ \beta \alpha \sigma ı \lambda \varepsilon v ́ \varsigma] ~ A c c o r d i n g ~ t o ~ M c K a n e ~ 1996, ~ 961-~$ 962, the passage is most likely a misplaced doublet, which was already in the Vorlage of the translator.

 discussion of the expression and its rendering, see 43:7.
 fluctuation between declensions, see Thackeray 1909, 158 note.
$x \alpha \tau^{\prime}$ ỏ $\left.\varphi \vartheta \alpha \lambda \mu \circ v ́ \varsigma\right]$ Cf. 19:10.
oixcíav I $\omega v \alpha \vartheta \uparrow \alpha \mu$ ] Gött. has oixiov I $\omega v \alpha \vartheta \alpha v$. cf. 44:15, 20. Only Vaticanus has I $\omega v \alpha \vartheta \alpha \mu$.
27. $\alpha$ л $\varepsilon \sigma \varepsilon \iota \omega ́ \pi \eta \sigma \alpha v]$ Gött. has $\dot{\alpha} \pi \varepsilon \sigma \iota \omega ́ \pi \eta \sigma \alpha v . ~$

## 58. Ierousalēm Captured, Ieremias Released, Abdemelech Saved (46:1-3, 14-18)

The following very short section is placed between the second longest section, which is the previous one, and the third longest section, which is the next one. Here Jerusalem is taken by the king of Babylon and all his leaders. Then they release Jeremiah, to whom a word of the Lord comes about Abdemelech: Abdemelech will be saved, because he has trusted in the Lord.

There is not much of special interest from a linguistic perspective in this short section except some of the common literal renderings which have been discussed earlier.

1. xai $̇$ हैर́vero] For this Hebraistic construction, cf. 1:3.
$\left.\tau \tilde{\omega} \mu \eta v^{\prime}\right]$ Gött. has $\mathfrak{\varepsilon} v \tau \tilde{\varphi} \tilde{\varepsilon}$ étcl. For a discussion of the relation to the Hebrew text, see McKane 1996, 972-973.
$\Sigma \varepsilon \delta \varepsilon x i \alpha]$ For the genitive ending - $\alpha$ instead of the common ending -ov, see Thackeray 1909, 161-162. The ending -ov is found in I $\omega \sigma \varepsilon$ ov in 3:6 and in $\Sigma \varepsilon \delta \varepsilon \chi 10 v$ in 26:1, 28:59, 52:1, 10, 11.
 above.
$\pi \tilde{\alpha} \sigma \alpha \dot{\eta}$ $\delta \dot{v} \boldsymbol{v} \alpha \mu \varsigma]$ is a rendering of כל־חיל. For the addition of the article, see 44:10.
2. हैँı] Gött. has ëtcı. $\varepsilon$ is added between $\tau$ and l .
$\Sigma \varepsilon \delta \varepsilon x i \alpha]$ Cf. v. 1 .
ह̇vótŋp] has been rendered by "on the ninth day". For the common ellipsis of $\mathfrak{\eta} \mu \varepsilon ́ \varrho \alpha$, see BDR, $\$ 241.2$, and Smyth 1956, $\S 1027$ b.
3. $\mathfrak{\eta} \gamma \circ$ úucvol] Gött. has $\mathfrak{\eta} \gamma \varepsilon \mu o ́ v \varepsilon ร$. Only Vaticanus has î
 N $\alpha \gamma \propto \varrho \gamma \alpha \sigma v \alpha \sigma \varepsilon \varrho$ P $\alpha \beta \alpha \mu \alpha \vartheta]$ Gött. has N ${ }^{\circ} \gamma \alpha \lambda \alpha \sigma \alpha \varrho \alpha \sigma \propto \varrho, ~ \Sigma \alpha \mu \alpha \gamma \omega \vartheta$,
 $\lambda \alpha \sigma \alpha \varrho \alpha \sigma \alpha \varrho, ~ N \alpha \beta o v \sigma \varrho \varrho \sigma \alpha \chi \alpha \varrho, ~ N \alpha \varrho \gamma \alpha \lambda \sigma \alpha \varrho \alpha \sigma \varepsilon \varrho$ are conjectures by Ziegler. For a discussion of the names and titles, see McKane 1996, 973976.
 દ̉v $\mu \varepsilon ́ \sigma \omega]$ Cf. 12:16.
4. A stroke above the line in the MS indicates a new paragraph. Since v. 15 begins at the beginning of the line, there is no space within the text which could confirm the new paragraph.
5. $\varepsilon i \varsigma ~ \grave{\alpha} \gamma \alpha \vartheta \dot{\alpha}]$ Cf. 14:11.
6. ov̉ $\mu \eta \dot{\eta}^{\delta} \delta \dot{\omega} \omega$ ] For the future tense, see BDR, $\$ 365$.
$\tilde{\omega} v \ldots$. . $\alpha \dot{\sim} \tau \tilde{\omega} v]$ is a literal rendering of אשׁר ... $\tilde{\omega} v$ can be taken as an equivalent of oús, having attracted the genitive case from $\tau \tilde{\omega} v \dot{\alpha} v \vartheta \varrho \omega \prime \pi \omega v$ (cf. Smyth 1956, §2522, Wallace 1995, 338-339, and BDR, $\$ 294$ ), or $\alpha u ̋ \tau \tilde{\omega} v$ can be taken as the usual redundant pronoun in relative clauses in Jeremiah (cf. 2:6). The former seems to be the most natural way to take it (cf. v. $16 \eta \eta v \ldots v i \tau \tilde{\eta} 5$ ), and is thus adopted in the present translation.

ब̉лò л@обஸ́лоv] Cf. 1:8.
18. $\sigma \dot{\omega} \zeta \omega v \sigma \omega \sigma \omega$ ] is a literal rendering of מלט אמלט, i.e. infinitive absolute and finite verb of the same root. The figura etymologica of the Hebrew text has been preserved in the Greek translation. The figura etymologica has also been preserved in the translation "saving I will save", since the construction of the present participle with the finite verb is as strange to Greek as it is to English. For a discussion of the Greek present participle as a rendering of the Hebrew infinitive absolute, see 3:1.

$\varphi \eta \sigma i v \overline{x \zeta}]$ is a rendering of נאם-יהוה. For a discussion of the expression and its rendering, see 2:3.

## 59. Ieremias and Godolias. Godolias Killed.

 The Jews Flee to Egypt. Ieremias in Egypt (47:1-50:13)This is the third longest section of Jeremiah in Vaticanus, and it covers the whole four chapters 47-50. The section starts with a word of the Lord to Jeremiah by the captain (in the Greek the "chief cook") of the Babylonians, who releases Jeremiah and tells him that he can come with him to Babylon, where he will take care of him. If, however, Jeremiah should prefer to stay in Israel, he tells him to go to Godolias, whom the king of Babylon has appointed over the land. And Jeremiah chose to stay in the land with Godolias, to whom all who had been left in the land gathered. Then Godolias swore to the people to take care of them and told them to cultivate the land and to live in it, and the people did
so. Thereupon the leaders of the forces came to Godolias and told him that the king of Ammon would send Ismael to kill him, and one of the leaders offered Godolias to take care of Ismael secretly, but Godolias did not believe them. However, some time later Ismael and ten men with him came and they killed Godolias and all whom they found with him, both Jews and Chaldeans, and they threw them in a well which was made by king Asa. Ismael also killed a group of men who came to bring offerings to the house of the Lord, but did not know that Godolias had been killed. Ten of the men were spared, however, since they told him that they had treasures in the field. But when Iōanan and the leaders of the force heard what Ismael had done, they brought all their army and they fought against Ismael, who fled with eight of his men to the sons of Ammōn. And Iōanan and his men returned all the people whom Ismael had taken with him, and they decided to flee to Egypt, because they feared the Chaldeans, since Godolias had been killed, whom had been appointed over Israel by the Chaldeans. After that, Iōanan and the leaders came to Jeremiah to ask him to pray for the people and to ask the Lord about the way they should go. Jeremiah promised to pray to the Lord and to tell them whatever word he would receive from the Lord. To that, the people answered that they would do whatever the Lord would tell them to do, either good or bad. Ten days later a word from the Lord came to Jeremiah saying: if you stay in this land, I will be merciful to you and it will be good for you, and you do not have to fear the king of Babylon. If, on the other hand, you do not listen to me and go down to Egypt to avoid war and famine, you will die by war and famine in Egypt, for my anger will come upon those who go down to Egypt just as it has come upon the inhabitants of Jerusalem. Furthermore, those who go down to Egypt will never come back to Israel again. And Jeremiah concluded that the people would not listen to the word of the Lord, and thus they would die by war and famine. But the people did not believe Jeremiah. Instead they accused Barouch of trying to mislead the people that they might be killed or exiled to Babylon. Therefore, Iōanan and the leaders took the people and went down to Egypt, and settled in Taphnas. Then another word of the Lord came to Jeremiah saying that he should take large stones before the people and hide them in the entrance of the house of Pharao. After that, he should declare to the people that the King of Babylon would set his throne upon these hidden stones, and he would strike the land of Egypt, and kill some of the people, and exile some of the people. Finally, he would also burn down the houses of the gods of Egypt, and destroy Heliopolis.

Just as in the previous very long section, no. 57, this long section contains an abundance of the common literal renderings which are found throughout the book of Jeremiah, but there are also features which show the skill of the translator, such as attraction of the relative. Further, there are a number of peculiar linguistic features, which will be mentioned here. First the rendering $\dot{\alpha} \varrho \not \mu \mu \dot{\alpha} \gamma \varepsilon \downharpoonright \varrho o s$, which apparently is some kind of military leader, and which appears to have been quite odd for the ancient reader as well. In 47:14, 15 the literal rendering of the Vorlage produces a word order which is very rare in the Septuagint, but which is perfectly normal in Greek outside the Septuagint and texts related to the Septuagint. In 48:7 the odd Greek text is due not only to a very literal rendering, but also to an odd Hebrew original. The same seems to be true in 49:17 too, where both the Hebrew and the Greek text make poor sense.
 of oủdév are two variants of the same very literal rendering of a not very uncommon Hebrew expression. In 49:14, $\pi \varepsilon v v \alpha \omega$ takes the object with $\dot{\varepsilon} v$ and the dative, which is very rare, and most likely due to a very literal rendering. The same is true about лоvŋŋ\&v́ $\omega$ with $\dot{\varepsilon} v$ in 49:20. In the same verse, on the other hand, there is a free rendering, which again might be an indication of the skill of the translator. Then again, in the following verse, there is a very literal rendering producing an odd Greek text, which is repeated in 50:1. In 50:1 there is also a very rare rendering of דבר with the verb $\lambda \varepsilon ́ \gamma \omega$, which usually is the rendering of אמר, and also an odd word order due to a literal rendering of the Vorlage.

$\dot{\alpha} \varrho \chi \varepsilon \mu \dot{\alpha} \gamma \varepsilon \varrho \circ \vee]$ is a literal rendering of רב־טבחים. There are 22 examples of $\dot{\alpha} \varrho \chi \mu \dot{\alpha} \gamma \varepsilon \varrho \varrho \varsigma$ in the Septuagint. 16 of these are renderings of
 sis, $\varrho \varrho \chi \mu \alpha ́ \gamma \varepsilon \varrho о \varsigma ~ i s ~ a ~ r e n d e r i n g ~ o f ~ ש ׂ ר ~ ה ט ב ח י ם . ~ T h e ~ l i t e r a l ~ r e n d e r i n g ~ o f ~$ $\dot{\alpha} \varrho \chi \mu \alpha ́ \gamma \varepsilon \varrho o s ~ i s ~ " c h i e f ~ c o o k ", ~ w h i c h ~ i s ~ a d o p t e d ~ i n ~ t h e ~ p r e s e n t ~ t r a n s l a-~$ tion, though it is clear that $\dot{\alpha} \varrho \chi \mu \alpha \dot{\gamma \varepsilon} \varrho \circ$ os is some kind of military chief. Olympiodorus comments on N $\alpha \beta$ ov $\zeta \alpha \varrho \delta \alpha v$ : Olymp. fr. Ser. 93.700 d̉@xL-
 $\dot{\omega} \varsigma$ ह̉лi $\tau \tilde{\omega} v$ 甲óv $\omega v$ "He was chief captain, without whom no one was put to death. Therefore, he was called 'chief cook' as over the slaughters." The comment by Olympiodorus appears to show that the expression was not self-evident for the commentator either.
$\varepsilon$ है $\mu \varepsilon ́ \sigma \omega]_{]}$Cf. 12:16.
$\dot{\alpha}$ лоххіаऽ] Cf. 35:4.
2. $\alpha \varrho \chi \varepsilon \mu \alpha ́ \gamma \varepsilon \iota \varrho о \varsigma] ~ G o ̈ t t . ~ h a s ~ \alpha ̉ \varrho \chi \mu \alpha ́ \gamma \varepsilon \iota \varrho о \varsigma . ~$
$\dot{\varepsilon} \lambda \alpha \dot{\alpha} \lambda \eta \sigma \varepsilon v]$ Gött. has $\dot{\varepsilon} \chi \varrho \eta \mu \alpha ́ \tau \iota \sigma \varepsilon$. Cf. 32:16 and 33:2.
3. $\alpha$ ủ่oũ $\tau \tilde{\eta} \varsigma \varphi \omega v \tilde{\eta} \varsigma]$ Gött. has $\tau \tilde{\eta} \varsigma \varphi \omega v \tilde{\eta} \varsigma \alpha \cup ̉ \tau o \tilde{v}$.
4. $\dot{\varepsilon} v a v \tau i o v ~ \sigma o v] ~ i s ~ a ~ r e n d e r i n g ~ o f ~ ב ע י נ י ך . ~ F o r ~ a ~ d i s c u s s i o n ~ o f ~ e ́ v a v t i o v, ~$ see 7:30. Cf. v. 5, where בעיניך is rendered by $\mathfrak{\varepsilon} v$ ỏ ỏখ
xai $\vartheta \eta ่ \sigma \omega$ тoùऽ ỏ $\varphi \vartheta \alpha \lambda \mu o v ́ \varsigma] ~ G o ̈ t t . ~ h a s ~ \tilde{\eta} \varkappa \varepsilon ~ x \alpha i ̀ ~ \vartheta \eta ŋ \sigma \omega ~ o ̉ \varphi \vartheta \alpha \lambda \mu о v ́ \varsigma . ~$ Without $\tilde{\eta} \nsim \varepsilon$ the apodosis is introduced by $x \alpha i$, which is odd, if $x \alpha i$ is not taken as an adverb. Hence $x \alpha i$ is rendered by "also" in the present translation. For apodosis introduced by $\varkappa \alpha i$, see 7:7.

tòv Гoठo $\lambda i ́ \alpha v]$ Gött. has Гoठo $\lambda i ́ \alpha v$.
 $\dot{\alpha} \varrho \chi \mu \dot{\alpha} \gamma \varepsilon \iota \varrho о \varsigma . \varepsilon$ is added between $\gamma$ and ı in $\dot{\alpha} \varrho \chi \varepsilon \iota \mu \dot{\alpha} \gamma \varrho \varrho \varsigma$.
$\dot{\varepsilon} v \mu \varepsilon ́ \sigma \omega]$ Cf. 12:16.
$\ddot{\alpha} \pi \alpha v \tau \alpha \tau \dot{\alpha} \dot{\alpha} \gamma \alpha \vartheta \dot{\alpha}]$ Olympiodorus comments on the passage: Olymp.
 pleasant to you, do that."
6. $\varepsilon i \varsigma \mathrm{M} \alpha \sigma \sigma \eta \varphi \alpha]$ Cf. vv. 10 and 15.
$\varepsilon ̌ v \mu \varepsilon ́ \sigma \omega]$ Cf. 12:16.
 in the MS.
 ment, see Thackeray 1909, 208.
8. عiऽ M $\alpha \sigma \sigma \eta \varphi \alpha]$ Cf. vv. 10 and 15.


 $\pi \alpha i \delta \omega v]$ Gött. has $\tau \tilde{\omega} v \pi \alpha i \delta \omega v$.
 above the line in the MS. Cf. 22:13.
 the construction, see 45:20.
10. عis M $\alpha \sigma \sigma \eta \varphi \alpha]$ is rendered by "in Massēpha" in the present translation. For the confusion of $\varepsilon i \varsigma$ with $\varepsilon$ v, see BDR, $\$ 205,218$. Cf. v. 15. $\dot{v} \mu \tilde{\alpha} \varsigma]$ Gött. has $\mathfrak{\eta} \mu \tilde{\alpha} \varsigma$, which is a conjecture by Rudolph. All MSS and versions have $\dot{\mu} \mu \tilde{\alpha} \varsigma$. Cf. McKane 1996, 1002.

ह̈̀ $\lambda \varepsilon \frac{1}{}$ ] Gött. has ह̈̀ $\alpha \iota o v . ~ \alpha \iota ~ i s ~ w r i t t e n ~ a b o v e ~ t h e ~ l i n e ~ i n ~ t h e ~ M S . ~$
$\tau \alpha i ̃ \varsigma ~ л о ́ \lambda \varepsilon \sigma \sigma v ~ \alpha i ́ s ~ \varkappa \alpha \tau \varepsilon \varkappa \varrho \alpha \tau \eta ं \sigma \alpha \tau \varepsilon]$ For $\varkappa \alpha \tau \alpha \varkappa \varrho \alpha \tau \varepsilon ́ \omega$ with accusative, see Helbing 1928, 122. For the attraction of the relative, see BDR, $\$ 294$, Wallace 1995, 338-339, Smyth 1956, $\$ 2522$, and Sollamo 1992, 45.
 $\lambda \varepsilon \mu \mu \alpha$... А $\chi$ เж $\mu . \varepsilon$ is written above $\chi \alpha \tau \dot{\alpha} \lambda \mu \mu \mu \alpha$ in the MS.
12. xai $\varepsilon$ ë $\lambda \varepsilon o v]$ Not in Gött. $\alpha \mathrm{L}$ is written above the line in the MS.
13. tòv Гodo入íav] Gött. has Гoठo $\lambda i \alpha v$.

عis Maббך $\varphi \alpha$ ] For the rendering "in Massēpha", see v. 10.
14. $\varepsilon i]$ For direct questions introduced by $\varepsilon i$, see BDR, $\$ 440.3$, and CS, $\$ 100$.
 lute and finite verb of the same root. The figura etymologica of the Hebrew text has been preserved in the Greek translation. The figura etymologica has also been preserved in the translation "Do you know by knowledge". For a discussion of the translation of this Hebrew construction, see 3:1.

Be $\lambda \varepsilon เ \sigma \alpha]$ Gött. has Be $\varepsilon \lambda \_\alpha 5$, which is a conjecture by Ziegler.
$\pi \alpha \tau \alpha ́ \xi \alpha \iota ~ \sigma o v ~ \psi v \chi \eta \dot{\eta}]$ appears to be a rendering of להכתך נפטש. The word order with the genitive oov before its main word is unusual in the Septuagint and very rare in Jeremiah (cf. Wifstrand 1949/50). The reason for the unusual Greek word order appears to be that the pronominal suffix 7, being the object of the verb הכת (cf. v. 15), is rendered by oov, which is not the object of $\pi \alpha \tau \alpha \xi \alpha u$, but an attribute of $\psi v \chi \eta(v$. Nevertheless, the word order of the Hebrew Vorlage is preserved in the Greek translation, thus resulting in the very rare word order. It should be noticed, however, that this word order is a perfectly normal word order for Greek outside the Septuagint and texts related to the Septuagint. Cf. v. 3.

$\dot{\varepsilon} v$ M $\alpha \sigma \sigma \eta \varphi \alpha]$ Gött. has $\varepsilon i \varsigma ~ M \alpha \sigma \sigma \eta \varphi \alpha$. Cf. v. 10.
лоюєv́бoual $\delta \dot{\eta}]$ For a discussion of the construction and the rendering "indeed", see 5:21.
$\pi \alpha \tau \alpha \dot{\eta} \eta$ oov $\psi v \chi \eta \dot{\eta} v]$ appears to be a rendering of יככה נפשׁ. For the construction and the rare Greek word order, see v. 14.
 in $x \alpha \tau \alpha \dot{\lambda}$ oıл $\alpha$ in the MS. $x \alpha \tau \alpha \dot{\lambda}$ oıл $\alpha$ seems to be found only in Vaticanus, and is most likely a scribal error. It is not noted in Gött.
 cf. Introduction. For the lack of preposition before the temporal qualifier, cf. Johannessohn 1925, 165-166.

E $\lambda \alpha \sigma \alpha]$ Gött. has $\mathrm{E} \lambda \varepsilon \alpha \sigma \alpha$.
عiऽ M $\alpha \sigma \sigma \eta \varphi \alpha]$ Perhaps $\varepsilon i \varsigma$ should be taken as "in". Cf. 47:10, 13, 15.
2. oí $\left.\tilde{\eta} \sigma \alpha v \mu \varepsilon \tau^{\prime} \alpha v ̉ \tau o \tilde{v}\right]$ Gött. has oi $\mu \varepsilon \tau^{\prime} \alpha v ̉ \tau o v \tilde{\text {. }}$

4. The space between $\dot{\varepsilon} x \varepsilon \tilde{\varepsilon}$ and $x \alpha \dot{\prime}$, indicating a new paragraph, is quite small, but there is a stroke in the margin confirming the new paragraph.

$\pi \alpha \tau \alpha \dot{\alpha} \xi \alpha v \tau o \varsigma \alpha v ่ \tau o \tilde{u}]$ MT has להמית, while the Greek text seems to be a rendering of a different Hebrew text than MT. For a discussion of the genitive absolute in the Septuagint, see Soisalon-Soininen 1987, 175180.

वैvध@ instead of ovं $\delta$ cí $\varsigma$, cf. BDR, $\$ 302, \mathrm{CS}, \S 88$, and Tabachovitz 1956, 87-91.
5. $\Sigma \alpha \mu \alpha$ oías] Gött. has $\Sigma \alpha \mu \alpha \varrho \varepsilon i \alpha \varsigma . \varepsilon$ is written above the line in the MS. $\mu \alpha v v \alpha]$ Gött. has $\mu \alpha v \alpha \alpha$. Cf. 17:26.
$\dot{\varepsilon} v \chi \varepsilon \varrho \sigma i v]$ is a literal rendering of ביד. For the Hebraizing use of $\dot{\varepsilon} v$ $\chi \varepsilon \iota(i)$ instead of a preposition, see $\mathrm{BDR}, \S 217.2 \mathrm{c}$.


عiocえ $\dagger \vartheta$ óv $\tau \omega v \alpha v i \tau \tilde{\omega} v]$ is a rendering of כבואם. For a discussion of the genitive absolute in the Septuagint, see Soisalon-Soininen 1987, 175180.
đò $\mu \varepsilon ́ \sigma o v]$ Gött. has $\mu \varepsilon ́ \sigma o v$.
 there is already a harsh ellipsis in the Hebrew text, וישׁחטם ... אל ... הבור.
 غ̀v $\mu \varepsilon ́ \sigma \omega]$ Cf. 12:16.
 in Greek. Thus the equally redundant "there" in the present translation. For a discussion of redundant $\bar{\varepsilon} \not \varepsilon \varepsilon \tilde{1}$, see $2: 6$ and 7:12.
 ג̉ло̀ лৎобஸ́лоv] Cf. 1:8.
10. $\varepsilon$ is $\mathrm{M} \alpha \sigma \sigma \eta \varphi \alpha$ ] is rendered by "in Massēpha". Cf. vv. 1, 3, 47:10, 13, 15.
 added between $\gamma$ and ı in $\alpha \varrho \chi \varepsilon \mu \alpha ́ \gamma \downharpoonright \varrho о \varsigma$.
$\stackrel{\omega}{\psi} \chi \varepsilon \tau \mathrm{c}]$ The imperfect is taken in a perfect sense. Thus the rendering "had gone", in the present translation. For the special usages of the imperfect of oíxoual with a perfect sense, see LSJ, 1211.

13-14. xai $\overline{\varepsilon \beta} \gamma \varepsilon ́ v \varepsilon \tau \% ~ . . . ~ \varkappa \alpha i ~ \alpha ̉ v \varepsilon ́ \sigma \tau \varrho \varepsilon \psi \alpha v] ~ F o r ~ t h i s ~ H e b r a i s t i c ~ c o n s t r u c t i o n, ~$ cf. 1:3.

عĩ $\delta \varepsilon v]$ Gött. has $\varepsilon$ ह̃ठov.
 "had gone", in the present translation. For the special usages of the imperfect of oízou人ı with a perfect sense, see LSJ, 1211.
16. $\langle o \ddot{v} \varsigma\rangle$ ] is written above the line.
17. $\Gamma \alpha \beta \eta \varrho \omega \chi \alpha \mu \alpha \alpha]$ Gött. has $\Gamma \alpha \beta \eta \varrho \omega v$ X $\alpha \mu \alpha \alpha \mu$, which is a conjecture by Rahlfs. Cf. Ziegler 1958, 83 and McKane 1996, 1022.
18. व̉лò л@обஸ́лоv] Cf. 1:8.

49:1. A stroke above the line in the MS indicates a new paragraph, but there is no space within the text between $\gamma \tilde{\eta}$ and $x \alpha i$ which could confirm the new paragraph. Thus there is no new paragraph in the present edition of the Greek text.

ג̉лò $\mu \varkappa \varrho о v ̃ ~ \varkappa \alpha i ̀ ~ \varepsilon ̈ \omega \varsigma ~ \mu \varepsilon \gamma \alpha ́ \lambda o v] ~ i s ~ a ~ l i t e r a l ~ r e n d e r i n g ~ o f ~ מ ק ט ן ~ ו ע ד ־ ג ד ו ל . ~$ For a discussion of the Greek positive forms being rendered into English positive forms in the present translation, see 6:13.

2．$\pi \varepsilon \sigma \varepsilon ́ \tau \omega ~ \delta \grave{\eta}$ 七ò $\varepsilon$ है $\lambda \varepsilon \sigma \varsigma ~ \check{\eta} \mu \tilde{\omega} v$ ］is a rendering of תפל－נא תחנתנו．For a discussion of the expression and its rendering，see 43：7．For a dis－ cussion of the construction with $\delta \eta$ and the rendering＂indeed＂，see 5：21．
đòv খとóv］Gött．has খとóv．

3．$\left.\tilde{\eta}_{1} \ldots \dot{\varepsilon} v \alpha v ่ \tau \tilde{n}\right]$ is a very literal rendering of בשׁר ．．． redundant in Greek．Thus the equally redundant＂in it＂．For a discussion of redundant pronouns in relative clauses，see 2：6．

4．There is no stroke above the line indicating a new paragraph，but the space between лоı $\quad \sigma o \mu \varepsilon v$ and $x \alpha i$ ，though quite small，is very dis－ tinct．
 $\dot{v} \mu \tilde{\omega} v . \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \omega \dot{\omega}$ is only missing in Vaticanus，and for $\dot{v} \mu \tilde{\omega} v$ Ziegler is following the Ethiopian translation．
 phrase discussed at $3: 16$ ，and ó $\lambda o ́ \gamma o s$ as a nominativus pendens being the logic object of $\alpha \dot{\alpha} \alpha \gamma \gamma \varepsilon \lambda \tilde{\omega}$ ．In the present translation $\delta \dot{\delta} \lambda^{\circ} \gamma o s$ is taken as the subject of $\begin{gathered}\prime \\ \sigma \\ \\ \text { al．Cf．v．} 16 . ~\end{gathered}$
ôv $\alpha ้ v \dot{\alpha} \pi \sigma \kappa \varrho \vartheta \vartheta \eta \sigma \varepsilon \tau \alpha \iota]$ For the future tense with ${ }_{\alpha} v$ in relative clauses， cf．BDR，$\$ 380.3$ ．
ov̉ $\mu \grave{\eta} \ldots \varrho \tilde{\varrho} \tilde{\eta} \mu \alpha]$ is a very literal rendering of דבר ．．．לא ．For a discussion of this Hebraistic construction instead of oviסév，see BDR，$\S 302, \mathrm{CS}, \S 88$ ， and Tabachovitz 1956，87－91．This Hebraistic construction with $\varrho \tilde{\eta} \mu \alpha$ could also be one reason for the rare rendering $\varrho \tilde{\eta} \mu \alpha$ ，instead of $\lambda o ́ \gamma \circ \varsigma$ ， of דבר．For a discussion of the rare rendering $\varrho \tilde{\eta} \mu \alpha$ ，see 1：1．



 be taken as a relative adverb，which makes $\pi \varrho o ̀ s ~ \alpha u ̉ \tau o ́ v ~ r e d u n d a n t . ~ T h u s ~$ the equally redundant＂to him＂in the present translation．For redundant pronouns after relatives，see $2: 6$ and 7：12．Cf．，however，v． 11 for an alternative interpretation of o $\tilde{\tilde{v}}$ ．
$\beta \varepsilon ́ \lambda \tau \iota o v ~ \eta ์ \mu i ̃ v ~ \gamma \varepsilon ́ v \eta \tau \alpha \iota]$ is a rendering of ייטב־לנו．For a discussion of the construction，see 45：20．
7. A stroke above the line in the MS indicates a new paragraph. Since v. 7 begins at the beginning of the line, there is no space within the text which could confirm the new paragraph.
xai $\varepsilon ่ \gamma \varepsilon v \eta \ni \vartheta \eta ~ \mu \varepsilon \tau \alpha \dot{\alpha} \delta \varepsilon ́ x \alpha ~ \eta ์ \mu \varepsilon ́ \varrho \alpha \varsigma]$ For this Hebraistic construction, cf. Introduction. For the rendering $\mu \varepsilon \tau \dot{\alpha}$ ठ $\varepsilon$ ќ $\alpha \dot{\eta} \mu \varepsilon ́ \varrho \alpha s$ and its Vorlage, cf. Johannesohn 1925, 167-168.
8. I $w \alpha v \alpha v$ ] Gött. has tòv I $\omega \alpha v \alpha v$.
 For a discussion of the Greek positive forms, being rendered into English positive forms in the present translation, see 6:13.
 ently read ישוב תששבו. For a discussion of the Hebrew text, see McKane 1996, 1032. The figura etymologica of the Hebrew text has been preserved in the Greek translation. The figura etymologica has also been preserved in the present translation by "having sat down you sit", since the construction of the aorist participle with the finite verb is as strange to Greek as it is to English. For a discussion of the Greek aorist participle as a rendering of the Hebrew infinitive absolute, see 3:1.
$\dot{\varepsilon} x \tau \varepsilon i \lambda \lambda]$ Gött. has $\varepsilon$ èx $i ́ \lambda \omega$.

 ỏg $\gamma \dot{\eta}$ "Instead of, my fury against you has been satisfied."

ж $\alpha$ oĩऽ оїц غ̇лоí $\eta \sigma \alpha$ ] For the attraction of the relative, see BDR, $\$ 294$, Wallace 1995, 338-339, Smyth 1956, \$2522, and Sollamo 1992, 45.
11. $\dot{\alpha} \pi$ ò л@об'َ́лоv] Cf. 1:8.
oṽ ... av̉兀o $\tilde{v}$ ] is a literal rendering of אשׁר ... oṽ can be taken as an equivalent of öv, having attracted the genitive case from $\pi \varrho \sigma \sigma \omega \pi \sigma v$ or $\beta \alpha \sigma 1 \lambda \varepsilon ́ \omega \varsigma$ (cf. Smyth 1956, § 2522, Wallace 1995, 338-339, and BDR, $\$ 294$ ), or $\alpha \cup ̉ \tau o \tilde{v}$ can be taken as the usual redundant pronoun in relative clauses in Jeremiah (cf. 2:6). The former seems to be the most natural way to take it (cf. v. $16 \eta$ $\eta v \ldots \alpha \cup \jmath \tau \eta)$ ), and is thus adopted in the present translation.
$\varphi \eta \sigma i v \overline{\chi \zeta}]$ is a rendering of נאםביהוה. For a discussion of the expression and its rendering, see $2: 3$.

 MSS have the rendering $\dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \omega \dot{\omega}$ عiut or only $\varepsilon i \mu$ t.
$\chi \varepsilon เ \varrho o ̀ s ~ \alpha u ̉ \tau \omega ̃ v] ~ G o ̈ t t . ~ h a s ~ \chi \varepsilon เ \varrho o ̀ s ~ \alpha u ̉ \tau o v ̃ . ~$
13. $\varepsilon$ i $\lambda \varepsilon \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \varepsilon \tau \varepsilon$...] There is no proper apodosis to the protasis introduced by عi $\lambda \varepsilon \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \varepsilon \tau \varepsilon$. Instead the protasis is repeated in $v .15$, for which the apodosis is v .16 .
14. The space between oixŋ́бouєv and $\delta \iota \alpha$, indicating a new paragraph, is quite small, and there is no stroke in the margin confirming a new paragraph.
 $\pi \varepsilon \iota v \alpha(\omega$ usually takes the object in the genitive or the accusative. The object with $\dot{\varepsilon} v$ and the dative is at least very rare. Thus the very literal rendering "in bread" in the present translation.
15. $\delta \tilde{\omega} \tau \varepsilon$ тò $\pi \varrho o ́ \sigma \omega \pi o v] ~ C f . ~ 27: 5 . ~$
 introductory phrase for the apodosis to the protasis introduced by $\dot{\varepsilon} \dot{\alpha} v$ บ́ $\mu \varepsilon \tilde{\imath} \varsigma \delta \tilde{\omega} \tau \varepsilon$ in v .15 (and for the protasis introduced by $\varepsilon i \lambda \lambda \varepsilon \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \tau \varepsilon$ in v. 13). It should be noticed that MT has והיתה, והיה וה וה Jeremiah had והיתה in his text, he apparently took $\mathfrak{\eta}$ @ீоич
 MT, see McKane 1996, 1036.
$\dot{v} \mu \varepsilon \tilde{\iota}\langle\varsigma\rangle]$ Gött. has $\dot{v} \mu \varepsilon \tau ̃ \varsigma . \varsigma$ is written above the line in the MS.

๙̉лò л@обஸ́лоv] Cf. 1:8.
o $\tilde{v} \ldots$... av่тo $\tilde{v}$ ] is a literal rendering of ואשר . . . . גv่̉o $\tilde{v}$ is redundant in Greek. Thus the redundant rendering "of it". For redundant pronouns in relative clauses, see 2:6.
$\lambda o ́ \gamma o v \stackrel{้}{\varepsilon} \chi \varepsilon \tau \varepsilon]$ For a discussion of the construction and its rendering, see 45:19. Olympiodorus comments on the passage: Olymp. fr. Jer. 93.701
 famine'. For which you make yourselves much concern, being afraid of it." ф̇ло̀ л@обஸ́лоv] Cf. 1:8.
$\dot{v} \mu \tilde{\alpha} \varsigma$ ỏлíб $\dot{v} \mu \tilde{\omega} v$ ] Gött. has $\dot{v} \mu \tilde{\alpha} \varsigma$. According to Ziegler 1958, 101, $\dot{v} \mu \tilde{\alpha} \varsigma$ ỏлí $\omega \omega \dot{v} \mu \tilde{\omega} v$ is a double rendering of אחר .אחריכם was originally included in the rendering ( $\varkappa \alpha \tau \alpha \lambda \eta \dot{\mu} \mu \varepsilon \tau \alpha \iota)$ of the verb ידבק, but subsequently אחריכם was rendered again by ỏлíб $\omega$ ข́ $\mu \tilde{\omega} v$.

ỏлí $\sigma \omega]$ Cf. 2:5.
17. xai हैбovtaı] is a rendering of ויהיו. The literal rendering xai है $\sigma o v \tau \alpha \iota$ makes as poor sense as the Vorlage. Thus the literal rendering in the
present translation. For a discussion of the construction, its Vorlage, and rendering, see v. 16.
 have been made for the relation of the Greek text to its Vorlage. For a discussion of the text, see McKane 1996, 1036-1037.


 genitive absolute in the Septuagint, see Soisalon-Soininen 1987, 175180.
 ... عis, see 3:23.

व̈ß $\alpha \tau о v]$ Cf. 2:6.
19. ó] has no equivalent in MT, but it is likely that the translator had אשׁר in his Vorlage, since the Greek relative pronouns are regularly renderings of the Hebrew relative particle אשׁר. Cf. in MT of 1:2; 14:1; 46:1, 13; 47:1.
$\gamma v o ́ v t \varepsilon \varsigma ~ \gamma v \omega ́ \sigma \varepsilon \sigma \vartheta \vartheta \varepsilon]$ is a literal rendering of ידע תדעו, i.e. infinitive absolute and finite verb of the same root. The figura etymologica of the Hebrew text has been preserved in the Greek translation. The figura etymologica has also been preserved in the translation "having got to know you shall know", since the construction of the aorist participle with the finite verb is as strange to Greek as it is to English. For a discussion of the Greek aorist participle as a rendering of the Hebrew infinitive absolute, see 3:1.
 According to Helbing 1928, 14, лovŋ@zv́ $\omega$ with $\mathfrak{\varepsilon} v$ is a Hebraism. Thus the literal rendering "you have acted wickedly in ... souls" in the present translation.
$\dot{\alpha} \pi о \sigma \tau \varepsilon i \lambda \alpha v \tau \varepsilon \varsigma]$ appears to be a quite free, but very appropriate, rendering of כי־אתם שלחתם. If this is correct, this is yet another indication of the ability of the translator. Cf. 51:3 and 1:2.
21. $\tau \tilde{\eta} \varsigma \varphi \omega v \tilde{\eta} \varsigma \overline{\chi v} \tilde{\eta} \varsigma \alpha \dot{\alpha} \tau \varepsilon \dot{\varepsilon} \sigma \tau \varepsilon \lambda \lambda \dot{v} \nu \mu \varepsilon$ л@òऽ $\dot{v} \mu \tilde{\alpha} \varsigma]$ seems to be a literal rendering of בקול יהוה אשׂר־שׁלחני אליכם, which is a shorter text than MT. The Greek produced by this literal rendering is odd. $\tilde{\eta} 5$ should be taken as $\eta^{v}$ (having attracted the case from $\tau \tilde{\eta} \varsigma \varphi \omega v \tilde{\eta} \varsigma, ~ c f .50: 1$, Smyth 1956,
$\$ 2522$ ，Wallace 1995，338－339，and BDR，$\$ 294$ ），being the object of a verb of saying，which is missing．A rendering of a complete text could be＂the voice of Lord，which he sent me to tell you＂．However，in the translation of the Greek text of Vaticanus＂tell＂has been excluded．Cf． vv．5，6，and 50：1．For a discussion of MT and the Vorlage，see McKane 1996， 1039.

22．$\lambda \varepsilon \mu \tilde{\omega}]$ Gött．has $\lambda \mu \mu \tilde{\varphi}$ ．
 equally redundant＂there＂in the English translation．For a discussion of the construction，see 7：12．
 1：3．
 dering $\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \omega v$ of לדבר is very odd．דבר is usually rendered by $\lambda \alpha \lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \omega$ throughout the Septuagint，while $\lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \omega$ is a rendering of אמר．Moreover， the present participle of $\lambda \varepsilon \dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \omega$ in the nominative case is usually a ren－ dering of לאמר，which introduces direct speech．It is hard to see the reason for this unusual rendering，and several MSS have changed $\lambda \varepsilon ́ \gamma \omega v$ to $\lambda \alpha \lambda \tilde{\omega} v$ ．Cf．Introduction，and Walser 2001，83－84，100－101．
tov̀s ло́vтаऽ $\lambda$ ó $\gamma o v \varsigma]$ seems to be a rendering of את־כל－דברי．The word order，with ло́vta̧ in the attributive position，is unusual，and gives a meaning which is strange in this context．For the meaning of $\pi \tilde{\alpha} \varsigma$ in the attributive position，see Smyth 1956，$\$ 1174$ a．The same Hebrew expression（but with the definite article），את־כל־הדברים，is rendered by лávтas toùऽ $\lambda$ ó $\gamma$ ovऽ later in this verse（cf．25：13；43：4，11，32）．It should
 MSS．Cf．Ziegler 1958，145，and CS，$\$ 63$ ．
 of אשר שלחו יהוה אליהם．The same oddity is created here as in 49：21，and a verb of saying should be supplied．Cf．49：4，5，21．For a discussion of MT and the Vorlage，see McKane 1996，1050－1051．

2．Maん⿱㇒⿻二丿⿴囗⿱一一
$\lambda \varepsilon ́ \gamma \omega v]$ Gött．has $\varepsilon i ภ \varepsilon \varepsilon \tau v$.
3．Nŋ＠zíou］Gött．has Nŋ＠íou．
6. toùs סuvatoùs övס@as] Gött. has tov̀s סuvatov́ऽ, which is a conjecture by Ziegler, who refers to 51:20. Several MSS have tov̀s ơvס@as. Cf. 48:16, Ziegler 1958, 101, and McKane 1996, 1053.


8. A stroke above the line in the MS indicates a new paragraph. Since v. 8 begins at the beginning of the line, there is no space within the text which could confirm the new paragraph.



л@ờ́́oıs] Cf. 1:15.
$\varkappa \alpha \tau$ ỏ ő $\vartheta \alpha \lambda \mu о$ б́s] Cf. 19:10.
10. $\alpha u ̉ t o v ̃ ~ \tau o ̀ v ~ \vartheta \varrho o ́ v o v] ~ G o ̈ t t . ~ h a s ~ t o ̀ v ~ \vartheta \varrho o ́ v o v ~ \alpha u ̉ t o v ̃ . ~$

$\dot{\varepsilon} v \pi v \varrho เ \varepsilon \tilde{]}]$ Gött. has $\varepsilon$ घ่
av̉tós ... aủtov́s] is rendered by "the houses ... the men" for the sake of clarity.
 For a discussion of the Vorlage, see McKane 1996, 1059-1060.
13. бuvт@عi\}عı] Gött. has ouvt@i $\psi \varepsilon ı$.
 was a well established proper noun already at the time of translation. Thus the rendering Heliopolis in the present translation.

## 60. Lord's Word Concerning the Jews in Egypt (51:1-30)

This whole section is about the Lord's word to the Jews in Egypt, and their answer to the Lord. First, the Lord concludes that the same punishment will fall upon the Jews in Egypt as that which had fallen upon the Jews in Jerusalem and the cities of Iouda. The reason for the punishment is also the same as for the punishment of the Jews in Jerusalem and in the cities of Iouda, viz. idolatry, and especially the burning of incense to other gods is pointed out. But instead of listening to the Lord, the
people answer that they will not listen to the words of the Lord; they will go on doing their deeds, burning their incense, and pouring their libations to the queen of heaven. The reason for this is that according to the people they were better off as long as they were burning their incense than when they stopped doing so. To this Jeremiah replies that the Lord has not forgotten the previous idolatry of the people, and that this is also the reason that their land was desolated. Therefore, because they say that they will go on with their idolatry, they will fade away by famine and by the sword, and only very few will ever return to their land. The Lord will also give them a sign to show that he will punish them; the king of Egypt will be given into the hands of his enemies, just as the king of Iouda was given into the hands of his enemies.

Beside the common literal renderings, a dittography, several unique readings in Vaticanus, and a couple of relative attractions indicating the skill of the translator, there are a few linguistic features that should be mentioned in the present section. In v. 19 what seems to be a very literal rendering produces an odd translation. The same is also true of a very literal rendering in v. 26 , which produces a rendering with a meaning quite different from the original. Finally, the nomen sacrum of Iб@aŋ $\lambda$, which is the only one of two in Vaticanus of Jeremiah, should also be mentioned.

1. The indentation of the left margin in $v .1$ follows the MS. $\gamma \tilde{\eta}$ Aīरúл $\tau 0 v]$ Gött. has Aiүúл $\tau \omega$.
2. $\tau \alpha ̀ \varsigma ~ \pi o ́ \lambda \varepsilon ı \varsigma] ~ G o ̈ t t . ~ h a s ~ \pi o ́ \lambda \varepsilon ı \varsigma . ~$


3. $\alpha$ лò л@обف́лоv] Cf. 1:8.

ло@єv७є́v $\tau \varepsilon \varsigma$ ] appears to be a quite free rendering of ללכת. Cf. 49:20.
$\dot{\varepsilon} \pi \sigma i ́ \eta \sigma \alpha \nu \ldots$ है $\gamma v \omega \tau \varepsilon$ ] For a discussion of the relation of LXX to MT, see McKane 1996, 1070. According to McKane the switch from third to second plural in the shorter text of the Septuagint "is too harsh to be tolerated".
 tion, see 7:25.

 25:4.
 Arabic translation. Ziegler 1957, 435, also refers to vv. 17 and 21.
 2:14.

荫 $\alpha \tau o v]$ Cf. 2:6.
7. ív $\alpha$ ti] Cf. 2:29.


 2:14.

9. $\mu \eta$ そ̀ $\varepsilon \pi \mid \lambda \dot{\varepsilon} \lambda \eta \sigma \vartheta \varepsilon]$ is a rendering of השכחתם. For a discussion of questions introduced by interrogative particles and their rendering, see 5:9.
 passage is a dittography in Vaticanus.
10. $\dot{\alpha} v \tau i ́ \chi o v \tau o]$ Gött. has $\dot{\alpha} v \tau \varepsilon \dot{\chi} \chi o v \tau 0 . \varepsilon$ is written above the line in the MS.
 the literal rendering "I set up my face" in the present translation. For the expression, cf. 3:12.
 $\varepsilon$ is written above $\dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \lambda i$ íqovow in the MS.
$\dot{\alpha} \pi o ̀ ~ \mu \varepsilon ı œ о \tilde{v} \tilde{\varepsilon} \omega \varsigma \mu \varepsilon \gamma \dot{\alpha} \lambda \sigma v]$ is a literal rendering of מקטן ועד-גדול. For a discussion of the Greek positive forms, being rendered into English positive forms in the present translation, see 6:13.

हैбovtaı $\varepsilon i \varsigma]$ For a discussion of the construction $\varepsilon i ̃ v \alpha \iota ~ . . . ~ \varepsilon i \varsigma, ~ s e e ~$ 3:23.

$\lambda \varepsilon \mu \tilde{\omega}]$ Gött. has $\lambda \mu \tilde{\omega}$.
 ate, rendering of לא יהיה פליט ושׂריד. The two negatives are taken together and rendered by "not ... a single one". For the combination of a simple and a compound negative and its rendering, see Smyth 1956, \$2761, and BDR, §431.2.
 Greek. Thus the equally redundant "there" in the present translation. For

$\alpha ̉ v \alpha \sigma \varepsilon \sigma \omega \mu \varepsilon ́ v o l]$ Gött. has $\dot{\alpha} v \alpha \sigma \varepsilon \sigma \omega \sigma \mu \varepsilon ́ v o l . ~ \sigma$ is written above the line in the MS. For the Attic form $\dot{\alpha} v \alpha \sigma \varepsilon \sigma \omega \mu \dot{v} v o t$, see Thackeray 1909, 221.
15. A stroke above the line in the MS indicates a new paragraph. Since v. 15 begins at the beginning of the line, there is no space within the text which could confirm the new paragraph. However, the preceding line is shorter than the other lines, indicating a new paragraph. Thus the new paragraph in the text.

16. ó $\lambda o ́ \gamma o \varsigma]$ is a nominativus pendens. For a discussion of nominativus pendens, see CS, $\S 53$, and BDR, $\$ 466.2$.
$\tau \tilde{\varrho}$ ỏvó $\mu \alpha \tau \iota$ ] Cf. 11:21.
$\left.\alpha \dot{\alpha} x_{0} \dot{\sigma} \mu \varepsilon v\right]$ For the future active form, see Thackeray 1909, 231.
 absolute and finite verb of the same root. The figura etymologica of the Hebrew text has been preserved in the Greek translation. The figura etymologica has also been preserved in the translation "doing we will do", since the construction of the present participle with the finite verb is as strange to Greek as it is to English. For a discussion of the Greek present participle as a rendering of the Hebrew infinitive absolute, see 3:1.
$\tau \tilde{\eta} \beta \alpha \sigma i \lambda i \sigma \sigma \eta \eta_{]}$For the form $\beta \alpha \sigma^{\prime} \lambda \iota \sigma \sigma \alpha$ instead of $\beta \alpha \sigma i \lambda \varepsilon \iota \alpha$, see SC, $\S 7$.
$\dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \varepsilon \vee o ́ \mu \varepsilon \vartheta \alpha]$ A letter has been erased between $\varepsilon$ and $\vartheta$, leaving a space in the MS.
18. $\tau \tilde{\eta} \beta \alpha \sigma ı \lambda i ́ \sigma \sigma \eta]$ Cf. v. 17.
19. rail ötı] seems to be a literal rendering of MT וכי, which produces a confused syntax. Thus the literal rendering "And that" in the present translation. Peshitta and the Lucianic recension of Jeremiah have roi
ai $\gamma$ uvaĩes $\varepsilon$ घírov at the beginning of the verse, which makes good sense. For a discussion of the Hebrew text, see McKane 1996, 10761077.

т $\tilde{\beta} \beta \alpha \sigma ı \lambda i \sigma \sigma \eta]$ Cf. v. 17.
 renderings of להסך ... נסכים ... הסך ... נסכים. The figurae etymologicae in the Hebrew text have been preserved in the Greek translation, as well as in the present English translation by "we offered drink-offerings ... we did ... offer drink-offerings".
$\mu \grave{\eta} \alpha \ddot{\alpha} v v]$ appears to be a rendering of $ה$. For questions introduced by interrogative particles and their translation, see 5:9.
$\chi \alpha v \tilde{\omega} v \alpha \varsigma]$ For $\chi \alpha v \omega \dot{v}$, which is a Hellenized transliteration of the Hebrew כון, see 7:18.

блоvס̀̀s $\alpha u ̉ \tau \tilde{n}]$ Gött. has $\alpha u ̉ \tau n ̃ ~ \sigma \pi о v \delta \alpha ́ s . ~$
20. A stroke above the line in the MS indicates a new paragraph. Since v . 20 begins at the beginning of the line, there is no space within the text which could confirm the new paragraph.
21. oủxi] is a rendering of הלוא. For questions introduced by interrogative particles and their translation, see 7:19.

то⿱̃ $\vartheta v \mu \iota \alpha ́ \mu \alpha \tau o \varsigma ~ o \tilde{u}]$ For the attraction of the relative, see BDR, $\$ 294$, Wallace 1995, 338-339, Smyth 1956, $\$ 2522$, and Sollamo 1992, 45.
 $\mu \varepsilon v$, which does not fit into the following context with $\dot{\sim} \mu \varepsilon \tilde{\varsigma}$... $\dot{v} \mu \tilde{\omega} v ~ . . . ~$ บ์ $\mu \tilde{\omega} v . . . ~ ข ์ \mu \tilde{\mu} v$.
 za@סíav.
$\dot{\alpha} v \varepsilon ́ \beta \eta \eta$ ह̉лi tŋ̀̀ $\varkappa \alpha \varrho \delta i ́ \alpha v]$ Cf. 3:16.
22. ब̉ло̀ л@обஸ́лоv] Cf. 1:8.

 BDR, §294, and Sollamo 1992, 45.
 see $2: 14$.

व̈ß $\alpha \tau o v]$ Cf. 2:6.


23．ஷ̉ло̀ л＠обஸ́лоv］Cf．1：8．
$\tau \tilde{\varrho} \overline{\chi \omega}]$ is a rendering of ליהוה．For the definite article with $x u ́ g \iota s$ ，see Introduction．
vó $\mu \omega]$ Gött．has vó $\mu \varphi$ 人v̉兀oũ．
24．tòv $\lambda$ ó $\gamma \mathrm{ov}$ ］Gött．has $\lambda$ ó $\gamma \mathrm{ov}$ ．

25．$\overline{\mathrm{I} \sigma \lambda}]$ is one of only two examples in Jeremiah of Vaticanus where Iogaŋ入 is abbreviated into a nomen sacrum．For nomina sacra，cf． Introduction．
 $\sigma \alpha \tau \varepsilon]$ are renderings of．עשׂה נעשׂה ．．．הקים תקימנה ．．．ועשׂה תעשׂינה，i．e． infinitive absolute and finite verb of the same root．The figurae etymolog－ icae of the Hebrew text have been preserved in the Greek translation．The figurae etymologicae have also been preserved in the translation＂Doing we will do ．．．Having abode you have abode ．．．doing you have done＂， since the construction of the participle with the finite verb is as strange to Greek as it is to English．For a discussion of the Greek participle as a rendering of the Hebrew infinitive absolute，see 3：1．
 $\lambda о \gamma \eta \dot{\alpha} \mu \varepsilon \nu$.
$\tau \tilde{\eta} \beta \alpha \sigma \lambda \lambda i ́ \sigma \sigma \eta]$ Cf．v． 17.
 etymologica of the Hebrew text has been preserved in the Greek as well as in the present English translation by＂to offer drink－offerings＂．
$\dot{\varepsilon} v \mu \varepsilon i v \alpha \sigma \alpha \iota]$ Gött．has $\dot{\varepsilon} \mu \mu \varepsilon i v \alpha \sigma \alpha l$ ．The text of Vaticanus appears to have been changed into $\mathfrak{\varepsilon} \mu \mu \varepsilon i ́ v \alpha \sigma \alpha \iota$.

26．$ُ$ ćáv］is a rendering of אם，which produces the well－known Hebraism discussed in 2：28．
 Thus the very literal rendering＂every Egypt land＂in the present trans－ lation．Since ארץ in the construct state cannot have the definite article，a literal translation produces an odd Greek expression，with the meaning ＂every Egypt land＂；cf．Smyth 1956，§ 1174．c，Wallace 1995，253，and CS， $\$ 63$ ．This is most likely the reason that several MSS，e．g．，Sinaiticus，have added $\tau \tilde{\eta}$ ．Cf．Ziegler 1958， 144.

$\left.\dot{\varepsilon} \gamma \varrho \eta \eta^{\prime} \circ \varrho \alpha\right]$ For the perfect form，its meaning，and rendering，see 1：12．
$\lambda \varepsilon \mu \mu \tilde{\varphi}]$ Gött. has $\lambda \mu \mu \tilde{\omega}$.
 line in the MS. The text of Vaticanus is perhaps only a scribal error. A translation of the text in Gött. could be "until they have faded away".
28. oi $x \alpha \tau \alpha \sigma \tau \alpha ́ v \tau \varepsilon \varsigma]$ seems to be a rendering of הבאים. xataбто́vteऽ is only found in Vaticanus, but is still preferred both by Rahlfs and Ziegler. According to Ziegler 1958, 53, "Sie [the reading xataotóvteऽ] ist zu seltsam und auffallend, als daß sie sekundär wäre. Ähnlich ist Par. II 2815 בוא Hiphil mit $x \alpha \vartheta \vartheta เ \sigma \tau \alpha ́ v \alpha \iota ~ w i e d e r g e g e b e n: ~ x \alpha \tau \varepsilon ́ \sigma \tau \eta \sigma \alpha v ~ \alpha u ̉ \tau o v ̀ s ~ \varepsilon i ́ s ~ I \varepsilon \varrho . " ~$ Olympiodorus comments on the expression: Olymp. fr. Jer. 93.701 oi
 $\dot{\varepsilon} v \mu \varepsilon v \varepsilon i ̃] ~ G o ̈ t t . ~ h a s ~ \varepsilon ̉ \mu \mu \varepsilon v \varepsilon i ̃ . ~$

## 61. Lord's Word to Barouch (51:31-35)

This short section (only five sections are shorter) contains what Jeremiah prophesied from the Lord to Barouch. The Lord tells Barouch not to complain, because the punishment will come upon all the people. However, he also tells Barouch that he will be saved wherever he will choose to go.

Only one linguistic feature will be especially mentioned from this short section, viz. the accent of عiлóv/عĩлov in v. 34. The first accent, which is, of course, secondary, is found in Vaticanus, while the second is chosen for Gött. In the present edition the accent of Vaticanus is followed, and thus it is rendered by an imperative in the English translation as well.
31. The indentation of the left margin in v. 31 follows the MS.
 above $\tau \varepsilon \tau \alpha \dot{\varrho} \varrho(\varphi$ in the MS.

I $\left.\omega \sigma^{\prime} \alpha\right]$ For the genitive ending $-\alpha$, see 1:3.
 only in Vaticanus, but is not noted by Ziegler 1957. For the spelling, see Thackeray 1909, 120.
$\mu \mathrm{ot}]$ Gött. has $\mu \mathrm{ov}$.
34. عiлóv] Gött. has عĩлov. The accent added in the MS is عiлóv. Theodoret has ovitç عiлċ $\alpha u ̉ \tau \tilde{\varphi}$. Hence the imperative in the present edition, though Ziegler might be right that the translator had عĩлov in his mind.

It should be noted, however, that MT has the imperfect תאמר, and a different word order.
35. $\delta \dot{\omega} \sigma \omega \ldots$... عiऽ] For a discussion of this expression, see 6:27 and 9:11.
 equally redundant "there" in the English translation. For a discussion of the construction, see 7:12.

## 62. Ierousalèm Captured. <br> Sedekias Captured and Brought to Babylon (52:1-11)

After a very precise description of the time, this section gives an account of the capture of Jerusalem by the king of Babylon. During the siege immediately before the capture, king Sedekias and his men tried to escape, but were captured by the Chaldeans. Sedekias was brought to the king of Babylon, and his children were slaughtered before his eyes, which were then blinded. Finally, Sedekias was put into the mill-house, where he ended his life.

As in the previous section, there is only one feature that should be mentioned beside the common literal renderings, viz. the rendering тєт@д́лобos in v. 4, which is a rendering of a Hebrew expression, the meaning of which was most likely unknown to the translator.
 rendering of a text like MT, which has בן־עשרים ואחת שנה צדקיהו, or perhaps of a Vorlage quite different from MT. For a discussion of the genitive absolute in the Septuagint, see Soisalon-Soininen 1987, 175180, and Smith 2002, 64.

$\langle\Sigma \varepsilon \delta \varepsilon x i o v\rangle]$ is written in the margin.
$\{\alpha\}]$ According to Ziegler $\{\alpha\}$ is a dittography.
A $\mu \varepsilon \iota \tau \alpha \alpha \lambda]$ Gött. has A $\mu \tau \alpha \lambda$.
4. xai $\varepsilon$ घं $\hat{\varepsilon} v \varepsilon \tau \mathrm{c}$ ] For this Hebraistic construction, cf. 1:3.


ठعxátท] For the rendering "on the tenth day", see 46:2.
 article, see 44:10.

тєt@aло́סoıs] seems to be a rendering of דיק $\varepsilon$ is written above the first o in the MS. There are only six examples of דיק in MT, but not less than five different renderings in LXX: 2 Kings 25:1 лع@ítعıхо弓; Jer. 52:4
 26:8 л@очи $\lambda \alpha \varkappa \eta$. Apparently, דיק refers to some kind of military construction, but the exact meaning of דיק was most likely not clear to the translators of the Septuagint. The term тєт@ало́סoร (or тєт@алє́סoıs) only means "four-sided" and something has to be supplied. Thus the neutral term "construction" has been supplied in the present translation. Several MSS have added $\lambda$ ívoıs after tєт@aлóסoıs. For the spelling, see Thackeray 1909, 88.

$\lambda \varepsilon \mu o ́ s]$ Gött. has $\lambda \mu$ ós.
7. люотıхібиатоऽ] Gött. has люотєххібиатоऽ. $\varepsilon$ is added between $\tau$ and $\iota$ in the MS.
 Normally a form of eivou should be supplied. Since the preceding and the following verbs are in the past tense, $\tilde{\eta} \sigma \alpha v$ is supplied. Cf. BDR, $\$ 128$.
$\tau \eta ̀ v$ عis A@ $\alpha \beta \alpha]$ Gött. has $\varepsilon$ ís A@ $\propto \beta \alpha$.
8. ỏлíow] Cf. 2:5.

л $\varepsilon \varrho \alpha v$ ] According to Smith 2002, 70, $\pi \dot{\varepsilon} \varrho \alpha v$ is a rendering of עבר, while MT has the root ערב.

Iع@ $\varepsilon \chi \omega]$ Gött. has I£@ $\chi \omega$.
ло́vtгऽ oi $\pi \alpha \tilde{0} \delta \varepsilon \varsigma$ ] For the rendering and its relation to the Hebrew text, see Smith 2002, 71.
9. tòv $\beta \alpha \sigma t \lambda \varepsilon ́ \alpha]$ Gött. has $\beta \alpha \sigma \iota \lambda \varepsilon ́ \alpha$.
 rendering "with judgement" in the present translation.
10. $\chi \alpha \tau$ ' ỏ $\varphi \vartheta \alpha \lambda \mu \circ$ б́s] Cf. 19:10.
11. oixíav $\mu \nu \lambda \tilde{\omega} v o s]$ seems to be a quite free rendering of בית־הפקדת. For a discussion of the Vorlage, see McKane 1996, 1365.

## 63. Ierousalèm Burned. The People and Loot Taken to Babylon. Iōakeim Pardoned (52:12-34)

The last section is about the plundering of Jerusalem by the Chaldeans, the slaughter of some of the inhabitants, and the release of king Iōakeim. Nabouzardan came to Jerusalem and burnt the house of the Lord and of the king and every great house with fire, and his men tore down the city wall. The Chaldeans also took everything of copper, silver and gold, including the pillars, the sea of copper with the twelve calves, and all the vessels of the temple. Then they took the priests in charge and some other persons of rank and slaughtered them in Deblatha. Finally, Oulaimadachar, king of Babylon, released king Iōakeim, gave him new clothes, let him eat before the king for the rest of his days, and also gave him an allowance until he died.

From a linguistic point of view the last section contains a number of the common literal renderings and a few other rare features, which should be mentioned. In v. 13 there is a variation in translation, which might indicate the linguistic skill of the translator, and the same seems to be true in v. 17 . On the other hand, there is a very literal rendering in v . 19 , which might indicate that the translator was more skilled in Greek than in Hebrew. In vv. 18-19 an ambiguity in the Greek text is preserved in the translation. In v. 23 there is yet another indication of the skill of the translator, this time by the use of a rare word order.

```
12. л\varepsiloń\muл\tau\varphi] Gött. has \tau\tilde{~} л\varepsiloń\mu\tau\tau\varphi.
    \delta\varepsilonх\alpháт\etap] For the rendering "on the tenth day", see 46:2.
    \tauо\tilde{v}\beta\alpha\sigma\iota\lambda\varepsiloń\omega\varsigma] Gött. has \beta\alpha\sigma|\lambda\varepsiloń\omega\varsigma.
```

 Hebrew word בית. For a discussion of the different renderings, see Smith 2002, 73, who notes that the translator never uses oixio of the temple and only rarely of the house of the king.
o<ỉxias] । is added above the line in the MS. For the spelling, see Thackeray 1909, 93.
14. $\tau \pi \nsim \varsigma]$ Gött. has $\tau \varepsilon \tau \chi \varsigma . \varepsilon$ is added between $\tau$ and t in the MS.
16. $\chi \alpha \tau \varepsilon ̇ \lambda \varepsilon ા л \varepsilon v] ~ G o ̈ t t . ~ h a s ~ \chi \alpha \tau \varepsilon ́ \lambda ı л \varepsilon v . ~$
17. $\tau \dot{\alpha} \varsigma ~ \beta \alpha \dot{\alpha} \sigma \varepsilon \varsigma]$ appears to be a rendering of . For a discussion of
 a good translation, it might be that the translator had the pillar pedestals in mind rather than Salomon's stands on wheels.

18-19. It is not clear which of the objects mentioned in vv. 18-19 should be taken as objects of $\check{\text { é }} \lambda \alpha \beta \mathrm{ov}$ (v. 17), and which should be taken as objects of $\ddot{\varepsilon} \lambda \alpha \beta \varepsilon v$ (v. 19). Thus the word order is preserved ("these" being added at the end of the sentence), and the punctuation is open for interpretation by the reader.
$\chi \alpha\langle\lambda x \tilde{\alpha}\rangle] \lambda x \alpha$ is added above the line.
$\left.\dot{\varepsilon} \lambda \iota \tau o v{ }^{\varrho} \gamma o u v\right]$ Gött. has $\dot{\varepsilon} \lambda \varepsilon \iota \tau o v ́ \varrho \gamma o u v . ~ \varepsilon$ is added above the line in the MS.
 toĩs is redundant in Greek. Thus the equally redundant "with them" in the present translation. For redundant pronouns in relative clauses, see 2:6.
$\sigma \alpha \varphi \varphi \omega \vartheta]$ For the spelling with doubling of the aspirate, see Thackeray 1909, 121.
 1909, 97.
 זהב ... כסף כסף Thus the literal rendering "golden golden ... of silver of silver". The meaning of the Hebrew Vorlage is not very clear. Perhaps the translator of Jeremiah did not understand it, and thus rendered it literally. In the parallel text in 2 Kings $25: 15$ it is rendered by $\tau \dot{\alpha} \varsigma ~ \chi \varrho u \sigma \tilde{\alpha} \varsigma$ ... $\tau \dot{\alpha} \varsigma ~ \alpha ̉ \varrho \gamma \varrho \varrho \alpha \tilde{c}$. For a discussion of the Hebrew text, see McKane 1996, 1373. Cf. BDR, $\$ 493.1$, and CS, $\S 85$.
 the MS.
20. oi $\sigma \tau$ ṽ $\lambda o l \ldots$ … $\vartheta \dot{\alpha} \lambda \alpha \sigma \sigma \sigma \alpha \ldots$ oi $\mu$ ó $\sigma \chi o l]$ The nominatives form an anacoluthon with the following. Thus the dash in the present translation. For nominativus pendens, see CS, $\$ 53$, and BDR, $\$ 466.2$.
21. oi $\sigma \tau \tilde{\nu} \lambda o t]$ The nominative forms an anacoluthon with the following. Thus the dash in the present translation. For nominativus pendens, see CS, $\$ 53$, and BDR, $\$ 466.2$.
$\pi \dot{\eta} \chi \varepsilon \omega v]$ Gött. has $\pi \eta \chi \tilde{\omega} v$. Cf. $\pi \varepsilon \dot{v \tau \varepsilon} \pi \eta \chi \tilde{\omega} v$ in the same verse and $\pi \varepsilon ́ v \tau \varepsilon \pi \dot{\eta} \chi \varepsilon \omega v$ in v. 22. For the spelling, see Thackeray 1909, 151 note.
 Thus the literal rendering in the present translation．Cf．Smith 2002，81－ 82.
$\pi \eta ं \chi \varepsilon \omega v]$ Gött．has $\pi \eta \chi \tilde{\omega} v$. Cf．v． 21.
 According to Smith 2002，82，it could have been in the Vorlage of the translator．

23．$\varepsilon$ êv $\mu \varepsilon ́ \varrho \circ \varsigma]$ Gött．has tò $\varepsilon$ êv $\mu$ と́＠os．tó is only missing in Vaticanus，and is written above the line．
$\alpha i \operatorname{\pi } \tilde{\alpha} \sigma \alpha \iota$＠óosı］Gött．has $\pi \tilde{\alpha} \sigma \alpha \iota \alpha i \varrho^{\text {＠ó }} \boldsymbol{\alpha}$ ．The word order，with $\pi \tilde{\alpha} \sigma \alpha \iota$ in the attributive position，is unusual，but fits this context quite well．For the meaning of $\pi \tilde{\alpha} \varsigma$ in the attributive position，see Smyth $1956, \$ 1174 \mathrm{a}$ ． Cf．Ziegler 1958，145，who comments：＂$\alpha i \pi \tilde{\alpha} \sigma \alpha \iota$ würde hier die Summe， „das Ganze＂im Gegensatz zum „Teil＂（ $\mu$ と́ $\varrho \boldsymbol{\varrho}$ im vorhergehenden Text） bedeuten．＂Cf．CS，$\$ 63$ ．

24．$\dot{\alpha} \varrho \chi \mu \alpha \dot{\gamma} \varrho \varrho \circ \varsigma]$ Gött．has $\alpha \varrho \chi \mu \alpha \dot{\alpha} \gamma \varepsilon \varrho \circ \varsigma .-\gamma \iota-$ is at the end of the line in the MS，and it looks as if the text has been changed into－$\gamma \varepsilon t-$ ．

סعvic＠ovia］Gött．has סevte＠cviovta．v is written above the line， forming $\delta \varepsilon v \tau \varepsilon \varrho o \tilde{v} v \tau \alpha$ ．For the relation to the Hebrew text，see Smith 2002， 82.

甲v $\alpha \dot{\tau} \tau \tau 0 v \tau \alpha \varsigma]$ Gött．has $\varphi v \lambda \alpha \dot{\sigma} \sigma o v \tau \alpha \varsigma$ ．For the spelling，see Thackeray 1909，121－123．
ì̀ $v$ ódóv］For a discussion of the relation to MT，הסף，see Smith 2002， 83 ，who argues that the translator originally had tòv ỏdóv，i．e．，an alternative spelling of tòv oủdóv meaning＂the threshold＂．

25．$\varepsilon \cup ̉ v o \tilde{v} \chi \circ \vee$ ย゙v $\alpha$ ］For this use of $\varepsilon \tilde{i} \varsigma$ as an indefinite pronoun，see CS， $\$ 2$.

ỏvouaбтoùs toùs ẻv］Gött．has ỏvouaбтoùs ẻv．Ziegler 1957，448， suggests that toús could be a dittography．
$\dot{\varepsilon} v \pi \varrho о \sigma \omega ́ \pi \omega]$ seems to be a rendering of פני．The expression appears to be at least very rare outside the Septuagint．Thus the literal rendering ＂in the face＂in the present translation．Cf．Sollamo 1979，327－328．For the Hebrew expression，see McKane 1996，1379－1380，and Smith 2002， 85.
đòv $\gamma \varrho \alpha \mu \mu \alpha \tau \varepsilon ́ \alpha \ldots \tau \tilde{\eta} \varsigma \gamma \tilde{\eta} \varsigma]$ For a discussion of the relation to the Hebrew text，see Smith 2002，85－86．
$\dot{\varepsilon} v \mu \varepsilon ́ \sigma \omega]$ Cf．12：16．
26. $\mathfrak{\alpha} \varrho \chi \mu \alpha \dot{\gamma} \gamma \varrho о \varsigma]$ Gött. has $\dot{\alpha} \varrho \chi \mu \alpha \dot{\gamma} \gamma \varepsilon \varrho \circ \varsigma . \varepsilon$ is added between $\gamma$ and ı in the MS.

## 27. Aıん $\downarrow \vartheta]$ Gött. has E $\mu \alpha \vartheta$.

31. xai $\bar{\varepsilon} \gamma \varepsilon ́ v \varepsilon \tau \mathrm{c}$ ] For this Hebraistic construction, cf. 1:3.

 For a discussion of the genitive absolute in the Septuagint, see SoisalonSoininen 1987, 175-180.

I $\omega \alpha \kappa \varepsilon \mu ~ . . . ~ O v \lambda \alpha \mu \alpha \delta \alpha \chi \propto \varrho ~ . . . ~ I \omega \alpha \varkappa \varepsilon \mu] ~ G o ̈ t t . ~ h a s ~ I ~ \omega \alpha \varkappa \mu ~ . . . ~ O v \lambda \alpha ц-~$ $\mu \propto \varrho \alpha \delta \alpha \chi$... I $\omega \alpha \varkappa \mu$. For a discussion of the Vorlage of $\mathrm{I} \omega \alpha \varepsilon \varepsilon \mu / \mathrm{I} \omega \alpha \boldsymbol{\mu}$, see Smith 2002, 89.
$\tau \tilde{1} \tau \varepsilon \tau \varrho \alpha ́ \delta \iota ~ x \alpha i ~ \varepsilon i x \alpha ́ \delta ı] ~ F o r ~ t h e ~ r e n d e r i n g ~ " o n ~ t h e ~ t w e n t y-f o u r t h ~ d a y ", ~$ see 46:2.
 Thus the literal rendering "he took the head" in the present translation. The parallel text in 2 Kings $25: 27$ renders by ư $\mathbf{~} \psi \omega \sigma \varepsilon v$. Olympiodorus




 "Because the prisoners bow down of the misfortune, 'he took', he says, 'his head' instead of 'he raised, he lifted up, he made him look up' As also David says: 'See, he lifted up my head above my enemies' The 'he took' instead of 'he lifted up' as he says at the end of the fourth book of Kings."
xaì ह̈x
 way or the other. ëx have its origin in the Joseph narrative, Gen. 41:14, though the vocabulary is not the same.
$\dot{\varepsilon} \xi$ oixias $\tilde{\eta} \zeta \varsigma \dot{\varepsilon} \varphi \cup \lambda \alpha \dot{\alpha} \sigma \varepsilon \tau \mathrm{o}$ ] For the attraction of the relative, see BDR, $\$ 294$.3, and Sollamo 1995, 45. For the local dative, see BDR, $\$ 199$.


 $\eta \geqslant \sigma \vartheta \varepsilon เ \varepsilon v$ is taken in an iterative sense. Thus the rendering "he ate ...
continually" in the present translation. For the iterative sense of the imperfect, see BDR, $\$ 325$.
34. $\mathfrak{\varepsilon} \delta i ́ \delta \varepsilon \tau 0]$ For the imperfect of $\delta i \delta \omega$, see Thackeray 1909, 250. The imperfect of $\delta i \delta \omega$ occurs only here in Jeremiah; cf. however Smith 2002, 93. For the iterative sense of the imperfect and its rendering, see v. 33.
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